A DEVELOPMENTAL CASE STUDY: IMPLEMENTING THE THEORY OF REALISTIC MATHEMATICS EDUCATION WITH LOW ATTAINERS

by
Hayley Elizabeth Barnes

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Magister Educationis

in

Curriculum and Instructional Design and Development

Department of Curriculum Studies

Faculty of Education

University of Pretoria

Supervisor: Prof Tjeerd Plomp

Co - supervisor: Dr Brigitte Smit

April 2004

ABSTRACT

The research documented in this report had a twofold purpose. Firstly, it was to design and implement an intervention based on the theory of Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) aimed at improving the mathematical understanding of learners in two Grade 8 remedial mathematics classes, by revisiting the key number concepts of place value, fractions and decimals. In doing so, a second purpose was to investigate the viability and emerging characteristics of an intervention based on the theory of RME in such a setting (i.e. with *low attainers* to *revisit key number concepts*). Pending the realisation of these immediate outcomes, more distant outcomes in subsequent research would be: that learners' understanding and academic performance in mathematics improves and to develop a local instruction theory in using the RME theory to revisit the concepts of place value, fractions and decimals with low attaining learners in order to improve their understanding in this regard.

Grade 8 low attainers were selected as the target group for this research as a result of the pending implementation of Mathematical Literacy as a compulsory subject for all learners, possibly from 2006. Currently in South Africa, learners who are not meeting the required standard by the end of their Grade 9 year are able to elect not to take mathematics through Grades 10, 11 and 12. When the new Further Education and Training (FET) policy is implemented, this will no longer be the case. All learners, who do not elect to take mathematics as a subject, will have to take Mathematical Literacy as a compulsory subject throughout Grades 10, 11 and 12. Although less detailed and abstract than the subject mathematics, the Mathematical Literacy curriculum still requires learners to have an understanding of key number concepts and also contains a substantial amount of algebra. As Grade 8 is when learners start working with algebra more formally, and is also their first year at secondary school, it was decided that this would be an appropriate year to try and diagnose and remediate problems in learners' understanding of the key number concepts, if and where possible. The intention was that this would then equip learners with a more appropriate structure of conceptualised knowledge of the above-mentioned concepts on which they could further construct their understanding of algebra.

The study was carried out at a local urban high school in South Africa and the research design of this study was informed by two development research approaches (van den Akker & Plomp, 1993; Gravemeijer, 1994). Also, the study was only implemented with a small number of participants, within a bounded setting and without the intention to generalise the results. It was therefore regarded as a development *case study*. The results appear to indicate that it is viable to apply the theory of RME with low attaining Grade 8 learners in order to revisit the key number concepts of place value, fractions and decimals.

Key words:

Low attainers; Realistic Mathematics Education (RME); remedial mathematics classes; low achievers; development research; remediation; Special Educational Needs; key number concepts; mathematics intervention

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

So many people played a role in assisting me during the course of this study and I am grateful to them all.

- To the school who allowed me to "return" to do research, the 12 learners who took part in the intervention and the two teachers who participated in the study. Also to the staff at the school who helped out so willingly when I needed assistance or records of learners. The study would not have been possible without them.
- My supervisor, Professor Tjeerd Plomp, who gently and patiently guided and supported
 me, and from whom I learnt so much about academic writing, the art of supervising,
 development research, Realistic Mathematics Education and perseverance.
- My co-supervisor, Dr Brigitte Smit, for her willing support and assistance, especially
 during the past three months with the research design and all the details. And for teaching
 me about "epistemology".
- Elsie Venter for her invaluable and patient help: with SPSS, the technical checking and for finding conclusions when I could not. Also for her prayers, friendship, encouragement and support throughout the study.
- Professor Sarah Howie for her valued advice and for supporting me in getting into the
 masters programme, for allowing me the space to complete it and for believing the
 masters would get done, even when I did not.
- Vanessa Scherman and Tina Lopes for all the discussions about the study, for reviewing
 the proposal and for their kindness and willing advice. And to Vanessa for her helpful and
 willing assistance with the references.
- Suné Janse van Vuuren for her help with the data and checking, and for her role as assistant researcher.
- Nghitsi Ndambe and Michelle van Gelder for also assisting as observers during the lessons.
- Suzette van Deventer for her support and for always listening.
- Rinelle Evans for her kindness, help and support, especially during the last few difficult weeks.
- Professor Billy Fraser and Professor Jonathan Jansen for making it possible for me to take the time off to complete the report.

