# A CHECKLIST OF THE NON-ACARINE ARACHNIDS (CHELICERATA: ARACHNIDA) OF THE DE HOOP NATURE RESERVE, WESTERN CAPE PROVINCE, SOUTH AFRICA

#### Authors:

Charles R. Haddad<sup>1</sup> Ansie S. Dippenaar-Schoeman<sup>2</sup>

#### Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup>Department of Zoology & Entomology University of the Free State, South Africa

<sup>2</sup>Biosystematics: Arachnology ARC - Plant Protection Research Institute South Africa

<sup>2</sup>Department of Zoology & Entomology University of Pretoria South Africa

**Correspondence to:** Charles R. Haddad

e-mail: haddadcr.sci@ufs.ac.za

#### Postal address:

Department of Zoology & Entomology, University of the Free State, P. O. Box 339, Bloemfontein, 9300, South Africa

#### Keywords:

De Hoop Nature Reserve; Fynbos Biome; Nonacarine arachnids; species; South African National Survey of Arachnida

#### Dates:

Received: 13 Nov. 2007 Accepted: 08 July 2008 Published: 21 Apr. 2009

#### How to cite this article:

Haddad, C.R. & Dippenaar-Schoeman, A.S. 2009. A checklist of the non-acarine arachnids (Chelicerata: Arachnida) of the De Hoop Nature Reserve, Western Cape Province, South Africa. *Koedoe*, 51(1), Art. #149, 9 page. DOI: 10.4102/ koedoe.v51i1.149

This article is available at:

http://www.koedoe.co.za

© 2009. The Authors. Licensee: OpenJournals Publishing. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution License.

### ABSTRACT

As part of the South African National Survey of Arachnida (SANSA) in conserved areas, arachnids were collected in the De Hoop Nature Reserve in the Western Cape Province, South Africa. The survey was carried out between 1999 and 2007, and consisted of five intensive surveys between two and 12 days in duration. Arachnids were sampled in five broad habitat types, namely fynbos, wetlands, i.e. De Hoop Vlei, *Eucalyptus* plantations at Potberg and Cupido's Kraal, coastal dunes near Koppie Alleen and the intertidal zone at Koppie Alleen. A total of 274 species representing five orders, 65 families and 191 determined genera were collected, of which spiders (Araneae) were the dominant taxon (252 spp., 174 genera, 53 families). The most species rich families collected were the Salticidae (32 spp.), Thomisidae (26 spp.), Gnaphosidae (21 spp.), Araneidae (18 spp.), Theridiidae (16 spp.) and Corinnidae (15 spp.). Notes are provided on the most commonly collected arachnids in each habitat.

**Conservation implications:** This study provides valuable baseline data on arachnids conserved in De Hoop Nature Reserve, which can be used for future assessments of habitat transformation, alien invasive species and climate change on arachnid biodiversity.

## INTRODUCTION

The South African National Survey of Arachnida (SANSA) was initiated in 1997 to record the biodiversity of arachnids in South Africa (Dippenaar-Schoeman & Craemer 2000). As part of this initiative, surveys are underway in various conservancies, agroecosystems, provinces and biomes. So far, only two long-term surveys have been carried out in Western Cape Province conservancies, namely of the spiders of the Karoo National Park, falling within the Nama Karoo biome (Dippenaar-Schoeman *et al.* 1999), and the Swartberg Nature Reserve, falling within the Succulent Karoo biome (Dippenaar-Schoeman *et al.* 2005). These two surveys indicate a moderately high diversity of spiders in these conservancies, with 116 species (38 families) and 186 species (45 families) recorded from the two reserves, respectively.

The Cape Floristic Region comprises unique vegetation types such as fynbos, which are characterised by high levels of plant endemism. According to Linder (2005) some 9,000 species can be found in the region in an area of approximately 90,000 km<sup>2</sup>. Although the factors influencing insect abundance and diversity in this biome have been well studied (e.g. Giliomee 2003; Procheş & Cowling 2006; Wright & Samways 1996, 1999), little is known on the diversity of arachnids in the Fynbos Biome. Coetzee *et al.* (1990) studied the spiders associated with five proteaceous plant species, Visser *et al.* (1999) studied the arachnids associated with *Protea nitida* Mill., and Sharratt (2000) included arachnids in their assessment of the conservation status of cave-dwelling arthropods of the Cape Peninsula.

The general lack of information regarding arachnid diversity, as well as that for many other invertebrate groups in the Western Cape Province, is a great hindrance to effective conservation planning. Conservation strategies should not only take into account plants and vertebrates, but also need to recognise the role that invertebrates play in ecosystem functioning. Arachnids, with the exception of some phytophagous and parasitic Acari, form an important group of predatory terrestrial arthropods that feed on a wide variety of prey using a range of capture methods, including webs and active hunting strategies. Arachnids are frequently regarded as suitable candidates for studying ecological processes, as 1) they are diverse and abundant, 2) they can be easily sampled, 3) they are functionally significant in ecosystems as predators, and as food for other predators, and 4) they interact with their abiotic and biotic environment in a manner that reflects ecological change (Churchill 1997). Therefore, arachnids can be used to monitor ecosystem stability and changes over time, making them useful organisms in long-term conservation planning. Since fynbos vegetation, which is largely endemic to the Western Cape Province, is under increasing threat from urbanisation, agriculture, alien invasive species and climate change (e.g. Picker & Samways 1996; Richardson et al. 1996; McNeely 2001; Midgley et al. 2003; Witt & Samways 2004), arachnids provide an alternative taxonomic group to monitor changes in this unique vegetation type.

The present paper aims to report on the diversity of arachnids (excluding the Acari) in the De Hoop Nature Reserve (DHNR) in the Western Cape, which consists of large areas of pristine fynbos and protected marine habitats. Apart from its value as a biodiversity and conservation tool, this checklist can thus be used as a baseline to assess impacts of the aforementioned effects on biodiversity in areas surrounding the reserve. This study forms part of the South African National Survey of Arachnida in conserved areas and the Fynbos Biome, and also contributes towards the checklists of species of the Western Cape Province.

