Sustainable Forest Operations (SFO): a new paradigm in a changing world and climate

Enrico Marchi^{1*}, Woodam Chung², Rien Visser³, Dalia Abbas⁴, Tomas Nordfjell⁵, Piotr S. Mederski⁶, Andrew McEwan⁷, Michal Brink⁸, Andrea Laschi¹

¹ Department of Agriculture, Food and Forestry Systems, University of Florence, Via S. Bonaventura 13–50145 Firenze, Italy – <u>enrico.marchi@unifi.it</u>, <u>andrea.laschi@unifi.it</u>

² Department of Forest Engineering, Resources and Management, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, USA, <u>woodam.chung@oregonstate.edu</u>

³ School of Forestry, University of Canterbury, New Zealand - rien.visser@canterbury.ac.nz

⁴ Department of Environmental Science, American University, Washington, DC, USA. email: saleh@american.edu

⁵ Department of Forest Biomaterials and Technology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Umeå Sweden, <u>tomas.nordfjell@slu.se</u>

⁶ Department of Forest Utilisation, Poznań University of Life Sciences, ul. Wojska Polskiego 71A,
60-625 Poznań, Poland – <u>piotr.mederski@up.poznan.pl</u>

⁷ Nelson Mandela University, Port Elisabeth, South Africa, <u>Andrew.McEwan@nmmu.ac.za</u>

⁸ University of Pretoria, South Africa, <u>michal@cmo.co.za</u>

Abstract

The effective implementation of sustainable forest management depends largely on carrying out forest operations in a sustainable manner. Climate change, as well as the increasing demand for forest products, requires a re-thinking of forest operations in terms of sustainability. In this context, it is important to understand the major driving factors for the future development of forest operations that promote economic, environmental and social well-being. The main objective of this paper is to identify important issues concerning forest operations and to propose a new paradigm towards the sustainability in a changing climate, work and environmental conditions. Previously developed concepts of forest operations are reviewed, and a newly developed concept –Sustainable Forest Operations (SFO), is presented. Five key performance areas to ensure the sustainability of forest operations include: (i) Environment; (ii) ergonomics; (iii) economics; (iv) quality optimization of products and production; and (v) people and society. Practical field examples are presented to demonstrate how these five interconnected principles are relevant to achieving sustainability, namely profit and wood quality maximization, ecological benefits, climate change mitigation, carbon sequestration, and forest workers' health and safety. The new concept of SFO provides integrated perspectives and approaches to effectively address ongoing and foreseeable challenges the global forest communities face, while balancing forest operations performance across economic, environmental and social sustainability. In this new concept, we emphasize the role of wood as a renewable and environmentally friendly material, and forest workers' safety and utilization efficiency and waste management as additional key elements of the sustainability.

Keywords

Sustainability, equipment, logging, ecosystem services, forest workers

1 Introduction

Wood, as a renewable and environmentally-friendly raw material, has played a major role throughout human history (Rowell, 2013). With the unprecedented rate in population growth further aggravated by climate change, resource shortages and the critical need for environmental protection, wood products have been receiving attention from scientists, policymakers and the public as key

resources for the development of a sustainable bio-economy and the future. In fact, sequestering carbon itself is an important role of wood that helps mitigate and abate greenhouse gas emissions.

The use of renewable resources is one of the most important sustainability topics. Non-renewable resources are incompatible with sustainability perspectives and practices. Practices that enable the use of renewable resources are directly related to the well-being of the society, both in the short and long term; offsetting the negative effects of pollution on human health and climate. Earth Day in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in 1992 (United Nations, 1992) ensured that using renewable energy is recognized worldwide. The utilization and interest in supplying and producing woody biomass as a renewable raw material for energy is rising (Suttles et al., 2014).

In the last decades, the term 'sustainability'has become very common in the description of resource utilization intentions (Hahn and Knoke, 2010). The most common definition and meaning of the term 'sustainability' is defined within the context of development in the World Commission on Environment and Development report (WCED, 1987 – Th e Brundtland Report), as 'development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs'. This concept has changed the perspective of economic development, and affected consumption, policies and production practices as it attempts to encompass the entire range of human values (Ascher, 2007). In practice, sustainability aims to achieve social well-being, without compromising the environmental resources, through a fair economic well-being (Koehler and Hecht, 2006) that is related with three pillars – the econ omy, the environment and the society (Kastenhofer and Rammel, 2005). In 1994, John Elkington further detailed this concept as the 'triple bottom line'. He defined the sustainability of 3Ps (profit, people and planet) with the aim to measure the financial, social and environmental performance of corporates over a period of time. Sustainability in this sense accounts for the true cost involved in doing business (Elkington, 1994; 2004).

The complexity of sustainable management practices is particularly evident in the forestry sector because of the multiple benefits, interests and uses that uniquely characterize forested areas worldwide. This includes ecosystem services such as clean drinking water, recreation, hunting, and more recently climate mitigation functions (Mederski et al., 2009; De Meo et al., 2011; Sacchelli et al., 2014). Sustainable forest management practices have been extensively studied within the forest science community under a variety of definitions and terms (e.g. sustainable forestry, sustainable forest management, ecosystem approach, best management practices, etc.) (Ducey and Larson, 1999; Hahn and Knoke, 2010; Wilkie et al., 2003).

Effective implementation of sustainable forest management practice depends on carrying out forest operations in a sustainable manner. In fact, the silvicultural practices of forest operations may also have a strong effect on environmental, economic and social performances, and hence sustainability. Continuous research and development efforts in the field of forest operations (i.e. the activities of extracting wood products from a forested area) seek to investigate present and potentially future practices to help sustain forests, forest resources and forest management. The field of 'forest operations'' is defined as a scientific and problem- oriented discipline that helps provide solutions for technological problems in forestry (Heinimann, 2007).

In this context, it is important to understand the major driving factors for the future development of forest operations that promote economic, environmental and social well-being in the light of changing social demands, climate, and work conditions.

The main objective of this paper is to propose a paradigm shift in forest operations by redefining sustainable forest operations (SFO) based on the historical evolution of the concept of forest operations sustainability and the efforts to address main challenges forest operations are currently facing worldwide. It is vital to draw attention to the sustainability issues in forest operations in order to raise the awareness and stimulate healthy debate. This paper focuses on three areas: (i) the

evolution of forest operations sustainability and a proposal for a new SFO concept; (ii) a global understanding of SFO addressing the ongoing challenges of achieving sustainability; and (iii) the improvement of harvesting systems and technologies in order to fulfil the requirements of the newly-defined SFO concept.

2 Evolution of the concept of sustainable forest operations

In 1992, the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) in Rio de Janeiro strengthened the relevance of social values towards sustainable forest management (SFM) (Wang 2004). Sound forest management strategies that considered social, economic and environmental objectives had been implemented in various countries prior to SFM (Wilkie et al., 2003, Hahn and Knoke, 2010). Due to the unavoidable link between forest management and forest operations, the debate on the value and concepts of implementing sustainable forest operations has increased. Three especially prominent approaches that have advanced the understandings of sustainability in forest operations are: (i) 'Envir onmentally Sound Forest Harvesting'' (ESFH) (Dykstra and Heinrich, 1992; Heinrich, 1999; Vanclay, 1993), (ii) 'Reduced-Impact Logging'' (RIL) (Putz and Pinard, 1993, Putz et al., 2008), and (iii) 'Forest Operations Ecology'' (FOE) (Heinimann, 2007) (Fig. 1).

First, the ESFH understanding was developed and promoted by the FAO Forestry Department at the beginning of the 90's (Dykstra and Heinrich, 1992). The ESFH is defined as a comprehensive pre-harvest planning, appropriate monitoring and execution of operations as well as post-harvest evaluations, with the purpose of increasing the production of goods and services, particularly in diversifying forest products outputs, i.e. timber and non-timber forest products. This approach aimed to generate more income and employment, and enhance the life of rural populations without compromising the regenerative capacity of the forests and their continued contribution to human

welfare, while satisfying the requirements of goods and services for future generations. Second, in the 90's, the concept of RIL was also developed and proved to be "more broadly acceptable than environmentally sound timber harvesting" (Dykstra, 2002). Research on RIL has flourished over the past decades with more than 200 publications on the topic (FAO, 2004) and both its importance and role in SFM have been stated by Dykstra (2012). The FAO (2004) report summarized the work of several authors, and offered a comprehensive definition of RIL as follows: "intensively planned and carefully controlled implementation of harvesting operations to minimize the impact on forest stands and soils, usually in individual tree selection cutting". Both the RIL and ESFH approaches differ in focus and scale, from local to regional, respectively (Fig. 1). Third, Heinimann (2007), introduced the concept of FOE and stressed the issue of environmental ecology within sustainable forest operations and changed the approach from the local and regional to the global scale. The FOE concept aimed "to develop and deploy environmentally sound forest operations technologies, to use resources efficiently, to minimize the overall production of waste and emissions, and to minimize impacts to structures and functions of environmental spheres (atmosphere, biosphere, hydrosphere, and lithosphere)" (Heinimann, 2007). All of three forest operations approaches and concepts (EFSH, RIL, FOE) with their unique and specific foci and scale, broadened the perspective towards forest operations and the understanding of forest systems and products that go beyond wood production. However, no formal integration of the "anthroposphere" had emerged in these approaches, i.e. the human-based social needs. Moreover, the increasing demand for forest products, the new values given to forests by the society (i.e. changing perception on the relevance of forests for social well-being; supplied ecosystem services) and the problems related to climate change require the re-thinking of forest operations within the realm of sustainability social criteria.

3 Sustainable Forest Operations as a new approach for healthy forest practices

This study proposes a contemporary approach to sustainable forest operations (SFO) which is based on a broader focus and different scales that reconcile bioeconomy, environmental ecology, human factors and society (Fig. 1). In addition to the three pillars of sustainable development (economy, environment and society), SFO considers two further aspects, i.e. ergonomics and quality optimization (Fig. 2). Both of these aspects are a key component that sustains value-based and healthy forest operations practices and have not been integrated into previous forest operations sustainability understandings and help formulate our contribution to this SFO understanding presented in this study.

The concept of SFO is defined herewith as: a complex system of relationships that encompasses a set of technologies, methods, systems and practices applied in forest operations planning, implementation, monitoring and improvement with the consideration of five performance areas including: (i) **Environment**; (ii) **Ergonomics**; (iii) **Economics**; (iv) **Quality optimization**; (v) **People and the Society**. This new approach of SFO seeks to apply an effective and practical concept of sustainability to forest operations while considering the anthroposphere ('mankind's sphere of life, a complex technical system of energy, material, and information flows''- Baccini and Benner, 1991) as an interactive sphere in the system. In this sense, SFO concepts encompass a holistic approach to setting key indicators for the five performance areas defined above. The level reached in each performance area in terms of 'quali ty and quantity'' may affect many aspects of life and the environment (Figure 2) strongly linked with each other and influenced by the 'quality and quantity'' level reached in the other areas. Moreover, each performance area may be affected by local, regional or national and international legal frameworks, certification and stakeholder policy. For each performance area that contributes to the success of SFO, the key aspects to be considered are:

Figure 1 - Evolution of the concept of forest operation sustainability. ESFH – Environmentally Sound Forest Harvesting; RIL – Reduced Impact Loggi ng; FOE – Forest Operation Ecology; SFO –Sustainable forest operation.



