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Abstract
Reiterated deterministic homogenization problem for nonlinear pseudo monotone parabolic type operators is considered beyond the usual periodic setting. We present a new approach based on the generalized Besicovitch type spaces, which allows to consider general assumptions on the coefficients of the operators under consideration. In particular we solve the weakly almost periodic homogenization problem and many new other problems such as the homogenization in the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra. Our approach falls within the scope of multiscale convergence method.
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1 Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with Lipschitz boundary and $Q = \Omega \times (0,T)$, where $T > 0$ is a fixed real number. Let $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $p' = p/(p-1)$. We consider the initial-boundary value problem

$$\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} - \text{div} \ a\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon_1},\frac{x}{\varepsilon_2},\frac{t}{\varepsilon},u_{\varepsilon},Du_{\varepsilon}\right) + a_0\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon_1},\frac{x}{\varepsilon_2},\frac{t}{\varepsilon},u_{\varepsilon},Du_{\varepsilon}\right) = f \text{ in } Q$$

$$u_{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0,T)$$

$$u_{\varepsilon}(x,0) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega,$$

(1.1)
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respectively, satisfy the following assumptions:

For any arbitrary \((\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N\) and for any \((x, t) \in \Omega\), the functions \(a_0(x, t, y, z, \tau, \mu, \lambda)\) and \(a(x, t, y, z, \tau, \mu, \lambda)\) are measurable;

\[(1.2)\]

\[a(x, t, y, z, \tau, \mu, 0) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } (y, z, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \text{ for all } (x, t) \in \Omega \]

and all \(\mu \in \mathbb{R};\)

There are three constants \(c_0, c_1, c_2 > 0\) and a continuity modulus \(v\) (i.e., a nondecreasing continuous function on \([0, +\infty)\) such that

\[v(0) = 0, v(r) > 0 \text{ if } r > 0, \text{ and } v(r) = 1 \text{ if } r > 1\]

such that a.e. in \((y, z, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R},\)

(i) \(a(x, t, y, z, \tau, \mu, \lambda) - a(x, t, y, z, \tau, \mu, \lambda') + (\lambda - \lambda') \geq c_0 |\lambda - \lambda'|^p\)

(ii) \(a_0(x, t, y, z, \tau, \mu, \lambda) + \mu \geq 0\)

(iii) \(|a_0(x, t, y, z, \tau, \mu, \lambda)| + |a(x, t, y, z, \tau, \mu, \lambda)| \leq c_1 (1 + |\mu|^{p-1} + |\lambda|^{p-1})\)

(iv) \(|a_0(x, t, y, z, \tau, \mu, \lambda) - a_0(x', t', y, z, \tau, \mu', \lambda')| + |\mu - \mu'| (1 + |\mu|^{p-1} + |\lambda|^{p-1}) + |\lambda' - \lambda|^{p-1}) + c_2 (1 + |\mu| + |\lambda| + |\mu'| + |\lambda'|)^{p-2} |\lambda - \lambda'|\)

for all \((x, t), (x', t') \in \Omega\) and all \((\mu, \lambda), (\mu', \lambda') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N\), where the dot denotes the usual Euclidean inner product in \(\mathbb{R}^N\) and \(|\cdot|\) the associated norm.

**Remark 1.1.** The positivity constraint (ii) in (1.4) is stated in order to establish the a priori estimates. It plays no role in the process of the existence of solutions to (1.1).

Assuming the diffusion term in (1.1) is rigorously defined, problem (1.1) admits (at least) a solution \(u_\varepsilon \in L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}_0(\Omega))\) for each fixed \(\varepsilon > 0\) as it will be seen in Section 2. It is classically known that under some additional conditions on the functions \(a\) and \(a_0\), the above problem possesses a unique solution so that we will assume the uniqueness of \(u_\varepsilon\) for each fixed \(\varepsilon > 0\). This therefore furnishes a sequence \((u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}\) and our main objective in this paper is the investigation of the asymptotic behavior, as \(\varepsilon \to 0\), of \(u_\varepsilon\) under a suitable realistic assumption on the behavior of the function \((y, z, \tau) \mapsto a_i(x, t, y, z, \tau, \mu, \lambda)\) (for fixed \(x, t, \mu, \lambda\); where \(a_i\) for \(1 \leq i \leq N\) denotes the \(i\)th component of the function \(a\)).

Such a problem is referred to as a reiterated homogenization problem. Problems of this type were first introduced by Bruggeman [9]. The real first issue in this area is due to Bensoussan et al. [3] who proved the so-called iterated homogenization result for linear monotone elliptic operators. For the case of nonlinear elliptic equations, we refer to [16, 28, 30, 31, 33] for the monotone operators in the periodic setting, to [32] for the monotone operators in the general deterministic framework, and to [10] for the monotone degenerated operators in the periodic setting. Concerning parabolic operators, the reiterated homogenization of nonlinear parabolic operators in the periodic setting has been studied in [25]. In [20], Flodén and Olsson have studied the periodic homogenization of nonlinear
parabolic monotone equations. It is worth noting that beyond the periodic setting (par-
particularly in the Besicovitch-almost periodic framework), a thorough analysis of the non-
reiterated homogenization problem for the operators considered here is carried out in [21],
by means of the G-convergence method. It is also important to know that in the stochastic
framework, the homogenization problem for the operators considered in [21] has already
been treated by Pankov [40] using once again the G-convergence method for parabolic oper-

ators; see also [22]. As seen here above, the problem addressed is the general determinis-
tic (but non stochastic) homogenization problem for variational parabolic equations. How-
ever, there exists an enormous bibliography related to the non periodic homogenization
of non-variational uniformly elliptic or parabolic equations. We mention here the papers
[11, 29, 42, 43] related to the stochastic homogenization of fully nonlinear parabolic equa-
tions.

In what considering here, we study the reiterated homogenization problem for (1.1)
in a general setting characterized by an assumption on \( a_t(x, t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \mu, \lambda) \) (for fixed \( x, t, \mu, \lambda \))
covering a great set of concrete behaviors such as the periodicity, the almost periodicity,
the weakly almost periodicity, and others. As opposed to what was usually done in the
deterministic homogenization theory (see for instance the papers [32, 36, 37, 38, 45]), we

present here a new approach based on the generalized Besicovitch type spaces (see Sec-
tion 3), which widely opens the scope of application of our main homogenization result,
Theorem 4.5, which reads as

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( 2 \leq p < \infty \). Suppose (4.1) holds and further \( A = A_y \cap A_z \cap A_t \) where
the algebras \( A_y \) and \( A_z \) are ergodic. For each fixed real number \( \varepsilon > 0 \), let \( u_\varepsilon \) be the (unique)
solution of (1.1). There exists a subsequence of \( \varepsilon \), still denoted by \( \varepsilon \), such that, as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
&u_\varepsilon \to u_0 \text{ in } L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)) \text{-weak} \\
&\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t} \text{ in } L^p(0, T; W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)) \text{-weak} \\
&\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial z_j} \text{ in } L^p(\Omega) \text{-weak } \mathcal{R}\Sigma \ (1 \leq j \leq N),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( u = (u_0, u_1, u_2) \in F^{1,p}_0 \) solves the variational problem

\[
\int_0^T \langle u_0'(t), v_0(t) \rangle \, dt + \int_{Q \times \Delta(A)} b(\cdot, \cdot, u_0, \mathbb{D}u) \cdot \mathbb{D}w \, dx \, dt \, dB + \\
+ \int_{Q \times \Delta(A)} b_0(\cdot, \cdot, u_0, \mathbb{D}u) v_0 \, dx \, dt \, dB = \int_0^T \langle f(t), v_0(t) \rangle \, dt
\tag{1.5}
\]

for all \( v = (v_0, v_1, v_2) \in F^{1,p}_0 \),

with \( \mathbb{D}w = Dw + \partial_x \hat{w}_1 + \partial_t \hat{w}_2 \) for \( w = (w_0, w_1, w_2) \in F^{1,p}_0 \). Moreover \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) are unique
and any weak \( \mathcal{R}\Sigma \text{-limit point in } V^p \) of \( (u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \to 0} \) is a solution to problem (1.5).

One may wonder why we chose here functions \( a \) and \( a_0 \) not depending on several mi-

croscopic time variables as is the case for the microscopic spatial variables. The reason
is quite simple: as one can see in the paper [46] of the author, multiplying the number of
temporal scales does not change the form of the homogenized problem stated here above
since it does not influence the number microscopic solutions (represented in (1.5) by \( u_1 \)
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and \( u_2 \). In the same register we refer the reader to a more recently work [41] in which the periodic homogenization problem for parabolic operators is considered with \( m \) microscopic temporal scales, \( m \) being an arbitrarily fixed positive integer. So the problem (1.5) contains all the required information as far as the reiterated homogenization is concerned. Our homogenization approach, the \( R\Sigma \)-convergence method, proceeds with the juxtaposition of the multiscale convergence method [1, 31] and the algebras with mean value [48]. This is the so-called deterministic homogenization theory which includes the periodic homogenization theory as a particular case. It is important to note that restricting to the periodic functions, by using the periodic unfolding technique (see [15]), our convergence mode is realized to be equivalent to an ordinary weak convergence for sequences of functions which depend both on the macroscopic and the microscopic variables. sequences of functions which depends both on the global and the local variable.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents some trace results. Section 3 deals with the concept of algebras with mean value and its connection to the generalized Besicovitch spaces. We also state there some compactness results. Finally in Section 4, we prove the main homogenization result of the paper and we give some applications of the said result.

Unless otherwise specified, the vector spaces throughout are assumed to be real vector spaces, and the scalar functions are assumed to take real values. If \( X \) and \( F \) denote a locally compact space and a Banach space, respectively, then we write \( C(X;F) \) and \( \mathcal{B}(X;F) \) for continuous mappings of \( X \) into \( F \) and bounded uniformly continuous mappings of \( X \) into \( F \), respectively. We shall always assume that \( \mathcal{B}(X;F) \) is equipped with the supremum norm \( \| u \|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} \| u(x) \| \) (\( \| \cdot \| \) denotes the norm in \( F \)). For shortness we will write \( C(X) = C(X;\mathbb{R}) \) and \( \mathcal{B}(X) = \mathcal{B}(X;\mathbb{R}) \). Likewise the usual space \( L^p(X;F) \) and \( L^p_{\text{loc}}(X;F) \) (\( X \) provided with a positive Radon measure) will be denoted by \( L^p(X) \) and \( L^p_{\text{loc}}(X) \), respectively, in the case when \( F = \mathbb{R} \). Finally, it will always be assumed that the numerical spaces \( \mathbb{R}^m \) \((m \geq 1)\) and their open sets are each equipped with Lebesgue measure \( dy = dy_1...dy_m \).

\section{Some trace results}

In order that equation (1.1) makes sense we need to precise the meaning of the functions \((x,t) \mapsto a_i(x,t,x/\varepsilon_1,x/\varepsilon_2,t/\varepsilon,v(x,t),Dv(x,t))(x,t) \in \overline{Q} \) for \( v \in L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}(\Omega)) \).

Let \((v_0,v) \in C(\overline{Q}) \times C(\overline{Q})^N = C(\overline{Q})^{N+1} \). Then using (1.2) and part (iv) of (1.4), we easily see that the function \((x,t),(x',t'),(y,z,\tau)) \mapsto a_i(x,t,y,z,\tau,v_0(x',t'),v(x',t'))\), from \( \overline{Q} \times \overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+ \) to \( \mathbb{R} \), belongs to \( C(\overline{Q} \times \overline{Q};L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \times \mathbb{R}^N)) \), so that the trace function \((x,t,y,z,\tau) \mapsto a_i(x,t,y,z,\tau,v_0(x,t),v(x,t)), \) of \( \overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+ \) into \( \mathbb{R} \), is naturally defined by

\[
a_i(x,t,y,z,\tau,v_0(x,t),v(x,t)) = a_i(x,t,y,z,\tau,v_0(x',t'),v(x',t'))|_{(x',t')=(x,t)},
\]

as element of \( C(\overline{Q};L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \times \mathbb{R}^N)) \). Whence, in view of [46, Proposition 3.1] we can define, for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), the function \((x,t) \mapsto a_i(x,t,x/\varepsilon_1,x/\varepsilon_2,t/\varepsilon,v_0(x,t),v(x,t)) \) on \( Q \), as an element of \( L^\infty(Q) \), denoted by \( a_i^\varepsilon(-,v_0,v) \). Let

\[
a_i^\varepsilon(-,v_0,v) = (a_i^\varepsilon(-,v_0,v))_{1 \leq i \leq N}.
\]
We have the following

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $p' = p/(p - 1)$. The transformation $(v_0, v) \mapsto a^\varepsilon_v(-, v_0, v)$, of $C(\overline{Q})^{N+1}$ into $L^\infty(Q)$, extends by continuity to a continuous mapping still denoted by $(v_0, v) \mapsto a^\varepsilon_v(-, v_0, v)$, of $L^p(Q)^{N+1}$ into $L^{p'}(Q)$ verifying

\[
a^\varepsilon_v(-, v_0, 0) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } Q \tag{2.1}
\]

\[
(a^\varepsilon_v(-, v_0, v) - a^\varepsilon_v(-, v_0, w)) \cdot (v - w) \geq c_0 |v - w|^p \text{ a.e. in } Q \tag{2.2}
\]

\[
a^\varepsilon_0(-, v_0, v)v_0 \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } Q \tag{2.3}
\]

\[
\|a^\varepsilon_v(-, v_0, v)\|_{L^{p'}(Q)} \leq c_1 \left( 1 + \|v_0\|_{L^p(Q)}^{p-1} + \|v\|_{L^p(Q)}^{p-1} \right) \tag{2.4}
\]

\[
\|a^\varepsilon_v(-, v_0, v) - a^\varepsilon_v(-, v_0, w)\|_{L^{p'}(Q)} \leq c_2 \|1 + |v_0| + |v| + |w|\|_{L^p(Q)}^{(p-2)} \|v - w\|_{L^p(Q)} \tag{2.5}
\]

\[
|a^\varepsilon_v(-, v_0, v) - a^\varepsilon_v(-, w_0, v)| \leq \nu(|v_0 - w_0|) \left( 1 + |v_0|^{p-1} + |v_0|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1} \right) \text{ a.e. in } Q \tag{2.6}
\]

for all $v_0, w_0 \in L^p(Q)$ and all $v, w \in L^p(Q)^N$, where the positive constant $c_1$ depends only on $c_1$ and on $Q$.

