BMJ Open Understanding the preferences of young women in self-sampling interventions for sexually transmitted infection diagnosis: a discrete choice experimental protocol

Ziningi Nobuhle Jaya ^(b),^{1,2} Witness Mapanga,¹ Tivani Phosa Mashamba-Thompson¹

ABSTRACT

To cite: Jaya ZN, Mapanga W, Mashamba-Thompson TP. Understanding the preferences of young women in selfsampling interventions for sexually transmitted infection diagnosis: a discrete choice experimental protocol. *BMJ Open* 2024;**14**:e082981. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-082981

Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (https://doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2023-082981).

Received 11 December 2023 Accepted 29 August 2024

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹School of Health Systems and Public Health, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, Gauteng, South Africa ²Biomedical Sciences, Mangosuthu University of Technology, Durban, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa

Correspondence to

Ziningi Nobuhle Jaya; buhledwayisa@yahoo.com **Introduction** Sexually transmitted infections (STIs) are a significant public health concern globally, particularly affecting young women. Early diagnosis and treatment are essential to reducing or stopping the continuous spread of infections and the development of associated complications. Syndromic management, which is commonly used for STIs, presents several barriers, particularly for young women. This protocol is for a study that aims to understand young women's preferences for a self-sampling intervention for STI diagnosis by using a discrete choice experiment (DCE). The DCE will be conducted among young women residing in underserved urban communities in eThekwini Metropolitan Municipality in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.

Methods and analysis The following attributes of a selfsampling intervention were identified through a Nominal Group Technique: accessibility, education, confidentiality, self-sampling method, youth-friendliness and cost. A pilot study involving 20 participants was conducted to refine the DCE questionnaire. A total of 196 young women from underserved communities will be recruited. The participants will be sampled from communities, stratified by settlement type and socioeconomic status. Data will be analysed using the multinomial logit model and mixed logit model to assess preferences and heterogeneity.

Ethics and dissemination The study was approved by the Faculty of Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee of the University of Pretoria. The study findings have the potential to inform policies for STI treatment and management to align healthcare services with user preferences. This can improve STI healthcare access for young women in underserved communities. Ethical approval was obtained, and results will be disseminated through peer-reviewed journals and health conferences.

INTRODUCTION

Sexually transmitted infections (STIs) are a major public health problem in South Africa, particularly among young women, who constitute a large portion of the overall infections.^{1–3} This high prevalence is mainly

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to use a discrete choice experiment to investigate user preferences for a self-sampling intervention to diagnose sexually transmitted infections (STIs).
- ⇒ Investigating attributes developed in collaboration with healthcare workers and young women to determine user preferences adds an element of authenticity and relevance to the research findings.
- ⇒ The involvement of young women in this study to identify preferences for STI healthcare services is crucial for designing interventions that align with the needs of the end-users.
- ⇒ Since our study will be conducted on young women residing in underserved urban populations in a middle-income country, our findings may not be reflective of the preferences of young women from different settings.
- ⇒ By investigating young women's preferences for an intervention that could enhance STI healthcare for this group, this research supports the United Nations' goal three, to improve healthcare access for all and achieve universal healthcare coverage.

attributed to biological factors such as reduced production of cervical mucous and increased cervical ectopy, which makes them more susceptible to STIs compared with adult women.^{4 5} Early diagnosis and treatment of STIs is crucial to prevent the spread of these infections and long-term complications which include sexual and reproductive health complications.⁶⁻⁹ Although STI healthcare services are available at local healthcare facilities, individuals in resource-limited settings and underserved communities have limited access to quality basic services including healthcare.¹⁰ ¹¹ Additionally, young women may be reluctant to access STI healthcare services in these communities due to various

factors potentially related to the syndromic management of STIs.

