



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

"To Him be the glory and honour for ever and ever. Amen"

Relating consumer preferences to sensory attributes of instant coffee

1. My Parents, Elizabeth de Kock, for her guidance, encouragement and guidance during my studies.
2. Department Food Science and Technology for their help and support throughout my study years.
3. Department Biochemistry (Prof. Z. Apstein) & Food Research Institute (Prof. R. Ross) and his Mr. C. G. van der Merwe for their assistance with the chemical and sensory analysis of the test samples.
4. Martin Kinnear for his dedication and willingness to assist me in my studies.
5. The 12 members of the jury and all the friends who supported me throughout my study years.
6. My Brother, Vincent for his support and encouragement throughout my study years.
7. My parents, Lorraine & John, for their support and encouragement throughout my study years.

by

Lorraine Geel

**Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
MSc. (Agric) Food Science & Technology
in the Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, University of Pretoria**

October 2002

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

“To Him be the glory and honour for ever and ever. Amen”

1. My Promoter, Henriette de Kock, for her guidance, encouragement and patience during the execution of this study
2. Department Food Science and Technology for their help and support throughout the study years
3. Department Biochemistry (Prof. Z. Apostolides), Irene Research Institute and Mrs. Roos and late Mr. Copperwaithe for their assistance with the chemical and physical analysis of the test samples.
4. Marise Kinnear for her dedicated help with the sensory test setup
5. The 12 trained panelists and all the fieldworkers for their devotion and hard work.
6. Mrs. Rosemary Maguire for encouraging me to further my studies and standing behind me all the way
7. My parents, Karien and Geoff Geel and all my friends that encouraged and supported me along, which without, this study would not have seen completion

Relating consumer preferences to sensory attributes of instant coffee

By

Lorraine Geel

Supervisor: Dr H L de Kock

Department: Food Science

Degree: MSc. (Agric) Food Science & Technology

Key words: Instant coffee, coffee blends, preference mapping, generic descriptive analysis, in-home consumer evaluation

‘Excellence of taste’ or more collectively ‘sensory qualities’ in food, dictates the success of a food product in the marketplace. The connection between the sensory qualities and consumer reaction is often of interest and preference mapping is a valuable sensory tool that gives a clear presentation of the relationship among the products and the individual differences in preference by consumers for these products.

An experiment was carried out to determine consumer perceptions of instant coffee quality using eleven commercially available products. The products included four pure instant coffees (PC), six instant coffee blends (CB) and one chicory instant drink (CID). The coffee samples differed widely in price and general composition. Coffee samples marketed by three manufacturers were included.

The Generic Descriptive Analysis test method was used to identify the differences in appearance, odour and flavour attributes among the dry coffee powders and prepared coffee samples. The descriptive sensory panel consisted of 12 members that created an instant coffee lexicon containing 29 descriptors to profile the various coffees. Evaluations made by the trained panel

were analysed using Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Differences in coarseness, density and colour characterized the appearance of the dry coffee powders. Descriptive terms like root and earthy described the odour quality of coffee blends in contrast to pure coffees that were depicted as roasted, toasted, spicy and cocoa-like. Pure coffees were perceived as more fullbodied, bitter, toasted and nutty and coffee blends tended to have earthy, sweet flavour notes.

More comparisons were made between the coffee samples in terms of colour, aroma and caffeine content, which were measured by means of a Minolta chromameter CR-200, an Aromascan Labstation A325 electronic nose and capillary electrophoresis, respectively. The L a b colour values supported the observations made by the trained sensory panel and it seemed that the higher the a-value the lighter a sample was perceived to be by the human eye. However, many factors such as granule size, lighting and angle of observations can influence colour perception. The electronic nose seemed to group the coffee samples similar to the product map obtained from the sensory panel's evaluations of the aromatic characteristics of the eleven coffee samples. No significant correlation could be made between caffeine content and bitterness perception.

The consumer perceptions and preference assessments were measured using an in-home-use evaluation method. A total of 200 instant coffee users in two South African city areas were included in this study. Internal preference mapping separated consumers that favoured the sensory properties of pure coffee samples from those that preferred coffee blends. Applying cluster analysis, four distinct groups of consumers were distinguished. One group (37% of consumers) did not show any definite preference for a specific coffee group but tended to find all samples fairly acceptable, 30% preferred coffee blends, 23% favoured pure coffee samples and 9% scored all coffees as low in terms of acceptability.