**Proof:** For all $v_0, w_0 \in C(\overline{Q})$ and all $v \in C(\overline{Q})^N$, we have

\[
|a^\varepsilon_v(-, v_0, v) - a^\varepsilon_v(-, w_0, v)| \leq \nu(|v_0 - w_0|) \left( 1 + |v_0|^{p-1} + |v_0|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1} \right) \quad \text{a.e. in } Q. \tag{2.7}
\]

So, let $v \in C(\overline{Q})^N$ be freely fixed. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Define

\[
g^\varepsilon_v : C(\overline{Q}) \to L^\infty(Q) \text{ by } g^\varepsilon_v(v_0) = a^\varepsilon_v(-, v_0, v) \quad (v_0 \in C(\overline{Q})).
\]

Then $|g^\varepsilon_v(v_0) - g^\varepsilon_v(w_0)| \leq \nu(|v_0 - w_0|) \left( 1 + |v_0|^{p-1} + |v_0|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1} \right) \text{ a.e. in } Q$. Let us show that $g^\varepsilon_v$ is continuous on $C(\overline{Q})$ endowed with the relative topology on $L^p(Q)$. For this purpose let $v_0 \in C(\overline{Q})$ and let $v_0 \in C(\overline{Q})$ be such that $v_n \to v_0$ in $L^p(Q)$ as $n \to \infty$. Let’s show that $g^\varepsilon_v(v_n) \to g^\varepsilon_v(v_0)$ in $L^{p'}(Q)$ as $n \to \infty$. We have by (2.7),

\[
\|g^\varepsilon_v(v_n) - g^\varepsilon_v(v_0)\|_{L^{p'}(Q)}^p \leq c \int_Q \nu(|v_n - v_0|) \left( 1 + |v_n|^{p} + |v_0|^{p} + |v|^{p} \right) dx dt
\]

where $c = 4^{p-1}$. Set $w_n = v_n - v_0$ and $F_n = 1 + |v_n|^{p} + |v_0|^{p} + |v|^{p}$. Then $F_n \to 1 + 2|v_0|^{p} + |v|^{p}$ in $L^1(Q)$ as $n \to \infty$ (recall that $v_n \to v_0$ in $L^p(Q)$), and so $F_n \to 1 + 2|v_0|^{p} + |v|^{p}$ in $L^1(Q)$-weak. On the other hand, since $v_n \to v_0$ in $L^p(Q)$, we know by [8, Thm IV-9, p.58] that there exist a subsequence of $w_n$ (still denoted by $w_n$) and a function $u \in L^p(Q)$ such that

\[
w_n \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } Q \text{ (hence } |w_n| \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } Q) \text{ as } n \to \infty
\]

\[
|w_n| \leq u \text{ a.e. in } Q \text{ for all } n,
\]

$v$ being continuous and in particular at 0 with $v(0) = 0$, and moreover being increasing, we deduce that $\nu(|w_n|)$ is measurable for all $n$ (each $w_n$ is measurable and hence $|w_n|$ too) and
(i) $v(|w_n|) \to 0$ a.e. in $Q$ as $n \to \infty$

(ii) $v(|w_n|)^{p'} \leq v(u)^{p'}$ a.e. in $Q$ for all $n$.

$v$ being a continuity modulus, the function $v(u) \equiv v \circ u$ is measurable and essentially bounded on $Q$, i.e., $v(u) \in L^\infty(Q)$. Thus, the sequence $v(|w_n|)^{p'}$ is equibounded (see (ii) above) and converges almost pointwise in $Q$ to 0. Therefore, due to Egorov’s theorem, one obtains

$$\int_Q v(|w_n|)^{p'} F_n \, dx \, dt \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$  

But the above limit being independent of the subsequence $w_n$, still holds for the whole sequence $w_n$. We deduce from this that

$$\|g^\varepsilon(v_n) - g^\varepsilon(v_0)\|_{L^{p'}(Q)} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Now, let $v_0 \in L^p(Q)$. We define the function $G^\varepsilon_v$ by setting

$$G^\varepsilon_v(v_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g^\varepsilon(v_n) \text{ in } L^{p'}(Q)$$

where $(v_n)$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q})$ such that $v_n \to v_0$ in $L^p(Q)$. It is worth noting that, thanks to what has been done above, this limit is independent of the chosen sequence $(v_n)$, and so, $G^\varepsilon_v$ is well defined. Moreover,

$$G^\varepsilon_v(v_0) = g^\varepsilon(v_0) \text{ for all } v_0 \in C(\overline{Q}) \text{, and}$$

$$|G^\varepsilon_v(v_0) - G^\varepsilon_v(w_0)| \leq v(|v_0 - w_0|) \left(1 + |v_0|^{p-1} + |w_0|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1}\right)$$

a.e. in $Q$ and for all $v_0, w_0 \in L^p(Q)$.

In fact, for (2.8), let $v_0, w_0 \in L^p(Q)$, and let $v_n, w_n \in C(\overline{Q})$ be such that $v_n \to v_0$ in $L^p(Q)$ and $w_n \to w_0$ in $L^p(Q)$ as $n \to \infty$. We easily get, as $n \to \infty$,

$$|G^\varepsilon_v(v_n) - G^\varepsilon_v(w_n)| \to |G^\varepsilon_v(v_0) - G^\varepsilon_v(w_0)| \text{ in } L^{p'}(Q) \text{ (and hence in } L^{p'}(Q)\text{-weak).}$$

Thus, for all $\phi \in L^p(Q)$,

$$\int_Q |G^\varepsilon_v(v_n) - G^\varepsilon_v(w_n)| \phi \, dx \, dt \to \int_Q |G^\varepsilon_v(v_0) - G^\varepsilon_v(w_0)| \phi \, dx \, dt \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

On the other hand, for $\phi \in L^p(Q)$ with $\phi \geq 0$ a.e. in $Q$, we have

$$\int_Q |G^\varepsilon_v(v_n) - G^\varepsilon_v(w_n)| \phi \, dx \, dt \leq \int_Q v(|v_n - w_n|)(1 + |v_n|^{p-1} + |w_n|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1}) \phi \, dx \, dt.$$

Following the same lines of proceeding as we have done it to compute $\lim \int_Q v(|w_n|)^{p'} F_n \, dx \, dt$ and moreover by using the fact that $(1 + |v_n|^{p-1} + |w_n|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1}) \phi$ converges weakly to $(1 + |v_0|^{p-1} + |w_0|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1}) \phi$ in $L^1(Q)$, we arrive at

$$\int_Q v(|v_n - w_n|)(1 + |v_n|^{p-1} + |w_n|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1}) \phi \, dx \, dt \to$$

$$\to \int_Q v(|v_0 - w_0|)(1 + |v_0|^{p-1} + |w_0|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1}) \phi \, dx \, dt.$$
Thus
\[
\int_Q |G^*_v(v_0) - G^*_w(w_0)| \varphi \, dx \, dt \leq \int_Q \mathcal{V}(|v_0 - w_0|) \left(1 + |v_0|^{p-1} + |w_0|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1}\right) \varphi \, dx \, dt
\]
for all \( \varphi \in L^p(Q) \), \( \varphi \geq 0 \) a.e. in \( Q \). Whence (2.8) follows. We deduce from (2.8) that \( G^*_v \) is (uniformly) continuous on \( L^p(Q) \). Now, fix \( v_0 \) in \( L^p(Q) \) and define
\[
F^{\varepsilon}_{v_0} : \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q})^N \to L^{p'}(Q) \text{ by } F^{\varepsilon}_{v_0}(v) = G^*_v(v_0) \ (v \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q})^N).
\]
For \( v, w \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q})^N \), we have
\[
\left\| F^{\varepsilon}_{v_0}(v) - F^{\varepsilon}_{v_0}(w) \right\|_{L^{p'}(Q)} = \left\| G^*_v(v_0) - G^*_w(v_0) \right\|_{L^{p'}(Q)}
\]
\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| G^*_v(v_n) - G^*_w(v_n) \right\|_{L^{p'}(Q)}
\]
\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| g^*_v(v_n) - g^*_w(v_n) \right\|_{L^{p'}(Q)},
\]
where \( v_n \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}) \) is a sequence such that \( v_n \to v_0 \) in \( L^p(Q) \). Since \( v_n \to v_0 \) in \( L^p(Q) \), one has, as \( n \to \infty \),
\[
\left\| 1 + |v_n| + |w| \right\|_{L^p(Q)}^{p-2} \to \left\| 1 + |v_0| + |w| \right\|_{L^p(Q)}^{p-2}.
\]
Therefore, using the inequality
\[
\left\| g^*_v(v_n) - g^*_w(v_n) \right\|_{L^{p'}(Q)} \leq c_2 \left\| 1 + |v_n| + |w| \right\|_{L^p(Q)}^{p-2} \left\| v - w \right\|_{L^p(Q)},
\]
we arrive at
\[
\left\| F^{\varepsilon}_{v_0}(v) - F^{\varepsilon}_{v_0}(w) \right\|_{L^{p'}(Q)} \leq c_2 \left\| 1 + |v_0| + |w| \right\|_{L^p(Q)}^{p-2} \left\| v - w \right\|_{L^p(Q)}
\]
for all \( v, w \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q})^N \).

Whence, proceeding as in [37, Proposition 2.1], one deduces the existence of a unique mapping \( H^{\varepsilon}_{v_0} : L^p(Q)^N \to L^{p'}(Q) \), that extends by continuity \( F^{\varepsilon}_{v_0} \). Therefore we set
\[
a^\varepsilon(-,v_0,v) = H^{\varepsilon}_{v_0}(v) \ ((v_0,v) \in L^p(Q)^{N+1}).
\]
It is an easy task to see that the transformation \((v_0,v) \mapsto a^\varepsilon(-,v_0,v)\) thus define is continuous on \( L^p(Q)^{N+1} \). Furthermore properties (2.1)–(2.6) are satisfied. \( \square \)

Let \( v \in L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)) \). In Proposition, take \((v_0,v) = (v,Dv)\); then one sees that the function \( a^\varepsilon(-,v,Dv) \) is well-defined and so, the elliptic part in equation (1.1) is rigorously justified. Thus, for each fixed \( \varepsilon > 0 \), equation (1.1) admits (at least) a solution \( u_\varepsilon \in L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)) \) (see e.g., [27, 2]). Moreover \( u_\varepsilon \) lies in
\[
V^p = \{ v \in L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)) : v' = \frac{dv}{dt} \in L^{p'}(0,T;W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)) \}.
\]
Equipped with the norm \( \|v\|_{V^p} = \|v\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)}) + \|v'\|_{L^{p'}(0,T;W^{-1,p'}(\Omega))} \), \( V^p \) is a Banach space which is continuously embedded in \( C([0,T];L^2(\Omega)) \), so that the existence of \( u_\varepsilon(0) \) is
justified. Therefore $u_\varepsilon$ belongs to the space $V_0^p = \{v \in V^p : v(0) = 0\}$, a Banach space with the $V^p$-norm.

Now, let $(\psi_0, \Psi) \in C(\overline{Q}; \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_+)^{N+1})$. It follows by mere routine that the function $(\xi, \theta, \eta) \mapsto a_i(x,t,y,z,\xi,\theta,\eta), \Psi(x',t',\xi,\theta,\eta)$ (for $(x,t), (x',t')$ fixed in $\overline{Q}$) sends continuously $\mathbb{R}^N_\xi \times \mathbb{R}^N_\theta \times \mathbb{R}_+$ into $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_\eta \times \mathbb{R}^N_\xi)$ (where $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_\eta \equiv \mathbb{R}^N_\xi \times \mathbb{R}_+$), and so, belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N_\xi \times \mathbb{R}^N_\theta \times \mathbb{R}_+; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_\eta \times \mathbb{R}^N_\xi))$ (the space of bounded continuous real functions of $\mathbb{R}^N_\xi \times \mathbb{R}^N_\theta \times \mathbb{R}_+$ into $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_\eta \times \mathbb{R}^N_\xi)$). Therefore one can define the trace

\[
(y,z,\tau) \mapsto a_i(x,t,y,z,\xi,\theta,\eta,0(x',t',y,z,\tau),\Psi(x',t',y,z,\tau))
\]

in the sense of [36], denoted by $a_i(x,t,\ldots,0(x',\ldots),\Psi(x',\ldots))$, as element of $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \times \mathbb{R}^N_\xi)$. Moreover, thanks to [part (iv) of] (1.4), the function $(x,t,0(x',t'),\Psi(x',t',0(x',t',\ldots)))$ sends continuously $\overline{Q} \times \overline{Q}$ into $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \times \mathbb{R}_+^N)$. Hence the function $(x,t) \mapsto a_i(x,t,\ldots,0(x,t,\ldots),\Psi(x,t,\ldots))$ is well-defined and lies in $C(\overline{Q}; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \times \mathbb{R}^N_\xi))$. This enables us to define the function $(x,t) \mapsto a_i(x,t,\varepsilon \varepsilon_1,x/\varepsilon_1,t/\varepsilon,0(x,t,\varepsilon \varepsilon_1,x/\varepsilon_1,t/\varepsilon),\Psi(x,t,\varepsilon_1))$ on $\overline{Q}$, as element of $L^\infty(\overline{Q})$ denoted by $a_\varepsilon(x,\varepsilon_0,\Psi(x))$ (for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$).

3 Algebras with mean value

3.1 Algebras with mean value

We begin by stating the concept of algebras with mean value. This concept was first introduced by Zhikov and Krivenko [48] as the main tool useful to tackle nonperiodic deterministic homogenization problems. It is a generalization of the concept of almost periodic functions, so that one can easily introduce the generalized Besicovitch spaces associated to an algebra with mean value as we will see it in the sequel. In the same direction, we mention the work [34] in which the concept of homogenization algebras is introduced, the main difference between these concepts being the separability hypothesis imposed on the latter concept. It is important to note that in [39] the latter concept has just been released from the separability assumption. Thus our approach here follows closely the one in [39]. Before we can state the concept of algebras with mean value, we need to give some preliminaries.

Let $m$ be a positive integer. Let $\mathcal{H} = (H_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ be the following action of $\mathbb{R}_+^m$ (the multiplicative group of positive real numbers) on the numerical space $\mathbb{R}^m$ defined by

\[
H_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^m)
\]

where $\varepsilon_1$ is a positive function of $\varepsilon$ tending to 0 with $\varepsilon$. For a given $\varepsilon > 0$, let

\[
u(x) = u(H_\varepsilon(x)) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^m)
\]

for $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ (as usual, $\mathbb{R}^m_\nu$ denotes the numerical space $\mathbb{R}^m$ of variables $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_m)$). In view of (H1), $\nu^x$ lies in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^m_\nu)$. More generally, if $u$ lies in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ (resp. $L^p(\mathbb{R}^m)$), $1 \leq p < +\infty$, then so also is $\nu^x$.