- Andy Begg for his valuable input into earlier drafts and for his encouragement and assistance in New Zealand in December.
- Chris Breen for his reviewing earlier drafts and for his honesty and willingness to always help out.
- Maarten Dolk, Cathy Fosnot, Pauline Vos, Cyril Julie and Jeffrey Choppin for so willingly sharing their time and their knowledge of RME with me.
- Mrs Alison Kitto for teaching my students while I was on leave and for always being such a wonderful example of an educator to me.
- My family for always listening so patiently even when they did not understand why or what I was undertaking and for always believing in me.
- My friends for their prayers, their advice, their patience and for keeping me sane during the past three months.
- Mr M for being such a wonderful companion through the early mornings and late nights, for providing inspiration for some of the contextual problems and for teaching me about routine. I will miss you.
- Soli Deo Gloria

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTR	ACT	i
ACKNO	OWLEDGEMENTS	iii
List of A	Acronyms	ix
List of	Γables	X
List of l	Figures	xii
~~.		_
	TER ONE	
	ction	
1.1	Overview	
1.2	Background and context of the inquiry	
1.3	Rationale of the inquiry	
1.4	Problem statement	
1.4		
1.4	Research question	8
1.5	Research approach	9
1.6	Scope	11
1.7	Limitations	12
1.8	Summary	13
1.9	Outline and organisation of this report	14
СНАР	ΓER TWO	15
RME as	a theoretical framework	15
2.1	Introduction	
2.2	Low attainers	15
2.3	Teaching and learning Mathematics (with specific reference to low attainers)	16
2.3	Possible characteristics and causes of low attainment	18
2.3	3.2 Improving teaching and learning Mathematics for low attaining learners	20
2.4	Relevant environmental aspects in an instructional approach for low atta	
	rners	_
2.4		
2.4		
2.4		
	r	

2.4.4	Social interaction as part of learning	26
2.4.5	The importance of language development and discussion	28
2.5 T	The theory of Realistic Mathematics Education (RME)	30
2.5.1	Developing Realistic Mathematics Education	32
2.5.2	RME instructional design principles	33
2.6 V	Why RME for low attainers	35
2.6.1	RME in relation to other global innovations in Mathematics Education	36
2.6.2	Mathematisation for low attainers	37
2.7	Conclusion	40
CHAPTEI	R THREE	42
Method and	d Research Design	42
3.1 I	ntroduction	42
3.2 F	Research design	43
3.2.1	Case study	44
3.2.2	Development research in curriculum	46
3.2.3	Development research in RME	48
3.2.4	Development case study approach in this study	51
3.3 F	Research paradigm	53
3.3.1	Foundations of pragmatism	53
3.3.2	Justification for the research paradigm	54
3.3.3	Mixed methods methodology	56
3.4 S	Site selection and sampling	57
3.4.1	The site	57
3.4.2	The sample of learners	59
3.4.3	The classes	60
3.5 I	Oata collection	66
3.5.1	Document analysis	67
3.5.2	Cognitive achievement tests	67
3.5.3	Mainstream classroom assessments	68
3.5.4	Interviews	69
3.5.5	Observations and field notes	71
3.6 I	Oata analyses	72
3.6.1	Manifest Content Analysis	73