Although widely used, particularly in low and-middleincome countries, syndromic management presents several challenges that impact STI healthcare seeking behaviour, particularly in young women.¹² These factors include the inability to detect asymptomatic infection, failure to identify symptoms of STI, fear of being judged for being sexually active, fear of stigmatisation and discomfort with invasive associated genital examinations.¹²¹³ Self-sampling interventions have been proposed as a potential solution to eliminate challenges presented by syndromic management and increase access to STI screening services for young women in underserved communities.^{14 15} The effectiveness and acceptability of self-sampling interventions are well understood. However, the preferred delivery method of self-sampling interventions based on user preferences has not been developed particularly in the South African context.

Discrete choice experiments (DCEs) are a method that is used to uncover people's preferences for products, services or certain scenarios.¹⁶ It is an attribute-centred approach with a significant outcome of being able to quantify individuals' trade-offs between attributes. Ultimately, DCEs uncover how much an individual is willing to forgo to gain more of another attribute.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ DCEs have been used in public health to understand and inform various significant healthcare-related decisions. For example, in the United Kingdom, a DCE was used to assess patient preferences for attributes of primary care services, which included appointment waiting time and provider continuity.²⁰ This DCE helped to inform service design and resource allocation. In another study, a DCE was used to investigate the healthcare professional preferences for the allocation of resources in healthcare settings.²¹ The findings of this study guided the optimisation of resource allocation for decision-makers.

When considering the proven usefulness of selfsampling interventions as a tool to address challenges with access and screening of asymptomatic STIs, it is imperative to investigate user preferences for the delivery method. As such, the objective of this study is to develop a userfriendly self-sampling intervention for diagnosing STIs in young South African women from underserved communities using a DCE. A DCE involving young women aged 18-25 years from underserved communities in eThekwini District Municipality in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, will be used. It is anticipated that the findings of this study will contribute to the development of a user-friendly selfsampling intervention for STI screening that is tailored to the needs and preferences of young women from underserved communities in eThekwini District Municipality, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. This study is important because it addresses a critical gap in the literature on STI screening interventions in South Africa. Furthermore, it has the potential to contribute to the development of an effective and acceptable solution to increase access to STI screening services for young women in underserved

communities. Understanding young women's preferences for a self-sampling intervention can shape policy and guide the creation of more effective, user-friendly solutions to address the current STI burden within in this population. As a result, it could increase the uptake of STI healthcare services, enhance healthcare outcomes and ultimately contribute towards achieving Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 3, of ensuring universal access healthcare services.²²

Aim

The main aim of this study is to use a DCE to determine young women's most preferred self-sampling intervention for STI diagnosis. We particularly explore tradeoffs between the ease of accessibility and convenience, cost, education and normalisation, confidentiality and communication, self-sampling collection method and youth-friendliness. To our knowledge, this is the first study to use a DCE to determine young women's self-sampling preferences for STI diagnosis.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Patient and public involvement statement

The intervention attributes to be investigated in this study were developed using a nominal group technique (NGT) which was conducted among young women residing in underserved communities in eThekwini Metropolitan Municipality. A DCE survey tool was then developed using these attributes. Another group of young women from similar settings participated in the pilot test conducted to determine the ease with which participants could complete the survey in terms of comprehension and time taken to complete it. The following sections outline the processes followed.

Identifying and defining attributes

Determining key attributes and levels for the DCE is an important step. Employing qualitative methods such as the NGT to select and frame attributes improves the significance and pertinence of the study findings.²³ The number of key attributes must be kept at a reasonable number to avoid confusing participating individuals.^{24 25} For simplicity, the number of attributes is maintained between four to eight.¹⁶

NGT

The key attributes for the self-sampling intervention were developed using two NGT co-creation workshops which were conducted on separate occasions. The NGT is a qualitative exploratory method combining the generation of ideas with the concept of enquiry within a small group^{23 24} often comprising six to twelve participants.²⁵ Participants in one NGT comprised eight healthcare personnel involved in STI healthcare service provision at a primary healthcare clinic located in underserved urban communities in eThekwini District Municipality. Another NGT comprised eight sexually active young women aged

9

18–25 years residing in underserved urban communities in eThekwini District Municipality. In both NGTs, the participants were asked to identify barriers that hindered young women from accessing STI healthcare services. The identified barriers were then ranked from high priority to low priority according to the choice of each person. Once this was complete, NGT participants developed attributes for a self-sampling intervention that would address some of the barriers which were highlighted.