A function $u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ (the $C^\ast$-algebra of bounded uniformly continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^m$) is said to have a mean value for $\mathcal{H}$, if there exists a real number $M(u)$ such that $u^x \rightharpoonup M(u)$ in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$-weak * as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The real number $M(u)$ is called the mean value of $u$ (for
\( \mathcal{H} \). It is evident that this defines a mapping \( M \) which is a positive linear form (on the space of functions \( u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m) \) with mean value) attaining the value 1 on the constant function 1 and verifying the inequality \( |M(u)| \leq \|u\|_\infty = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^m} |u(y)| \) for all such \( u \)'s. The mapping \( M \) is called the mean value on \( \mathbb{R}^m \) for \( \mathcal{H} \); see [35] for further details. It is also a fact, as the characteristic function of all relatively compact set in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) lies in \( L^1(\mathbb{R}^m) \), that

\[
M(u) = \lim_{R \to +\infty} \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} u(y) dy
\]

(3.2)

where \( B_R \) stands for the bounded open ball in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) with radius \( R \), and \( |B_R| \) denotes its Lebesgue measure. Expression (3.2) also holds for any Banach subalgebra \( A \) of \( \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m) \) which is translation invariant (\( u(\cdot + a) \in A \) for any \( u \in A \) and each \( a \in \mathbb{R}^m \)), contains the constants and whose each element possesses a mean value for \( \mathcal{H} \).

This being so, by an algebra with mean value on \( \mathbb{R}^m \) for \( \mathcal{H} \) (algebra wmv, in short) is meant any Banach subalgebra \( A \) of \( \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m) \) which is translation invariant (\( u(\cdot + a) \in A \) for any \( u \in A \) and each \( a \in \mathbb{R}^m \)), contains the constants and whose each element possesses a mean value for \( \mathcal{H} \).

Let \( A \) be an algebra wmv on \( \mathbb{R}^m \). It is known that \( A \) (endowed with the sup norm topology) is a commutative \( C^* \)-algebra with identity. We denote by \( \Delta(A) \) the spectrum of \( A \) and by \( \mathcal{G} \) the Gelfand transformation on \( A \). We recall that \( \Delta(A) \) (a subset of the topological dual \( A' \) of \( A \)) is the set of all nonzero multiplicative linear functionals on \( A \), and \( \mathcal{G} \) is the mapping of \( A \) into \( C(\Delta(A)) \) such that \( \mathcal{G}(u)(s) = \langle s, u \rangle \) \( (s \in \Delta(A)) \), where \( \langle , \rangle \) denotes the duality pairing between \( A' \) and \( A \). We endow \( \Delta(A) \) with the relative weak* topology on \( A' \). Then using the well-known theorem of Stone (see e.g., [26]) one can easily show that the spectrum \( \Delta(A) \) is a compact topological space, and the Gelfand transformation \( \mathcal{G} \) is an isometric isomorphism identifying \( A \) with \( C(\Delta(A)) \) (the continuous functions on \( \Delta(A) \)) as \( C^* \)-algebras. Next, since each element of \( A \) possesses a mean value, this yields an application \( u \mapsto M(u) \) (denoted by \( M \) and called the mean value) which is a nonnegative continuous linear functional on \( A \) with \( M(1) = 1 \), and so provides us with a linear nonnegative functional \( \psi \mapsto M(\psi) = M(\mathcal{G}^{-1}(\psi)) \) defined on \( C(\Delta(A)) = \mathcal{G}(A) \), which is clearly bounded. Therefore, by the Riesz-Markov theorem, \( M_1(\psi) \) is representable by integration with respect to some Radon measure \( \beta \) (of total mass 1) in \( \Delta(A) \), called the \( M \)-measure for \( A \) [34]. It is evident that we have

\[
M(u) = \int_{\Delta(A)} \mathcal{G}(u) d\beta \quad \text{for} \quad u \in A.
\]

To enhance the comprehension of the notion of the spectrum of an algebra wmv, let us give one well-known example: if \( A \) is the periodic algebra wmv \( C_{\text{per}}(Y) \) \( (Y = (0, 1)^m) \) of \( Y \)-periodic continuous real-valued functions on \( \mathbb{R}^m \), then \( \Delta(A) \) can be identified with the \( m \)-dimensional torus \( \mathbb{T}^m = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^m \).

Next, the partial derivative of index \( i \) \( (1 \leq i \leq m) \) on \( \Delta(A) \) is defined to be the mapping \( \partial_i = \mathcal{G} \circ \partial / \partial y_i \circ \mathcal{G}^{-1} \) (usual composition) of \( \mathcal{D}(\Delta(A)) = \{ \phi \in C(\Delta(A)) : \mathcal{G}^{-1}(\phi) \in A^1 \} \) into \( C(\Delta(A)) \), where \( A^1 = \{ \psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m) : \psi, D_i \psi \in A \ (1 \leq i \leq m) \} \) with \( D_i \psi = \partial \psi / \partial y_i \). Higher order derivatives are defined analogously. At the present time, let \( A^\omega \) be the space of \( \psi \in C^\omega(\mathbb{R}^m) \) such that \( D^\alpha \psi = \frac{\partial^{\alpha \psi}}{\partial y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial y_m^{\alpha_m}} \in A \) for every \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m \), and let \( \mathcal{D}(\Delta(A)) = \{ \phi \in C(\Delta(A)) : \mathcal{G}^{-1}(\phi) \in A^\omega \} \). Endowed with a suitable locally convex topology (see [34]), \( A^\omega \) (resp. \( \mathcal{D}(\Delta(A)) \)) is a Fréchet space and further, \( \mathcal{G} \) viewed as defined on \( A^\omega \) is a topological isomorphism of \( A^\omega \) onto \( \mathcal{D}(\Delta(A)) \).
Analogously to the space $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^m)$, we now define the space of distributions on $\Delta(A)$ to be the space of all continuous linear form on $\mathcal{D}(\Delta(A))$. We denote it by $\mathcal{D}'(\Delta(A))$ and we endow it with the strong dual topology. It is an easy exercise to see that since $A^m$ is dense in $A$ (see [47, Proposition 2.3]), the space $L^p(\Delta(A))$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) is a subspace of $\mathcal{D}'(\Delta(A))$ (with continuous embedding), so that one may define the Sobolev spaces on $\Delta(A)$ as follows.

$$W^{1,p}(\Delta(A)) = \{ u \in L^p(\Delta(A)) : \partial_i u \in L^p(\Delta(A)) \ (1 \leq i \leq m) \} \ (1 \leq p < \infty)$$

where the derivative $\partial_i u$ is taken in the distribution sense on $\Delta(A)$. We equip $W^{1,p}(\Delta(A))$ with the norm

$$||u||_{W^{1,p}(\Delta(A))} = \left[ ||u||_{L^p(\Delta(A))}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m ||\partial_i u||_{L^p(\Delta(A))}^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \ (u \in W^{1,p}(\Delta(A))) ;$$

which makes it a Banach space. To that space are attached some other spaces such as $W^{1,p}(\Delta(A))$/$C = \{ u \in W^{1,p}(\Delta(A)) : \int_{\Delta(A)} u d\beta = 0 \}$ and its separated completion $W^{1,p}_0(\Delta(A))$; we refer to [36] for a documented presentation of these spaces.

However, the notion of a product algebra wmv needs a few further details. Suppose $m = m_1 + \ldots + m_n \ (n \geq 2)$, where $m_i \ (1 \leq i \leq n)$ are positive integers. Thus

$$\mathbb{R}^m = \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^{m_n}.$$  

For each integer $i \ (1 \leq i \leq n)$, let $H_i = (H_i^*)_{\tau > 0}$ be an action of $\mathbb{R}_+^\tau$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m_i}$ defined in a same way as the action $\mathcal{H}$ in (3.1). For fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, let $H_\varepsilon = H_1^1 \times \cdots \times H_n^\varepsilon$ (direct product), i.e.,

$$H_\varepsilon(x) = (H_1^1(pr_1(x)), \ldots, H_n^\varepsilon(pr_n(x))) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^m)$$

where $pr_i$ denotes the natural projection of $\mathbb{R}^m$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{m_i}$. There is no difficulty in checking that the family $\mathcal{H} = (H_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ thus defined is an action of $\mathbb{R}_+^\tau$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$. This is referred to as the product of the actions $H_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$, and is denoted by $\mathcal{H} = \prod_{i=1}^n H_i = H_1 \times \cdots \times H_n$.

Now, if $A_i$ is an algebra wmv on $\mathbb{R}^{m_i}$ for $H_i$, then we define the product algebra wmv $A_1 \circ \ldots \circ A_n$ as the closure in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ of the tensor product $A_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_n = \{ \sum_{\text{finite}} u_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{i_n} : u_{i_j} \in A_j \}$. This defines an algebra wmv on $\mathbb{R}^m$ for $\mathcal{H}^\tau$. However we need to characterize such products. To this end, let $A_y$ and $A_z$ be two algebras wmv on $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $\mathbb{R}^m$ respectively, $N$ and $m$ being two positive integers. Let $A = A_y \otimes A_z$ be their product, which is an algebra wmv on $\mathbb{R}^{N+m}$. We have the following result whose proof can be found in [39].

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $A_y$, $A_z$ and $A$ be as above. For $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{N+m}_{y,z})$, we define $f_y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N_y)$ and $f_z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m_z)$ by

$$f_y(z) = f^z(y) = f(y,z) \text{ for } (y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}^m_z$$

and put

$$B_f = \{ f_z : z \in \mathbb{R}^m \}, \ C_f = \{ f_y : y \in \mathbb{R}^N \}. $$

Then $B_f \subset A_y$ and $C_f \subset A_z$ for every $f \in A$. Also for $f \in A$ both $B_f$ and $C_f$ are relatively compact in $A_y$ and in $A_z$ respectively (in the sup norm topology).
Let $AP(\mathbb{R}^m)$ denote the space of all Bohr almost periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}^m$ [5, 6], that is the algebra of functions in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ that are uniformly approximated by finite linear combinations of functions in the set $\{\cos(k \cdot z), \sin(k \cdot z) : k \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$. It is well-known that $AP(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is an algebra wmv on $\mathbb{R}^m$. As a first consequence of the preceding proposition we have the following

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $A_y = AP(\mathbb{R}^N_y)$ and $A_z = AP(\mathbb{R}^m_z)$ be two almost periodic algebras wmv. Then $A \equiv A_y \circ A_z = AP(\mathbb{R}^N_y \times \mathbb{R}^m_z)$.

**Proof.** The result is a consequence of the following fact: A function $f \in AP(\mathbb{R}_y^N \times \mathbb{R}_z^m)$ is in $A$ if and only if either $C_f$ or $B_f$ is relatively compact (in the sup norm topology).

One can also easily show that $C_{\text{per}}(Y) \circ C_{\text{per}}(Z) = C_{\text{per}}(Y \times Z)$ where $Y = (0,1)^N$ and $Z = (0,1)^m$. This follows from the identification $C_{\text{per}}(Y) = C(\mathbb{T}^N)$ where $\mathbb{T}^N$ is the $N$-torus in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Similarly we have $C_{\text{per}}(Y) \circ AP(\mathbb{R}_y^N) \circ C_{\text{per}}(T) = C_{\text{per}}(Y \times T; AP(\mathbb{R}_z^m))$ where $T = (0,1)$. Other examples of product algebras wmv can be given.

### 3.2 The generalized Besicovitch spaces

We can define the generalized Besicovitch spaces associated to an algebra wmv. The notations are those of the preceding subsection. Let $A$ be an algebra wmv on $\mathbb{R}^m$ (integer $m \geq 1$). Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. If $u \in A$, then $|u|^p \in A$ with $G(|u|^p) = |G(u)|^p$. Hence the limit

$$
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} |u(y)|^p \, dy = M(|u|^p) = \int_{\Delta(A)} |G(u)|^p \, d\beta.
$$

Hence, for $u \in A$, put

$$
||u||_p = (M(|u|^p))^{1/p}.
$$

This defines a seminorm on $A$ with which $A$ is not complete. We denote by $B^p_A$ the completion of $A$ with respect to $|| \cdot ||_p$. $B^p_A$ is a Fréchet space, and an argument due to Besicovitch [5] states that $B^p_A$ is a complete subspace of $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$. We have the following properties that can be achieved using the theory of the completion; see e.g. [7, Chap. II].

**Proposition 3.3.** The following hold true:

(i) $A$ is dense in $B^p_A$.

(ii) If $F$ is a Banach space then any continuous linear mapping $l$ from $A$ to $F$ extends by continuity to a unique continuous linear mapping $L$, of $B^p_A$ into $F$.

Now, let $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$. Obviously we have $B^p_A \subset B^q_A$, so that one may naturally define the space $B^{\infty}_A$ as follows:

$$
B^{\infty}_A = \left\{ f \in \bigcap_{1 \leq p < \infty} B^p_A : \sup_{1 \leq p < \infty} ||f||_p < \infty \right\}.
$$

We endow $B^{\infty}_A$ with the seminorm $[f]_\infty = \sup_{1 \leq p < \infty} ||f||_p$, which makes it a Fréchet space.

Next, thanks to the preceding proposition, the following properties are worth noting:
(1) The Gelfand transformation $\mathcal{G} : A \to C(\Delta(A))$ extends by continuity to a unique continuous linear mapping, still denoted by $\mathcal{G}$, of $B^p_A$ into $L^p(\Delta(A))$. Furthermore if $u \in B^p_A \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ then $\mathcal{G}(u) \in L^\infty(\Delta(A))$ and $\|\mathcal{G}(u)\|_{L^\infty(\Delta(A))} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)}$.

(2) The mean value $M$ viewed as defined on $A$, extends by continuity to a positive continuous linear form (still denoted by $M$) on $B^p_A$ satisfying $M(u) = \int_{\Delta(A)} \mathcal{G}(u) d\beta (u \in B^p_A)$. Furthermore, $M(\tau_a u) = M(u)$ for each $u \in B^p_A$ and all $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$, where $\tau_a u(y) = u(y - a)$ for almost all $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

(3) Let $1 \leq p,q,r < \infty$ be such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} \leq 1$. The usual multiplication $A \times A \to A$; $(u,v) \mapsto uv$, extends by continuity to a bilinear form $B^p_A \times B^q_A \to B^r_A$ with
\[ \|uv\|_r \leq \|u\|_p \|v\|_q \text{ for } (u,v) \in B^p_A \times B^q_A. \]

The following result will be of great interest in the work.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $A$ be a algebra wmv on $\mathbb{R}^m$. Then $A^\infty$ is dense in $B^p_A$.