Figure 2 - The complex system of relationships involved in the SFO concept and its five performance areas including: Economics; Ergonomics; Environment; Quality optimization; and People and society.



- ENVIRONMENT: The environmental impacts due to forest operations at the local, regional and global scale should be minimized. Environmental considerations are offered via solutions that limit impacts of forest operations on:
 - *Energy consumption:* Energy, oils and lubricant consumed and the proportion of renewable energy in relation to the output of wood volume.
 - Soil: Compaction, rutting, erosion, pollution, and modifications on chemical, physical and biological properties.
 - *Air*: Direct and indirect pollutant emissions due to the use of forest machineries (e.g. fossil fuels, oil and lubricant leakage, and emissions from modifications in gas exchanges between the soil and the atmosphere) in relation to the output of wood volume.
 - *Water*: Effects on quality and quantity (sedimentation, pollution, temperature change) and hydro-geological modifications due to the changes in water flows.
 - *Remaining stand and regeneration capacity*: Damage to residual trees and their reproduction capacity (re-sprouting and seed germinability) after harvesting.
 - *Biodiversity*: Disturbance of flora and fauna causing negative effects to forest populations and communities.
- ECONOMICS: Forest operations should be profitable in order to maintain a healthy forest sector and improve the entire forest management process. In this context, the applicable indicators are:
 - *Productivity* measured by output divided by input. The classical example is the quantity and quality of timber produced per hour worked.

- Costs measured by input divided by output (e.g. cost of harvesting per 1 m³ of harvested timber).
- Added value measured by the extra worth that comes from a process or added features to a wood product. An example is willingness to pay (WTP) price premiums for environmentally certified wood products. Recent market studies highlighted the rise in the market outlets for environmental friendly wood products. At present the literature reported a WTP for certified wood products over non-certified options ranging from 1.0% to 39.3% (Veisten, 2002; Aguilar and Cai, 2010). Moreover, these values of WTP estimates have increased in recent years (Cai and Aguilar, 2013).
- ERGONOMICS: Forest operations cannot be considered sustainable if forest workers are not safeguarded and protected from undue risks. According to Jafry and O'Neill (2000), ergonomics in forest operations includes the comfort of operations through the application of modern means and techniques, adapted to the specific contexts, but it also pursues the health and safety of forest workers. Awareness raising of ergonomics among forest workers is very important to improve their health and safety. The key aspects include:
 - *Risk assessment*: This needs to be calibrated for local work conditions (machines, tools, and terrain and vegetation characteristics).
 - Accountability awareness (ME, US, OTHERS): ME each employee is responsible for his/her own safety in the first place; US - each employee is responsible for the safety of team members in the workplace; OTHERS - each employee is responsible for the safety of outside persons entering the workplace.
 - *Physical and mental workload*: This must be calibrated based on the capacities of individual workers.

- *Quality of work environment*: Physical work environment that surrounds forest workers (working posture, operators seat and armrests, cabin space and access, visibility, noise and vibrations, gases and particulates, lighting and climate control) and how it contributes to health, competence, job satisfaction and performance (Becker 1985, Gellerstedt et al. 2006).
- *Updated technology*: Preference needs to be given to modern, state of the art technology. Appropriate mechanization level, equipment type and necessary tools should be calibrated according to work conditions (not only those related with the physical work environment (e.g., terrain conditions), but also those in respect to socio-economic environment of the region), and provided for forest workers.
- System perspective on work environment: Studies about forest work environments generally focus on individual aspects of work conditions, but forest work environment should be analyzed as a whole. Although a hindrance to applying a system perspective exists as it demands transdisciplinary research, diverse aspects of sustainability should be applied to work environment assessment (Häggströn, 2015).
- QUALITY OPTIMIZATION: Attention should be given towards improving utilization rates of harvested trees, reducing waste materials, and enhancing product quality and profitability during in-forest operations. The fundamental elements taken into account in SFO for quality optimization include:
 - *Utilization rate*: Maximizing biomass extraction by applying the best harvesting system in relation to local conditions. The extraction of logging residues should be carefully assessed in relation to local environmental conditions, considering nutrient recycling and protection of soil and water resources.
 - *Waste reduction*: Minimizing damage to timber during harvesting and extraction.

- *Quality and value*: Optimizing product quality and value through wood quality assessment, optimal bucking and consideration of timber market.
- *Future products:* Avoiding damage to residual trees and reduction in regeneration capacity of the forest for future sustained wood production.
- PEOPLE AND SOCIETY: Services and functions provided by forests include a wide range of ecological, political, economic, social and cultural systems and processes that are necessary for people and society (La Notte et al., 2017). Forest operations should be planned and implemented to sustain or enhance forest services and functions. The main aspects include:
 - *Provisioning services and functions:* to ensure the sustainability of future yields of wood and non-wood forest products (e.g. food, fibers, fuel, genetic resources).
 - *Regulating and maintenance services and functions:* to regulate and maintain the interactions among biotic and abiotic elements of the ecosystems (e.g., water quality and regulation, climate regulation, extreme weather events and disease mitigation, etc.).
 - *Cultural services and functions:* to maintain cultural services and functions that affect livelihoods and wellbeing of local community (e.g., eco-tourism and recreation, aesthetic, physiological relaxation, cultural experience, etc.) and the rights of native people, while avoiding reduction of landscape amenity and value.
 - *Employment service and functions:* to support local economy and help reduce poverty. Sustainable forest management activities for maximizing ecosystem services and supporting the green economy have potential positive impacts on local employment and economy. The development of steady job opportunities in rural areas with fair pay and earnings will help reduce the migration of young workforce to urban areas.

In general, SFO should promote socially acceptable and responsible forest operations to support community values and wellness maximize ecosystem services and enhances public understanding of well managed forests. Important components include the involvement and participation of various stakeholders in forest operations decisions, and the practices that comply with existing laws and regulations, and the continuous improvement and monitoring through certifications or other existing auditing techniques.

4 Five major challenges that SFO will address

I - More wood removal from less available forest land base

The total global forest area including primary forest, modified natural forest, semi-natural forest, and forest plantation (cf. FAO 2005 for definitions), decreased by about 129 million hectares from 1990 to 2015 (Fig. 3). Deforestation has been the main cause of the decline especially in the tropics and the regions in the low- and middle-income categories (Keenan et al., 2015). Primary forests and modified natural forests also have declined globally (FAO, 2005). As the human population continues to rise, land use conversion from forests to agriculture or urban areas would likely continue.

In the same period, a net gain of forested areas has occurred in East Asia, Europe and North America (i.e. in temperate climate and high-income countries) (Keenan et al., 2015; FAO, 2016b). The net gain was caused by expansion of natural forests and increased afforestation. Plantation forest areas have increased in all climatic domains, as well as in some specific countries, such as China, but the expansion has slowed down in the last years, especially in Europe, North America and Asia (FAO, 2016b) (Figure 3).

Wood removal in the last five decades has increased, reaching about 3.7 billion m³ in 2015 (FAO, 2016d). More than half of all wood removal was used for energy purposes (FAO, 2016c). However,

Figure 3 – Natural forest area, planted forest area and global wood removal trends in the last decades (data from Keenan et al., 2015 and FAO, 2016d).



it is foreseen that the use of woodfuel in high-income countries will also grow mainly in the form of energy feedstock like logging residues and small diameter trees, according to the 'utilization rate" improvement included in 'Quality optimization''defi ned in SFO.

It is estimated that more than 100 million m³ of wood is illegally harvested each year globally, although there was a significant reduction in the last decade (Lawson and MacFaul 2010) due to large scale endeavors from the industry to comply with moratoriums on supplied commodities that involve deforestation in the tropics.

In the tropical and subtropical regions, a rising interest in managed and plantation forests has led to the development of good practices. It is expected that wood harvesting from these regions will replace or strongly reduce wood supply coming from natural forests across the globe (FAO, 2016a). However, wood supply from plantation forests will not be enough to meet the growing industrial roundwood demand. It is expected that natural and semi-natural forests in boreal and temperate zones would remain as the major source of wood products without a foreseen increase in the timber land base around the world (Barua et al., 2014).

In this context, waste reduction and bucking optimization in forest operation become important concepts and practices. Applying the concepts of SFO will be a good solution in this circumstance; 'quality optimization'' approach may help to maximiz e wood quantity and quality, enhancing product and value recovery of harvested trees while minimizing costs to the environment, economy and society at large.

II – Promoting wood as a renewable and ecologically friendly raw material

Harvested wood products (HWPs) are increasingly recognized as a means of carbon storage. Three primary wood products (i.e. sawn wood, wood-based panels as well as paper and paperboards) were estimated to store 73, 21 and 6% of carbon, respectively, with a trend of increasing share of the two latter products (FAO, 2016a, Palma et al., 2016). In 2013, the total amount of carbon stored in the

three products was estimated as approximately 5,360 Tg C (19,671 Gt CO_2e) (FAO, 2016a, Miner and Gaudreault, 2016).

The following three activities have been recognized as crucial to our endeavor to increase forest carbon stocks: afforestation or reforestation, improved forest management, and green building and furnishing (Nabuurs et al., 2007). Wood products, as well as green building and furnishing materials have great potential for carbon storage (Julin et al., 2010). Wood is being more recognized as green and advanced material in the architecture, engineering and construction communities because of its environmental advantages over other building materials. It is known that the use of plastics, steel and aluminum results in relatively high GHG emissions in comparison with wood production and processing (Gonzá lez-García et al., 2011).

Carbon neutrality of energy from wood is a controversial issue due to CO_2 release to the atmosphere, as well as particulate matter, methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) (Klein et al., 2015). Wood energy can be considered carbon neutral if the source forest is sustainably managed and sequesters at least the same amount of CO_2 released during the production process of energy from the wood (FAO, 2016c). Wood for energy harvested according the SFO approach will meet the demands for carbon neutrality. All sources of wood energy including forest residues may significantly reduce GHG emission per unit of produced energy (Baral and Malins, 2014; Wihersaari, 2005; Zhang et al., 2009).

SFO approach aim to minimize pollutant emission per unit volume of wood during timber logging, thus increasing wood carbon storage efficiency.

III –Improving forest operations under climate cha nge

Changes in climate affect all forested ecosystems. Although impacts associated with climate change vary across regions, they commonly include increases in temperature, changes in precipitation patterns, more extreme weather events, and rising sea level (IPCC, 2014). These impacts directly

and indirectly change forest ecosystems, soil, and the work environment conditions of forest operations and the duration of operating seasons. This can affect resource accessibility, and climate change may also result in altering forest locations, composition and productivity (Sedjo, 2010; Dumroese et al., 2015). Migration of tree species to higher altitude might expand production forest land areas, but require advanced harvesting techniques for steep ground operations.

Changes in forest type and tree species composition are anticipated in some regions, including North America and Europe (Shugart et al., 2003; Lindner et al., 2008). More frequent and severe forest disturbances such as the spread of pests and pathogens, storm damage and wildfires, also affect forest structure and function, and therefore forest operations (USDA Forest Service, 2015; Westerling et al., 2006; Kilpelänen et al., 2010). Widespread damage from extreme weather events, insects and wildfires can increase the occurrence and amount of salvage harvest and a shift in management focus from timber management to forest restoration might affect the sustainability and profitability of forest industries. Individual nations, local governments and forest management agencies in many parts of the world have devised forest management strategies and policies for climate change mitigation and adaptation (FAO, 2013; Kolströn et al., 2011; USDA Forest Service, 2014). Different strategies have been planned to answer to these challenges, such as increasing the adaptive capacity of managed forests, reducing impacts of extreme weather, insect epidemics and wildfires, and improving carbon sequestration and production of both wood and socio-economic benefits. Evolving tree species, stand composition and environmental concerns may offer production opportunities for a different set of timber products and services (Kirilenko and Sedjo, 2007), yet there could be important challenges involved in forest operations.