One on one interviews

Following the NGT co-creation workshops, ten young women were interviewed to confirm the validity of the attributes identified during the NGT. The young women interviewed were aged 18–25 years residing in underserved communities. The interviews did not yield any new information that contradicted what was already identified during the NGTs.

Determining the list of attributes and preference levels

Ultimately a total of eight attributes emerged from the NGTs, namely, accessibility, education, communication, convenience, youth-friendliness, self-sampling method and cost of self-sampling kit. An expert research panel was asked to review these attributes, and they suggested a merging of a few which resulted in six attributes. The final list of attributes includes accessibility and convenience, education and normalisation, confidentiality and communication, self-sampling method, youth-friendliness and cost of self-sampling kits. See table 1 for a detailed list of attributes and their preference levels.

Accessibility and convenience

Various studies report accessibility of healthcare services as a common challenge for young women.^{26 27} By affording individuals the opportunity to self-collect specimens in a place that is convenient for them, self-sampling

Attribute (regression label)	Description	Levels (preference parameters)
Accessibility and convenience:	Refers to the ease with which young women can obtain self-sampling kits for STI screening and the level of convenience in the process.	 Self-sampling kits are available at clinics only. Self-sampling kits are available at clinics, universities/ schools and pharmacies. Self-sampling kits are available through outreach teams, clinics, universities/schools and pharmacies, with online symptom assessment and designated kit collection locations.
Education and normalisation	Refers to the level of information and awareness provided to young women about STIs and self- sampling, as well as education efforts to reduce stigma and promote testing.	 No educational material or campaigns provided. Educational material provided with the self-sampling kit. Educational material provided with the self-sampling kit, along with regular campaigns to encourage and normalise testing.
Confidentiality and communication	Focuses on how screening and testing results are handled, focusing on the level of privacy and mode of result communication.	 Results are communicated in person at the clinic. Results are communicated via phone call, text message, email or secure online portal.
Self-sampling collection method	Refers to the sampling kit or tool used to collect the specimen.	 A kit that includes a swab for vaginal specimen collection. A kit that requires a urine sample for specimen collection. A kit that offers a choice of collection methods (eg, vaginal swab or urine) to accommodate individual preferences.
Youth-friendliness	Improving youth-friendly services at clinics could help make the experience more comfortable and welcoming for young women.	 No improvements made to youth-friendly services at PHCs. Improvements made to youth-friendly services at PHCs (eg separate waiting area for young women, more comfortable exam rooms, youth-friendly staff training). Significant improvements made to youth-friendly services at PHCs (eg, clinic hours extended to accommodate schoo schedules, dedicated youth-friendly clinic space).
Cost of self-sampling kits	Making the self-sampling kits available free of charge at local pharmacies, mobile clinics, schools and universities could remove financial barriers to accessing STI screening services.	 Payment required to obtain self-sampling kits anywhere. Self-sampling kits are provided free of charge at clinics only Self-sampling kits are provided free of charge at clinics, universities/schools, pharmacies and mobile clinics.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-082981 on 24 September 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on November 12, 2024 at University of Pretoria. Protected by copyright.

intervention improves accessibility.^{28 29} Furthermore, in the age of technology, the use of online eHealth systems to improve access and convenience is well documented. As such, it was fitting for our NGT co-creation workshop participants to identify accessibility and convenience as an attribute for self-sampling interventions. We present the following choice or preference levels for self-sampling interventions to diagnose STIs in young women: making self-sampling kits available at clinics only; making selfsampling kits available at clinics, universities/schools and pharmacies; or self-sampling kits available through outreach teams, clinics, universities/schools and pharmacies, with online symptom assessment and designated kit collection locations.