## STUDY AREA

DHNR is situated on the south coast of the Western Cape Province, South Africa, and covers an area of



FIGURE 1

Location of the De Hoop Nature Reserve along the South Coast of South Africa. Enlarged map shows key sampling points in the reserve



#### FIGURES 2–7

Habitats sampled in the De Hoop Nature Reserve: 2) Fynbos (FB); 3) *Eucalyptus* plantation at Potberg (EP); 4) Wetland at De Hoop Vlei (WL); 5–6) Coastal dunes at Koppie Alleen (CD), with natural vegetation (5) and dunes covered with invasive alien Acacia species (6); 7) Intertidal zone at Koppie Alleen (IZ)

32,279 hectares terrestrially (Figure 1). In addition, the coastline and adjacent marine areas are also included in the reserve for the protection of the marine environment and its diversity. For the purposes of this survey the reserve was divided into five broad sampling habitats (plant classification follows Germishuizen *et al.* 2006):

1. Fynbos (FB) – the largest portion of the reserve contains typical fynbos vegetation characteristic of this particular floral biome (Figure 2). An upper vegetative layer consisting primarily of taller *Protea* spp. (*P. aurea potbergensis* Rourke, *P. obtusifolia* H.Buek ex Meisn. and *P. repens* (L.) L.) is found in certain areas, particularly near hills and mountains. The field layer comprises a high diversity of fynbos plants, including *Agathosma* spp., *Cliffortia* spp., *Leucodendron* spp., *Phylica* spp., *Serruria fasciflora* Salisb. ex Knight and *Thamnochortus* spp..

- Eucalyptus plantation (EP) two large plantations at Potberg and Cupido's Kraal consist primarily of Eucalyptus camaldulensis Dehnh., with endemic low-growing shrubs (e.g. Carissa bispinosa (L.) Desf. ex Brenan) and other short vegetation (Agaranthus sp., Asparagus falcatus L., Bidens sp., Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers. and Sansevieria hyacinthoides (L.) Druce) (Figure 3).
- 3. Wetlands (WL) a single inland wetland, i.e. the De Hoop Vlei, is situated in the south-west of the reserve (Figure 4). The wetland is separated from the ocean by coastal dunes, and therefore does not form a lagoon *per se*. The De Hoop Vlei is fed by water from the Zout River, the catchment of which receives most of its rainfall during the winter rainfall season. The shores of the wetland are dominated by *Sarcocornia* spp. and *Exomis microphylla* (Thunb.) Aellen., with scattered patches of the reed *Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Steud.. Beyond the shoreline the dominant vegetation includes *Sideroxylon inerme* L. trees and a variety of fynbos species.
- 4. Coastal dunes (CD) coastal dune vegetation is found along the entire coastline of the reserve (Figure 5). Seafacing dunes consist primarily of endemic shrub species, including Carissa bispinosa, Cynanchum obtusifolium L.f., Euclea racemosa Murray, Passerina rigida Wikstr., Ptaeroxylon spp., Robsonodendon sp., Rhus glauca Thunb. and Secamone spp., interspersed with shorter species such as Arctotheca populifolia (P.J.Bergius) Norl., Asparagus falcatus, Bassia diffusa (Thunb.) Kuntze, Chironia baccifera L., Dasispermum suffruticosum (P.J.Bergius) B.L.Burtt, Gazania krebsiana Less., Limonium scabrum (Thunb.) Kuntze, Plantago crassifolia Forssk., Silene primuliflora Eckl. & Zeyh., Spirobolus sp., Trachyandra ciliata (L.f) Kunth and fynbos vegetation. Many dunes are strongly overgrown with invasive alien plant species such as Acacia cyclops A.Cunn ex G.Don and A. saligna (Labill.) H.L.Wendl. (Figure 6), occasionally interspersed with fynbos elements.
- 5. Intertidal zone (IZ) this habitat includes all rocky shores along the coastline and the vegetation immediately associated with the high tide breaker line (Figure 7). On the rocky shores themselves, various marine algae dominate, while plants associated with the high tide mark include scattered fynbos insertions and coastal dune shrubs.

#### SAMPLING PERIOD AND METHODS

Intensive sampling for arachnids was carried out during five visits to the reserve. Three of the trips were carried out during early autumn (March 1999 – April 1999, 2004 and 2005) and lasted 10 - 12 days each, the fourth trip was undertaken during the middle of winter (July 2005) and lasted four days, and the last trip took place in spring (September 2007) for two days.

Sampling was undertaken *ad hoc* in each of the habitats by active searching under rocks, logs and in leaf litter, beating foliage, sifting leaf litter and sweeping low-growing vegetation. Additional sampling was conducted by searching under bark in the EP, as this was the only habitat in which loose bark was available. Material was preserved in 70% ethanol for sorting and identification. Due to time and logistical constraints during the sampling trips, material was not collected quantitatively (i.e. according to a set sampling protocol). Thus, the sampling intensity varied considerably between habitats with a bias towards collecting in FB and EP, as these were the easiest habitats to access. However, adequate sampling was conducted in the other three habitats using various methods to give a good indication of the arachnid diversity of each.

#### **Guilds** observed

All arachnids were grouped into guilds based on the typical habits known for each family or genus, but also took into consideration the strata in which each species was sampled.

All arachnid orders collected, with the exception of spiders, can be classified as wanderers. Spiders can be separated into wandering and web-building guilds. The wandering arachnids can be broadly separated into ground wanderers (GW) and plant wanderers (PW). For the latter group, distinction was made between spiders associated with foliage (PWF) of plants and those associated with the bark of trees (PWB). Webbuilding spiders can be separated into various guilds based on the types of webs they construct, namely orb-web builders (OWB), funnel-web builders (FWB), sheet-web builders (SWB), space-web builders (SpWB), hackle-web builders (HWB) and gum-foot-web builders (GWB).

Representative specimens of each species are deposited in the institutions of the various specialists listed in the Acknowledgements, who provided identifications for their respective groups. Material of all the remaining taxa is deposited in the National Collection of Arachnida at the Plant Protection Research Institute, Pretoria, South Africa.

#### **RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

#### Diversity

A total of 274 species of arachnids were collected in DHNR, representing five orders, 65 families and 191 determined genera (Table 1, Appendix 1). The most species rich order was the Araneae, with 252 species in 54 families. This includes one published record of a species that was not collected in the current survey, Nephila fenestrata Thorell (Nephilidae) (Fromhage et al. 2007). The spider family diversity represents the highest from South Africa, exceeding the 46 families collected in the Western Soutpansberg in Limpopo Province (Foord et al. 2002) and Ndumo Game Reserve in KwaZulu-Natal (Haddad et al. 2006). The relatively high spider diversity from fynbos is impressive when compared to more structurally complex habitats such as savanna, where greater species diversity could be expected (see Table 2).