Forest growth is expected to increase in response to higher temperature and atmospheric CO_2 levels (Boisvenue and Running 2006); but, weather-induced tree mortality is also expected to rise in many

parts of the world (van Mantgem and Stephenson, 2007; Brando et al., 2014; Garfin et al., 2014; Lindner et al. 2010).

Forest operations may contribute to climate change due to increasing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions into the atmosphere. Current research has found that most of the impacts connected to GHG emissions were found to be in the harvesting stage due to fuel use for machine operations, as opposed to machine fabrication or repair (Athanassiadis, 2000a; Handler et al., 2014; Abbas and Handler 2017). In fact, the release of GHG during harvesting operations is mainly related to the manufacture, distribution, and combustion of fossil fuels in the machines for harvesting and extraction (Berg and Karjalainen, 2003; Athanassiadis, 2000a, 2000b; Laschi et al., 2016a; 2016b). The CO₂ released may vary depending on the product being harvested, local conditions, machines used, and extraction and hauling distances (Korpilahtia, 1998; Klvač and Skoupý 2009; Klva č et al., 2012; Devlin et al., 2013). This means that fuel efficiency (i.e. the amount of fossil fuel consumed for the extraction of a cubic meter of wood) is a key factor for reducing the environmental impacts in logging. Several studies investigated fuel consumption and CO₂ emissions related with different phases of forest operations for different wood products. CO_2 emission ranges from 5 to 12 kg m⁻³ according to logging methods and local conditions (Manner et al., 2013; 2016; Korpilahtia, 1998; Klvač and Skoupý 2009; Klva č et al., 2012; Fuente et al., 2017). On the basis of these data we can roughly estimate a total emission from forest operation ranging from 18 and 44 Tg of carbon dioxide per year at a global level. Some studies also recorded an increase of methane and nitrous dioxide emission originating from soil compaction induced by machine passes (Cambi et al., 2015). From a SFO perspective, Life-Cycle Analysis methodology according to the ISO standard 14040 (2006) can be used as an important tool for evaluating harvesting systems (Fuente 2017, Laschi et al. 2016a) and moving towards a reduction of fossil fuel consumption.

Changes in climate on local and regional scales may result in more seasonal constraints on forest operations and site entry. Shorter and warmer winters that reduce snow precipitation and soil frost could shorten operating seasons in the regions where snow cover is required to protect the sensitive soils from disturbance (Rittenhouse and Rissman 2015, Gregow 2013). Longer wet seasons and more frequent storms could also mean shorter operating seasons and additional road maintenance costs in more temperate regions. In addition, changes in the intensity and pattern of precipitation could increase potential soil erosion and landslides (Nearing et al. 2004). Increased seasonal restrictions on forest operations may require higher operational efficiency in order to maintain the previous production level in a shorter amount of time without compromising workers' safety.

Forest roads play a key role in forest management and the recreational functions of forests but in many parts of the world are known as a significant source of erosion (Grace and Clinton, 2007; Laschi et al., 2016d). Substantial adaptations in road design and use need to be made to avoid increases in erosion, particularly in regions where more intense rainfall events are anticipated (Rackely and Chung, 2008).

While the shifts in climate can present challenges for many forest practitioners around the world, well-planned adaptation efforts based on the concepts of SFO are opportunities in forest management (Table 1).

IV – Minimizing ecological impacts of harvesting

One of the main goals of SFO is to comply with forest operations ecology (Heinimann, 2007; Marchi et al., 2014). Each harvesting method, from the simplest (e.g. animal logging) to the most sophisticated (e.g. highly mechanized), has the potential to cause environmental impacts (Cambi et al., 2015). Environmental issues and concerns have been increasing as quickly as the development of mechanization of forest operation in the last 50 years in most of the world (Riala et al., 2015). In

Issue	Adaptation Measure	Potential Benefit
Management	• Assess the current and foreseeable changes in forest	Increase socio-
strategies	characteristics, tree species, silvicultural practices and	economic
and product	market trends.	benefits
markets	• Understand the characteristics of damaged trees for	
	conducting forest operations in plantation and wildland	
	management.	
	• Assess immediate and potential long-term socio-economic	
	and environmental impacts of salvage harvests (i.e.,	
	harvesting and site rehabilitation).	
Operational	 Select harvesting system and forest management 	Improve the
efficiency	equipment that are versatile for a variety of trees and work	safety and
	area conditions.	productivity of
	• Design site- and task-specific harvesting systems and	forest operations
	operations to improve operator safety, machine-to-machine	
	coordination, and system productivity.	
	• Plan and implement transportation systems to improve	
	access for management of high risk areas.	
	• Irain forest operators and machine operators for safety and	
	conditions	
Soil and	• Understand pagative soil impacts of forest operations	Minimize
water	including the interaction between rainfall (snow) events	negative
conservation	and soil changes associated with harvesting activities	environmental
	• Minimize soil disturbance, including compaction, rutting	impacts
	and displacement.	Ĩ
	• Locate, design and maintain forest roads and stream	
	crossings to minimize soil erosion and sediment runoff.	
	• Evaluate and improve the current road network system	
	(i.e., upgrading, maintaining, decommissioning, and	
	relocating).	
	• Restrict harvesting activities and the use of forest roads	
	during adverse weather and soil conditions.	
Climate	• Select equipment and techniques that can potentially	Mitigate climate
change	reduce carbon footprints and negative environmental	change
mitigation	impacts.	
	• Use forest residues for bioenergy and bio-based products to	
	substitute for fossil-based energy and products.	

 Table 1. Adaptation measures for sustainable forest operations and their potential benefits

tandem, research on damage caused by forest operations has been also rising (Cambi et al., 2015; Sakai et al., 2008; Vasiliauskas, 2001).

Heavy and powerful machines have the potential to cause severe impacts on residual trees, forest stand's regeneration capacity, soil, water and pollutants emitted to the atmosphere. The severity of such environmental impacts highly varies with logging equipment and methods. For example, machine traffic on forest ground may cause a higher impact on soil than winch logging, while winch logging may cause higher mechanical injury to trees, soil and regeneration than cable yarding (Cambi et al., 2015; Marchi et al., 2014; Picchio et al., 2012). A careful use of machines and tools, a mindful choice of operating season and meteorological conditions, well thought out logging planning and practices, and training and skills of forest operators can mitigate negative environmental impacts of forest operations (Chamen et al., 2003; Horn et al., 2007; Labelle and Jaeger, 2011; Marchi et al., 2014; Page-dumroese, 1993; Picchio et al., 2012; Vanclay, 1993).

Negative consequences of ground-based operations include soil compaction, rutting, puddling, and displacement (Agherkakli et al., 2010; Cambi et al., 2015; Eliasson, 2005; Sakai et al., 2008). Soil compaction affects fluids movement, and decreases soil porosity, particularly macroporosity, and soil hydraulic conductivity (Ballard, 2000), thus reducing gas exchange and water drainage (Williamson and Neilsen, 2000). On steep terrain, increased runoff is an outcome of compaction, which can lead to erosion, mudflow and soil loss (Ballard, 2000). Soil degradation also affects soil chemical and biological properties (Busse et al., 2006; Cambi et al., 2017a; Hartmann et al., 2014, 2013; Kleibl et al., 2014; Magagnotti et al., 2012; Worrell and Hampson, 1997) and may result in reduced plant growth and/or regeneration difficulties (Adams and Froehlich, 1981; Cambi et al., 2017b; Pinard et al., 2000; Williamson and Neilsen, 2000). Logging can also impact soil by decreasing CH₄ consumption (Topp and Pattey, 1997) or even converting CH₄ sinks into sources, thus increasing the emission of the greenhouse gases CH₄ and N₂O (Ball et al., 2000) and potentially

contributing to global warming (Yashiro et al., 2008, Tepee et al., 2004). Soil compaction also reduces aerobic microbial activity, root respiration and gas diffusivity (Conlin and van den Driessche, 2000; Goutal et al., 2012; Hartmann et al. 2014).

Several studies investigated the damage caused to regeneration and remaining trees in forest stands during forest operations. Crown, stem or root damage may lead to serious economic losses due to growth reduction (Isomäki and Kallio, 1974; Tavanka r et al., 2015), wound pathogens and decay formation in trees (Dimitri, 1983; Pechmann, 1974; Shigo, 1966), thus leading to wood losses and quality degradation of damaged trees (Karaszewski et al., 2013; Tavankar et al., 2017). Other forest ecosystem services, such as carbon sequestration, soil protection, and water control may be degraded by residual stand damages, as well (Picchio et al., 2011). Several influencing factors for stand damage are known as: silvicultural treatment and amount of timber removed from harvest (Bettinger et al. 1998; Fjeld and Granhus 1998; Gullison and Hardner 1993); logging methods and harvesting system (Bembenek et al., 2013a; 2013b; Bragg et al., 1994; Fjeld and Granhus, 1998; Han and Kellogg, 2000; Spinelli et al., 2010; Marchi et al., 2014); design of extraction trails and skill of machine operators (Gullison and Hardner. 1993; Nikooy et al., 2010); logging season, site characteristics and tree species (Bettinger and Kellogg, 1993; Limbeck-Lilienau, 2003; Sist et al., 2003; Vasiliauskas, 2001).

The SFO approach, in particular "environment" and " quality optimization" performance areas, requires minimizing the level of damage, in terms of both quantity of damaged trees and extent of damage, to assure the highest quality of future harvested timber and ecosystem services. From a SFO perspective, forest operational planning must consider all possible factors and their interactions affecting environmental impacts.

V-Improving safety and ergonomics of forest opera tors

Ergonomics, which is simply put 'the study of the s afety and efficiency of persons in their working environment" has been historically underrepresented in the field of forest operations in several regions around the world (FAO, 1992). However, occupational health and safety in forestry have recently emerged as an important topic in both research and practices (Gandaseca and Yoshimura, 2001; Brachetti Montorselli et al., 2010; Stampfer et al., 2010; Garland, 2013; Laschi et al., 2016c; Magagnottti et al., 2016). Logging has long been the most dangerous civilian job in many countries (Sygnatur, 1998; Laschi et al., 2016c; U.S. BLS, 2015), and mechanization of logging has helped decrease the rate of accidents (Axelsson 1998, Bell 2002). As an example, due to highly mechanized forest operations in Sweden, the number of accidents resulting in sick-leave of forest workers is about 5 per year out of 1000 employees, which is lower than the average for all work business in the country (Arbetsmiljöverket 2012).

Other issues related to workers' safety in many countries include aging forest workers, use of old, outdated equipment, terrain topography, underdeveloped road networks, and lack of training programs for forest workers (Abbas et al., 2014; Abbas and Clatterbuck, 2015; Abbas et al., 2017, Enache et al., 2016; Lindroos and Burström, 2010; O wende et al., 2002). Further, as demand increases for forest products, accessing more remote and difficult to reach areas are expected to increase adding a higher level of difficulty to operations (Hakkila, 2004). Shortage of training can result in lower productivity and further endanger the safety of operators.