Education and normalisation

In the past health education campaigns have proved effective in destigmatising and normalising certain diseases as an intervention to encourage individuals to seek healthcare.³⁰ Considering the stigma associated with STIs and barriers experienced by young people, health education campaigns have the potential to destigmatise and normalise these infections³¹ and potentially improve healthcare-seeking behaviour among this population. As an attribute of a self-sampling intervention, the main aim will be to educate the community about STIs and selfsampling as an intervention. We present the following choices or preference levels for this attribute: no educational material or campaigns provided; providing educational material together with the self-sampling kit; or providing educational material provided with the selfsampling kit, along with regular campaigns to encourage and normalise testing.

Confidentiality and communication

The lack of confidentiality and invasion of privacy have previously been highlighted as barriers to young people accessing STI healthcare services.³² ³³ To this effect, self-sampling as an intervention provides privacy and autonomy and mitigates this barrier and potentially improves STI healthcare-seeking behaviour among young people.³⁴ This attribute refers to being able to maintain confidentiality during the STI healthcare process from diagnosis to communicating results and providing treatment and minimise interaction with healthcare personnel until the point of treatment where required. The following choice or preference levels are presented for this attribute: results are communicated in person at the clinic; or results are communicated via phone call, text message, email or secure online portal.

Self-sampling collection method

Since STIs are caused by various types of microorganisms including bacteria and viruses, an ideal specimen for diagnosis is one in which all these pathogens can be detected. Self-collected specimens that have been used for STI diagnosis include urine and vaginal swabs.^{35 36} To accommodate the differing preferences, the following choice or

preference parameters are recommended in the DCE: a kit that includes a swab for vaginal specimen collection; a kit that requires a urine sample for specimen collection; or a kit that offers a choice of collection methods (eg, vaginal swab or urine) to accommodate individual preferences.

Youth-friendliness

Previous studies have highlighted challenges related to the interaction of young people with healthcare workers at healthcare facilities, particularly with issues related to sexual and reproductive healthcare.^{37 38} This has an impact on their healthcare-seeking behaviour and as such negatively impacts healthcare outcomes. Improving youth-friendly services at clinics could help make the experience more comfortable and welcoming for young women. As an attribute of self-sampling interventions, the following choice or preference levels are presented: no improvements made to youth-friendly services at clinics; improve youth-friendly services at clinics (eg, separate waiting area for young women, more comfortable exam rooms, youth-friendly staff training); or significantly improve youth-friendly services (eg, clinic hours extended to accommodate school schedules, have dedicated youthfriendly clinic space).

Cost of self-sampling kits

Individuals in underserved communities often faced the plight of having limited access to basic resources. Therefore, there is a concern about the cost of self-sampling kits for the intervention, especially among underserved communities. Previous studies have reported on the feasibility of self-sampling interventions as an alternative to syndromic management,³⁹ which may sometimes lead to overdiagnosis and overtreatment of patients. The current attribute is mindful of this and speaks of making the self-sampling kits available free of charge at locations that are easily accessible to young people. The following choice or preference levels are presented for this attribute: self-sampling kits are provided free of charge at clinics only or self-sampling kits are provided free of charge at clinics, universities/schools, pharmacies and mobile clinics.