The remaining arachnid orders were relatively poorly represented, the most species rich being the Pseudoscorpiones (nine species, five families), followed by Opiliones (eight species,

TABLE 1 Order composition of the non-acarine arachnids of the De Hoop Nature Reserve, Western Cape Province, South Africa

ORDER	COMMON NAME	FAMILIES	GENERA	SPECIES
Araneae	Spiders	53	174	252
Opiliones	Harvestmen	3	5	8
Pseudoscorpiones	False scorpions	5	7	9
Scorpiones	Scorpions	3	4	4
Solifugae	Sun spiders	1	1	1
Total		65	191	274

three families), Scorpiones (four species, three families), and Solifugae (one species, one family). One published record of Scorpiones, of Parabuthus planicauda (Pocock) (Buthidae), was found in the literature (Prendini 2004).

As in several other South Africa surveys, Salticidae were the most species rich family (32 spp., 12.7% of spiders), followed by the Thomisidae (26 spp., 10.3%) and Gnaphosidae (21 spp., 8.3%). Several other families contributed 5% or more of the spider species: Araneidae (18 spp., 7.1%), Theridiidae (16 spp., 6.3 %) and Corinnidae (15 spp., 6.0%). In contrast to some other reserves previously sampled in South Africa, such as the Ndumo Game Reserve in KwaZulu-Natal, the family composition of spiders was considerably less skewed in the current study (Figure 8). At Ndumo, the five dominant spider families contributed 52% of the species, with the Salticidae dominant (82 spp., 19.0%) (Haddad et al. 2006). In contrast, the five families dominating the current study contributed 44.7% of the total spiders, with the dominant Salticidae only contributing 12.7% of the total.

#### Guilds

The majority of the arachnid species collected in DHNR are wanderers (73.0%), while web-builders comprise 27.0%. When spiders alone are considered, 70.6% are wanderers while 29.4% are web-builders. This compares well with several surveys completed in South Africa (Table 2). This indicates that fynbos and associated habitats sampled in this study are sufficiently heterogeneous to support a fauna similar to that found in more structurally complex habitat types, such as savanna.

#### Common taxa by stratum

This study was qualitative in its entirety and thus there is no data available on the relative abundance of arachnids. However, based on the frequency of collection and observations made during the study the following species can be recognised as representative of each stratum and guild:

Ground wanderers: A large proportion of the species collected are wandering arachnids on the soil surface (Appendix 1). The coastal dune (CD) fauna was largely dominated by Pardosa and Trabea spp. (Lycosidae), Griswoldia robusta (Simon) (Zoropsidae), Opopaea speciosa (Lawrence) (Oonopidae), Zelotes anchora Tucker (Gnaphosidae), Natta spp. (Salticidae), Diores simoni O. P.-Cambridge (Zodariidae) and Orthobula infima Simon (Corinnidae).

In the Eucalyptus plantation (EP), various gnaphosids (especially Zelotes, Camillina and Xerophaeus spp.), Caponia capensis Purcell (Caponiidae), Opopaea speciosa, Xysticus lucifugus Lawrence (Thomisidae), Griswoldia robusta and Phanotea digitata Griswold (Zoropsidae), Lepthercus rattrayi Hewitt (Nemesiidae), various lycosids, Fuchiba and Fuchibotulus spp. (Corinnidae) and Drassodella vasivulva Tucker (Gallieniellidae) were common.

TABLE 2

Guild composition of spiders collected in the De Hoop Nature Reserve, compared to other surveys carried out in South African conservation areas. Abbreviations: WA – wanderers; WB – web-builders

CONSERVANCY	BIOME	SPP.	%WA	%WB	REFERENCE
De Hoop Nature Res.	Fynbos	252	70.6	29.4	Current study
Karoo Nat. Park	Nama Karoo	116	66.4	33.6	Dippenaar-Schoeman et al. (1999)
Kruger Nat. Park	Savanna	152	79.0	21.0	Dippenaar-Schoeman & Leroy (2003)
Makalali Game Res.	Savanna	268	69.4	30.6	Whitmore et al. (2002)
Mountain Zebra Nat. Park	Nama Karoo	76	53.9	46.1	Dippenaar-Schoeman (2006)
Ndumo Game Res.	Savanna	431	74.2	25.8	Haddad et al. (2006)
Polokwane Nature Res.	Savanna	275	69.5	30.5	Dippenaar et al. (2008)
Roodeplaat Dam Nature Res.	Savanna	110	65.5	34.5	Dippenaar-Schoeman et al. (1989)
Sovenga Hill	Savanna	76	83.9	16.1	Modiba <i>et al.</i> (2005)
Swartberg Nature Res.	Succulent Karoo	186	76.5	23.5	Dippenaar-Schoeman et al. (2005)
Western Soutpansberg	Savanna	127	63.8	36.2	Foord <i>et al.</i> (2002)

Article #149

*Opistacanthus capensis* Thorell (Liochelidae) and *Uroplectes lineatus* (C. L. Koch) (Buthidae) were often collected under logs and rocks.

The fynbos (FB) fauna was dominated primarily by lycosids (particularly *Pardosa, Trabea* and *Zenonina* spp.), *Drassodella vasivulva*, various gnaphosids (*Camillina, Xerophaeus* and *Zelotes* spp.), *Philodromus guineensis* Millot and *Suemus punctatus* Lawrence (Philodromidae) and *Afrilobus* sp. (Orsolobidae). Large numbers of Pseudoscorpiones were collected by sifting leaf litter of *Protea* spp..

The fauna at De Hoop Vlei (WL) was strongly dominated by gnaphosids (*Zelotes* and *Xerophaeus* spp., and *Drassodes ereptor* Purcell), lycosids (*Geolycosa* and *Pardosa* spp.), and *Heliophanus* spp. (Salticidae). Various gnaphosids, corinnids and pseudoscorpions were common in sifted leaf litter of *Sideroxylon inerme* (milkwood) trees near to the wetland.

In the intertidal zone (IZ), only two species were particularly common. *Amaurobioides africanus* Hewitt (Anyphaenidae) was commonly found in retreats constructed in sandstone formations at the back end of the intertidal zone, while *Desis formidabilis* (O.P.-Cambridge) (Desidae) was occasionally collected from beneath limpet shells and between algae on the rocky shores. These two species are regarded as marine specialists, occurring only in association with the intertidal zone along rocky shores (Lamoral 1968).