**Proof.** Indeed by [47, Proposition 2.3] $A^\infty$ is dense in $A$. The result follows therefore by [part (i) of] Proposition 3.3.

Now, let $u \in B^p_A (1 \leq p < \infty)$; then $|u|^p \in B^1_A$ (this is easily seen) and so, by part (2) above one has $M(|u|^p) = \int_{\Delta(A)} |\mathcal{G}(u)|^p d\beta = \|\mathcal{G}(u)\|^p_{L^p(\Delta(A))}$. Thus for $u \in B^p_A$ we have $\|u\|_p = (M(|u|^p))^{1/p}$, and $\|u\|_p = 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{G}(u) = 0$. Unfortunately, the mapping $\mathcal{G}$ (defined on $B^p_A$) is not in general injective. So let $\mathcal{N} = \text{Ker} \mathcal{G}$ (the kernel of $\mathcal{G}$) and let
\[ B^p_A = B^p_A / \mathcal{N}. \]

Endowed with the norm
\[ \|u + \mathcal{N}\|_{B^p_A} = \|u\|_p \ (u \in B^p_A), \]

$B^p_A$ is a Banach space with the following property.

**Theorem 3.5.** The mapping $\mathcal{G} : B^p_A \to L^p(\Delta(A))$ induces an isometric isomorphism $\mathcal{G}_1$ of $B^p_A$ onto $L^p(\Delta(A))$.

**Proof.** This result has already been proved in [39]. However for the sake of convenience, we give a sketch of the proof here. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is an isometry (indeed $\|\mathcal{G}(u)\|_{L^p(\Delta(A))} = \|u\|_p$ for $u \in B^p_A$) it suffices to show that $\mathcal{G}$ is surjective. Firstly we have that $\mathcal{G}(B^p_A)$ is dense in $L^p(\Delta(A))$. Now, let $v \in L^p(\Delta(A))$; then there exists a sequence $(u_n)_n \subset B^p_A$ such that $\mathcal{G}(u_n) \to v$ in $L^p(\Delta(A))$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $\|u_n - u_{n'}\|_p = \|\mathcal{G}(u_n) - \mathcal{G}(u_{n'})\|_{L^p(\Delta(A))} \to 0$ as $n,n' \to \infty$, the sequence $(u_n)_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in $B^p_A$ which therefore converge to some function $u \in B^p_A$, hence $\mathcal{G}(u_n) \to \mathcal{G}(u)$ in $L^p(\Delta(A))$ as $n \to \infty$. We deduce that $v = \mathcal{G}(u)$.

This shows that $\mathcal{G}$ is surjective. Therefore, by the first isomorphism theorem, the mapping $\mathcal{G}_1 : B^p_A = B^p_A / \mathcal{N} \to L^p(\Delta(A))$ defined by
\[ \mathcal{G}_1(u + \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{G}(u) \text{ for } u \in B^p_A \]
is an algebraic isomorphism. But \( G_1 \) is a topological isometric isomorphism since
\[
\| G_1(u + \mathcal{N}) \|_{L^p(\Delta(A))} = \| u \|_p = \| u + \mathcal{N} \|_{\mathcal{B}_A^p} \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{B}_A^p.
\]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

As a first consequence of the preceding theorem one can also define the mean value of \( u + \mathcal{N} \) (for each \( u \in \mathcal{B}_A^p \)) as follows:
\[
M_1(u + \mathcal{N}) = M(u), \quad \text{so that } M_1(u + \mathcal{N}) = \lim_{R \to +\infty} \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} u(y)dy.
\]

One crucial result that can be derived from the preceding theorem is the following Corollary 3.6.

The following hold true:

(i) The spaces \( \mathcal{B}_A^p \) are reflexive for \( 1 < p < \infty \);

(ii) The topological dual of the space \( \mathcal{B}_A^p \) \((1 \leq p < \infty)\) is the space \( \mathcal{B}_A^{p'} \) \((p' = p/(p-1))\), the duality being given by
\[
\langle u + \mathcal{N}, v + \mathcal{N} \rangle_{\mathcal{B}_A^{p'}, \mathcal{B}_A^p} = M(uv) = \int_{\Delta(A)} G_1(u + \mathcal{N}) G_1(v + \mathcal{N})d\beta
\]
for \( u \in \mathcal{B}_A^{p'} \) and \( v \in \mathcal{B}_A^p \).

This result is easily proven by using the properties of \( L^p \)-spaces and the above isometric isomorphism.

Remark 3.7. The space \( \mathcal{B}_A^p \) is the separated completion of \( \mathcal{B}_A^p \) and the canonical mapping of \( \mathcal{B}_A^p \) into \( \mathcal{B}_A^p \) is just the canonical surjection of \( \mathcal{B}_A^p \) onto \( \mathcal{B}_A^p \); see once more [7] for the theory of completion.

Another definition which will be of great interest in the sequel is

**Definition 3.8.** An algebra wmv \( A \) on \( \mathbb{R}^m \) is ergodic if for every \( u \in \mathcal{B}_A^1 \) such that \( \| u - u(\cdot + a) \|_1 = 0 \) for every \( a \in \mathbb{R}^m \) we have \( \| u - M(u) \|_1 = 0 \).

An equivalent property stated by Casado and Gayte [12] is given in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.9 ([12]).** An algebra wmv \( A \) on \( \mathbb{R}^m \) is ergodic if and only if
\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} u(\cdot + y)dy - M(u) \right\|_p = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{B}_A^p, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.
\]

The following result provides us with a few examples of ergodic algebras (see next section for its application).

**Lemma 3.10.** Let \( A \) be an algebra wmv on \( \mathbb{R}^m \) with the following property:
\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} u(x + y)dx = M(u) \quad \text{uniformly with respect to } y. \tag{3.4}
\]

Then \( A \) is ergodic.
Proof. As $A$ is dense in $B^p_A$, it suffices to check (3.3) for $u \in A$. Let $\eta > 0$ be freely fixed. For such $u$’s, according to (3.4) there exists some $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} u(x+y)dx - M(u) \right| \leq \eta$$

for $R > R_0$ and all $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$. This leads at once at $M \left( \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} u(x+y)dx - M(u) \right) \leq \eta^p$ for $R > R_0$.

The ergodicity of $A$ follows thereby.

In order to simplify the presentation of the paper we will from now on, use the same letter $u$ (if there is no danger of confusion) to denote the equivalence class of an element $u \in B^p_A$. The symbol $\rho$ will denote the canonical mapping of $B^p_A$ onto $B^p_A = B^p_A / \mathcal{N}$. Our goal here is to define another space attached to $B^p_A$. Let $u \in L^p(\Delta(A))$, and let $1 \leq i \leq m$. We know that $\partial_i u \in D'(\Delta(A))$ exists and is defined by

$$\langle \partial_i u, \varphi \rangle = -\langle u, \partial_i \varphi \rangle$$

for any $\varphi \in D(\Delta(A))$.

If we assume further that $\partial_i u \in L^p(\Delta(A))$, then there exists a unique $u_i \in B^p_A$ such that $\partial_i u = G_1(u_i)$. This leads to the following definition.

**Definition 3.11.** By a formal derivative of index $1 \leq i \leq m$, of a function $u \in B^p_A$ is meant the unique element $\overrightarrow{\partial u}/\partial y_i$ of $B^p_A$ (if there exists) such that

$$G_1 \left( \overrightarrow{\partial u}/\partial y_i \right) = \partial_i G_1(u). \quad (3.5)$$

**Remark 3.12.** For $u \in B_A^{1,p}$ (that is the space of $u \in B^p_A$ such that $D_j u \in (B^p_A)^m$) we have

$$G_1 \left( \rho \left( \overrightarrow{\partial u}/\partial y_i \right) \right) = G \left( \overrightarrow{\partial u}/\partial y_i \right) = \partial_i G(u) = \partial_i G_1(\rho(u)) = (\text{by definition}) \ G_1 \left( \overrightarrow{\partial y_i}(\rho(u)) \right),$$

hence

$$\rho \left( \overrightarrow{\partial u}/\partial y_i \right) = \overrightarrow{\partial y_i}(\rho(u)),$$

or equivalently,

$$\rho \circ \partial y_i = \overrightarrow{\partial y_i} \circ \rho \text{ on } B_A^{1,p}. \quad (3.6)$$

Now, set (for $1 \leq p < \infty$)

$$B_A^{1,p} = \left\{ u \in B^p_A : \overrightarrow{\partial u}/\partial y_i \in B^p_A, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m \right\}.$$
We endow \( B^1_{A,p} \) with the norm
\[
\| u \|_{B^1_{A,p}} = \left[ \| u \|_p^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i} \right\|_p^p \right]^{1/p} \quad (u \in B^1_{A,p})
\]
which makes it a Banach space with the property that the restriction of \( G_1 \) to \( B^1_{A,p} \) is an isometric isomorphism of \( B^1_{A,p} \) onto \( W^{1,p}(\Delta(A)) \). However we will be mostly concerned with the subspace \( B^1_{A,p}/C \) of \( B^1_{A,p} \) consisting of functions \( u \in B^1_{A,p} \) with \( M_1(u) \equiv M(u) = 0 \). Equipped with the seminorm
\[
\| u \|_{B^1_{A,p}/C} = \| \overline{D}_y u \|_p := \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i} \right\|_p^p \right]^{1/p} \quad (u \in B^1_{A,p}/C)
\]
where \( \overline{D}_y = (\overline{\partial}/\overline{\partial} y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m} \). Since \( G_1 \) is an isometric isomorphism of \( B^1_{A,p} \) onto \( W^{1,p}(\Delta(A)) \) we have by (3.5) that the restriction of \( G_1 \) to \( B^1_{A,p}/\mathbb{R} \) sends isometrically and isomorphically \( B^1_{A,p}/\mathbb{R} \) onto \( W^{1,p}(\Delta(A))/\mathbb{R} \). By the theory of completion of the uniform spaces [7] it is a fact that the mapping \( \overline{\partial}/\overline{\partial} y_i : B^1_{A,p}/\mathbb{R} \rightarrow B^p \) extends by continuity to a unique continuous linear mapping still denoted by \( \overline{\partial}/\overline{\partial} y_i : B^1_{\widehat{A},p} \rightarrow B^p \) and satisfying
\[
\overline{\partial}/\overline{\partial} y_i \circ J_1 = \overline{\partial}/\overline{\partial} y_i \quad \text{and} \quad \| u \|_{\overline{\partial}/\overline{\partial} y_i} = \| \overline{D}_y u \|_p \quad (u \in B^1_{\widehat{A},p}) \quad (3.7)
\]
where \( \overline{D}_y = (\overline{\partial}/\overline{\partial} y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m} \). Since \( G_1 \) is an isometric isomorphism of \( B^1_{A,p} \) onto \( W^{1,p}(\Delta(A)) \) we have by (3.5) that the restriction of \( G_1 \) to \( B^1_{A,p}/\mathbb{R} \) sends isometrically and isomorphically \( B^1_{A,p}/\mathbb{R} \) onto \( W^{1,p}(\Delta(A))/\mathbb{R} \). So by [7, Chap. II] there exists a unique isometric isomorphism \( \overline{G}_1 : B^1_{\widehat{A},p} \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\Delta(A)) \) such that
\[
\overline{G}_1 \circ J_1 = J \circ G_1 \quad (3.8)
\]
and
\[
\partial_i \circ \overline{G}_1 = G_1 \circ \overline{\partial}/\overline{\partial} y_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq m). \quad (3.9)
\]
We recall that \( J \) is the canonical mapping of \( W^{1,p}(\Delta(A))/\mathbb{R} \) into its separated completion \( W^{1,p}_{\#}(\Delta(A)) \) while \( J_1 \) is the canonical mapping of \( B^1_{A,p}/\mathbb{R} \) into \( B^1_{\widehat{A},p} \). Furthermore, as \( J_1(\overline{B}^1_{A,p}/\mathbb{R}) \) is dense in \( B^1_{\widehat{A},p} \) (this is classical) and since \( A^\infty \) is dense in \( A \), it follows that \( (J_1 \circ \rho)(A^\infty/\mathbb{R}) \) is dense in \( B^1_{\widehat{A},p} \), where \( A^\infty/\mathbb{R} = \{ u \in A^\infty : M(u) = 0 \} \).

### 3.3 The \( R\Sigma \)-convergence

We rewrite here the definition of the multiscale convergence method. Thanks to the equality \( G_1(\overline{B}^1_A) = L^p(\Delta(A)) \) we will merely work on the Besicovitch spaces \( B^1_A \). To this end, let \( \varepsilon_1 \) and \( \varepsilon_2 \) be two well-separated scales as at the beginning of Section 1, and let \( A_1 \) (resp. \( A_2, A_3 \))
be an algebra wmv on \( \mathbb{R}^N \) (resp. \( \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R} \)) for the action \( \mathcal{H} = (H_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0} \) (resp. \( \mathcal{H}' = (H'_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0} \), \( \mathcal{H}_0 = (H_0^\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0} \) on \( \mathbb{R}^N \) (resp. \( \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R} \)) given by \( H_\varepsilon(x) = x/\varepsilon_1 \) (resp. \( H'_\varepsilon(x) = x/\varepsilon_2 \), \( H_0^\varepsilon(t) = t/\varepsilon \)), and finally let \( A = A_1 \ominus A_2 \ominus A_3 \) be their product which is an algebra wmv on \( \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \) for the product action \( \mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}' \times \mathcal{H}_0 \) of \( \mathcal{H}^* \) on \( \mathbb{R}^{2N+1} = \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \) given by \( \mathcal{H}^* = (H^*_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0} \) with

\[
H^*(x,x',t) = \left( \frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}, \frac{x'}{\varepsilon_2}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right) \quad \text{for } x,x' \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\varepsilon > 0).
\]

We will denote by the same letter \( M \), the mean value on \( \mathbb{R}^N \) for \( \mathcal{H} \) and for \( \mathcal{H}' \), on \( \mathbb{R} \) for \( \mathcal{H}_0 \), and on \( \mathbb{R}^{2N+1} \) for \( \mathcal{H}^* \) as well. The same letter \( G \) will denote the Gelfand transformation on \( A_y, A_z, A_\varepsilon \), and \( A \), as well. Points in \( \Delta(A_y) \) (resp. \( \Delta(A_z), \Delta(A_\varepsilon) \)) are denoted by \( s \) (resp. \( r, s_0 \)). The compact space \( \Delta(A_y) \) (resp. \( \Delta(A_z), \Delta(A_\varepsilon) \)) is equipped with the \( M \)-measure \( \beta_y \) (resp. \( \beta_z, \beta_\varepsilon \)), for \( A_y \) (resp. \( A_z, A_\varepsilon \)). We recall that \( \Delta(A) = \Delta(A_y) \times \Delta(A_z) \times \Delta(A_\varepsilon) \) (Cartesian product) and hence the \( M \)-measure for \( A \), with which \( \Delta(A) \) is equipped, is just the product measure \( \beta = \beta_y \otimes \beta_z \otimes \beta_\varepsilon \).