Pilot study

Experimental design and development of choice tasks

A pilot study was conducted to pre-test the list of attributes and levels as identified by stakeholders. Although there is no clear consensus about the required number of choice sets for a DCE, the usual number is said to be between 8 and 16.^{40 41} Through a group consensus, the development of the choice tasks using the six attributes and choice set levels was done. The pilot survey consisted of 16 choice tasks based on the six attributes identified by our stakeholders during the NGT co-creation workshops. Since there were no known findings about young women's preferences, null priors were assumed. Each choice task comprised a scenario for the participants to respond to with a choice set of their preference. See Box 1 below for

Box 1 Scenario for choice task

Choice task scenario to contextualise the DCE

Imagine you are a young woman living in an underserved community, and you are considering getting tested for sexually transmitted infections (STIs). Self-sampling is a potential option for STI healthcare provision that allows you to collect your own specimen for laboratory diagnosis. It is an alternative current STI healthcare service that is fully facilitated by healthcare personnel in primary healthcare clinics. You are presented with options for a self-sampling intervention which include accessibility and convenience, education and normalisation, confidentiality and communication, self-sampling method, youth-friendliness and cost of the self-sampling kit. Please consider the following choice task and select the option that is most suitable for you.

an example of a choice task with the scenario, and table 2 is an example of a choice task:

Pilot testing

Since there is no clear guidance on the sample size for DCE pilot studies, we used guidance by Bekker-Grob *et al*⁴² which suggests that 20–40 participants are sufficient for a pilot study. To satisfy our study, the pilot survey was distributed to 35 randomly selected young women aged 18–24 years residing in underserved communities in eThekwini District Municipality. Twenty young women completed the survey. Since this number is within the recommended total of 20–40 participants, the pilot study data were accepted and analysed. The pilot tool was also

used to determine the ease with which participants could complete the survey in terms of comprehension and time taken to complete it. All participants reported ease and no comprehension challenges. However, 80% of participants reported that the tool was too long with a lot of choice tasks. They suggested reducing the number of choice tasks from 16 to 10. All participants agreed that the attributes were all relevant and so did not need to change. The tool was amended accordingly based on participant comments. Data collection for this study is estimated to be over a period of 2 months and is scheduled to commence in February and at the end of March 2024.

Sampling and recruitment

Young women from underserved urban communities will be recruited for this study. Participant recruitment will be based on stratified random sampling where the underserved communities will be stratified into three subpopulations namely—core informal settlement, fringe informal settlement and core township.⁴³ The three strata will be defined according to the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research settlement typology of 2002⁴³ as follows: core informal settlement refers to previously or currently illegal and unplanned settlements within inner cities or towns close to the traditional CBD or areas of employment, mostly with shacks as the predominant housing type; fringe informal settlement defined as freestanding, previously or currently illegal and unplanned settlements (mostly with shacks) located far away from the traditional

Table 2 Example of a choice task				
Attributes	Option A	Option B		
Accessibility and convenience (refers to efforts to make STI healthcare services more accessible for young people)	Self-sampling kits are available through outreach teams, clinics, universities/schools and pharmacies, with online symptom assessment and designated kit collection locations.	Self-sampling kits are available at clinics, universities/schools and pharmacies.		
Education and normalisation (this refers to attempts to destigmatise STIs)	Educational material provided with the self- sampling kit, along with regular campaigns to encourage and normalise testing.	No educational material or campaigns provided.		
Confidentiality and communication (this refers to maintain confidentiality different options may be used to communicate diagnostic results)	Results are communicated via phone call or text message.	Results are communicated via email or a secure online portal.		
Self-sampling collection method (this refers to the tool or kit used to collect your own biological specimen for diagnosis)	A kit that offers a choice of collection methods, either a swab for vaginal specimen collection or a urine sample for specimen collection.	A kit that includes a swab for vaginal specimen collection.		
Youth-friendliness (referring to healthcare services that provide youth-friendly services and environment)	Significant improvements made to youth-friendly services at clinics, for example, clinic hours extended to accommodate school schedules and dedicated youth-friendly clinic space.	No improvements made to youth-friendly services at clinics.		
Cost of self-sampling kit (referring to the cost associated with using self-sampling kits for diagnosis)	Self-sampling kits are provided free of charge at clinics, universities/schools, pharmacies and mobile clinics.	Self-sampling kits are not provided free of charge.		
Which option would you choose? (mark with "X")				
STI, sexually transmitted infection.				