**Ground web-builders:** Web-builders were generally uncommon on the ground surface, but several species can be singled out. In CD leaf litter, *Hahnia* spp. (Hahniidae) were frequently found in their sheet-webs, while in FB leaf litter, *Benoitia ocellata* (Pocock) (Agelenidae) and various linyphiids were common. *Lamaika* sp. and *Vidole capensis* (Pocock) (Phyxelididae) were frequently collected in leaf litter and under logs in the EP. The most common web-builders in the WL were *Steatoda capensis* Hann and *Euryopis* sp. 1 (Theridiidae), while very few web-builders were collected from the ground level in IZ. Arachnids associated with bark: Due to the vegetative structure of fynbos, very few large shrubs and trees are found in most of the habitats sampled. Only the EP contained *Eucalyptus* trees that were large enough to sample arachnids from under bark. Common wandering arachnids collected include *Clubiona* spp. (Clubionidae), *Aneplasa sculpturata* Tucker, *Poecilochroa anomala* (Hewitt) and *Upognampa aplanita* Tucker (Gnaphosidae), *Pseudicius* spp. and *Menemerus bivittatus* (Dufour) (Salticidae), *Platyoides quinquedentatus* Purcell (Trochanteriidae), *Cetonana martini* (Simon) (Corinnidae) and *Uroplectes lineatus* (Buthidae). Dominant web-dwelling spiders include *Theridion* spp. (Theridiidae) and *Neoscona subfusca* (C.L. Koch) (Araneidae). Interestingly, several specimens of the tree trapdoor spider *Moggridgea peringueyi* Simon (Migidae) were collected from their silken burrows under bark.

**Foliage wanderers:** The fauna of CD was dominated by *Massagris regina* Wesolowska and *Heliophanus* sp. (Salticidae) and predominantly immature *Palystes superciliosus* L. Koch (Sparassidae). Wandering spiders were quite rare in WL, comprising primarily of *Heliophanus* spp., various philodromids, and ground-dwelling lycosids (particularly *Pardosa* spp.) that had wandered onto short vegetation.

In EP, various salticids (*Massagris regina*, *Thyene* and *Heliophanus* spp.), *Oxyopes* and *Hamataliwa* spp. (Oxyopidae), *Synema* spp. (Thomisidae), immature *Tibellus minor* Lessert (Philodromidae) and *Clubiona* spp. (Clubionidae) were collected from short shrubs and creepers. The FB plant-dwellers were considerably more diverse. The most common species collected include *Chariobas* spp. (Zodariidae), various thomisids (*Tmarus, Thomisus* and *Misumena* spp.), and salticids (*Thyene* and *Menemerus* spp.).

**Foliage web-dwellers:** Web-dwellers in the CD and FB were particularly dominated by *Neoscona* and *Cyclosa* spp. (Araneidae), *Theridion* spp. and various linyphilds. Several rare species were also collected in the FB and EP, particularly. The only common web-dweller near the



#### FIGURE 8

Species diversity of spider families collected in the De Hoop Nature Reserve as ranked from highest to lowest. Black bars indicate wandering spiders and grey bars indicate web-builders

IZ was *Larinia natalensis* (Grasshoff) (Araneidae), which constructs its orb-web in creepers and other vegetation between rocky outcrops surrounding the intertidal zone.

#### CONCLUSION

This study provides the first intensive data on spider diversity in the Fynbos Biome, although two studies have previously been conducted in this vegetation type (Coetzee *et al.* 1990; Visser *et al.* 1999). In total, 274 species of arachnids were collected, with spiders the dominant group (252 species). This diversity represents approximately 12.5% of the currently known South African fauna of approximately 2000 species (Dippenaar-Schoeman & Haddad, unpubl.). While the species diversity is slightly lower than surveys conducted in the Savanna Biome, it compares favourably with studies conducted in the Succulent and Nama Karoo Biomes. The relatively high number of arachnid species collected, and the presence of several fynbos endemics (e.g. 10 of the 15 Corinnidae species), supports the generalised perception that fynbos contains a unique fauna and flora.

The only spiders currently considered to be of conservation importance are the baboon spiders, *Harpactira cafreriana* (Walkenaer) and *Harpactirella* sp. Both species are relatively common under rocks and within tussocks of *Thamnochortis* grasses and populations are unlikely to be threatened by occasional collecting. Perhaps also worth noting was the unusual *Stasimopes* sp. (trapdoor spider), of which only males were collected. These have unusual spine-like tubercles in the eye region, something which could not be traced to any described species in the literature. Consequently, this species may possibly be new or an undescribed male of a described species.

The scorpions collected all have a relatively broad distribution within the Western Cape Province (Prendini pers. comm.). For example, *Parabuthus planicauda* (Pocock) was recorded from DHNR by Prendini (2004), but is widespread throughout the Western and Eastern Cape Provinces. The occurrence of these scorpions within a protected area such as DHNR can be considered important for the conservation of the species, particularly when the growing threats to the Fynbos Biome are considered.

In this study several new species and three new genera were collected, some of which have recently been described (Haddad 2006; Haddad & Lyle 2008). This study expanded the distribution ranges known for many species, and provided valuable material for future taxonomic studies. This emphasises the need to expand efforts to survey the arachnid faunas of conservancies throughout South Africa, but particularly within the Western Cape Province, where invertebrate endemism may be relatively high compared to other areas.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

CapeNature is thanked for permits to collect in the reserve. Peter Chadwick and Keith Spencer at DHNR are thanked for their support and interest in the project. The following taxonomic specialists are thanked for providing identifications of material of their respective taxa: Lorenzo Prendini, American Museum of Natural History, New York, U.S.A. (Scorpiones); Leon Lotz, National Museum, Bloemfontein, South Africa (Miturgidae, Opiliones, Sicariidae); Rudy Jocqué and Mark Alderweireldt, Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium (Linyphiidae and Zodariidae, and Lycosidae, respectively); Mark Harvey, Western Australian Museum, Perth, Australia (some Pseudoscorpiones); Wanda Wesołowska, Wrocław University, Wrocław, Poland (Salticidae); and Bernhard Huber, Zoological Institute and Museum Alexander Koenig, Bonn, Germany (Pholcidae). Andor Venter, Johan Venter and Johan du Preez (University of the Free State, Bloemfontein) kindly provided details of the floral composition of the habitats. Several records, collected by Norman Larsen and listed on the CapeNature invertebrate species database for DHNR, are also acknowledged.