Although forest workers' health and safety have a significant impact on the sustainability of the supply chain of forest products, and has seen a growing interest, yet workforce equipment and ergonomics training in several European and North American areas still remains short in the implementation of local best management practices and forestry certification schemes (Abbas et al., 2017). The SFO approach highlights the importance of workers' safety, community values and wellness, and promotes development of practices guidelines and certification schemes that can help

improve forest workers' health and safety in the forestry workplace. Moreover, the improvement of health and safety levels, increasing skills and capacities of forest operators, should bring to a general reconnaissance of the profession of forest operator as a complex and qualified figure, with positive consequences on both work quality and remuneration.

5 Moving towards SFO: Improving work systems and technologies

Improving forest work systems in a forest-based bio-economy should be based on the balance of economic, environmental and social well-being, although given the industrial nature of timber production systems, the emphasis is often on improving economic performance. An unbalanced emphasis may lead to an improvement in a short term, but will eventually result in a failure of sustainability. For example, traditional chainsaw operations for tree cutting are normally high cost, low productivity operations, which are becoming more and more financially unsustainable in many places around the world (Schweier et al., 2015). On the contrary, a mechanisation of tree cutting may improve both productivity and safety (Bell, 2002), but at the expense of potentially higher negative impacts on forest soils (Cambi et al., 2015). Another example can be found in steep terrain operations (Abbas et al., 2017). Cable logging systems have long been recognised as a relatively low impact harvesting method as they can reduce ground contact of heavy equipment (Samset, 1985). However, cable logging is considerably more expensive than ground-based harvesting (Spinelli et al., 2016; Visser, 2015) and also more dangerous with much higher accident and injury rates. New cable-assisted ground-based harvesting systems have been implemented to improve both productivity and safety of steep terrain operations, but little is known of the soil damage risks associated with these systems (Visser and Stampfer, 2015).

SFO can provide guidelines for selecting the most suitable forest operations machines and systems. The five performance areas of SFO need to be balanced based on local society's needs and available

24

knowledge, experience and resources. In principle, the best possible forest harvesting system would minimize environmental impacts, maximise productivity and social acceptance with consideration of ergonomics and workers' safety, and optimize products quality.

For selection of a timber extraction system as an example, two mechanized harvesting methods dominate; full-tree and cut-to-length (Uusitalo, 20 10). Over the past years both methods have been compared with respect to fuel consumption (Sambo, 1997), damage to soils and residual trees during felling and terrain transport (MacDonell and Groot, 1997), as well as harvesting costs and machine system production rates (Lanford and Stokes, 1996; Hartsough et al., 1997; Plamondon and Page, 1997; Favreau and Gingras, 1998; Spinelli et al., 2014). In general, cut-to-length systems tend to have less soil impacts and residual tree damages (Bembenek et al., 2013a; 2013b; Han et al., 2006; 2009), as well as consume less fuels than full-tree harvesting (Ghaffariyan et al., 2015; Fuente et al. 2017; Athanassiadis et al., 1999; Greene et al., 2014). For economic efficiency, Uusitalo (2010) concludes that no general differences between the two methods, and the differences tend to be marginal and stand-dependent; full-tree system is in general more efficient on large-sized stands at short extraction distances (Uusitalo, 2010).

With regard to 'Environment' performance area of SF O, there have been a number of harvesting techniques and machinery options developed to reduce certain environmental impacts. For example, the use of wide tires or slash mats may reduce soil compaction (Spinelli and Magagnotti, 2011; Horn et al., 2007). Soil compaction can be further limited when CTL is combined with a chainsaw method on midfield, leading to fewer strip roads in the harvest stand (Mederski, 2006). Additionally, using larger machines (forwarders or skidders) can reduce the number of passes required to complete the timber extraction. Most countries, where active forest management is practiced will have pragmatic harvesting guidelines and best management practices that can help minimise negative impacts of forest operations and comply with the related laws (e.g. VDOF, 2014; Sessions, 2007).

The SFO approach is not just for selection of machines or harvesting systems, but also for a detailed operational planning to reduce potential negative impacts and improve their economic efficiency and safety (Contreras et al., 2016; Chung et al., 2008; Chung et al., 2004). For example, wet soil is a limiting factor for logging, but the majority of small water streams are not typically mapped, and soil conditions also vary throughout the year (Åre n et al., 2015). Detailed operational planning using technologies such as real-time mapping, sensors, and machine route optimization may help the machine avoid wet areas and thus reduce potential damages to soil and stand's regeneration capacity, while also reducing total driven distance and fuel consumption (Mohtashami et al., 2012; Jundé n, 2012; Lindroos et al., 2017).

One clear link to climate change for timber harvesting is the consumption of fossil fuels that drive the forest machines. In general, the way to reduce the CO_2 release per m³ wood utilized is to consume less fuel during harvesting. Despite the prevalence of accurate fuel consumption gauges in modern harvesting equipment, accurate information about actual fuel use during harvesting is somewhat difficult to find (Athanassiadis et al., 1999). The use of on-board electronic control units or on-site delivery systems makes it possible to collect detailed fuel consumption data (Manner et al., 2016; Kenney et al., 2014). However, some comprehensive studies provide insight into total fuel consumption. These studies highlight that fuel consumption for ground based and cable systems ranges from 2 to 4 1 m⁻³ (Oyier and Visser, 2016; Greene et al., 2014; Holzleitner, 2011).

Machine manufacturers typically focus on integrating energy efficient engines, transmissions and hydraulic systems because fuel is also a major cost component of timber harvesting. Previous studies indicate that on average fuel contributes 12.8% (Baker et al., 2013) and 18.5% (Baker and Greene, 2012) of total harvesting costs in the south-eastern USA; and constitutes 10% and 20% of total harvesting cost in Canada and Sweden, respectively (Nordfjell et al., 2003). New machine developments that use hybrid electric power systems can significantly reduce fuel use, i.e.

corresponding costs and CO_2 emissions. However, in general, manufacturing of forestry machines lags behind other industries in terms of fuel efficiency because they are produced in a relatively few numbers (Drushka and Konttinen 1997).

For non-renewable energy consumed during forest operations, some have argued that animal logging would have benefits over mechanization, especially in the areas where the use of machines is environmentally and economically unsustainable (Cerutti et al., 2014). Rydberg and Jansé n (2002) reported that 60% of the energy used by horse for traction was renewable whereas that of a tractor was only 9%. Engel et al. (2012) calculated the environmental performance of draught horses for forest operations using LCA and reported that horses are more climate-friendly than large-scale machines; per hectare of forest logged, a mixed animal-mechanized system had almost 45% lower GHG emissions than a fully mechanized system. Opponents to this argument are of the view that tractor work involves lower consumption of fuel than that needed to produce the feed consumed by animals to carry out the same amount of work (Cerutti et al., 2014). This is supported by Spinelli and Magagnotti (2011) who concluded in their study about that the introduction of modern machinery does not result in a higher consumption of fossil energy per unit of product in comparison with animals; suggesting a slight energy saving.

Illegal logging has long been recognized as a significant global problem that harms the sustainability of forest operations. A technological innovation, such as wood tracking information systems, could be a solution to confront illegal logging and reinforce regulations. Several tools and instruments have been developed to trace wood products along the supply chain (e.g., visual marking, barcodes, radio-frequency identification tags, etc.), and thus to prevent and control the trade of illegally logged timber products (Tzoulis et al., 2014; Picchi et al., 2015). These systems may support or in some cases substitute the current document-based certification designed for log tracking and forest

protection. Development and implementation of new technologies that can help reduce or eliminate any irresponsible forest operations will certainly help achieve the goals of SFO.

Special consideration in SFO is given to improving the operating environment for forest workers. There are several measures that can help improve work conditions. Regular training, PPE, locally calibrated safety procedures and fair contractual conditions are some of the fundamental measures for safety improvement. From a machine design and manufacturing perspective, it is important to use the latest standards for safety and ergonomics (Gellerstedt et al., 2006). The workers' health and safety is found to be improved by using partial to fully mechanized felling and extraction operations (Enache et al., 2016; Tsioras et al., 2014; Alam, 2012; Lindroos and Burström, 2010). There have been efforts to develop semi-automated or automated machines that are expected to further improve workers' health and safety, but the machine and system design needs to be done through a holistic approach considering interactions between human-machine, human-environment and machine-environment (Häggström, 2015; Lindroos, 2017).

The 'tuality optimization' performance area of SFO requires the development of methods, systems and techniques for assessing wood quality and optimizing tree bucking, allowing to reduce wood waste and optimize product quality and value. An increasing number of studies have been investigating or developing new methods and techniques to produce larger quantity, higher quality wood from the same or less harvest area. Quality optimization may help increase logging profitability while effectively meeting the society's demands for wood products (Andersson et al. 2016; Labelle et al., 2017; Nakahataet al., 2014). For example, a harvester head equipped with load cells and a near infrared spectrometer has been recently developed as part of a European Union research project called 'SLOPE'' (http://www.slopepr oject.eu). This harvester head is able to assess wood quality and incorporate the information into log assortment decisions in real-time.

New harvesting techniques are continuously developed due to technology development. The main enabler behind any significant technological changes are the availability of new technology; demand for new wood-based products; and the need for changes in current operations due to new regulations (Lindroos et al. 2017). Automation and robotization are examples of new technology that will soon dominate in forest operations (Parker et al. 2016; Visser and Harrill.2017).

6 Conclusions

The concept of sustainability is important in planning and practice of forest operations, and should encompass different aspects. This paper has described the evolving views of sustainability in forest operations, as well as the evolving challenges the field of forest operations has been facing. We suggest in this study that the proposed concept of SFO provides integrated perspectives and approaches to effectively address ongoing and foreseeable challenges while balancing forest operations performance across economic, environmental and social sustainability objectives. In this new concept, we emphasize that the forest workers' ergonomics, health and safety, and utilization efficiency and waste management are additional key elements that enrich the understanding of the sustainability in SFO. In addition, through the promotion of afforestation and reforestation, improved forest management, and green building and furnishing, the SFO concept further emphasizes the role of wood as a renewable and environmentally friendly material.

The balanced view of SFO should be continuously reflected on the best forest practices developed to meet local, regional and global needs of forests and people. The concept of SFO is not intended to provide any specific performance standards, but rather it provides an overarching framework in which individual performance and evaluation criteria can be developed for appropriate scales and purposes. Development of region-specific, practically relevant performance criteria are highly

desirable that meet local needs and maintain flexibility to evolve and be capable of incorporating ever-changing work environments and challenges.

For the practicality of SFO, it is essential to: (i) promote operationally safe, environmentally responsible, locally acceptable and economically viable forest mechanization; (ii) invest in workforce training that improve not only operational skills but also awareness of health and safety issues and quality operations that are sensitive to changing work conditions; (iii) develop certification programs to meet and enforce operator and performance efficiency standards; (iv) encourage forest professionals to improve their management skills and sustainable business strategies; (v) improve the forest workers' health and safety without compromising the profitability, viability and economic competitiveness of forest business and practices; and (vi) encourage renovation and innovation of forest machinery in order to improve the efficiency and reduce the negative ecological impact of forest operations. It is crucial for local, regional and global markets to recognize the important role of wood products and their sustainability in the bio-economy, and therefore provide their fair values in order to fulfill or further expand the sustainability of forest operations.