3.6.	.2 Latent Content Analysis	76
3.7	Validity and reliability	77
3.7.	.1 Triangulation	77
3.7.	.2 Instruments	79
3.7.	.3 Quality criteria for the intervention	81
3.8	Ethical considerations	83
3.9	Conclusion	85
СНАРТ	TER FOUR	87
The Inte	rvention	87
4.1	Introduction	87
4.2	The role of this intervention in the development cycles	88
4.3	The instructional approach to lessons in the intervention	89
4.4	Cycle one	90
4.4.	.1 Instructional design for the first cycle	91
4.4.	.2 Example of an instructional activity from the first cycle	93
4.4.	.3 Summary and reflection of the first cycle	99
4.5	Cycle two	103
4.5.	.1 Instructional design for the second cycle	105
4.5.	.2 Examples of instructional activities from the second cycle	111
4.5.	.3 Summary and reflection of the second cycle	115
4.6	Cycle three	120
4.6.	.1 Instructional design for the third cycle	121
4.6.	.2 Examples of instructional activities from the third cycle	125
4.6.	.3 Evaluation of the third cycle	130
4.7	Conclusion	138
СНАРТ	TER FIVE	140
Learner	performance	140
5.1	Introduction	140
5.2	Achievement tests	140
5.3	Learner profiles	146
5.3.	.1 Klokkie (8X)	148
5.3.	.2 Emelie (8X)	151

5.3.3	Mary (8X)	152
5.3.4	Zwanela (8X)	155
5.3.5	Nomsa (8X)	158
5.3.6	Liya (8Y)	160
5.3.7	Connie (8Y)	163
5.3.8	Mpho (8Y)	164
5.3.9	Gloria (8Y)	166
5.3.10	Violet (8Y)	168
5.3.11	Patience (8Y)	170
5.3.12	Leratho (8Y)	171
5.4	Conclusion	173
	R SIX	
	l	
	synopsis of results	
	Summary	
	Discussion	
6.3.1	Methodological reflection	
6.3.2	Substantive reflection	
6.3.3	Scientific reflection	
	Recommendations	
6.4.1	Policy and practice	
6.4.2	Further research and development work	190
REFEREN	NCES	191
APPEND	OIX A - Cognitive achievement tests	198
APPEND	OIX B - Documents from the site	211
APPEND	OIX C - Interview and observation schedules	220
APPEND	OIX D - Ethical considerations	226
APPEND	IX E - From the intervention	228

LIST OF ACRONYMS

DOE Department of Education

RME Realistic Mathematics Education

SEN Special Educational Needs

FETC Further Education and Training Certificate

SPSS Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

MCQ Multiple Choice Questions

TIMSS Third International Mathematics and Science Study

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Classification by Treffers of inclusion of horizontal and vertical mathematisation	n in
four different mathematics education trends	38
Table 3.1 Details of learners in Class 8X	62
Table 3.2 Academic background and performance of learners in Class 8X in mathematics.	63
Table 3.3 Factual information of learners in Class 8Y	65
Table 3.4 Academic background and performance of learners in Class 8Y in mathematics.	66
Table 3.5 Matrix representing any change in learners' understanding as measured by	the
achievement tests	74
Table 3.6 Data matrix summarising selection of learner's work	75
Table 4.1 Implementation of principle of guided reinvention in cycle 3	.132
Table 4.2 Implementation of principle of didactical phenomenology in cycle 3	.133
Table 4.3 Implementation of RME instructional approach in cycle 3	.134
Table 4.4 Impression of instructional activities for low attainers used during the third c	ycle
	.135
Table 4.5 Learners' behaviour and responses in cycle 3	.136
Table 4.6 General impression of lessons in cycle 3	.137
Table 5.1 Description of learners' overall scores in pre- and post-tests	.141
Table 5.2 Comparison of learners' total scores (out of 30) and breakdown of their score	s on
conventional and contextual items.	.143
Table 5.3 Change in learners' scores between pre- and post-tests according to key concepts	s145
Table 5.4 Summary of data analysed in this section	.147
Table 5.5 Data matrix summarising selection of Klokkies' work	.149
Table 5.6 Data matrix summarising selection of Emelie's work	.151
Table 5.7 Data matrix summarising selection of Mary's work	.153
Table 5.8 Data matrix summarising selection of Zwanela's work	. 155
Table 5.9 Data matrix summarising selection of Nomsa's work	. 158
Table 5.10 Data matrix summarising selection of Liya's work	.160
Table 5.11 Data matrix summarising selection of Connie's work	.163
Table 5.12 Data matrix summarising selection of Mpho's work	.165
Table 5-13 Data matrix summarising selection of Gloria's work	166