#### REFERENCES

- Coetzee, J.H., Dippenaar-Schoeman, A.S. & Van den Berg, A. 1990. Spider assemblages on five species of proteaceous plants in the fynbos biome of South Africa. *Phytophylactica*, 22, 443–447.
- Churchill, T.B. 1997. Spiders as ecological indicators: an overview for Australia. *Memoirs of the Museum of Victoria*, 56(2), 331–337.
- Dippenaar, S.M., Modiba, M.A., Khoza, T.T. & Dippenaar-Schoeman, A.S. 2008. A checklist of the spiders (Arachnida, Araneae) of the Polokwane Nature Reserve, Limpopo Province, South Africa. *Koedoe*, 50, 10–17.
- Dippenaar-Schoeman, A.S. 2006. New records of 43 spider species from the Mountain Zebra National Park, South Africa (Arachnida: Araneae). *Koedoe*, 49, 23–28.
- Dippenaar-Schoeman, A.S. & Craemer, C. 2000. The South African National Survey of Arachnida. *Plant Protection News*, 56, 11–12.
- Dippenaar-Schoeman, A.S. & Leroy, A. 2003. A check list of the spiders of the Kruger National Park, South Africa (Arachnida: Araneae). *Koedoe*, 46, 91–100.
- Dippenaar-Schoeman, A.S., Leroy, A, De Jager, M. & Van den Berg, A. 1999. A check list of the spider fauna of the Karoo National Park, South Africa (Arachnida: Araneae). *Koedoe*, 42, 31–42.
- Dippenaar-Schoeman, A.S., Van den Berg, A.M. & Van den Berg, A. 1989. Species composition and relative seasonal abundance of spiders from the field and tree layers of the Roodeplaat Dam Nature Reserve. *Koedoe*, 32, 25–38.
- Dippenaar-Schoeman, A.S., Van der Walt, A.E., De Jager, M., Le Roux, E. & Van den Berg, A. 2005. The spiders of the Swartberg Nature Reserve in South Africa (Arachnida: Araneae). *Koedoe*, 48, 77–86.
- Foord, S.H., Dippenaar-Schoeman, A.S. & Van der Merwe, M. 2002. A check list of the spider fauna of the Western Soutpansberg, South Africa (Arachnida: Araneae). *Koedoe*, 45, 35–43.
- Fromhage, L., Jacobs, K. & Schneider, J.M. 2007. Monogynous mating behaviour and its ecological basis in the golden orb spider. *Nephila fenestrata*. *Ethology*, 113(8), 813–820.
- Germishuizen, G., Meyer, N.L., Steenkamp, Y. & Keith, M. 2006. *A checklist of South African plants*. Pretoria: SABONET. (South African Botanical Diversity Network; report no. 41.).
- Giliomee, J.H. 2003. Insect diversity in the Cape Floristic Region. *African Journal of Ecology*, 41, 237–244.
- Haddad, C.R. 2006. Spinotrachelas, a new genus of tracheline sac spiders from South Africa (Araneae: Corinnidae). African Invertebrates, 47, 85–93.
- Haddad, C.R., Dippenaar-Schoeman, A.S. & Wesolowska, W. 2006. A checklist of the non-acarine arachnids (Chelicerata: Arachnida) of the Ndumo Game Reserve, Maputaland, South Africa. *Koedoe*, 49, 1–22.
- Haddad, C.R. & Lyle, R. 2008. Three new genera of tracheline sac spiders from southern Africa (Araneae: Corinnidae). *African Invertebrates*, 49, 37–76.
- Lamoral, B.H. 1968. On the ecology and habitat adaptations of two intertidal spiders, *Desis formidabilis* (OP-Cambridge) and *Amaurobioides africanus* Hewitt, at The Island (Kommetjie, Cape Peninsula), with notes on the occurrence of two other spiders. *Annals of the Natal Museum*, 20, 151–193.
- Linder, H.P. 2005. Evolution of diversity: the Cape Flora. *Trends* in Plant Science, 10, 536–541.
- McNeely, J. 2001. Invasive species: a costly catastrophe for native biodiversity. Land Use and Water Resources Research, 1(2), 1–10.
- Midgley, G.F., Hannah, L., Millar, D., Thuiller, W. & Booth, A. 2003. Developing regional and species-level assessments of climatic change impacts on biodiversity in the Cape Floristic Region. *Biological Conservation*, 112(1/2), 87–97.

- Modiba, M.A., Dippenaar, S.M. & Dippenaar-Schoeman, A.S. 2005. A checklist of spiders from Sovenga Hill, an inselberg in the Savanna Biome, Limpopo Province, South Africa (Arachnida: Araneae). *Koedoe*, 48(2), 109–115.
- Picker, M.D. & Samways, M.J. 1996. Faunal diversity and endemicity of the Cape Peninsula, South Africa – a first assessment. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 5(5), 591–606.
- Prendini, L. 2004. The systematics of southern African *Parabuthus* Pocock (Scorpiones, Buthidae): revisions to the taxonomy and key to the species. *Journal of Arachnology*, 32(1), 109–186.
- Procheş, S. & Cowling, R.M. 2006. Insect diversity in Cape fynbos and neighbouring South African vegetation. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 15(5), 445–451.
- Richardson, D.M., Van Wilgen, B.W., Higgins, S.I., Trinder-Smith, T.H., Cowling, R.M. & Mckell, D.H. 1996. Current and future threats to plant biodiversity on the Cape Peninsula, South Africa. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 5(5), 607–647.
- Sharratt, N.J., Picker, M.D. & Samways, M.J. 2000. The invertebrate fauna of the sandstone caves of the Cape Peninsula (South Africa): patterns of endemism and conservation priorities. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 9(1), 107–143.
- Visser, D., Wright, M.G., Van den Berg, A. & Giliomee, J.H. 1999. Species richness of arachnids associated with *Protea nitida* (Proteaceae) in the Cape fynbos. *African Journal of Ecology*, 37(3), 334–343.
- Whitmore, C., Slotow, R., Crouch, T.E. & Dippenaar-Schoeman, A.S. 2002. Diversity of spiders (Araneae) in a savanna reserve, Northern Province, South Africa. *Journal of Arachnology*, 30(2), 344–356.
- Witt, A.B.R. & Samways, M.J. 2004. Influence of agricultural land transformation and pest management practices on the arthropod diversity of a biodiversity hotspot, and Cape Floristic Region, South Africa. *African Entomology*, 12(1), 89–95.
- Wright, M.G. & Samways, M.J. 1996. Gall-insect species richness in African Fynbos and Karoo vegetation: the importance of plant species richness. *Biodiversity Letters*, 3(4/5), 151–155.
- Wright, M.G. & Samways, M.J. 1999. Plant characteristics determine insect borer assemblages on *Protea* species in the Cape Fynbos, and the importance for conservation management. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 8(8), 1089–1100.

#### **APPENDIX 1**

# A checklist of the non-acarine arachnids of the De Hoop Nature Reserve.

Guild abbreviations are provided in the text. Habitat abbreviations: CD – coastal dunes; EP – *Eucalyptus* plantation; FB – fynbos; IZ – intertidal zone; WL – wetlands. Symbols: † indicates a new species, ‡ indicates a possible new species, and ? indicates a dubious identification.