CBD and often far from places of employment as well, resulting in extensive commuting patterns; and core township defined as formal mass-built settlements (old or new) within inner cities or towns close to the traditional CBD or areas of employment. Furthermore, participant recruitment will also be based on socioeconomic classification of households within the strata, and young women from poor households will be randomly selected.

The rule of thumb calculation as proposed by Johnson and Orme^{44 45} will be used to calculate the sample size for the experiment. The formula for the minimum sample size N calculation is as follows:

$n > 500 c/(t \times a)$

In the above equation, c is the largest number of levels for any one attribute; t represents the number of alternatives in each choice tasks; and a represents the number of alternatives in each choice task.⁴⁵ Therefore, for our DCE using six attributes, with a maximum of three levels, and ten choice sets with two alternatives for each task, our required sample size is 75. Considering the wide range of data quality issues that have been reported for DCEs,⁴⁶ we anticipate the exclusion of 30% of the respondents.⁴⁷ As such we will increase our sample size by 30% to accommodate any data quality issues, which increases our sample size to 98. We will investigate the heterogeneity of preferences, and so we will double our sample size to 196 participants.

Data analysis

Trade-offs between the attributes will be determined using the multinomial logit (MNL) model. By analysing participant preferences, it will help us identify which factors influence participant preferences. The overall optimisation model will be optimised with the use of the MNL model as a framework.⁴⁸ Although it is useful, the MNL model ignores heterogeneity and cannot manage random differences in individual preferences. However, the mixed logit model compensates for this shortfall because it does allow explanatory variables that are random.⁴⁹

The mixed logit model will be used to investigate preferences between participants in the different strata. Presentation of results will include tables displaying coefficients for attribute levels and covariates, accompanied by pertinent statistical indicators such as pseudo R-squared, log likelihood test and Akaike information criterion to assess model fit. Furthermore, the calculation of marginal rates of substitution, derived from the negative ratio between estimated coefficients, will provide insight into the relative importance of different attributes. This analysis will enable policymakers and clinicians to comprehend respondents' willingness to trade-off certain attributes for the acquisition of others.

Ethics and dissemination

Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Pretoria Research Ethics Committee (reference number 136:2022) and the KwaZulu-Natal Department of Health (reference number KZ_202208_005) before data collection. Written informed consent was obtained from all research participants who participated in the NGT. All participants who completed the pilot survey provided written consent prior to their participation. Written informed consent will be obtained from all participants prior to data collection for the main study. Research findings will be submitted to a peer-reviewed journal for publication. The research findings will also be presented at a relevant health conference.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank the healthcare workers and young women who participated in the NGTs which enabled the identification of attributes to be used in this DCE. We also thank the young women who participated in the pilot study.

Contributors Conceptualization: ZNJ and TM-T; data collection; writing—original draft: ZNJ; writing—reviewing and editing: TM-T and WM; supervision: TM-T and WM. The guarantor of the study is ZNJ; accepts full responsibility for the finished work and/or the conduct of the study, had access to the data and controlled the decision to publish.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the Methods section for further details.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Ziningi Nobuhle Jaya http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1053-5458