The letter \( E \) will throughout denote exclusively a family of positive real numbers admitting 0 as an accumulation point. In the particular case where \( E = (\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) with \( 0 < \varepsilon_n \leq 1 \) and \( \varepsilon_n \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \), we will refer to \( E \) as a fundamental sequence.

**Definition 3.13.** A sequence \( (u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset L^p(Q) \) \((1 \leq p < \infty)\) is said to weakly \( R\Sigma \)-converge in \( L^p(Q) \) to some \( u_0 \in u_0 \in L^p(Q;B^k_\Lambda) \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), we have

\[
\int_Q u_\varepsilon(x,t)v\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon_1} \frac{t}{\varepsilon_2} \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)dxdt \to \int_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{u}_0(x,t,s,r,s_0)\widehat{v}(x,t,s,r,s_0)dxdsdt \beta \quad (3.10)
\]

for every \( v \in L^{p'}(Q;A) \left(1/p' = 1-1/p\right)\), where \( \widehat{u}_0 = G_1 \circ u_0 \) and \( \widehat{v} = G_1 \circ (\rho \circ v) = G \circ v \). We express this by \( u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u_0 \) in \( L^p(Q) \)-weak \( R\Sigma \).

The above convergence result \( (3.10) \) strongly rely on the following convergence property (see [47, Proposition 2.4] for the proof): For each \( \psi \) in \( A \) we have, as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \),

\[
\psi^\varepsilon \to M(\psi) \text{ in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N_\varepsilon) \text{-weak *},
\]

where \( \psi^\varepsilon \) is defined in an obvious way by \( \psi^\varepsilon(x) = \psi(x/\varepsilon_1,x/\varepsilon_2,t/\varepsilon) \) for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \) and \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( M \) is the mean value on \( \mathbb{R}^{2N+1} = \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \) for the product action \( \mathcal{H}^* \). The letter "\( R\Sigma \)" stands for reiteratively. The above definition is more accurate than the previous one in [32]; this is due to the equality \( G_1(B^0_\Lambda) = L^p(\Delta(A)) \). Indeed one immediately sees that the right-hand side of \( (3.10) \) equal to \( \int_Q M(u_0(x,t,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot))v(x,t,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)dxdt \). In particular when \( A = C_{per}(Y) \circ C_{per}(Z) \circ C_{per}(T) \) one is led at once to the convergence result

\[
\int_Q u_\varepsilon(x,t)v\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon_1} \frac{t}{\varepsilon_2} \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)dxdt \to \int_Q \int_{\mathcal{T}} \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} u_0(x,t,y,z,\tau)\psi(x,t,y,z,\tau)d\tau dzdydxdt
\]

where \( u_0 \in L^p(Q \times Y \times Z \times T) \), which is the original definition of the multiscale convergence [1]. Moreover the uniqueness of the limit \( u_0 \) is ensured, and it is also a fact that the weak \( R\Sigma \)-convergence in \( L^p \) implies the weak convergence in \( L^p \).

The following result is the point of departure of all compactness results involved in the framework of \( \Sigma \)-convergence, see [39] for the proof.
Proposition 3.14. Let \((u_e)_{e \in E}\) be a bounded sequence in \(L^p(Q)\) \((1 < p < \infty)\), \(E\) being a fundamental sequence. Then there exist a subsequence \(E'\) from \(E\) and a function \(u\) in \(L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}_p)\) such that the sequence \((u_e)_{e \in E}\) weakly \(R\Sigma\)-converges in \(L^p(Q)\) to \(u\).

Next, for \(1 < p < \infty\), set

\[ V^p = \{ v \in L^p(0,T;W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) : v' = \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^p(0,T;W^{-1,\rho'}(\Omega)) \}, \]

a Banach space with the norm \(\|v\|_{V^p} = \|v\|_{L^p(0,T;W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))} + \|v'\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{-1,\rho'}(\Omega))} (v \in V^p)\).

We have that \(V^p\) (for \(p \geq 2\)) is, continuously embedded in the space \(C([0,T];L^2(\Omega))\) and compactly embedded in the space \(L^2(Q)\). With all that in mind, we have the following important compactness result whose proof can be found in [39].

Theorem 3.15. Let \(1 < p < \infty\). Let \(\Omega\) be an open subset in \(\mathbb{R}^N\). Let \(A = A_y \ast A_z \ast A_{\tau}\) where \(A_y\) and \(A_z\) are ergodic algebras on \(\mathbb{R}^N\). Finally, let \((u_e)_{e \in E}\) be a bounded sequence in \(V^p\). There exist a subsequence \(E'\) from \(E\) and a triple \(u = (u_0, u_1, u_2) \in V^p \times L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}_y^p(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathcal{B}_z^p)) \times L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}_y^p(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}; \mathcal{B}_z^p))\) such that, as \(E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0\),

\[ u_e \to u_0 \text{ in } V^p\text{-weak} \]

and

\[ \frac{\partial u_{e}}{\partial x_j} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\bar{3}u_1}{\partial y_j} + \frac{3u_2}{\partial z_j} \text{ in } L^p(Q)\text{-weak } R\Sigma \text{ (}1 \leq j \leq N\text{).} \]

We give below a few examples of algebras \(\text{wmv}\) which satisfy hypotheses of Theorem 3.15.

3.3.1 Example 3.1. Periodic setting

Let \(A_y = C_{\text{per}}(Y)\) \((Y = (0,1)^N)\) be the algebra of \(Y\)-periodic continuous functions on \(\mathbb{R}^N\). It is classically known that \(A_y\) is an ergodic algebra so that Theorem 3.15 applies with \(A = C_{\text{per}}(Y) \ast C_{\text{per}}(Y) \ast A_{\tau}\) for any algebra \(\text{wmv} A_{\tau}\) on \(\mathbb{R}^N\).

3.3.2 Example 3.2. Almost periodic setting

Let \(AP(\mathbb{R}^N)\) be the algebra of Bohr continuous almost periodic functions \(\mathbb{R}^N\). We recall that a function \(u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N)\) is in \(AP(\mathbb{R}^N)\) if the set of translates \(\{\tau_a u : a \in \mathbb{R}^N\}\) is relatively compact in \(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N)\). An argument due to Bohr [6] specifies that \(u \in AP(\mathbb{R}^N)\) if and only if \(u\) may be uniformly approximated by finite linear combinations of functions in the set

\( \{\cos(k \cdot y), \sin(k \cdot y) : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \).

It is also a classical result that \(A_y\) is an ergodic algebra; see e.g. [18]. Therefore Theorem 3.15 applies with \(A_y = AP(\mathbb{R}^N), A_z = AP(\mathbb{R}^N)\) and \(A_{\tau}\) any algebra \(\text{wmv} A_{\tau}\) on \(\mathbb{R}^N\).

3.3.3 Example 3.3. The convergence at infinity setting

Let \(\mathcal{B}_m(\mathbb{R}^N)\) denote the space of all continuous functions on \(\mathbb{R}^N\) that converge at infinity, that is of all \(u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N)\) such that \(\lim_{|y| \to \infty} u(y) \in \mathbb{R}\). It is an easy exercise to see that \(\mathcal{B}_m(\mathbb{R}^N)\) is an ergodic algebra [18]. Moreover the mean value of a function \(u \in \mathcal{B}_m(\mathbb{R}^N)\) is given by \(M(u) = \lim_{|y| \to \infty} u(y)\). Therefore we have the conclusion of Theorem 3.15 with \(A_y = \mathcal{B}_m(\mathbb{R}^N) = A_z\) and for any \(A_{\tau}\) as in the preceding subsection.
3.3.4 Example 3.4. The weakly almost periodic setting

We begin with the notion of weakly almost periodicity due to Eberlein [18].

**Definition 3.16.** A bounded continuous function \( u \) on \( \mathbb{R}^N \) is weakly almost periodic if the set of translates \( \{ \tau_a u : a \in \mathbb{R}^N \} \) is relatively weakly compact in for the sup norm topology.

We denote by \( \text{WAP}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) the set of all weakly almost periodic functions on \( \mathbb{R}^N \); \( \text{WAP}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is a vector space over \( \mathbb{R} \). Endowed with the norm sup topology, \( \text{WAP}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is a Banach algebra with the usual multiplication.

The space \( \text{WAP}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is sometimes called the space of Eberlein’s functions. As examples of Eberlein’s functions we have the continuous Bohr almost periodic functions, the continuous functions vanishing at infinity, the positive definite functions (hence Fourier-Stieltjes transforms); see [18] for more details.

The following properties are worth mentioning (see [18] for details):

(P1) \( \text{WAP}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is a translation invariant \( C^* \)-subalgebra of \( \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N) \).

(P2) A weakly almost periodic function is uniformly continuous and bounded.

(P3) A weakly almost periodic function possesses a mean value with

\[
M(u) = \lim_{R \to +\infty} \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} u(y + a) \, dy,
\]

the convergence being uniform in \( a \in \mathbb{R}^N \).

(P4) If \( u, v \in \text{WAP}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) the convolution defined by the mean value \( w(y) = (u * v)(y) = M_z(u(y - z)v(z) + M_z(u(z)v(y - z)) \) is an usual Bohr almost periodic function, where \( M_z \) stands for the mean value with respect to \( z \).

(P5) ([19, Theorem 1]) Every \( u \in \text{WAP}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) admits the unique decomposition \( u = v + w \), \( v \) being a Bohr almost periodic function and \( w \) a continuous function of quadratic mean value zero: \( M(|w|^2) = 0 \).

Property (P5) above, knowing as a decomposition theorem, is crucial in the definition of the weak almost periodic algebra. Indeed let \( \text{W}_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \) denote the subset of \( \text{WAP}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) consisting of elements with quadratic mean value zero. One easily observes that the set of bounded continuous functions on \( \mathbb{R}^N \) of quadratic mean value zero is a complete vector subspace of the algebra of bounded continuous functions on \( \mathbb{R}^N \). Hence \( \text{W}_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is a complete vector subspace of \( \text{WAP}(\mathbb{R}^N) \). Property (P5) states as follows: \( \text{WAP}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is a direct sum of the two spaces \( \text{AP}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) and \( \text{W}_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \):

\[
\text{WAP}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \text{AP}(\mathbb{R}^N) \oplus \text{W}_0(\mathbb{R}^N).
\]

Another representation of the space \( \text{W}_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is given by de Leeuw and Glicksberg [17]:

\[
\text{W}_0(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{ u \in \text{WAP}(\mathbb{R}^N) : M(|u|) = 0 \}.
\]

**Proposition 3.17.** The vector space \( \text{A} = \text{AP}(\mathbb{R}^N) \oplus \text{W}_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is an ergodic algebra.
Proof. The ergodicity of $A$ is a consequence of Lemma 3.10 and of property (P3). The remainder is a mere consequence of properties (P1) and (P2) above.

We have the same conclusion as in the preceding examples with $A_y = WAP(\mathbb{R}^N) = A_\tau = A_\tau$. Also, since any algebra wmv of all the preceding examples is a subalgebra of $WAP(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the conclusion of Theorem 3.15 follows if we take instead of $WAP(\mathbb{R}^N)$, any of the algebras of the above examples. In particular, Theorem 3.15 holds with $A = WAP_\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{R}^N) \odot AP(\mathbb{R}^N) \odot A_\tau$, $A_\tau$ any algebra wmv on $\mathbb{R}$.

The following result will allow us to see that weakly almost periodic algebra wmv is not an homogenization algebra.

**Theorem 3.18.** The algebra $W_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is not separable.

For the proof of this theorem we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.19.** Let $G$ and $H$ be two Banach spaces, and let $\varphi$ be a surjective continuous linear mapping of $G$ onto $H$. If $G$ is separable then so also is $H$.

**Proof.** Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a countable dense set in $G$, and let $c$ be a positive constant such that $\|\varphi(g)\|_H \leq c \|g\|_G$ for all $g \in G$, where $\|\cdot\|_G$ and $\|\cdot\|_H$ denote respectively the norms in $G$ and in $H$. Set $B = (\varphi(a_n))_{n \geq 1} \subset H$, and let us show that $B$ is dense in $H$. For that, let $h \in H$ and let $\eta > 0$ be freely fixed; let finally $g \in G$ be such that $h = \varphi(g)$. There exists some $n_0 \geq 1$ such that $\|g - a_{n_0}\|_G < \eta/c$, hence $\|h - \varphi(a_{n_0})\|_H \leq c \|g - a_{n_0}\|_G < \eta$. Thus $B$ is a countable dense subset of $H$, and the proof is completed.

One can now prove Theorem 3.18.

**Proof of Theorem 3.18.** Set $G = W_0(\mathbb{R}^m), H = W_0(\mathbb{R}^m)/C_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ ($C_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ denotes the algebra of all continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^m$ that vanish at infinity) and $\varphi$ the natural (canonical) homomorphism of $G$ onto $H$. Assume $G$ is separable (with the sup norm topology), then according to the above lemma, $H$ is separable. But we know by [13, Theorem 4.6] that the quotient space $H$ contains a linear isometric copy of $\ell^m$ and hence is not separable. This contradicts our assumption, and hence $G$ is not separable.

Since $W_0(\mathbb{R}^m) \subset WAP(\mathbb{R}^m)$ we conclude by Theorem 3.18 that the ergodic algebra $WAP(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is not separable (with respect to the sup norm topology). It is well-known that the algebra $AP(\mathbb{R}^m)$ induces homogenization algebras in the sense of [34]; it suffices to consider those functions in $AP(\mathbb{R}^m)$ with spectrum contained in a countable subgroup $R$ of $\mathbb{R}^m$ (that we denoted below by $AP(R)$), that is the space of those functions in $AP(\mathbb{R}^N)$ that can be uniformly approximated by finite linear combinations in the set $\{\cos(k \cdot y), \sin(k \cdot y) : k \in R\}$. However this is not the case for $WAP(\mathbb{R}^m)$ since for every countable subgroup $R$ of $\mathbb{R}^m$ the algebra $AP(R) + W_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is never separable. So we have in hands an example of algebra wmv which contrary to the algebra $AP(\mathbb{R}^m)$, induces no homogenization algebra, says $WAP(\mathbb{R}^m)$. This is therefore sufficient to show that the concept of homogenization algebra cannot handle weakly almost periodic homogenization problems. This is a significant contribution to the theory.