FAMILY/GENUS/SPECIES	GUILDS	HABITATS			
ORDER: ARANEAE (SPIDERS)					
Family: Agelenidae					
Benoitia ocellata (Pocock, 1900)	FWB	FB			
Family: Anapidae					
Crozetulus rhodesiensis Brignoli, 1981	OWB	FB			
Family: Anyphaenidae					
Amaurobioides africana Hewitt, 1917	GW	IZ			

	0.000	
FAMILY/GENUS/SPECIES	GUILDS	HABITATS
Family: Araneidae		
Araneus apricus (Karsch, 1884)	OWB	EP
A. nigroquadratus Lawrence, 1937	OWB	EP
Argiope trifasciata (Forskål, 1775)	OWB	WL
Caerostris sexcuspidata (Fabricius, 1793)	OWB	EP, WL
Cyclosa insulana (Costa, 1834)	OWB	CD, EP, FB
C. oculata (Walckenaer, 1802)	OWB	FB
Cyrtophora citricola (Forskål, 1775)	OWB	FB
<i>Gea infuscata</i> Tullgren, 1910	OWB	WL
<i>Ideocaira transversa</i> Simon, 1903	OWB	EP
<i>Isoxya cicatricosa</i> (C.L. Koch, 1844)	OWB	FB
<i>Kilima</i> sp.‡	OWB	WL
Larinia natalensis (Grasshoff, 1971)	OWB	FB, IZ
Lipocrea longissima (Simon, 1881)	OWB	FB, WL
Nemoscolus tubicola (Simon, 1887)	OWB	WL
Neoscona rutipalpis (Lucas, 1858)	OWB	WL
N. subtusca (C.L. Koch, 1837)	OWB	CD, EP, FB
Paraiarinia barteisi (Lessert, 1933)	OWB	FB
Frasonica sp.?	OWR	гв
Family: Caponiidae		
Caponia capensis Purcell, 1904	GW/PWB	CD, EP, FB, WL
Family: Clubionidae		
Clubiona abbajensis Strand, 1906	GW/PWB	EP, FB, WL
Clubiona sp. 2	PWB	EP, FB
Family: Corinnidae		
Apochinomma sp.†	GW	FB
Castianeira fulvipes Simon, 1896	GW	CD, EP, FB
Cetonana martini (Simon, 1896)	GW/PWB	EP, FB
Cetonana sp. 2†	GW	EP
Cetonana sp. 3†	GW	FB
Cetonana sp. 4†	GW	FB
Copa flavoplumosa Simon, 1885	GW	CD, EP, FB
Fuchiba capensis Haddad & Lyle, 2008	GW	EP, FB, WL
Fuchibotulus bicornis Haddad & Lyle, 2008	GW	EP, FB, WL
Graptartia tropicalis Haddad, 2004	GW	CD, EP, FB
Orthobula infima Simon, 1897	GW	CD, EP, FB, WL
Pronophaea natalica Simon, 1897	GW	EP
Spinotrachelas capensis Haddad, 2006	GW	EP, FB, WL
<i>Trachelas</i> sp. 1†	PWF	FB
Trachelas sp. 2†	PWF	FB
Family: Ctenidae		
Thoriosa sp.‡	GW	EP, FB
Family: Ctenizidae		
Stasimopus sp.‡	GW	EP, FB
Family: Cyatholipidae		
Cyatholipus quadrimaculatus Simon. 1894	GWB	EP
Cyatholipus sp. 2‡	GWB	EP, FB
Ulwembua denticulata Griswold, 1987	OWB	EP
Family: Cyrtaucheniidae		
Homostola reticulata (Purcell 1902)	GW	EP
Family: Deinopidae	····	
	MOM	
Avenupsis caperisis Purcell, 1904		EP, FB
Formiteus carrierus Pocock, 1902	NOVB	ср, гв
Desis formidabilis (O.PCambridge, 1890)	GW	IZ
Family: Dictynidae		
Archaeodictyna sp.	HWB	FB
Dictyna sp. 1	HWB	FB
Dictyna sp. 2	HWB	FB
Family: Eresidae		
Dresserus collinus Pocock, 1900	SWB	EP, FB
Gandanameno spenceri (Pocock, 1900)	SWB	EP, FB

#### APPENDIX 1 (CONT...)

FAMILY/GENUS/SPECIES	GUILDS	HABITATS
Family: Gallieniellidae		
Drassodella quinquelabecula Tucker, 1923	GW	FB
<i>D. vasivulva</i> Tucker, 1923	GW	CD, EP, FB
Family: Gnaphosidae		
Aneplasa sculpturata Tucker, 1923	GW/PWB	EP, FB
Aphantaulax stationis Tucker, 1923	GW	CD
Asemesthes sp. imm.	GW	CD
Camillina corrugata (Purcell, 1907)	GW	EP, FB
C. pavesii (Simon, 1897)	GW	EP, FB, WL
C. procurva (Purcell, 1908)	GW	EP, FB
Drassodes ereptor Purcell, 1907	GW	WL
Echeminae sp. indet.	GW	PVV
Ecnemus sp. imm.	GW	VVL
Meganymaekion schreinen Tucker, 1923	GW	
Micaria Sp. Roecilochros snomsla (Hewitt, 1915)	GW/DW/B	
Setanhis subtilis (Simon 1897)	GW/FWD	EP. WL
Linognamna anlanita Tucker 1923	GW/PW/B	
Xerophaeus capensis Purcell 1907	GW	FB
X. crusculus Tucker. 1923	GW	CD. EP. FB. W
X. phaseolus Tucker, 1923	GW	EP. FB
Zelotes anchora Tucker. 1923	GW	CD, EP. FB. W
Z. capsula Tucker, 1923	GW	EP. WL
Z. fuligineus (Purcell, 1907)	GW	EP, FB, WL
Z. montanus (Purcell, 1907)	GW	EP, FB
Family: Hahniidae		
Habria clathrata Simon 1898	SW/B	FB
H tabulicola Simon 1898	SWB	CD EP EB
Habnia sp. 3t	SWB	EP
Family: Idiopidao	ond	21
	CIM	ED.
	GW	EP
Family: Liocranidae		
Rhaeboctesis sp.	GW	FB
Family: Linyphiidae		
Callitirchia sp.	SWB	CD, FB
Ceratinopsis dippenaari Jocqué, 1984?	SWB	CD, FB
Linyphiidae sp. 1	SWB	FB
Linyphiidae sp. 2	SWB	FB
Linyphiidae sp. 3	SWB	FB
Linyphiidae sp. 4	SWB	FB
Mecynidis sp.†	SWB	FB
weioneta sp.	SWB	FB
metaleptyphantes sp.	SWB	FB FC
wicrolinypnia sterilis (Pavesi, 1883)	SWB	EP, FB
Usiearius meianopygius (U.PCambridge, 1879)	SWB	WL
Family: Lycosidae		
Arctosa sp.	GW	CD
Hogna sp.	GW	EP. FB. WL
Lvcosa sp.	GW	EP
Pardosa sp. 1	GW	CD
Pardosa sp. 2	GW	CD
Proevippa albiventris (Simon, 1898)	GW	WL
Trabea purcelli Roewer, 1951	GW	CD, WL
T. rubriceps Lawrence, 1952	GW	EP, FB, WL
Trochosa sp.?	GW	WL
Zenonina sp.	GW	EP, FB, WL
Family: Migidae		- <b>-</b>
Mogaridaea peringuevi Simon 1903	PW/R	FP
mogginagea peringaeyi olilloli, 1900		L1
Family: Mimotidae		
Family: Mimetidae	DIVE	50
Family: Mimetidae Ero sp.	PWF	EP