By studying the product profiles generated by descriptive sensory analysis in conjunction with the consumer preference maps, trends in specific consumer segments could be identified. This knowledge can be used to development coffee samples that fit consumer needs better, resulting in higher sales and thus ultimately increasing profit.



TABLE OF CONTENT

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. MOTIVATION FOR THIS STUDY	2
3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	3
4. HYPOTHESES FOR EACH OBJECTIVE	4
5. LITERATURE REVIEW	4
5.1 Modelling food choice	4
5.2 How consumer preference is influenced by food product intrinsics	5
5.2.1 <i>Coffee as a food product</i>	
5.2.2 <i>Production of instant coffee</i>	
5.2.3 <i>Coffee Composition</i>	
5.2.4 <i>The Coffee alternative – Chicory</i>	
5.3 How food preference is influenced by consumer characteristics and the eating situation	14
5.4 Measuring the sensory characteristics of food	23
5.4.1 <i>Sensory stimuli</i>	
5.4.2 <i>Why sensory evaluation?</i>	
5.4.3 <i>Experimental design</i>	
5.4.4 <i>Sensory evaluation measurement</i>	
5.4.5 <i>Preference Mapping As Measurement Tool</i>	

5.5 Measuring some physical and chemical properties of coffee 33

5.5.1 *Density*

5.5.2 *Particle size*

5.5.3 *Colour measurement of instant coffee*

5.5.4 *Powder solubility*

5.5.5 *Moisture content*

5.5.6 *Electronic nose*

5.5.7 *Caffeine content*

6. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE 41

6.1 Preliminary Study 41

6.2 Descriptive Analysis 41

6.2.1 *Product*

6.2.2 *Sensory Panel*

6.2.3 *Sample preparation*

6.2.4 *Lexicon development facility*

6.2.5 *Testing location*

6.2.6 *Data analysis*

6.3 Consumer testing 54

6.3.1 *Population and sampling*

6.4 Measurement of some physical and chemical properties of the coffee samples 55

6.4.1 *Chromometer colour measurements of coffee granules*

6.4.2 *Polymer Sensor Array (Aromascanner)*

6.4.3 *Caffeine content measured by means of Capillary Electrophoresis*



6.5 Statistical Analysis	58
6.5.1 <i>Descriptive analysis</i>	
6.5.2 <i>The consumer data</i>	
6.5.3 <i>Internal preference mapping</i>	
6.5.4 <i>External preference mapping</i>	
6.5.5 <i>Chemical and physical laboratory test results</i>	
7. RESULTS	61
7.1 The appearance of the coffee samples	61
7.2 The aroma of the coffee samples	64
7.3 Analysis of the mouthfeel and flavour of the coffee samples	71
7.4 Analysis of the demographic and psychographic profiles of the consumers that participated in the coffee study	75
7.5 Cluster analysis of hedonic ratings of the 11 coffee samples	77
7.6 Internal preference mapping	80
7.7 Extended Internal preference mapping	85
8. DISCUSSION	88
9. CONCLUSION	95
10. REFERENCES	97
APPENDIX	108-123

TABLE OF FIGURES

	Page
Fig. 1: Schematic diagram showing the basic sensory, perceptual and hedonic stages involved in the processing of information about the physicochemical structure of food and resulting in food acceptance behaviour (Cardello, 1996)	6
Fig. 2: Factors influencing food preferences (Khan, 1981)	7
Fig. 3: Factors influencing food preferences (Randall & Sanjur, 1981)	8
Fig 4: Main coffee production areas in the world (International Coffee Organisation, 2001)	9
Fig 5: Schematic diagram indicating the main steps in the production of instant coffee (Smith, 1989)	12
Fig. 6: Consumers can fulfill different roles through mental and physical activities (Sheth, Mittal & Newman, 1999)	15
Fig. 7: Main components of consumption expenditure (South African Reserve Bank, 2001)	15
Fig. 8: Understanding how the consumer lifestyle influence consumer behaviour (Hawkins, <i>et.al.</i>, 1992)	17
Fig. 9: Perception as a process (a model adapted from Hellriegel & Sloacum, 1979)	18