Acknowledgement

This work was developed within the IUFRO Task Force on Climate Change and Forest Health.

References

Abbas, D., Handler, R., 2018. Life-cycle assessment of forest harvesting and transportation operations in Tennessee. J. Clean. Prod., 176, 512-520. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.11.238.

- Abbas, D., Di Fulvio, F., Spinelli, R., 2017. European and United States Perspectives on Forest Operations in Environmentally Sensitive Areas. Scand. J. Forest Res., 33 (2), 188-201. https://doi.org/10.1080/02827581.2017.1338355.
- Abbas, D., Clatterbuck, W., 2015. A Survey Analysis of Harvesting Logistics in Tennessee. Eur. J. For. Eng., 1 (2): 84-92.
- Abbas, D., Handler, R., Hartsough, B., Dykstra, D., Lautala, P., Hembroff, L., 2014. A survey analysis of forest harvesting and transportation operations in Michigan. Croat. J. For. Eng., 35 (2): 179-192.
- Adams, P., Froehlich, H., 1981. Compaction of forest soils. PNW 217. A Pacific Northwest Ext. Publ. Oregon, Washington, Idaho, USA, p.16.
- Agherkakli, B., Najafi, A., Sadeghi, S., 2010. Ground based operation effects on soil disturbance by steel tracked skidder in a steep slope of forest. J. Forest Sci., 56 (6), 278-284. https://doi.org/10.17221/93/2009-JFS.
- Ågren, A.M., Lidberg, W., Ring, E., 2015. Mapping T emporal Dynamics in a Forest Stream Network-Implications for Riparian Forest Management , Forests, 6 (9), 2982-3001. https://doi.org/10.3390/f6092982.
- Aguilar, F.X., Cai, Z., 2010. Conjoint effect of environmental labeling, disclosure of forest of origin and price on consumer preferences for wood products in the US and UK. Ecol. Econ., 70 (2), 308–316 .https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2010.09 .002.
- Alam, M.M., Strandgard, N., Brown, M.W., Fox, J.C., 2012. Improving the productivity of mechanised harvesting systems using remote sensing. Austral. For., 75 (4), 238-245. https://doi.org/10.1080/00049158.2012.10676408.

- Albizu-Urionabarrenetxea, P.M., Tolosana-Esteban, E., Roman-Jordan, E., 2013. Safety and health in forest harvesting operations. Diagnosis and preventive actions. A review. For. Syst., 22 (3), 392-400. https://doi.org/10.5424/fs/2013223-02714.
- Arbetsmiljöverket, 2012. Skogsbruk. Korta arbetsska defakta nr 3/2012. Arbetsmiljöverket, Enheten för statistik och analys. Stockholm, Sweden.
- Ascher, W., 2007. Policy sciences contributions to analysis to promote sustainability. Sustain. Sci., 2
 (2), 141-149. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-007-00 31-z.
- Athanassiadis, D., 2000a. Resource consumption and emissions induced by logging machinery in a life cycle perspective. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae Sueciae. Silvestria, pp. 143.
- Athanassiadis, D., 2000b. Energy consumption and exhaust emissions in mechanized timber harvesting operations in Sweden. Sci. Total Environ., 255 (1-3), 135-143. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00463-0.
- Athanassiadis, D., Lidestav, G., Wästerlund, I., 1999. Fuel, hydraulic oil and lubricant consumption in Swedish mechanized harvesting operations, 1996. J. Forest Eng., 10 (1), 59-66. https://doi.org/10.1080/08435243.1999.10702725.
- Axelsson, S.Å, 1998. The mechanization of logging operations in Sweden and its effect on occupational safety and health. Int. J. Forest Eng., 9 (2), 25-31. https://doi.org/10.1080/08435243.1998.10702715.
- Baccini, P., Brunner, P.H., 1991. Metabolism of the Anthroposphere. Springer, Berlin, Germany. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-02693-9_(Accessed 28 March 2018).
- Baker, S., Greene, D., Harris, T., Mei, R., 2013. Regional Cost Analysis and Indices for Conventional Timber Harvesting Operations. Final Report to the Wood Supply Research Institute. 39 pp.

- Baker, S., Greene, W.D., 2012. Logging cost components in the US South. Paper presented at the 35th Council on Forest Engineering, New Bern, North Carolina.
- Ball, B.C., Horgan, G.W., Parker, J.P., 2000. Short-range spatial variation of nitrous oxide fluxes in relation to compaction and straw residues. Eur. J. Soil Sci., 51 (4), 607-616. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2389.2000.00347.x.
- Ballard, T., 2000. Impacts of forest management on northern forest soils. For. Ecol. Manag., 133 (1-2), 37-42. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(99)00 296-0.
- Baral, A., Malins, C., 2014. Assessing the climate mitigation potential of biofuels derived from residues and wastes in the European context. Washington, DC, International Council on Clean Transportation.
- Barua, S.K., Lehtonen, P., Pahkasalo, T., 2014. Plantation vision: potentials, challenges and policy options for global industrial forest plantation development. Int. For. Rev., 16 (2), 117-127. https://doi.org/10.1505/146554814811724801.
- Becker, F.D., 1985. Quality of work environment (QWE): Effects on office workers. Journal of Prevention and Intervention in the Community, 4 (1-2), 35-57. https://doi.org/10.1080/10852358509511160.
- Bell, J.L., 2002. Changes in logging injury rates associated with use of feller-bunchers in West Virginia. J. Safety Res., 33 (4), 463-471. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4375(02)00048-8

Bembenek, M., Giefing, D.F., Karaszewski, Z., Mederski, P.S., Szczepańska-Ávarez, A., 2013a. Tree damage in lowland spruce stands caused by early thinnings. Sylwan, 157 (10), 747-753.

Bembenek, M., Giefing, D.F., Karaszewski, Z., Mederski, P.S., Szczepańska-Ávarez, A., 2013b. Tree damage in lowland spruce stands because of late thinning. Sylwan, 157 (12), 892-898.

Berg, S, Karjalainen, T., 2003. Comparison of greenhouse gas emissions from forest operations in Finland and Sweden. Forestry, 76 (3), 272-284. https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/76.3.271.

- Bettinger, P., Bettinger, K., Boston, K., 1998. Correlation among spatial and non-spatial variables describing a cut-to-length thinning site in the Pacific Northwest, USA. For. Ecol. Manag., 104 (1-3), 139–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(97) 00250-8.
- Bettinger, P., Kellogg, L., 1993. Residual stand damage from cut-to-length thinning of second growth timber in the Cascade Range of western Oregon. Forest. Prod. J., 43, 59-64.
- Boisvenue, C., Running, S. W., 2006. Impacts of climate change on natural forest productivity evidence since the middle of the 20th century. Global Change Biol., 12 (5), 862-882. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01134.x.
- Brachetti Montorselli, N., Lombardini, C., Magagnotti, N., Marchi, E., Neri, F., Picchi, G., Spinelli,
 R., 2010. Relating safety, productivity and company type for motor-manual logging operations in
 the Italian Alps. Accident Anal. Prev., 42 (6), 2007-2012.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2010.06.011.
- Bragg, W., Ostrofsky, W., Hoffman, B., 1994. Residual tree damage estimates from partial cutting simulation. Forest Prod. J., 44, 19-22.
- Brando, P.M., Balch, J.K., Nepstad, D.C., Morton, D.C., Putz, F.E., Coe, M.T., Silvé rio, D., Macedo, M.N., Davidson, E.A., Nóbrega, C.C. Alencar, A., 2014. Abrupt increases in Amazonian tree mortality due to drought-fire intera ctions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111 (17), 6347-6352.
- Busse, M., Beattie, S., Powers, R., 2006. Microbial community responses in forest mineral soil to compaction, organic matter removal, and vegetation control. Can. J. For. Res., 36, 577–588. https://doi.org/10.1139/X05-294.
- Cai, Z., Aguilar, F.X., 2013. Meta-analysis of consumer's willingness-to-pay premiums for certified wood products. J. Forest Econ., 19 (1), 15–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfe.2012.06.007.

- Cambi, M., Certini, G., Neri, F. Marchi, E., 2015. The impact of heavy traffic on forest soils: A review. For. Ecol. Manag., 338, 124-138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.11.022.
- Cambi, M., Paffetti, D., Vettori, C., Picchio, R., Venanzi, R., Marchi, E., 2017a. Assessment of the impact of forest harvesting operations on the physical parameters and microbiological components on a Mediterranean sandy soil in an Italian stone pine stand. Eur. J. Forest Res., 136 (2), 205-215. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-016-10 20-5.
- Cambi, M., Hoshika, Y., Mariotti, B., Paoletti, E., Picchio, R., Venanzi, R., Marchi, E., 2017b.
 Compaction by a forest machine affects soil quality and Quercus robur L. seedling performance
 in an experimental field. For. Ecol. Manag., 384, 406-414.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2016.10.045.
- Cambi, M., Mariotti, B., Fabiano, F., Maltoni, A., Tani, A., Foderi, C., Laschi, A., Marchi, E., 2018. Early response of Quercus robur seedlings to soil compaction following germination. Land Degrad. Dev.. https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2912.
- Cerutti, A. K., Calvo, A., Bruun, S., 2014. Comparison of the environmental performance of light mechanization and animal traction using a modular LCA approach. J. Clean. Prod., 64, 396-403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.09.027.
- Chamen, T., Alakukku, L., Pires, S., Sommer, C., Spoor, G., Tijink, F., Weisskopf, P., 2003. Prevention strategies for field traffic-induced subsoil compaction: a review. Part 2. Equipment and field practices. Soil Till. Res., 73 (1-2), 61–174. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(03)00108-9.
- Chung, W., Sessions, J., Heinimann, H.R., 2004. An application of a heuristic network algorithm to cable logging layout design. Int. J. Forest Eng., 15 (1), 11-24. https://doi.org/10.1080/14942119.2004.10702485.

- Chung, W., Stückelberger, J., Aruga, K., Cundy, T., 2008. Forest Road Network Design Using a Trade-off Analysis between Skidding and Road Construction Costs. Can. J. For. Res., 38 (3), 439-448. https://doi.org/10.1139/X07-170.
- Conlin, T.S.S., van den Driessche, R., 2000. Response of soil CO2 and O2 concentrations to forest soil compaction at the Long-term Soil Productivity sites, Central British Columbia. Canadian J. Soil Sci., 80 (4), 625-632. https://doi.org/10.4141 /S99-085.
- Contreras, M.A., Parrott, D.L., Chung, W., 2016. Designing Skid-Trail Networks to Reduce Skidding Cost and Soil Disturbance for Ground-Based Timber Harvesting Operations. Forest Sci., 62 (1), 48-58. https://doi.org/10.5849/forsci.14-146.
- De Meo, I., Cantiani, M.G., Ferretti, F., Paletto, A., 2011. Stakeholders' perception as support for forest landscape planning. Int. J. Ecol., https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/685708
- Devlin, G., Klvač, R., McDonnell, K., 2013. Fuel efficiency and CO2 emissions of biomass based haulage in Ireland – A case study. Energy, 54, 55–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2013.03.007.
- Dimitri, L., 1983. Wound decay following tree injury in forestry: establishment, significanceandpossibilities of its prevention. Forstwiss. Centralbl,, 102, 68-79.
- Drushka, K., Konttinen, H., 1997. Tracks in the forest. Helsinki: Timberjack Group.
- Ducey, M., Larson, B., 1999. A fuzzy set approach to the problem of sustainability. For. Ecol. Manag., 115, 29-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1 127(98)00433-2.
- Dumroese, R.K., Williams, M.I., Stanturf, J.A., St. Clair, J.B., 2015. Considerations for restoring temperate forests of tomorrow: forest restoration, assisted migration, and bioengineering. New Forests, 46, 947-964. https://doi.org/1007/s11056-0 15-9504-6.
- Dykstra, D.P., Heinrich, R., 1992. Sustaining tropical forests through environmentally sound harvesting practices. Unasylva, 169.