Now, let $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denote the space of all continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^N$ that vanish at infinity. It is well known that $A_y = AP(\mathbb{R}^N) + C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a proper subalgebra of the algebra
of weakly almost periodic functions on \( \mathbb{R}^N \). \( A_v \) is an algebra wmv called the algebra of perturbed almost periodic functions. It generates the homogenization algebras as follows. Considering some countable subgroup \( \mathcal{R} \) of \( \mathbb{R}^N \) we define \( AP_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) as above; it is known that \( AP_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is an homogenization algebra. If we set \( A_{\mathcal{R}} = AP_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + C_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \), then, as \( C_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is a separable subalgebra of \( \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N) \), we define by this a further homogenization algebra \( [34] \). So \( A_{\mathcal{R}} \) generates homogenization algebras. Besides, as seen by Theorem 3.18, even though \( \mathcal{R} \) is a countable subgroup of \( \mathbb{R}^N \), \( AP_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + W_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \) is never separable, so that \( A_{\mathcal{R}} \) above is probably the bigger subalgebra of \( WAP(\mathbb{R}^N) \) that generates homogenization algebras.
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4 Homogenization problem for (1.1)

4.1 Setting of the abstract problem and preliminary results

The notations are those of the preceding sections. Let \( A = A_v \otimes A_z \otimes A_{\tau} \) be an algebra wmv and let \( p' = p/(p - 1) \) with \( 2 \leq p < \infty \). It is easy to see that Property (3.10) (in Definition 3.13) still holds for \( v \in C(\overline{Q};B_{A_v}^{\mu,\infty}) \) instead of \( v \in L^{p'}(Q;A) \) mutatis mutandis, where \( B_{A_v}^{\mu,\infty} = B_{A_v}^{p}\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_z \times \mathbb{R}) \) and \( p' = p/(p - 1) \). Furthermore, if we provide the space \( B_{A_v}^{p,\infty} \) with the \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_z \times \mathbb{R}) \)-norm, it can be shown that, for \( u \in B_{A_v}^{p,\infty} \), we have \( G(u) \in L^\infty(\Delta(A)) \) and \( \|G(u)\|_{L^\infty(\Delta(A))} \leq \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N_+)} \), \( G \) being the canonical mapping of \( B_{A_v}^{p} \) into \( L^{p'}(\Delta(A)) \).

This being so, the main purpose of this section is to investigate the asymptotic analysis, as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), of \( u_\varepsilon \) (the solution of (1.1)) under the hypothesis

\[
a_i(x,t;\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\mu,\lambda) \in B_{A_v}^{p'} \quad \text{for any } (x,t) \in \overline{Q} \quad \text{and all } (\mu,\lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N,
\]

where \( p' = p/(p - 1) \) with \( 2 \leq p < \infty \).

The following result is the cornerstone of the homogenization process. It allows us to go from a concrete hypothesis to the abstract one which is fundamental in the proof of the main result of the paper.

Proposition 4.1. Assume (4.1) holds true. Then, for every \( (\psi_0,\Psi) \in A \times (A)^N = (A)^{N+1} \) and every \( (x,t) \in \overline{Q} \), the function \( (y,z,\tau) \mapsto a_i(x,t,y,z,\tau,\psi_0(y,z,\tau),\Psi(y,z,\tau)) \) denoted below by \( a_i(x,t;\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot;\psi_0,\Psi) \), lies in \( B_{A_v}^{p'} \).

Proof. Let \( K \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \) be a compact set such that \( (\psi_0(y,z,\tau),\Psi(y,z,\tau)) \in K \) for all \( (y,z,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \). By viewing \( a_i \) as a function \( (x,t,\mu,\lambda) \mapsto a_i(x,t;\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot;\mu,\lambda) \) of \( \overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \) into \( B_{A_v}^{p'} \), we have that \( a_i \) belongs to \( C(\overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N; B_{A_v}^{p'}) \) (combine (4.1) with part (iv) of (1.4)).

Still denoting by \( a_i \) the restriction of this function to \( \overline{Q} \times K \), it immediately follows that \( a_i \in C(\overline{Q} \times K; B_{A_v}^{p'}) \). Hence using the density of \( C(\overline{Q} \times K) \otimes B_{A_v}^{p'} \) in \( C(\overline{Q} \times K; B_{A_v}^{p'}) \), one may...
consider a sequence \((q_n)_{n \geq 1}\) in \(C(Q \times K) \otimes B_A^{p'}\) such that
\[
\sup_{(x,t) \in \overline{Q} \times K} \sup_{(\mu, \lambda) \in K} \|q_n(x, t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \mu, \lambda) - a_t(x, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \mu, \lambda)\|_{p'} \to 0
\]
as \(n \to \infty\).

As
\[
\|q_n(x, t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \psi_0, \Psi) - a_t(x, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \psi_0, \Psi)\|_{p', \infty} \leq \\
\sup_{(x,t) \in \overline{Q} \times K} \sup_{(\mu, \lambda) \in K} \|q_n(x, t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \mu, \lambda) - a_t(x, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \mu, \lambda)\|_{p'}
\]
we have \(q_n(x, t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \psi_0, \Psi) \to a_t(x, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \psi_0, \Psi)\) in \(B_A^{p'}\) as \(n \to \infty\). Thus, the proposition is shown if we can verify that each \(q_n(x, t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \psi_0, \Psi)\) lies in \(B_A^{p'}\). However this will follow in an obvious way once we have checked that for any function \(q : \overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R}_N^N \times \mathbb{R}_\tau^N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) of the form
\[
q(x, t, y, z, \tau, \mu, \lambda) = \chi(x, t, \mu, \lambda) \Phi(y, z, \tau) (y, z, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}_N, \mu, \tau \in \mathbb{R}, (x, t) \in \overline{Q}
\]
with \(\chi \in C(Q \times K)\) and \(\Phi \in B_A^{p'}\),
we have \(q(x, t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \psi_0, \Psi) \in B_A^{p'}\). But given \(q\) as above, we know by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem that there is a sequence \((f_n)_{n \geq 1}\) of polynomials in \((x, t, \mu, \lambda) \in \overline{Q} \times K\) such that \(f_n \to \chi\) in \(C(Q \times K)\) as \(n \to \infty\), hence \(f_n(x, t, \psi_0, \Psi) \to \chi(x, t, \psi_0, \Psi)\) in \(B(R_N^N \times \mathbb{R}_\tau^N)\) as \(n \to \infty\). Therefore, it follows that \(\chi(x, t, \psi_0, \Psi)\) lies in \(A\), since the same is true for each \(f_n(x, t, \psi_0, \Psi)\) (recall that \(A\) is an algebra). We conclude that
\[
q(x, t, y, z, \tau, \mu, \lambda) = \chi(x, t, \mu, \lambda) \Phi(y, z, \tau) (y, z, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}_N, \mu, \tau \in \mathbb{R}, (x, t) \in \overline{Q}
\]
as the product of an element of \(A\) by an element of \(B_A^{p'}\). This concludes the proof. \(\square\)

Now, assume (4.1) holds. Let \((\phi, \Psi) \in (A)^{N+1}\); then by Proposition 4.1 we have
\(a_t(x, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \phi, \Psi) \in B_A^{p'} \cap L_\infty(R_N^N \times \mathbb{R}_\tau^N) = B_A^{p', \infty}\).

**Proposition 4.2.** Let \(2 \leq p < \infty\) and let \(0 \leq i \leq N\). Suppose (4.1) holds. For any \((\psi_0, \Psi) \in C(Q; (A)^{N+1})\) we have
\[
a_t^\varepsilon(-, \psi_0^\varepsilon, \Psi^\varepsilon) \to a_t(-, \psi_0, \Psi) \text{ in } L^p(Q)\text{-weak } R\Sigma \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{4.2}
\]

Let \(a(-, \psi_0, \Psi) := (a_t(-, \psi_0, \Psi))_{1 \leq t \leq N}\). The mapping \((\psi_0, \Psi) \mapsto (a_0(-, \psi_0, \Psi), \ldots, a_{N-1}(-, \psi_0, \Psi))\) of \(C(\overline{Q}; (A)^{N+1})\) into \(L^p(Q; B_A^{p'})^{N+1}\) extends by continuity to a unique mapping still denoted by \((a_0, a)\), of \(L^p(Q; (B_A^{p'})^{N+1})\) into \(L^p(Q; (B_A^{p'})^{N+1})\) such that
\[
(a(-, u, v) - a(-, u, w)) \cdot (v - w) \geq c_1 |v - w|^p \text{ a.e. in } Q \times \mathbb{R}_N^N \times \mathbb{R}_\tau^N
\]
\[
||a_t(-, u, v)||_{L^p(Q; B_A^{p'})} \leq c_2' \left(1 + ||u||_{L^p(Q; B^p_A)}^{p-1} + ||v||_{L^p(Q; B^p_A)}^{p-1}\right)
\]
\[
||a_t(-, u, v) - a_t(-, u, w)||_{L^p(Q; B_A^{p'})} \leq c_0 ||1 + |u| + |v||_{L^p(Q; B^p_A)} ||v - w||_{L^p(Q; B^p_A)} \tag{4.3}
\]
Step 1 We proceed in three steps. Let 

$$\mathbf{a}(x,t,y,z,\tau,u,w) - \mathbf{a}(x',t',y,z,\tau,v,w) \leq$$

\[\leq V(|x-x'| + |t-t'| + |u-v|) \left(1 + |u|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1} + |w|^{p-1}\right)\]

a.e. in \(Q \times \mathbb{R}^N_x \times \mathbb{R}^N_t \times \mathbb{R}^N\)

for all \(u,v \in L^p(Q;B^p_A), v,w \in L^p(Q;(B^p_A)^N)\) and all \((x,t),(x',t') \in Q\), where the constant \(c'_2\) depends only on \(c_2\) and on \(Q\).

Proof. We see that the function \(a_i(\cdot, -\psi_0, \Psi)\) lies in \(C(\overline{Q};(B^p_A)^{N+1})\). Since Property (3.10) (in Definition 3.13) still holds for \(f \in C(\overline{Q};B^{p,\infty}_A)\) the convergence result (4.2) follows at once. On the other hand, by the definition of the function \(a_i(\cdot, -\psi_0, \Psi)\) (for \((\psi_0, \Psi) \in C(\overline{Q};(A)^{N+1})\) it is immediate that this function verifies properties of the same type as in Proposition 2.1 (see for instance properties (2.2)-(2.6) therein). Therefore arguing as in the proof of the latter proposition we get the remainder of Proposition 4.2. \(\square\)

The preceding proposition has several corollaries as seen below. To see this, for \((\psi_0, \Psi) \in L^p(Q;(B^p_A)^{N+1})\) we set \(b_i(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, 0, \psi_0, \Psi) = G(a_i(\cdot, -\psi_0, \Psi)) (0 \leq i \leq N)\), which defines a mapping from \(L^p(Q;(B^p_A)^{N+1})\) to \(L^p(Q \times (\Delta(A))^{N+1})\), where \(\psi_0 = G \circ \psi_0\) (a similar definition for \(\hat{\Psi}\), \(G\) being the canonical mapping of \(B^p_A\) into \(L^p(\Delta(A))\)).

**Corollary 4.3.** Let \((u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}}\) be a sequence in \(L^p(Q)\) such that \(u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u_0\) in \(L^p(Q)\) (strong) as \(E \ni \varepsilon \to 0\), where \(u_0 \in L^p(Q)\). Let \(\Psi \in C(\overline{Q};(A)^N)\), and finally let \(0 \leq i \leq N\). Then, as \(E \ni \varepsilon \to 0\),

\[a^\varepsilon_i(-, \cdot, \Psi^\varepsilon) \to a_i(-, -\psi_0, \Psi)\] in \(L^p(Q)\)-weak \(\mathcal{E}\).

Proof. Let \(f \in L^p(Q;A)\), and let \((\psi_j)_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\) be a sequence in \(C_0^\infty(Q)\) such that \(\psi_j \to u_0\) in \(L^p(Q)\) as \(j \to \infty\). We have

\[
\int_Q a^\varepsilon_i(-, \cdot, \Psi^\varepsilon) f^\varepsilon dxdt \leq \int_{Q \times \Delta(A)} b_i(-, \cdot, 0, \psi_0, \hat{\psi}) f^\varepsilon dxdt d\beta
\]

\[
= \int_Q [a^\varepsilon_i(-, \cdot, \Psi^\varepsilon) - a^\varepsilon_i(-, \cdot, 0, \Psi)] f^\varepsilon dxdt +
+ \int_Q [a^\varepsilon_i(-, \cdot, 0, \Psi^\varepsilon) - a^\varepsilon_i(-, \cdot, \psi_j, \Psi)] f^\varepsilon dxdt +
+ \int_Q a^\varepsilon_i(-, \cdot, \hat{\psi}) f^\varepsilon dxdt - \int_{Q \times \Delta(A)} b_i(-, \cdot, u_0, \hat{\psi}) f^\varepsilon dxdt d\beta
\]

\[= A_\varepsilon + B_{\varepsilon,j} + C_{\varepsilon,j}\]

where:

\[A_\varepsilon = \int_Q [a^\varepsilon_i(-, \cdot, \Psi^\varepsilon) - a^\varepsilon_i(-, \cdot, 0, \Psi)] f^\varepsilon dxdt,
B_{\varepsilon,j} = \int_Q [a^\varepsilon_i(-, \cdot, 0, \Psi^\varepsilon) - a^\varepsilon_i(-, \cdot, \psi_j, \Psi)] f^\varepsilon dxdt,
C_{\varepsilon,j} = \int_Q a^\varepsilon_i(-, \cdot, \hat{\psi}) f^\varepsilon dxdt - \int_{Q \times \Delta(A)} b_i(-, \cdot, \psi_j, \hat{\psi}) f^\varepsilon dxdt d\beta\]

We proceed in three steps.