TABLE CONTINUES ON THE NEXT COLUMN

יי*י*יי

APPENDIX 1 (CONT...)

	GUILDS	HABITATS		
Family: Miturgidae		FD		
Cheiramiona ansiae Lotz, 2002	PWF	FB		
Family: Nemesiidae	0.00			
Lepthercus rattrayi Hewitt, 1917	GW	CD, EP, FB, WL		
Fonibu Nonhilidaa	Gw	EF		
	OWP	ED		
Family: Occobildad	000	TD		
Oecobius navus Blackwall, 1859	PW/B	CD EB		
Family: Oononidae	1 110	00,10		
Gamasomorpha humicola Lawrence 1947	GW	FB		
Oonopinae sp.	GW	EP, FB		
Opopaea speciosa (Lawrence, 1952)	GW	CD, EP, FB, WL		
Family: Orsolobidae				
Afrilobus sp.†	GW	CD, EP, FB		
Family: Oxyopidae				
Hamataliwa kulczynski (Lessert, 1915)	PWF	EP, FB		
<i>Hamataliwa</i> sp. 2	PWF	EP, FB		
Oxyopes russoi Caporiacco, 1940?	PWF	EP		
Oxyopes sp. 2 imm.	PWF	EP		
Family: Palpimanidae				
Palpimanus sp. 1	GW	EP, FB, WL		
Paipimanus sp. 2	GW	EP		
Philodromus quineensis Millot 1041	GW	ED		
Suemus punctatus Lawrence 1938	GW	CD EP EB WI		
Tibellus minor Lessert, 1919	PWF	EP, FB		
Family: Pholcidae				
Quamtana sp.	SpWB	CD, FB		
Smeringopus sp.	SpWB	EP, FB		
Family: Phyxelididae				
Lamaika sp.†	HWB	EP, FB		
Vidole capensis (Pocock, 1900)	HWB	EP, FB		
Family: Pisauridae				
Chiasmopes sp. imm.	PWF	FB		
Cispius sp.	PWF	FB		
Rothus purpurissatus Simon 1898	PWF	FB FB		
Thallassius spinossissimus (Karsch, 1879)	GW	WL		
Family: Prodidomidae				
Prodidomus capensis Purcell, 1904	GW	FB		
Theuma ababensis Tucker, 1923	GW	EP		
<i>T. capensis</i> Purcell, 1907	GW	FB		
T. schreineri Purcell, 1907?	GW	FB		
Family: Salticidae				
Asemonea sp.	PWF	EP		
Baryphas anenus Simon, 1902	PWF	FB		
1903	PWF	EP		
Euophrys purcelli Peckham & Peckham, 1903	GW	FB		
Euophrys sp. 2‡	GW	EP, FB		
Evarcha dotata (Peckham & Peckham, 1903)	PWF	EP		
1903)	GW	FB		
Habrocestum sp. 2	GW	EP		
Heliophanus claviger Simon, 1901	PW	FB		
H. modicus Peckham & Peckham, 1903	GW	EP, FB, WL		
Heliophanus sp. 4	GW/PWF	VVL CD. 17		
Massagris regina Wesolowska, 1993	GW	CD, EP, FB,		
Monomorus bivittatus (Dufaus 1004)		IZ, WL		
Menemerus sp. 2	PWF	FB		
Myrmarachne leleupi Wanless, 1978	GW	CD, FB		
Myrmarachne sp. 2	GW	FB		