- Dykstra, D.P., 2002. Reduced impact logging: concepts and issues. In Proceedings of the international conference: "Applying Reduced Impact Logging to Advance Sustainable Forest Management". 26 February to 1 March 2001Kuching, Ma laysia. Edited by Enters, T., Durst, P.B., Applegate, G.B., Kho, P.C.S., Man, G., Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Dykstra, D.P., 2012. Has reduced-impact logging outlived its usefulness? J. Trop. For. Sci., 24 (1), 1-4.
- Eliasson, L., 2005. Effects of Forwarder Tyre Pressure on Rut Formation and Soil Compaction. Silva Fennica, 39 (4), 549-557 https://doi.org/10.1 4214/sf.366.
- Elkington, J., 1994. Towards the sustainable corporation. Calif. Manage. Rev., 36 (2), 90 100. https://doi.org/10.2307/41165746.
- Elkington, J., 2004. Enter the triple bottom line. In: Henriques, A., Richardson, J. (Eds.), The Triple Bottom Line: Does It All Add up? Earthscan, London, pp. 1–16.
- Enache, A., Kihmaier, M., Visser, R., Stampfer, K., 2016. Forestry operations in the European mountains: a study of current practices and efficiency gaps. Scand. J. Forest Res., 31 (4), 412-427. https://doi.org/10.1080/02827581.2015.1130849.
- Engel, A.-M., Wegener, J., Lange, M., 2012. Greenhouse gas emissions of two mechanised wood harvesting methods in comparison with the use of draft horses for logging. Eur. J. For. Res., 131 (4), 1139-1149. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-011-0585-2.
- FAO, 1992. Introduction to ergonomics in forestry in developing countries. Forestry Paper No. 100.Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.

- FAO, 2004. Reduced impact logging in tropical forests: Literature synthesis, analysis and prototype statistical framework. Forest Harvesting and Engineering Programme. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- FAO, 2005. Global Forest Resources Assessment 2005. Progress towards sustainable forest management. Forestry Paper 147. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- FAO, 2013. Climate change guidelines for forest managers. FAO Forestry Paper No. 172. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- FAO, 2016a. Forestry for a low-carbon future. Integrating forests and wood products in climate change strategies. Rome.
- FAO, 2016b. Global Forest Resources Assessment 2015: How are the world's forests changing? 2nd ed. Rome, Italy.
- FAO, 2016c. Forest products statistics. 2014 Global Forest Products Facts and Figures. Rome, Italy.

FAO, 2016d. FAOSTAT database (available at www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home). Rome, Italy.

- Favreau, J., Gingras, J.F., 1998. An analysis of harvesting costs in Eastern Canada. FERIC, SR-129,8 pp, Pointe Claire, Canada.
- Fjeld, D., Granhus, A., 1998. Injuries after selection harvesting in multi- storied spruce stands-the influence of operating systems and harvest intensity. Int. J. Forest Eng., 9 (2), 33-40. https://doi.org/10.1080/08435243.1998.10702716.
- Fuente, T., 2017. System analysis and life cycle assessment of forest supply chains with integrated biomass production. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae Sueciae. Doctoral Thesis No. 2017:54. Faculty of Forest Sciences, SLU.

- Fuente, T., Athanassiadis, D., Gonzá lez-García, S., Nordfjell, T., 2017. Cradle-to-gate life cycle assessment of forest supply chains: Comparison of Canadian and Swedish case studies. J. Clean. Prod., 143, 866-881. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.12.034.
- Gandaseca, S., Yoshimura, T., 2001. Occupational safety, health and living conditions of forestry workers in indonesia. J. For. Res., 6 (4), 281-285. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02762469.
- Garfin, G., Franco, G., Blanco, H., Comrie, A., Gonzalez, P., Piechota, T., Smyth, R., Waskom, R., 2014. Chapter 20: Southwest. Climate Change Impacts in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment, Melillo, J. M., Richmond, T.C., and Yohe, G.W. Eds., U.S. Global Change Research Program, 462-486. https://doi.org/10.7930/J08G8HMN.
- Garland, J., 2013. A Look at Logger Education after Thirty-five Years. Proceedings of the Council on Forest Engineering Annual Meeting. July 7-10, 2013. Missoula, Montana. 12 pp.
- Gellerstedt, S., Eriksson, G., Frisk, S., Hultåker, O., Synwoldt, O., Tobisch, R., Weise, G. (eds.), 2006. European ergonomic and safety guidlines for forest machines 2006. A handbook produced by ErgoWood, a project co-financed by the European Commission.
- Ghaffariyan, M., Apolit, R., Kihmaier, M., (2015). Analysis and control of fuel consumption rates of harvesting systems: A review of international studies. Industry Bulletin 15, AFORA – Australian Forest operation research alliance, pp.4
- Gonzá lez-García, S., Gasol, C. M., Lozano, R. G., Moreira, M. T., Gabarrell, X., Rieradevall i Pons, J., Feijoo, G., 2011. Assessing the global warming potential of wooden products from the furniture sector to improve their eco-design. Sci. Total Environ., 410-411, 16-25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.09.059.
- Goutal, N., Parent, F., Bonnaud, P., Demaison, J., Nourrisson, G., Epron, D., Ranger, J., 2012. Soil CO2 concentration and efflux as affected by heavy traffic in forest in northeast France. European.
 J. Soil Sci., 63 (2), 261-271. https://doi.org/10.1 111/j.1365-2389.2011.01423.x.

- Grace, J.M., Clinton, B.D. 2007. Protecting soil and water in forest road management. American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers, 50 (5), 1579–1584.
- Greene, D., Biang, E., Baker, S.A., 2014. Fuel consumption rates of southern timber harvesting equipment. Paper presented at the 37th Council on Forest Engineering, Moline, Illinois. <u>http://www.cofe.frec.vt.edu/documents/2014/Greene,%20Biang%20and%20Baker%20Paper.pdf</u>, (accessed 28 March 2018).
- Gregow, H., 2013. Impact of strong winds, heavy snow loads and soil frost conditions on the risks to forests in Northern Europe. Academic dissertation. University of Eastern Finland, Joensuu. Finnish Meteorological Institute Contributions 94, FMI-C. 178 pp.
- Gullison, R.E., Hardner, J.J., 1993. The Effects of Road Design and Harvest Intensity on Forest Damage Caused by Selective Logging: Empirical Results and a Simulation Model from Bosque Chimanes, Bolivia. For. Ecol. Manag., 59 (1-2), 1-4. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1127(93)90067-W.
- Hahn, W.A., Knoke, T., 2010. Sustainable development and sustainable forestry: Analogies, differences, and the role of flexibility. Eur. J. For. Res., 129 (5), 787-801. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-010-0385-0.
- Hakkila, P., 2004. Developing technology for large-scale production of forest chips wood energy technology programme 1999-2003. Helsinki: VTT Processes; National Technology Agency (Technology programme report 6/2004 final report); 54 p. ISBN 952-457-101-3.
- Han, H.S., Kellogg, L.D., 2000. Damage characteristics in Young Douglas-fir stand from commercial thinning with four timber harvesting systems. West. J. Appl. For., 15 (1), 27–33. https://doi.org/10.1093/wjaf/15.1.27.

- Han, S.K., Han, H.S., Johnson, L.R., Page-Dumroese, D.S., 2006. Impacts on soils from cut-tolength and whole tree harvesting. The 29th Council on Forest Engineering Conference. Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, July 30 - August 2, 2006. Chung, W. and Han, H.S., editors. pp. 307-319.
- Han, S.K., Han, H.S., Page-Dumroese, D.S., Johnson, L.R., 2009. Soil compaction associated with cut-to-length and whole-tree harvesting of a conife rous forest. Can. J. For. Res., 39 (5), 976-989. https://doi.org/10.1139/X09-027.
- Handler, R.M., Shonnard, D.R., Lautala, P., Abbas, D., Srivastava, A., 2014. Environmental impacts of roundwood supply chain options in Michigan: life-cycle assessment of harvest and transport stages. J. Clean. Prod., 76, 64-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.04.040.
- Hartmann, M., Niklaus, P., Zimmermann, S., Schmutz, S., Kremer, J., Abarenkov, K., Lüscher, P., Widmer, F., Frey, B., 2014. Resistance and resilience of the forest soil microbiome to loggingassociated compaction. ISME Journal, 8, 226-244. ht tps://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.141.
- Hartmann, M., Niklaus, P., Zimmermann, S., 2013. Resistance and resilience of the forest soil microbiome to logging-associated compaction. ISME Journal, 8 (1), 226-44. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.141.
- Hartsough, B.R., Drews, E.S., McNeel, J.F., Durston, T.A., Stokes, B.J., 1997. Comparison of mechanized systems for thinning Ponderosa pine and mixed conifer stands. Forest. Prod. J., 47 (11/12), 59-68.
- Häggströn, C., 2015. Human factors in mechanized cu t-to-length forest operations. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae Sueciae. Doctoral Thesis No. 2015:59. Faculty of Forest Sciences, SLU.
- Heinimann, H.R., 2007. Forest operations engineering and management-the ways behind and ahead of a scientific discipline. Croat. J. For. Eng., 28 (1), 107-121.

- Heinrich, R., 1999. Sustainable forest harvesting 1. Environmentally Sound Forest HarvestingOperations. In: Research on environmentally sound forest practices to sustain tropical forests.Edited by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).
- Holzleitner, F., Stampfer, K., Visser, R., 2011. Utilization rates and cost factors in timber harvesting based on long-term machine data. Croat. J. For. Eng., 32 (2), 501-508.
- Horn, R., Vossbrink, J., Peth, S., Becker, S., 2007. Impact of modern forest vehicles on soil physical properties. For. Ecol. Manag., 248; 56-63. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2007.02.037.
- IPCC, 2014. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp.
- Isomäki, A., Kallio, T., 1974. Consequences of injury caused by timber harvesting machines on the growth and decay of spruce (Picea abies (L.) Karst.). Acta Forestalia Fennica, 136, 1-25.
- Jafry, T., O'Neill, D.H., 2000. The application of ergonomics in rural development: a review. App. Ergon., 31 (3), 263-268.
- Julin, K., Sälä, P., Talonpoika, L., Aho, M., Kaar akka, V., Kyyrönen, K., 2010. The international promotion of wood construction as a part of climate policy. Working Group Report. Helsinki, Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Finland.
- Jundé n, L., 2012. Optimization of forest strip roadnetworks. Internal report, UMIT Research Lab.
- Karaszewski, Z., Bembenek, M., Mederski, P.S., Szczepańska-Alvarez, A., Byczkowski, R., Kozłowska, A., Michnowicz, K., Przytuła, W., Giefing, D.F., 2013. Identifying beech round wood quality –distributions and the influence of d efects on grading. Drewno, 56 (189), 39-54.
- Kastenhofer, K., Rammel, C., 2005. Obstacles to and potentials of the societal implementation of sustainable development : a comparative analysis of two case studies. Sustainability: Science, Practice and Policy, 1 (2), 5-13. https://doi.org/10.1080/15487733.2005.11907968.