Table 5.14 Data matrix summarising selection of Violet's work	168
Table 5.15 Data matrix summarising selection of Patience's work	170
Table 5.16 Data matrix summarising selection of Leratho's work	171

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Representation of horizontal and vertical mathematisation	31
Figure 2.2 Developmental research, a cumulative cyclic process (Gravemeijer & Cobb,	2002)
	32
Figure 3.1 Model of stages of development research (Plomp, 2002)	48
Figure 3.2 Adapted model from Plomp (2002), incorporating Gravemeijer (200	1) for
representing development case study design used in this study	52
Figure 4.1 Developmental research, a cumulative cyclic process (Gravemeijer & Cobb,	2002)
	92
Figure 4.2 Part A of Liya's solution to the cat pills problem	108
Figure 4.3 Part B - Liya's second attempt at solving the cat pills problem	108
Figure 4.4 Reinvention (Gravemeijer, 1994)	109
Figure 4.5 Zwanela's solution to the banana bread problem	112
Figure 4.6 Violet's solution to the banana bread problem	112
Figure 4.7 Violet and Zwanela's respective responses to one of the items from a diag	nostic
assessment done at the end of cycle 2	113
Figure 4.8 Leratho's solution to the "apple tart" problem in Box 4	114
Figure 4.9 Liya's solution to the "apple tart" problem in Box 4	115
Figure 4.10 Mpho's solution to insulin problem in Box 5	117
Figure 4.11 Klokkie's solution to insulin problem in Box 5	118
Figure 4.12 Emerging and self-developed models (Gravemeijer, 1994)	122
Figure 4.13 Connie's solution to the test problem in Box 6	123
Figure 4.14 Violet's solution to the test problem in Box 6	124
Figure 4.15 Patience's solution to the test problem in Box 6	124
Figure 4.16 Leratho's solution to the test problem in Box 6	124
Figure 4.17 Emelie's solution to the inheritance problem in Box 7	126
Figure 4.18 Gloria's solution to the inheritance problem in Box 7	126
Figure 4.19 Liya's solution to the inheritance problem in Box 7	126
Figure 4.20 Part of Emelie's solution to the weekend trip problem	129
Figure 4.21 Part of Zwanela's solution to weekend trip problem	129
Figure 4.22 Part of Connie's solution to the weekend trip problem in Box 8	129

Figure 5.1 Learners' overall scores in pre- and post-tests	.142
Figure 5.2 Comparison of learners' total scores in the pre- and post-tests	.143
Figure 5.3 Klokkie's solution to Item 19 of the diagnostic assessment	.150
Figure 5.4 Klokkie's solution to Item 31 of the post-test	.150
Figure 5.5 Mary's solution to the banana bread problem	.154
Figure 5.6 Zwanela's solution to the cat pills problem	.156
Figure 5.7 Zwanela's solution to question 9 of the standardised test	.157
Figure 5.8 Nomsa's solution to the "Cat pill" problem	.159
Figure 5.9 Nomsa's solution to Item 19 of the diagnostic assessment	. 159
Figure 5.10 Nomsa's solution to Item 31 of the post-test	.160
Figure 5.11 Liya's solution to Item 19 of the diagnostic assessment	.162