REFERENCES

- 1 Galappaththi-Arachchige HN, Zulu SG, Kleppa E, *et al*. Reproductive health problems in rural South African young women: risk behaviour and risk factors. *Reprod Health* 2018;15:138.
- 2 Francis SC, Mthiyane TN, Baisley K, et al. Prevalence of sexually transmitted infections among young people in South Africa: A nested survey in a health and demographic surveillance site. *PLoS Med* 2018;15:e1002512.
- 3 Torrone EA, Morrison CS, Chen P-L, et al. Prevalence of sexually transmitted infections and bacterial vaginosis among women in sub-Saharan Africa: An individual participant data meta-analysis of 18 HIV prevention studies. PLoS Med 2018;15:e1002511.
- 4 Control CfD, Prevention. Sexually transmitted disease surveillance. 2008. Available: http://www.cdc.gov/std/stats08/syphilis.htm
- 5 Shannon CL, Klausner JD. The growing epidemic of sexually transmitted infections in adolescents: a neglected population. *Curr Opin Pediatr* 2018;30:137–43.
- 6 Garcia MR, Wray AA. Sexually transmitted infections. 2020.
- 7 Mayaud P, Mabey D. Approaches to the control of sexually transmitted infections in developing countries: old problems and modern challenges. *Sex Transm Infect* 2004;80:174–82.
- 8 Gottlieb SL, Low N, Newman LM, et al. Toward global prevention of sexually transmitted infections (STIs): the need for STI vaccines. Vaccine (Auckl) 2014;32:1527–35.
- 9 Avuvika E, Masese LN, Wanje G, et al. Barriers and Facilitators of Screening for Sexually Transmitted Infections in Adolescent Girls and Young Women in Mombasa, Kenya: A Qualitative Study. PLoS One 2017;12:e0169388.
- 10 Chesang K, Hornston S, Muhenje O, et al. Healthcare provider perspectives on managing sexually transmitted infections in HIV care settings in Kenya: A qualitative thematic analysis. *PLoS Med* 2017;14:e1002480.

Open access

- 11 Jenkins WD, Williams LD, Pearson WS. Sexually Transmitted Infection Epidemiology and Care in Rural Areas: A Narrative Review. Sex Transm Dis 2021;48:e236–40.
- 12 Garrett NJ, Osman F, Maharaj B, et al. Beyond syndromic management: Opportunities for diagnosis-based treatment of sexually transmitted infections in low- and middle-income countries. PLoS One 2018;13:e0196209.
- 13 Garrett NJ, McGrath N, Mindel A. Advancing STI care in low/middleincome countries: has STI syndromic management reached its useby date. BMJ Publishing Group Ltd, 2017:4–5.
- 14 World Health Organization. WHO consolidated guideline on self-care interventions for health: sexual and reproductive health and rights. 2019.
- 15 Nodjikouambaye ZA, Compain F, Sadjoli D, *et al.* Accuracy of Curable Sexually Transmitted Infections and Genital Mycoplasmas Screening by Multiplex Real-Time PCR Using a Self-Collected Veil among Adult Women in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol* 2019;2019:8639510.
- 16 Speckemeier C, Krabbe L, Schwenke S, et al. Discrete choice experiment to determine preferences of decision-makers in healthcare for different formats of rapid reviews. Syst Rev 2021;10:121:121:.
- 17 Mühlbacher A, Johnson FR. Choice Experiments to Quantify Preferences for Health and Healthcare: State of the Practice. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy* 2016;14:253–66.
- 18 Shanahan M, Larance B, Nielsen S, et al. A protocol for a discrete choice experiment: understanding patient medicine preferences for managing chronic non-cancer pain. BMJ Open 2019;9:e027153.
- 19 Turner A, Wolvaardt J, Ryan M. Exploring doctors' trade-offs between management, research and clinical training in the medical curriculum: a protocol for a discrete choice experiment in Southern Africa. *BMJ Open* 2023;13:e070836.
- 20 Ryan M, Gerard K, Amaya-Amaya M. Using discrete choice experiments to value health and health care. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- 21 Liu Y, Kong Q, de Bekker-Grob EW. Public preferences for health care facilities in rural China: A discrete choice experiment. Soc Sci Med 2019;237:112396.
- 22 Colglazier W. SUSTAINABILITY. Sustainable development agenda: 2030. *Science* 2015;349:1048–50.
- 23 Gallagher M, Hares T, Spencer J, *et al.* The nominal group technique: a research tool for general practice? *Fam Pract* 1993;10:76–81.
 24 Harray N, Holman GA, Naminal and January techniques and factors and the second second
- 24 Harvey N, Holmes CA. Nominal group technique: an effective method for obtaining group consensus. *Int J Nurs Pract* 2012;18:188–94.
 25 Mursh MK, Block NA, Lampiag DL, et al. Conservation development.
- 25 Murphy MK, Black NA, Lamping DL, et al. Consensus development methods, and their use in clinical guideline development. *Health Technol Assess* 1998;2:i–iv.
- 26 Mambo SB, Sikakulya FK, Ssebuufu R, et al. Challenges in Access and Utilization of Sexual and Reproductive Health Services Among Youth During the COVID-19 Pandemic Lockdown in Uganda: An Online Cross-Sectional Survey. Front Reprod Health 2021;3:705609.
- 27 Cassidy C, Bishop A, Steenbeek A, *et al*. Barriers and enablers to sexual health service use among university students: a qualitative descriptive study using the Theoretical Domains Framework and COM-B model. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2018;18:581.
- 28 Kamath Mulki A, Withers M. Human Papilloma Virus self-sampling performance in low- and middle-income countries. *BMC Womens Health* 2021;21:12.
- 29 Fujita M, Nagashima K, Shimazu M, et al. Implementation of a self-sampling HPV test for non-responders to cervical cancer screening in Japan: secondary analysis of the ACCESS trial. Sci Rep 2022;12:14531.