- Keenan, R.J., Reams, G.A., Achard, F., de Freitas, J. V., Grainger, A., Lindquist, E., 2015. Dynamics of global forest area: Results from the FAO Global Forest Resources Assessment 2015. For. Ecol. Manag., 352, 9-20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2015.06.014.
- Kenney, J., Gallagher, T., Smidt, M., McDonald, T., Mitchel, D., 2014. Factors that affect fuel consumption in logging systems. Paper presented at the Council on Forest Engineering, Moline, Illinois.
- Kilpelänen, A., Kellomäki, S., Strandman, H., Venä länen, A., 2010. Climate change impacts on forest fire potential in boreal conditions in Finland. Climatic change, 103 (3-4), 383-398. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-009-9788-7.
- Kirilenko, A.P., Sedjo, R.A., 2007. Climate change impacts on forestry. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 104 (50), 19697-19702. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0701424104.
- Kleibl, M., Klvač, R., Lombardini, C., Porhaly, J., Spinelli, R., 2014. Soil compaction and recovery after mechanized final felling of Italian coastal pine plantations. Croat. J. For. Eng., 35 (1), 63-71.
- Klein, D., Wolf, C., Schulz, C., Weber-Blaschke, G., 2015. 20 years of life cycle assessment (LCA) in the forestry sector: state of the art and a methodical proposal for the LCA of forest production.
 Int. J. Life Cycle Ass., 20 (4), 556-575. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-015-0847-1.
- Klvač, R., Fischer, R., Skoupý, A., 2012. Energy Use of and Emissions from the Operation Phase of a Medium Distance Cableway System. Croat. J. For. Eng., 33 (1), 79-88.
- Klvač, R., Skoupý, A., 2009. Characteristic fuel consumption and exhaust emissions in fully mechanized logging operations. J. Forest Res., 14 (6), 328-334. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10310-009-0143-7.
- Koehler, D., Hecht, A.D., 2006. Sustainability, well being, and environmental protection: perspectives and recommendations from an Environmental Protection Agency forum.

- Kolströn, M., Vilé n T., Lindner M., 2011. Climate Change Impacts and Adaptation in European Forests. EFI Policy Brief 6, European Forest Institute. <u>http://www2.efi.int/files/attachments/publications/efi_policy_brief_6_eng_net.pdf</u>. (Accessed 28 March 2018).
- Korpilahtia, A., 1998. Finnish forest energy systems and CO2 consequences. Biomass Bioenerg., 15 (4-5), 293-297. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0961-9534(98)00037-3.
- Labelle, E.R., Jaeger, D., 2011. Soil Compaction Caused by Cut-to-Length Forest Operations and Possible Short-Term Natural Rehabilitation of Soil Density. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 75 (6), 2314-2329. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2011.0109.
- La Notte, A., D'Amato, D., Mäkinen, H., Paracchini, M.L., Liquete, C., Egoh, B., Geneletti, D., Crossman, N.D., 2017. Ecosystem services classification: A systems ecology perspective of the cascade framework. Ecol. Indic., 74, 392-402.
- Lanford, B.L., Stokes, B.J., 1996. Comparison of two thinning systems. Part 2. Productivity and costs. Forest. Prod. J., 46 (11-12), 47-53.
- Laschi, A., Gonzá lez-García, S., Marchi, E., 2016a. Forest operations in coppice: Environmental assessment of two different logging methods. Sci. Total Environ., 562, 493-503. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.04.041.
- Laschi, A., Gonzá lez-García, S., Marchi, E., 2016b.Environmental performance of wood pellets' production through life cycle analysis. Energy, 103, 469-480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2016.02.165.

- Laschi, A., Marchi, E., Foderi, C., Neri, F., 2016c. Identifying causes, dynamics and consequences of work accidents in forest operations in an alpine context. Safety Sci., 89, 28-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2016.05.017.
- Laschi, A., Neri, F., Brachetti Montorselli, N., Marchi, E., 2016d. A methodological approach exploiting modern techniques for forest road network planning. Croat. J. For. Eng., 37 (2), 319-331.
- Lawson, S., MacFaul, L., 2010. Illegal Logging and Related Trade: Indicators of the Global Response. Chatham House, The Royal Institute of International Affairs, London. <u>https://www.chathamhouse.org/publications/papers/view/109398</u> (Accessed 28 March 2018).
- Limbeck-Lilienau, B., 2003. Residual stand damage caused by mechanized harvesting systems. In Steinmuller, T. and Stampfer, K. (Eds.), Proceedings of high tech forest operations for mountainous terrain. 5-9 Oct. Schlaegl-Austro, 2003. Vienna: University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences.
- Lindner, M., Garcia-Gonzalo, J., Kolströn, M., Gree n, T., Reguera, R., Maroschek, M., Seidl, R., Lexer, M.J., Netherer, S., Schopf, A. Kremer, A., 2008. Impacts of climate change on European forests and options for adaptation. Report to the European Commission Directorate-General for Agriculture and Rural Development, pp.1-173.
- Lindner, M., Maroschek, M., Netherer, S., Kremer, A., Barbati, A., Garcia-Gonzalo, J., Seidl, R., Delzon, S., Corona, P., Kolströn, M. Lexer, M.J., 2010. Climate change impacts, adaptive capacity, and vulnerability of European forest ecosystems. For. Ecol. Manag., 259 (4), 698-709. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.023.
- Lindroos, O., La Hara, P., Häggströn, C., 2017. Dri vers of advances in mechanized timber harvesting –a selective review of technological in novation. Croat. J. For. Eng., 38 (2), 243-258.
- Lindroos, O., Burströn, L., 2010. Accident rates and types among self-employed private forest owners. Accident Anal. Prev., 42 (6), 1729-1735. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2010.04.013.

- MacDonell, M.R., Groot, A., 1997. Harvesting peatland black spruce: impacts on advance growth and site disturbance. Forest. Chron., 73 (2), 249-255. https://doi.org/10.5558/tfc73249-2.
- Magagnotti, N., Spinelli, R., Güdner, O., Erler, J., 2012 Site impact after motor-manual and mechanised thinning in Mediterranean pine plantations. Biosyst. Eng., 113 (2), 140-147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2012.07.001.
- Magagnotti, N., Ottaviani Aalmo, G., Brown, M., Spinelli, R., 2016. A new device for reducing winching cost and worker effort in steep terrain operations, Scand. J. Forest Res., 31 (6), 602-610. https://doi.org/10.1080/02827581.2015.1133845.
- Manner, J., Nordfjell, T., Lindroos, O., 2013. Effects of the Number of Assortments and Log Concentration on Time Consumption for Forwarding. Silva Fennica, 47 (4). https://doi.org/10.14214/sf.1030.
- Manner, J., Nordfjell, T., Lindroos, O., 2016. Automatic load level follow-up of forwarders' fuel and time consumption. Int. J. Forest Eng., 27 (3), 151-160. https://doi.org/10.1080/14942119.2016.1231484.
- Marchi, E, Picchio, R, Spinelli, R, Verani, S, Venanzi, R, Certini, G., 2014. Environmental impact assessment of different logging methods in pine forests thinning. Ecol. Eng., 70, 429-436. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.06.019.
- Mederski, P.S., 2006. A comparison of harvesting productivity and costs in thinning operations with and without midfield. For. Ecol. Manag., 224, 286-296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2005.12.042.
- Mederski, P.S., Jakubowski, M., Karaszewski, Z., 2009. The Polish landscape changing due to forest policy and forest management. iForest, 2, 140-142. https://doi.org/10.3832/ifor0503-002.

- Miner, R., Gaudreault, C., 2016. Research Case 1: The potential of HWP in mitigation: additional storage of carbon in primary wood products. In: Background papers on forests for a low-carbon future. FAO, Rome, Italy,
- Mohtashami, S., Bergkvist, I., Löfgren, B., Berg, S., 2012. A GIS Approach to Analyzing Off-Road Transportation: a Case Study in Sweden, Croat. J. For. Eng., 33 (2), 275-284.
- Nabuurs, G.J., Masera, O., Andrasko, K., Benitez-Ponce, P., Boer, R., Dutschke, M., Elsiddig, E., Ford-Robertson, J., Frumhoff, P., Karjalainen, T., Krankina, O., Kurz, W.A., Matsumoto, M., Oyhantcabal, W., Ravindranath, N.H., Sanz Sanchez, M.J., Zhang, X., 2007. Forestry. In: Climate Change 2007: Mitigation. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Metz, B., Davidson, O.R., Bosch, P.R., Dave, R., Meyer, L.A. (eds)], Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Nearing, M.A., Pruski, F.F., O'neal, M.R., 2004. Expected climate change impacts on soil erosion rates: a review. J. Soil Water Conserv., 59 (1), 43-50.
- Nikooy, M, Rashidi, R, Kocheki, G., 2010. Residual trees injury assessment after selective cutting in broadleaf forest in Shafaroud. Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences, 8 (2), 173-9.
- Nordfjell, T., Athanassiadis, D., Talbot, B., 2003. Fuel consumption in forwarders. Int. J. Forest Eng., 14 (2), 11-20.
- Owende, PMO, Lyons, J, Haarlaa, R, Peltola, A, Spinelli, R, Molano, J, Ward, SM., 2002. Operations protocol for eco-efficient wood harvesting on sensitive sites. ECOWOOD Partnership. Owende, P.M.O., Lyons, J. and S.M. Ward (Editors). Dublin, Ireland. 74 pp. <u>http://www.ucd.ie/foresteng/html/ecowood/op.pdf</u> (Accessed 28 March 2018).
- Oyier, P., Visser, R., 2016. Fuel consumption of timber harvesting systems in New Zealand. Eur. J. For. Eng., 2 (2), 67-73.

- Page-Dumroese, D.S., 1993. Susceptibility of Volcanic Ash-Influenced Soil in Northern Idaho to Mechanical Compaction. USDA, Forest Service, Research Note 409, p. 1-6.
- Palma, V., Ruter, S. Federici, S., 2016. Research Case 2: Trend in quantity and carbon pool of three major HWP commodities. In: Background papers on forests for a low-carbon future. FAO, Rome, Italy,
- Parker, R., Bayne, K., Clinton, P.W., 2016. Robotics in forestry. New Zealand Journal of Forestry, 60 (4):8-14.
- Pechmann, H.V., 1974. The influence of thinning methods on the quality of timber. Forstarchiv, 45, 34-38.
- Picchi, G., Kühmaier, M., de Dios Díaz Marqué s, J., 2015. Survival test of RFID UHF tags in timber harvesting operations. Croat. J. For. Eng., 36 (2), 165-174.
- Picchio, R., Neri, F., Maesano, M., Savelli, S., Sirna, A, Blasi, S., Baldini, S., Marchi, E., 2011.
 Growth effects of thinning damage in a Corsican pine (Pinus laricio Poiret) stand in central Italy.
 For. Ecol. Manag., 262, 237–243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.03.028.
- Picchio, R., Neri, F., Petrini, E., Verani, S., Marchi, E., Certini, G., 2012. Machinery-induced soil compaction in thinning two pine stands in central Italy. For. Ecol. Manag., 285, 38-43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.08.008.
- Pinard, M., Barker, M., Tay, J., 2000. Soil disturbance and post-logging forest recovery on bulldozer paths in Sabah, Malaysia. For. Ecol. Manag., 130, 213-225. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(99)00192-9.
- Plamondon, J.A., Page, G.E., 1997. A comparison of the lumber yield from cut-to-length and fulltree harvesting systems. FERIC, TN-257, 8 pp, Pointe Claire; Canada.
- Putz, F.E., Sist, P., Fredericksen, T., Dykstra, D., 2008. Reduced-impact logging: Challenges and opportunities. For. Ecol. Manag., 256, 1427-1433. h ttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2008.03.036.