Fig.10: The visual perception of colour represented in a simple case by three variables hue, value and chroma arranged in a cylindrical coordinate system (Bernhardt, 1969)	36
Fig. 11: Co-operation of the various factors in aroma and flavour development (Jellinek, 1985)	37
Fig. 12: System block design of the electronic nose and its similarity with the human olfactory system (Bartlett, Elliott, Gardner, 1997).	38
Fig. 13: Chemical structure of Caffeine (Macrae, 1985)	40
Fig. 14: Summary of the experimental design follow for this study to determine instant coffee blend acceptability	44
Fig 15: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) loadings for appearance descriptors showing differences among the 11 coffee samples	62
Fig 16: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) loadings for aroma descriptors showing differences among the 11 coffee samples	67
Fig 17: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) loadings for aroma measurements, showing differences among the 11 coffee samples, on the basis of headspace analysis by means of the electronic nose	70
Fig 18: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) for flavour and body descriptors showing differences among the 11 coffee samples	72
Fig 19: Cluster analysis of consumer data showing differences in the mean hedonic ratings for the 11 coffee samples (n = 199 consumers)	79

Fig 20: Internal preference mapping of coffee samples indicating the position of the consumers (n = 199 consumers)	83
---	-----------

Fig 21: Internal preference mapping of coffee samples indicating the position of the 11 coffees (n = 199 consumers)	84
--	-----------

Fig 22: External preference mapping of the consumer clusters and descriptive sensory analysis of the 11 coffee samples	86
---	-----------

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1: Per capita coffee consumption (1995) of a few selected countries (International Coffee Organisation, 2001)	10
Table 2: A summary of compositional data (% on wet base) for green and roasted Arabica coffee beans and instant coffee powder (Clifford, 1975)	13
Table 3: Composition of chicory (Maier, 1987)	14
Table 4: Information processing model of preference formation (Jaeger, Wakeling & MacFie, 2000)	19
Table 5: Population patterns of South Africa (Statistical Bureau of South Africa, 2000)	20
Table 6: Determinants of needs and wants (Sheth, Mittal & Newman, 1999)	21
Table 7: Advantages and limitations of external and internal preference mapping (McEwan, 1996b)	31
Table 8: Comparison of the number of different compounds found in vapours of selected food products (Hodgins, 1997)	38
Table 9: The nature of and code names used for the eleven instant coffee samples, from three manufacturers, evaluated during the study	45
Table 10: Photographic images, brief physical description, ingredients list and expiry date information of each instant coffee sample	46
Table 11: Descriptors used to characterise coffee samples	50

Table 12: Definitions of lexicon used by panel to describe the appearance and aroma of instant coffee samples	51
Table 13: Definitions of terms used by the trained sensory panel to describe the taste and mouthfeel of instant coffee samples	52
Table 14: Gauteng area population overview (Statistical Bureau of South Africa, 2000)	55
Table 15: Means, p-values and least significant difference (LSD) values for the descriptive sensory analysis of coffee appearance	63
Table 16: Pearson correlation coefficients (r) between dimensions of colour obtained by means of a chromometer and the trained sensory panel	64
Table 17: Means and least significant difference (LSD) values for the chromometer measurements of coffee granule colour	65
Table 18: Means and least significant difference (LSD) values for the descriptive sensory analysis of coffee aroma	68
Table 19: Means and least significant difference (LSD) values for the descriptive sensory analysis of coffee mouthfeel and flavour	73
Table 20: Caffeine content of 11 coffee samples measured by means of capillary electrophoresis	75
Table 21: Demographic and psychographic profiles of consumers that participated in the consumer evaluation of the 11 coffee samples	76
Table 22: Mean hedonic scores for each of the identified consumer clusters for the 11 coffee samples	78

Table 23: Results of Pearson chi-square test to identify demographic characteristics that significantly differentiated between clusters	81
--	-----------

Table 24: Breakdown (%) of demographic and psychographic characteristics, which were significantly different among the four consumer clusters	82
--	-----------

Table 25: Percentage variance explained by the first 3 principal components of the internal preference map for the 11 coffee samples (n = 199 consumers)	85
---	-----------

TABLE OF APPEDIXES

	Page
APPENDIX 1: Advert in local university newspaper to recruit sensory panellists for descriptive analysis	108
APPENDIX 2: Telephone questionnaire to recruit potential sensory panellists for descriptive analysis	109
APPENDIX 3: Basic taste recognition test for the identification of potential panellists for descriptive analysis	110
APPENDIX 4: Forced choice threshold test for the identification of potential panellists for descriptive analysis	111
APPENDIX 5: Duo-trio test for the identification and training of potential panelists for descriptive analysis	112
APPENDIX 6: Odour identification and description test identification and training of potential panellists for descriptive analysis	113
APPENDIX 7: Descriptive analysis scoring of coffee by the trained sensory Panel	116
APPENDIX 8: Consumer coffee survey and scoring sheet	120