FAMILY/GENUS/SPECIES	GUILDS	HABITATS	FAMILY/GENUS/SPECIES	GUILDS	HABITATS
Natta chionogastra (Simon, 1901)	GW	EP, FB	Avelis hystriculus Simon, 1895?	PWF	EP
N. horizontalis Karsch, 1879	GW	CD, EP, FB	Diaea sp.†	PWF	EP, FB
Pellenes geniculatus (Simon, 1868)?	GW	FB, WL	Firmicus abnormis Lessert, 1923	PWF	EP, FB
Phintella aequipes (Peckham & Peckham,			F. bragantinus (Brito Capello, 1866)	PWF	FB
1903)	GW	EP	Heterogriffus berlandi (Lessert, 1938)	PWF	EP, FB
Phiegra sp.?	GW	EP	Heterogriffus sp. 2‡	PWF	FB
Pignus sp.‡	GW	EP	Holopelus almiae Dippenaar-Schoeman, 1986	PWF	FB
1903	PWB	EP	Monaeses pustulosus Pavesi, 1895	PWF	FB
Pseudicius sp. 2	PWF	FB	Oxytate argenteooculata (Simon, 1886)	PWF	EP, FB
Rhene sp. imm.	PWF	FB	Pactactes obesus Simon, 1895	GW	CD, EP, FB, WL
Salticidae sp. indet. 1	PWF	FB	Pherecydes tuberculatus O.PCambridge, 1883	PWF	FB
Salticidae sp. indet. 2	GW	CD	Pherecydes sp. 2†	PWF	EP, FB
Thyene inflata (Gerstaecker, 1873)	PWF	EP, FB	Phrynarachne melloleitoa Lessert, 1933	PWF	EP
T. ogdeni Peckham & Peckham, 1903?	PWF	EP, FB	<i>P. rugosa</i> (Latreille, 1804)	GW	EP
Thyene sp. 3	PWF	FB	Runcinia aethiops (Simon, 1901)	PWF	EP, FB
Thyenula sp.?	GW	EP	Simorcus capensis Simon, 1895	PWF	FB
Family: Scytodidae			Stiphropus sp.	GW	FB
Scytodes cedri Purcell, 1904	GW	CD, EP, FB, WL	Synema abnorme Lessert, 1923	PWF	EP, FB
Scytodes sp. 2	GW	EP	S. decens (Karsch, 1878)	PWF	EP, FB
Family: Segestriidae			S. nigrotibiale Lessert, 1919	PWF	EP, FB
Ariadna sp.	TWB	FB	Thomisus australis Comellini, 1957	PWF	FB
Family: Selenopidae			<i>T. stenningi</i> Pocock, 1900	PWF	FB
Anyphops capensis (Lawrence, 1940)	PWB	EP, FB	Tmarus comellinii Garcia-Neto, 1989	PWF	EP, FB
Anyphops sp. 2	PWB	EP, FB, WL	T. foliatus Lessert, 1928	PWF	FB
Family: Sicariidae			Tmarus sp. 3‡	PWF	EP, FB
Loxosceles spinulosa Purcell, 1904	GW	EP, FB	Xysticus lucitugus Lawrence, 1937	GW	EP, FB
Loxosceles sp.‡	GW	EP	Family: Trochanteriidae		
Sicarius spatulatus Pocock, 1901	GW	EP, FB	Platyoides leppanae Pocock, 1902	PWB	EP
Family: Sparassidae			P. quinquedentatus Purcell, 1907	PWB	EP
Olios sp. 1	PWF	FB	Family: Uloboridae		
Olios sp. 2	PWF	FB	Miagrammopes brevicaudus O.PCambridge, 1882	MOWB	FP
Palystes castaneus (Latrielle, 1819)	PWF	EP, FB	Uloborus sp. imm	OWB	CD EP EB
P. superciliosus L. Koch, 1875	PWF	CD, EP, FB	Family: Zodarijdae	0.112	00, 21, 10
Panaretella sp.	PWF	FB	Caesetius globicavis (Lawrence, 1942)	GW	
Pseudomicrommata sp.	PWF	FB	Chariobas cylindraceus Simon 1893?	PWF	EP FB
Family: Tetragnathidae			Chariobas sp. 2±	PWF	FB
Leucauge festiva (Blackwall, 1866)	OWB	EP, FB, WL	Chariobas sp. 3‡	PWF	FB
L. levanderi (Kulzcynski, 1901)	OWB	EP, FB, WL	Cyrioctea griswoldorum Platnick & Jocqué,		
Tetragnatha ceylonica O.PCambridge, 1869	OWB	EP, FB	1993	GW	EP, FB
Tetragnatha sp. 2	OWB	EP	Diores simoni O.PCambridge, 1904?	GW	CD, FB, WL
Family: Theraphosidae			Heradida extima Jocque, 1987	GW	WL
Harpactira cafreriana (Walkenaer, 1837)	GW	EP, FB	Procydreia procursor Jocque, 2000	GW	FB
Harpactirella sp.	GW	FB	Psammorygma sp. Panons sp.2	GW	FB CD
Family: Theridiidae			Rotundrela rotunda Jocqué 2000	GW	EP FB
Achaearanea sp.	GWB	EP	Systemonlacis sp †	GW	EP FB
Anelosimus sp. 1	GWB	FB	Family: Zoridae		,
Anelosimus sp. 2	GWB	FB	Vorantus sp	CW//DW/E	
Dipoena sp. 1	GWB	CD, EP, FB	Fomily Zeropoideo	0001 WI	
Dipoena sp. 2	GWB	FB		014/	
Dipoenura sp.	GWB	FB	Griswoldia robusta (Simon, 1898)	GW	IZ, WL
Euryopis sp. 1	GWB	FB, WL	Machadoniinae sp.	GW	FB
	GWD		Phanotea digitata Griswold, 1994	GW	CD, EP, FB
Latrodectus geometricus C.L. Koch, 1841	GWB	EP, FB	ORDER: OPILIONES (HA	RVESTMEN)	
L. maisuncius O.PGambriage, 1904	GWB	EF' FR	Family: Caddidae	,	
Phoroncidia canensis (Simon 1805)?	GWB	FP	Caddella sp t	GW	СD
Steatoda capensis Hann 1990	GWB	EP. FB. 17	Family: Phalangiidan		<u></u>
Theridion delicatum O.PCambridge 1904	GWB	EP. FB		CW	
Theridion sp. 2	GWB	EP, FB	Friampsimus vittatus Lawrence, 1931?	GW	GD, EP, FB
Theridion sp. 3	GWB	EP	Family: Triaenonychidae		
Family: Theridiosomatidae			Adaeum spatulatum Lawrence, 1931	GW	EP, FB, WL
Theridiosomatidae so	OWB	FB	Ceratomontia annae Lawrence, 1934	GW	FB
Family: Thomisidae	00		C. karooensis Lawrence, 1931	GW	FB, WL
Tanny. Inomotiae			C. minor Lawrence, 1931	GW	FB, WL

APPENDIX 1 (CONT...)

African Protected Area Conservation and Science Article #149

APPENDIX 1 (CONT...)

APPENDIX 1 (CONT...)

FAMILY/GENUS/SPECIES	GUILDS	HABITATS		
Larifuga granulosa Lawrence, 1931	GW	EP, FB		
Triaenonychidae sp. imm.	GW	EP		
ORDER: PSEUDOSCORPIONES (	FALSE SCORP	IONS)		
Family: Atemnidae				
Cyclatemnus sp.	GW	IZ		
Family: Cheliferidae				
Beierius simplex Beier, 1955	GW	FB		
B. walliskewi (Ellingsen, 1912)	GW	FB		
Hansenius sp.	GW	EP		
Family: Chernetidae				
Caffrowithius biseriatus Mahnert, 1983	GW	FB		
C. natalensis (Beier, 1947)	GW	FB		
Pselaphochernes natalensis Beier, 1947	GW	FB		
Family: Geogarypidae				
Geogarypus purcelli (Ellingsen, 1912)	GW	EP, IZ		
Family: Tridenchthoniidae				
Anaulacodithella angustimana Beier, 1955	GW	FB		
ORDER: SCORPIONES (SCORPIONS)				
Family: Buthidae				
Parabuthus planicauda (Pocock, 1889)	GW	CD, EP, FB		
Uroplectes lineatus (C.L. Koch, 1844)	GW/PWB	EP, FB, WL		
Family: Liochelidae				
Opistacanthus capensis Thorell, 1877	GW	EP, FB		
Family: Scorpionidae				
Opistophthalmus macer Thorell, 1877	GW	EP		
ORDER: SOLIFUGAE (SUN-SPIDERS)				
Family: Solpugidae				
Solpugema sp. imm.	GW	FB		