- Putz, F.E., Pinard, M., 1993. Reduced-impact logging as a carbon-offset method. Conserv. Biol., 7
 (4), 755–757. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.1 993.7407551.x.
- Rackely, J., Chung, W., 2008. Incorporating forest road erosion into forest resource transportation planning; a case study in the Mica Creek Watershed in Northern Idaho, U.S.A. Transactions of ASABE 51 (1), 115-127.
- Riala M., Athanassiadis D., la Hera P., Rodriguez J., 2015. Development potential of inventions in forest biomass harvesting. D 6.3. INFRES project report. <u>http://www.forestenergy.org/observer:get_page/observer/action/details/itemid/706</u> (Accessed 28 March 2018).
- Rittenhouse, C.D. Rissman, A.R., 2015. Changes in winter conditions impact forest management in north temperate forests. J. Env. Manage., 149, 157-167. . https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.10.010.
- Rowell, R.M., 2013. Handbook of Wood Chemistry and Wood Composites (Second edition), CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group, USA.
- Rydberg, T. Jansé n, J., 2002. Comparison of horse and tractor traction using emergy analysis. Ecol. Eng., 19 (1), 13-28. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8574(02)00015-0.
- Sacchelli, S., Bernetti, I., De Meo, I., Fiori, L., Paletto, A., Zambelli, P., Ciolli, M., 2014. Matching socio-economic and environmental efficiency of wood-residues energy chain: A partial equilibrium model for a case study in Alpine area. J. Clean. Prod., 66, 431-442. . https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.11.059.
- Sakai, H., Nordfjell, T., Suadicani, K., Talbot, B., Bølehuus, E. 2008. Soil compaction on forest soils from different kinds of tires and tracks and possibility of accurate estimate. Croat. J. For. Eng. 29 (1), 15-27.

- Sambo, S.M., 1997. Fuel consumption estimates for typical coastal British Columbia forest operations. FERIC, Vancouver, BC. Technical Note TN-259. 4 pp.
- Samset, I., 1985. Winch and cable systems. Springer science, Forestry book 18. Originally published by Martinus Nijhoff/Dr W. Junk Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 539 pp.
- Schweier, J., Spinelli, R., Magagnotti, N., Becker, G., 2015. Mechanized coppice harvesting with new small-scale feller-bunchers: results from harve sting trials with newly manufactured felling heads in Italy. Biomass Bioenerg., 72, 85-94. . https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2014.11.013.
- Sedjo, R.A., 2010. Adaptation of Forests to Climate Change: Some Estimates. http://ssrn.com/abstract=1552178 (Accessed 28 March 2018). http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1552178.
- Sessions, J., 2007. Harvesting operations in the Tropics. Springer, Berlin.
- Shigo, A.L., 1966. Decay and discoloration following logging wounds on northern hardwoods. USDA Forest Service Research Paper, NE 47, 1-43.
- Shugart, H.H., Sedjo, R.A., Sohngen, B., 2003. 'For est and Climate Change: Potential Impacts on the Global U.S. Forest Industry.' Report prepared f or the Pew Center on Climate Change.
- Sist, P, Sheil, D, Kartawinata, K, Priyadi, H., 2003. Reduced-impact logging in Indonesian Borneo: some results confirming the need for new silvicultural prescriptions. For. Ecol. Manag., 179, 415–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(02)00533 -9.
- Spinelli, R., Lombardini, C., Magagnotti, N., 2014. The effect of mechanization level and harvesting system on the thinning cost of Mediterranean softwood plantations. Silva Fennica, 48 (1), art. no. 1003. https://doi.org/10.14214/sf.1003
- Spinelli, R, Magagnotti, N, Nati, C., 2010. Benchmarking the impact of traditional small-scale logging systems used in Mediterranean forestry. For. Ecol. Manag., 260, 1997-2001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.08.048.

- Spinelli, R., Magagnotti, N., 2011. The effects of introducing modern technology on the financial, labour and energy performance of forest operations in the Italian Alps. Forest Policy Econ., 13 (7), 520-524. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2011.06.009.
- Spinelli, R, Visser, R, Riond, C, Magagnotti, N., 2016. A survey of logging contract rates in the Southern European Alps. Small-Scale for., 16, 179-193. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11842-016-9350-1.
- Stampfer, K., Leitner, T., Visser, R., 2010. Efficiency and ergonomic benefits of using radio controlled chockers in cable yarding. Croat. J. For. Eng., 31 (1), 1-9.
- Suttles, S.A., Tyner, W.E., Shively, G., Sands, R.D., Sohngen, B., 2014. Economic effects of bioenergy policy in the United States and Europe: A general equilibrium approach focusing on forest biomass. Renew. Energy, 69, 428-436. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2014.03.067
- Sygnatur, E.F., 1998. Logging is Perilous Work. Compensation and Working Conditions, Winter: 3-9.
- Tavankar, F., Bonyad, A., Marchi, E., Venanzi, R., Picchio, R., 2015. Effect of logging wounds on diameter growth of beech (Fagus orientalis Lipsky) trees following selection cutting in Caspian forests of Iran. New Zealand J. Forest Sci., 45, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40490-015-0052-9.
- Tavankar, F., Picchio, R., Nikooy, M., Lo Monaco, A., Bodaghi, A.I., 2017. Healing rate of logging wounds on broadleaf trees in Hyrcanian forest and some technological implications. Drewno, 60 (199), 65-80. https://doi.org/10.12841/wood.1644-39 85.200.05.
- Teepe, R., Brumme, R., Beese, F., Ludwig, B., 2004. Nitrous oxide emission and methane consumption following compaction of forest soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 68 (2), 605-611. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2004.0605.
- Topp, E., Pattey, E., 1997. Soils as sources and sinks for atmospheric methane. Can. J. Soil Sci., 77 (2), 167–178. https://doi.org/10.4141/S96-107.

- Tsioras P.A., Rottensteiner C., Stampfer K., 2014. Wood harvesting accidents in the Austrian State Forest Enterprise 2000-2009. Safety Sci., 62, 400-408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2013.09.016.
- Tzoulis I.K., Andreopoulou Z.S., Voulgaridis E., 2014. Wood tracking information systems to confront illegal logging. J. Agr. Inf., 5 (1), 9-17.
- United Nations, 1992. United Nations Conference on Environment & Development Rio de Janerio, Brazil, 3 to 14 June 1992. In Rio de Janeiro, p. 351. <u>http://www.un.org/esa/sustdev/documents/agenda21/english/Agenda21.pdf</u> (Accessed 28 March 2018).
- U.S. BLS, 2015. National Census of Fatal Occupational Injuries in 2014. (Preliminary Results). United State Bureau of Labor Statistics.
- USDA Forest Service, 2014. USDA Forest Service Climate Change Adaptation Plan 2014. Draft. <u>http://www.usda.gov/oce/climate_change/adaptation/Forest_Service.pdf</u> (Accessed 28 March 2018).
- USDA Forest Service, 2015 Forest Insect and Disease Conditions, Rocky Mountain Region. USDA Forest Service. State & Private Forestry & Tribal Relations, 119 pp.
- Uusitalo, J., 2010. Introduction to forest operations and technology. JVP Forest Systems
- van Mantgem, P.J., Stephenson, N.L., 2007. Apparent climatically induced increase of tree mortality rates in a temperate forest. Ecology Letters, 10 (10), 909-916. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01080.x.
- Vanclay, J.K., 1993. Environmentally sound timber harvesting: Logging guidelines, conservation reserves and rehabilitation studies, in: Lieth, H., Lohmann, M. (Eds.), Restoration of Tropical Forest Ecosystems. Tasks for Vegetation Science 30, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, pp. 185–192.

- Vasiliauskas, R., 2001. Damage to trees due to forestry operations and its pathological significance in temperate forests: a literature review. Forestry, 74, 319-336. https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/74.4.319.
- VDOF, 2014. Silvicultural Best Management Practices Implementation Monitoring for Virginia. <u>http://dof.virginia.gov/infopubs/_bmp-reports/BMPs-Imp-Monitoring-2014_pub.pdf</u> (Accessed 28 March 2018).
- Veisten, K., 2002. Potential demand for certified wood products in the United Kingdom and Norway. Forest Sci., 48 (4), 767-778.
- Visser, R., Stampfer, K., 2015. Expanding Ground-based Harvesting onto Steep Terrain: A Review. Croat. J. For. Eng., 36 (2), 321-331.
- Visser, R., Harrill, H., 2017. Cable yarding in North America and New Zealand: A review of developments and practices. Croat. J. For. Eng., 38 (2), 209-217.
- Wang, S., 2004. One hundred faces of sustainable forest management. Forest Policy Econ., 6 (3-4), 205-213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2004.03.004.
- WCED -World Commission on Environment and Development, 1987. Our Common Future (The Brundtland Report).
- Westerling, A.L., Hidalgo, H.G., Cayan, D.R. Swetnam, T.W., 2006. Warming and earlier spring increase western US forest wildfire activity. Science, 313 (5789), 940-943. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1128834.
- Wihersaari, M., 2005. Greenhouse gas emissions from final harvest fuel chip production in Finland. Biomass Bioenerg., 28 (5), 435-443. https://doi.org /10.1016/j.biombioe.2004.11.007.
- Wilkie, M.L., Holmgren, P., Castaneda, F., 2003. Sustainable forest management and the ecosystem approach. Two concepts, one goal. Food and Agriculture Organization, Forest Resources Development Service, Rome, Italy.

- Williamson, J.R., Neilsen, W.A., 2000. The influence of forest site on rate and extent of soil compaction and profile disturbance of skid trails during ground-based harvesting. Can. J. For. Res., 30, 1196-1205. https://doi.org/10.1139/x00-04 1.
- Worrell, R., Hampson, A., 1997. The influence of some forest operations on the sustainable management of forest soils: a review. Forestry, 70 (1), 61-85. https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/70.1.61.
- Yashiro, Y., Kadir, W.R., Okuda, T., Koizumi, H., 2008. The effects of logging on soil greenhouse gas (CO2, CH4, N2O) flux in a tropical rain forest, Peninsular Malaysia. Agr. For. Meteorol., 148 (5), 799-806. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrform et.2008.01.010.
- Zhang, Y., McKechnie, J., Cormier, D., Lyng, R., Mabee, W., Ogino, A., MacLean, H.L., 2009. Life cycle emissions and cost of producing electricity from coal, natural gas, and wood pellets in Ontario, Canada. Environ. Sci. Technol., 44 (1), 538-544. https://doi.org/10.1021/es902555a.