**Step 1.** We first evaluate \(\lim_{E \ni \varepsilon \to 0} A_\varepsilon\). We have

\[|A_\varepsilon| \leq \int_Q V(|u_\varepsilon - u_0|) \left(1 + |u_\varepsilon|^{p-1} + |u_0|^{p-1} + |\Psi^\varepsilon|^{p-1}\right) |f^\varepsilon| dxdt.
\]

Let \(F_\varepsilon = \left(1 + |u_\varepsilon|^{p-1} + |u_0|^{p-1} + |\Psi^\varepsilon|^{p-1}\right) |f^\varepsilon|\). We have, on one hand, \(F_\varepsilon \in L^1(Q)\) and \((F_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}}\) weakly converges in \(L^1(Q)\) as \(E \ni \varepsilon \to 0\) (this is easily seen). On the other hand,
since \( v_\varepsilon \equiv u_\varepsilon - u_0 \to 0 \) in \( L^p(Q) \) as \( E \ni \varepsilon \to 0 \), we know by [8, Thm IV-9, p.58] that there exist a subsequence \( E' \) from \( E \) and a function \( g \in L^p(Q) \) such that
\[
v_\varepsilon \to 0 \quad \text{hence} \quad |v_\varepsilon| \to 0 \quad \text{a.e. in} \quad Q \quad \text{as} \quad E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0
\]
\[
|v_\varepsilon| \leq g \quad \text{a.e. in} \quad Q \quad \text{for all} \quad \varepsilon \in E'.
\]
We conclude as in [the first part of] the proof of Proposition 2.1 that \( A_\varepsilon \equiv 0 \) as \( E \ni \varepsilon \to 0 \).

**Step 2.** As \( C_{\varepsilon,j} \) is concerned. The function \((x,t) \mapsto a_i(x,t,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot),\psi_j(x,t),\Psi(x,t,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)\) belongs to \( C(\overline{Q};B^{p,\infty}_A) \). Thus, using Proposition 4.2, we are led at once at
\[
C_{\varepsilon,j} \to \int_{Q \times A} \left( b_i(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\psi_j,\tilde{\Psi}) - b_i(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,u_0,\tilde{\Psi}) \right) f dx dt d\beta \equiv \tilde{C}_j \quad \text{as} \quad E \ni \varepsilon \to 0.
\]
But
\[
\left| \tilde{C}_j \right| \leq \int_{Q \times A} v \left( |\psi_j - u_0| \right) \left( 1 + \left| \psi_j \right|^{p-1} + |u_0|^{p-1} + \left| \tilde{\Psi} \right|^{p-1} \right) |f| dx dt d\beta.
\]
Therefore, proceeding as we have done it in Step 1 above, we obtain \( \tilde{C}_j \to 0 \) as \( j \to \infty \).

**Step 3.** For the term \( B_{\varepsilon,j} \), the same analysis conducted in Steps 1) and 2) yields
\[
\lim_{E \ni \varepsilon \to 0 \ j \to \infty} B_{\varepsilon,j} = 0.
\]
Finally, since
\[
\lim_{E \ni \varepsilon \to 0} \left( \int_Q a_1^\varepsilon (-,\Psi,\Psi^\varepsilon) f^\varepsilon dx dt - \int_{Q \times A} b_i(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,u_0,\tilde{\Psi}) f dx dt d\beta \right) = \lim_{E \ni \varepsilon \to 0} A_\varepsilon + \lim_{E \ni \varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{j \to \infty} B_{\varepsilon,j} + \lim_{E \ni \varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{j \to \infty} C_{\varepsilon,j} = 0,
\]
the result follows.

Thanks to Corollary 4.3 and to Proposition 4.2, we have the following important corollary whose proof follows the same outlines of [36, Corollary 4.1] (see also [46]), and is therefore left to the reader.

**Corollary 4.4.** Let \( 0 \leq i \leq N \).
\[
\Phi_\varepsilon = \psi_0 + \varepsilon_1 \psi_1^\varepsilon + \varepsilon_2 \psi_2^\varepsilon,
\]
\( i.e., \)
\[
\Phi_\varepsilon(x,t) = \psi_0(x,t) + \varepsilon_1 \psi_1(x,t,x/\varepsilon_1,t/\varepsilon) + \varepsilon_2 \psi_2(x,t,x/\varepsilon_1,x/\varepsilon_2,t/\varepsilon) \quad \text{for} \quad (x,t) \in Q,
\]
where \( \psi_0 \in D(Q) = C^\infty_0(Q), \psi_1 \in D(Q) \otimes A^\varepsilon_v \otimes A^\varepsilon_r \) and \( \psi_2 \in D(Q) \otimes A^\infty \). Then as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \),
\[
(i) \quad \psi_1^\varepsilon(-,\Phi_\varepsilon,D\Phi_\varepsilon) \to a_i(-,\psi_0,D\psi_0 + D\psi_1 + D\psi_2) \quad \text{in} \quad L^p(Q) \)-weak \( R^\Sigma \).
\]
Assume in addition that \((u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in E}\) is a sequence in \( L^p(Q) \) such that \( u_\varepsilon \to u_0 \) in \( L^p(Q) \) as \( E \ni \varepsilon \to 0 \) where \( u_0 \in L^p(Q) \). Then, when \( E \ni \varepsilon \to 0 \), one has
\[
(ii) \quad \psi_1^\varepsilon(-,u_\varepsilon,D\Phi_\varepsilon) \to a_i(-,u_0,D\psi_0 + D\psi_1 + D\psi_2) \quad \text{in} \quad L^p(Q) \)-weak \( R^\Sigma \).
\]
Moreover, if \((v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in E}\) is a sequence in \( L^p(Q) \) such that \( v_\varepsilon \to v_0 \) in \( L^p(Q) \)-weak \( R^\Sigma \) as \( E \ni \varepsilon \to 0 \) (where \( v_0 \in L^p(Q;B^p_A) \)), then, as \( E \ni \varepsilon \to 0 \),
\[
(iii) \quad \int_Q a_1^\varepsilon(-,u_\varepsilon,D\Phi_\varepsilon)v_\varepsilon dx dt \to \int_{Q \times A} b_i(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,u_0,D\psi_0 + D\psi_1 + D\psi_2)v_0 dx dt d\beta.
\]
4.2 Homogenization result

The basic notation and hypotheses are as in the preceding sections. Let $A = A_y \otimes A_z \otimes A_\tau$ be an algebra wmv, where $A_y$ and $A_z$ are ergodic algebras. For $2 \leq p < \infty$ we put $\mathbb{F}_0^{1,p} = V_0^p \times L^p(Q; B_{A_y}(\mathbb{R}^2; B^{1,p}_{6A_y})) \times L^p(Q; B_{A_z}(\mathbb{R}^{2N+1}; B^{1,p}_{8A_z}))$. We endow $\mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}$ with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{\mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \|D_{x_i}u_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\hat{D}_{y_j}u_1\|_{L^p(\Omega; B^{1,p}_{6A_y})} + \|\hat{D}_{y_j}u_2\|_{L^p(\Omega; B^{1,p}_{8A_z})} \right].
$$

$\mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}$ is a Banach space which admitting $F_0^\infty = D(Q) \times (D(Q) \otimes [\rho_1(A_y^\infty) \otimes (J_1 \circ \rho_2)(A_z^\infty)]) \times (D(Q) \otimes [\rho_v(A_y^\infty) \otimes \rho_z(A_z^\infty) \otimes (J_1 \circ \rho_2)(A_z^\infty)])$ as a dense subspace. For $u = (u_0, u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{V}$ we put $\hat{D}_i u = \partial u_0 / \partial x_i + \partial \hat{v}_1 + \partial \hat{v}_2$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$) and $\hat{D} u = D u_0 + \partial \hat{v}_1 + \partial \hat{v}_2 = (\hat{D} u)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$, where $\partial \hat{v}_1 = G_1(\partial u_1 / \partial y_1)$ and $\partial \hat{v}_2 = G_1(\partial u_2 / \partial z_1)$.

We are now in a position to state and prove the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let $2 \leq p < \infty$. Suppose (4.1) holds and further $A = A_y \otimes A_z \otimes A_\tau$ where the algebras $A_y$ and $A_z$ are ergodic algebras. For each fixed real number $\varepsilon > 0$, let $u_\varepsilon$ be the (unique) solution of (1.1). There exists a subsequence of $\varepsilon$, still denoted by $\varepsilon$, such that, as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$
u_\varepsilon \to u_0 \text{ in } L^p(0,T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))\text{-weak}$$

$$
\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t} \text{ in } L^p(0,T; W^{-1,p}(\Omega))\text{-weak}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \hat{v}_1}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\partial \hat{v}_2}{\partial z_j} \text{ in } L^p(\Omega)\text{-weak } \Sigma \text{ (1 \leq j \leq N)},
$$

where $u = (u_0, u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}$ solves the variational problem

$$
\int_0^T \langle u_\varepsilon(t), v(t) \rangle dt + \int_{Q \times S(\Delta(A))} b(\cdot, \cdot, u_\varepsilon, \hat{D} u) \cdot \hat{D} v dx dt + \int_{Q \times S(\Delta(A))} \tilde{b}(\cdot, \cdot, u_\varepsilon, \hat{D} u) \cdot v dx dt = \int_0^T \langle f(t), v(t) \rangle dt
$$

for all $v = (v_0, v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}$.

Moreover $u_1$ and $u_2$ are unique and any weak $\Sigma$-limit point in $V_0^p$ of $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is a solution to problem (4.8).

**Proof.** We first show that $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is bounded in $V_0^p$. Let $v \in V_0^p$; we have

$$
\int_0^T \langle u_\varepsilon(t), v(t) \rangle dt + \int_Q a_\varepsilon^p(-, u_\varepsilon, D u_\varepsilon) \cdot D v dx dt + \int_Q a_0^p(-, u_\varepsilon, D u_\varepsilon) v dx dt = \int_0^T \langle f(t), v(t) \rangle dt.
$$

Taking in particular $v = u_\varepsilon$ in (4.9) and using inequality $\int_Q a_0^p(-, u_\varepsilon, D u_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon dx dt \geq 0$ (this comes from (2.3)) and the fact that $\int_0^T \langle u_\varepsilon(t), u_\varepsilon(t) \rangle dt = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|u_\varepsilon(T)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq 0$, and finally thanks to property (2.1), we get

$$
\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(0,T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))} < \infty.
$$
and hence
\[
\sup_{t>0} \left\{ \|a_0^\varepsilon(-,u_\varepsilon,Du_\varepsilon)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|a^\varepsilon(-,u_\varepsilon,Du_\varepsilon)\|_{L^p(\Omega)^\theta'} \right\} < \infty. \tag{4.10}
\]
It follows that
\[
\sup_{t>0} \left\| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{-1,p'}(\Omega))} < \infty
\]
and hence \((u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}\) is bounded in \(V^p\), and so, in \(V_0^p\).

Let \(E\) be a fundamental sequence. Because of Theorem 3.15, there exist a subsequence \(E'\) from \(E\) and a triplet \(u = (u_0,u_1,u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{1,p}_0\) such that (4.5)-(4.7) hold when \(E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0\). Next, we show that \(u\) is solution to the variational equation (4.8). To this end \(\Phi = (\psi_0,(J_1 \circ \rho_y) (\psi_1), (J_1 \circ \rho_z) (\psi_2)) \in F_0^\varepsilon\) with \(\psi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(Q), \psi_1 \in \mathcal{D}(Q) \otimes [A^\varepsilon_1 \otimes A^\varepsilon_2], \psi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(Q) \otimes [A^\varepsilon_1 \otimes A^\varepsilon_1 \otimes A^\varepsilon_2], \) where \(A^\varepsilon_1 \otimes A^\varepsilon_1 = \{ \psi \in A^\varepsilon_1 : M(\psi) = 0 \}\). Let \(\psi_\varepsilon = \psi_0 + \varepsilon_1 \psi_1 + \varepsilon_2 \psi_2\) be defined as in (4.4). We have \(\Phi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}(Q)\) and
\[
0 \leq \int_0^T \langle f(t), u_\varepsilon(t) \rangle_{u_\varepsilon(t) - \Phi_\varepsilon(\cdot,t)} dt - \int Q a^\varepsilon(-,u_\varepsilon,D \Phi_\varepsilon) : D(u_\varepsilon - \Phi_\varepsilon) dx dt \tag{4.8}
\]
or equivalently, owing to equality \(\int_0^T \langle u_\varepsilon(t), u_\varepsilon(t) \rangle_{\Phi_\varepsilon(\cdot,t)} dt = \frac{1}{2} \left\| u_\varepsilon(T) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\)
\[
\frac{1}{2} \left\| u_\varepsilon(T) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \int_0^T \langle f(t), u_\varepsilon(t) - \Phi_\varepsilon(\cdot,t) \rangle_{u_\varepsilon(t) - \Phi_\varepsilon(\cdot,t)} dt + \int_0^T \langle u_\varepsilon(t), \Phi_\varepsilon(\cdot,t) \rangle_{u_\varepsilon(t) - \Phi_\varepsilon(\cdot,t)} dt - \int Q a^\varepsilon(-,u_\varepsilon,D \Phi_\varepsilon) : D(u_\varepsilon - \Phi_\varepsilon) dx dt - \int Q a^\varepsilon(-,u_\varepsilon,Du_\varepsilon) (u_\varepsilon - \Phi_\varepsilon) dx dt. \tag{4.11}
\]
Since the sequence \((a_0^\varepsilon(-,u_\varepsilon,Du_\varepsilon))_{\varepsilon>0}\) is bounded in \(L^p(\Omega)\) (see (4.10)), there exist (see Proposition 3.14) a subsequence from \(E'\), still denoted by \(E'\), and a function \(\chi \in L^p(\Omega;B_A^p)\) such that, as \(E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0\),
\[
a^\varepsilon(-,u_\varepsilon,Du_\varepsilon) \to \chi\text{ in }L^p(\Omega)-\text{weak }\Sigma. \tag{4.12}
\]
Therefore proceeding as in the proof of [37, Theorem 3.1] we obtain by mere routine
\[
0 \leq \int_0^T \langle f(t), u_0(t), u_0(t) - \psi_0(\cdot,t) \rangle_{u_0(t)} dt - \int \int_{Q \times A} b(\cdot, \cdot, u_0, D \Phi) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{u} - \Phi) dx dt d\beta
\]
\[
- \int \int_{Q \times A} \chi(\psi_0(\cdot,t)) dx dt d\beta. \tag{4.13}
\]
By a density argument, (4.13) still holds for \(\Phi \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}\). Hence taking in (4.13) the particular functions \(\Phi = u - \lambda v\) with \(\lambda > 0\) and \(v \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}\) we also obtain by mere routine, the following equation:
\[
\int_0^T \langle u_0(t), v(t) \rangle_{u_0(t)} dt + \int \int_{Q \times A} b(\cdot, \cdot, u_0, D \mathbb{u}) : \mathbb{D}v dx dt d\beta
\]
\[
+ \int \int_{Q \times A} \chi v_0 dx dt d\beta = \int_0^T \langle f(t), v_0(t) \rangle dt \tag{4.14}
\]
for all \(v = (v_0,v_1,v_2) \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}\).

To obtain (4.8), it therefore remains to show that \(\chi = a_0(-,u_0,D\mathbb{u})\) where \(D\mathbb{u} = Du_0 + \hat{D}_u u_1 + \hat{D}_z u_2\). For this purpose, let \(\eta > 0\) be arbitrarily fixed, and let \(B'_{\varepsilon}(u_2,\eta)\) (resp. \(B'_u(u_1,\eta), B'_0(u_0,\eta)\)) denote the closed ball of \(L^p(Q;B_{A_1}^p;\mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}; L^p(\Omega))\) (resp. \(L^p(Q;B_{A_2}^p;\mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}; L^p(\Omega))\)) centered at \(u_2\) (resp. \(u_1, u_0\)) and of radius \(\eta\) (resp. \(\eta, \eta\)). The spaces \(L^p(0,T;W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))\), \(L^p(Q;B_{A_1}^p;\mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}; L^p(\Omega))\),
\[ B_{\#A_{1}}^{1,p} \) and \( L^{p}(Q; B_{A_{1}}^{2}([\mathbb{R}^{m}]^{+}; B_{\#A_{1}}^{1,p})) \) are reflexive since the same is true for \( W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega) \), \( B_{\#A_{1}}^{1,p} \) (and hence for \( B_{A_{1}}^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{m}; B_{\#A_{1}}^{1,p}) = H_{0}^{\eps} \)) and \( B_{A_{1}}^{1,p} \) (and hence for \( B_{A_{1}}^{0}(\mathbb{R}^{m}; B_{A_{1}}^{1,p}) = H_{A_{1}}^{\eps} \)). Thus the above balls are weakly compact. So, let

\[ h(v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}) = \| 1 + |u_{0}| + |Du_{0} + \partial_{v_{1}} + \partial_{v_{2}}| + |Dv_{0} + \partial_{v_{1}} + \partial_{v_{2}}| \|_{L^{p}(Q; \mathbb{R}^{m})}^{p-2} \]

for \( (v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, \) and

\[ d = \sup_{v_{2} \in B_{0}(u_{2}, \eta)} \sup_{v_{1} \in B_{0}(u_{1}, \eta)} \sup_{v_{0} \in B_{0}(u_{0}, \eta)} h(v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}) \]

and finally set

\[ k = c_{2}d + 1. \]  

(4.15) defines a positive real number depending on \( \eta \) and verifying \( k > 1 \). Using a density argument, we choose \( \psi_{0} \in D(Q), \psi_{1} \in D(Q) \otimes [A_{1}^{m} / \mathbb{R}^{m}] \otimes A_{1}^{m} \) and \( \psi_{2} \in D(Q) \otimes [A_{1}^{m} / \mathbb{R}^{m}] \otimes A_{1}^{m} \) such that

\[ \| u_{0} - \psi_{0} \|_{L^{p}(0,T, W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega))} < \frac{\eta}{4k}, \]

\[ \| u_{1} - (J_{1}^{\eps} \circ \rho_{y})(\psi_{1}) \|_{L^{p}(Q; H_{0}^{\eps})} < \frac{\eta}{4k}, \]

\[ \| u_{2} - (J_{1}^{\eps} \circ \rho_{z})(\psi_{2}) \|_{L^{p}(Q; H_{0}^{\eps})} < \frac{\eta}{4k}. \]  

Clearly \( \psi_{0} \in B_{0}(u_{0}, \eta), (J_{1}^{\eps} \circ \rho_{y})(\psi_{1}) \in B_{0}(u_{1}, \eta) \) and \( (J_{1}^{\eps} \circ \rho_{z})(\psi_{2}) \in B_{0}(u_{2}, \eta) \). Set \( \Phi = (\psi_{0}, (J_{1}^{\eps} \circ \rho_{y})(\psi_{1}), (J_{1}^{\eps} \circ \rho_{z})(\psi_{2})) \in F_{0}^{m} \) and define \( \Phi_{\eps} \) as in (4.4). Then we have

\[ \| b_{0}(\cdot, u_{0}, D\Phi_{\eps}) - \tilde{\chi} \|_{L^{p}(Q; L^{p}(\Omega))} \leq \frac{3n}{4} + \| b_{0}(\cdot, u_{0}, D\Phi_{\eps}) - \tilde{\chi} \|_{L^{p}(Q; L^{p}(\Omega))}. \]

On the other hand, using (4.12) and [part (ii) of] Corollary 4.3 (note that \( u_{e} \to u_{0} \) in \( L^{p}(Q) \) as \( E' \ni e \to 0 \) since \( V' \) is compactly embedded in \( L^{p}(Q) \); this is a classical result), we get

\[ \| b_{0}(\cdot, u_{e}, D\Phi_{\eps}) - \tilde{\chi} \|_{L^{p}(Q; L^{p}(\Omega))} \leq \liminf_{E' \ni e \to 0} \| a_{0}(\cdot, u_{e}, D\Phi_{\eps}) - a_{0}(\cdot, u_{0}, D\Phi_{\eps}) \|_{L^{p}(Q)} \]

But

\[ \| a_{0}(\cdot, u_{e}, D\Phi_{\eps}) - a_{0}(\cdot, u_{0}, D\Phi_{\eps}) \|_{L^{p}(Q)} \leq c_{2} \| 1 + |u_{e}| + |Du_{e}| + |D\Phi_{\eps}| \|_{L^{p}(Q)}^{p-2} \| Du_{e} - D\Phi_{\eps} \|_{L^{p}(Q)}^{p-2}. \]

By inequality \( |D\Phi_{\eps}| \leq |Du_{e} - D\Phi_{\eps}| + |Du_{e}| \), we are led at once at

\[ \| 1 + |u_{e}| + |Du_{e}| + |D\Phi_{\eps}| \|_{L^{p}(Q)}^{p-2} \leq \left( \| 1 + |u_{e}| + |Du_{e}| + |D\Phi_{\eps}| \|_{L^{p}(Q)}^{p-2} \right)^{2} \leq \left( c + \| Du_{e} - D\Phi_{\eps} \|_{L^{p}(Q)}^{p-2} \right)^{2}. \]
where $c > 0$ is a constant independent of $\varepsilon$, the last inequality been obtained thanks to the fact that $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is bounded in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{1, p}(\Omega))$. Thus,

$$\left\| b_\varepsilon(\cdot, \cdot, u_\varepsilon, D\Phi) - \tilde{b}\right\|_{L^p(Q \times \Delta(A))} \leq \liminf_{E \ni \varepsilon \to 0} \left( c + \left\| Du_\varepsilon - D\Phi_\varepsilon \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \right)^{p-2} \left\| Du_\varepsilon - D\Phi_\varepsilon \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)^N}.$$  

But due to (2.2),

$$c_0 \left\| Du_\varepsilon - D\Phi_\varepsilon \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)^N}^p \leq \int_0^T (a^\varepsilon(-, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon) - a^\varepsilon(-, u_\varepsilon, D\Phi_\varepsilon)) \cdot (Du_\varepsilon - D\Phi_\varepsilon) dxdt.$$

Following the same line of reasoning as we have done it to obtain (4.14), we are led to

$$B_\varepsilon \equiv \int_0^T (a^\varepsilon(-, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon) - a^\varepsilon(-, u_\varepsilon, D\Phi_\varepsilon)) \cdot (Du_\varepsilon - D\Phi_\varepsilon) dxdt$$

when $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$. Thus we deduce the existence of $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that we have $B_\varepsilon \leq B + \eta/4$ whenever $E' \ni \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$. Mere computations lead us to

$$B \leq k \left( \frac{3}{k} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\eta}{4} \right)^2$$

since $B \leq k \left\| \mathbb{D}(u - \Phi) \right\|_{L^p(Q \times \Delta(A))}$ and $\left\| \mathbb{D}(u - \Phi) \right\|_{L^p(Q \times \Delta(A))} \leq \frac{3\eta}{4k}$. We therefore obtain $B_\varepsilon \leq k \left( \frac{3}{k} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\eta}{4} \right)^2 + \frac{\eta}{4}$ provided $E' \ni \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$. Whence

$$\left\| Du_\varepsilon - D\Phi_\varepsilon \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)^N} \leq \left[ \frac{1}{c_0} \left( k \left( \frac{3}{k} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\eta}{4} \right)^2 + \frac{\eta}{4} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for $E' \ni \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$.

Set $K(\eta) = \left[ \frac{1}{c_0} \left( 9 \left( \frac{\eta}{4} \right)^2 + \frac{\eta}{4} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$; then we have $\left[ \frac{1}{c_0} \left( k \left( \frac{3}{k} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\eta}{4} \right)^2 + \frac{\eta}{4} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq K(\eta)$ since $k > 1$, and further

$$\left\| b_\varepsilon(\cdot, \cdot, u_\varepsilon, \bar{D}u) - \tilde{b}\right\|_{L^p(\Delta(A))} \leq \frac{3\eta}{4} + K(\eta) [c + K(\eta)]^{p-2}.$$  

Note that $K(\eta)$ is independent of $k$. The above inequality holds for all $\eta > 0$. Since $K(\eta) \to 0$ when $\eta \to 0$, letting $\eta \to 0$ yields $\tilde{\chi} = b_0(\cdot, \cdot, u_0, \bar{D}u)$, that is, $\chi = a_0(-, u_0, \bar{D}u)$.

The uniqueness of $u_1$ and $u_2$ is obtained by a mere routine (see the proof of [45, Theorem 3.5]), from which the proof is complete.

4.3 Some applications of Theorem 4.5

One can work out some homogenization problems related to problem (1.1)-(4.1). In particular one can solve:

(P) The periodic homogenization problem stated as follows: For each fixed $0 \leq i \leq N$ and any $(x, t, \mu, \lambda) \in Q \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$, the function $(y, z, \tau) \mapsto a_i(x, t, y, z, \tau, \mu, \lambda)$ is $Y$-periodic in $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $Z$-periodic in $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\mathcal{T}$-periodic in $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, where $Y = Z = (0, 1)^N$ and $\mathcal{T} = (0, 1)$. Here we get the homogenization of (1.1) with $A = C_{\text{per}}(Y) \circ C_{\text{per}}(Z) \circ C_{\text{per}}(\mathcal{T})$. Theorem 4.5 in this case reads as

\[ \]
Let $2 \leq p < \infty$. For each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, let $u_\varepsilon$ be the unique solution to (1.1). Then, there exists a subsequence of $\varepsilon$ not relabeled such that, as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$u_\varepsilon \to u_0 \text{ in } L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) \text{-weak}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t} \text{ in } L^p(0, T; W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)) \text{-weak}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y_i} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial z_i} \text{ in } L^p(Q) \text{-weak } \Sigma (1 \leq i \leq N)$$

where the vector function $u = (u_0, u_1, u_2)$ solves the variational equation

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{d}{dt} (u(t), v_0(t)) + \int_{Q \times Z \times T} a(x, t, y, z, \tau, u_0, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla v dt dy dz d\tau \\
\int_{Q \times Z \times T} b_0(x, t, y, z, \tau, u_0, \nabla u) v_0 dt dy dz d\tau = \int_{Q \times T} (f(t), v_0(t)) dt
\end{cases}$$

for all $v = (v_0, v_1, v_2) \in W_0^{1,p}$. 

One can also solve the following homogenization problems for (1.1):

(P)2 The almost periodic homogenization problem stated as follows:

$$a_i(x, t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \mu, \lambda) \in B_{AP}(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_\tau)$$

which yields the homogenization of (1.1) with $A = AP(\mathbb{R}^N) \circ AP(\mathbb{R}^N) \circ AP(\mathbb{R}_\tau) = AP(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_\tau)$.

(P)3 The weakly almost periodic homogenization problem I:

$$a_i(x, t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \mu, \lambda) \in B_{WAP}^{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^N, B_{AP}^{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^N))$$

which leads to the homogenization of (1.1) with $A = AP(\mathbb{R}^N) \circ WAP(\mathbb{R}^N) \circ AP(\mathbb{R}_\tau)$.

(P)4 The weakly almost periodic homogenization problem II:

$$a_i(x, t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \mu, \lambda) \in B_{WAP}^{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^N, B_{WAP}^{\rho}(\mathbb{R}_\tau), B_{AP}^{\rho}(\mathbb{R}_\tau))$$

which yields the homogenization of (1.1) with $A = AP(\mathbb{R}^N) \circ WAP(\mathbb{R}^N) \circ WAP(\mathbb{R}_\tau)$. 

(P)5 The fully weakly almost periodic homogenization problem III:

$$a_i(x, t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \mu, \lambda) \in B_{WAP}^{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^N, B_{WAP}^{\rho}(\mathbb{R}_\tau), B_{WAP}^{\rho}(\mathbb{R}_\tau))$$

Here the suitable algebra $\text{wmv}$ is $A = WAP(\mathbb{R}^N) \circ WAP(\mathbb{R}^N) \circ WAP(\mathbb{R}_\tau)$. 
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(P)6 The homogenization problem in the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra. We first need to define the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $FS(\mathbb{R}^N)$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$.

**Definition 4.7.** The Fourier-Stieltjes algebra on $\mathbb{R}^N$ is defined as the closure in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of the space

$$FS_*(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}, \ f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \exp(ix \cdot y)d\nu(y) \text{ for some } \nu \in \mathcal{M}_*(\mathbb{R}^N) \right\}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_*(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes the space of complex valued measures $\nu$ with finite total variation: $|\nu|(\mathbb{R}^N) < \infty$. We denote it by $FS(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Since by [18] any function in $FS_*(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weakly almost periodic continuous function, we have that $FS(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset WAP(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover thanks to [14, Theorem 4.5] $FS(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a proper subalgebra of $WAP(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

As $FS(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is an ergodic algebra which is translation invariant (this is easily seen: indeed $FS_*(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is translation invariant) we see that hypotheses of Theorem 3.15 are satisfied with any algebra $A = FS(\mathbb{R}^N_y) \circ FS(\mathbb{R}^N_z) \circ A_\tau$, $A_\tau$ being any algebra wmv on $\mathbb{R}_\tau$.

With all this in mind, one can solve the homogenization problem for (1.1) under the assumption that

$$a_i(x,t,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\mu,\lambda) \in B_{FS}^{p_\mu}(\mathbb{R}_\tau; B_{FS}^{p_\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N_y; B_{FS}^{p_\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N_z))) \text{ for any } (x,t,\mu,\lambda) \in \overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^{N+1},$$

$$0 \leq i \leq N.$$
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