- 30 Jayapalan S. Determinants of delay in the health care seeking behaviour of STD patients. *Clin Epidemiol Glob Health* 2015;3:S69–74.
- 31 Zehbe I, Wakewich P, King A-D, *et al.* Self-administered versus provider-directed sampling in the Anishinaabek Cervical Cancer Screening Study (ACCSS): a qualitative investigation with Canadian First Nations women. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e017384.
- 32 Pampati S, Liddon N, Dittus PJ, *et al*. Confidentiality Matters but How Do We Improve Implementation in Adolescent Sexual and Reproductive Health Care? *J Adolesc Health* 2019;65:315–22.
- 33 Corley AG, Sprockett A, Montagu D, et al. Exploring and Monitoring Privacy, Confidentiality, and Provider Bias in Sexual and Reproductive Health Service Provision to Young People: A Narrative Review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2022;19:6576.
- 34 Griner SB. Self-collected sampling methods for chlamydia and gonorrhea screening among college women: exploring patientcentered intervention characteristics. University of South Florida, 2019.
- 35 Taylor D, Lunny C, Wong T, et al. Self-collected versus cliniciancollected sampling for sexually transmitted infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. Syst Rev 2013;2:1–6.
- 36 Barrientos-Durán A, de Salazar A, Alvarez-Estévez M, et al. Detection of sexually transmitted disease–causing pathogens from direct clinical specimens with the multiplex PCR-based STD Direct Flow Chip Kit. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2020;39:235–41.
- 37 Godia PM, Olenja JM, Lavussa JA, et al. Sexual reproductive health service provision to young people in Kenya; health service providers' experiences. BMC Health Serv Res 2013;13:476:1–13:.
- 38 Ninsiima LR, Chiumia IK, Ndejjo R. Factors influencing access to and utilisation of youth-friendly sexual and reproductive health services in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *Reprod Health* 2021;18:135:135:.
- 39 Jaya ZN, Mapanga W, van Niekerk B, et al. Mapping Evidence of Self-Sampling to Diagnose Sexually Transmitted Infections in Women: A Scoping Review. *Diagnostics (Basel)* 2022;12:1803.
- 40 Ryan M, Gerard K. Using discrete choice experiments to value health care programmes: current practice and future research reflections. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy* 2003;2:55–64.
- 41 Louviere JJ, Hensher DA, Swait JD. Stated choice methods: analysis and applications. Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- 42 de Bekker-Grob EW, Donkers B, Jonker MF, et al. Sample Size Requirements for Discrete-Choice Experiments in Healthcare: a Practical Guide. *Patient* 2015;8:373–84.
- 43 Du Plessis C, Landman K. Sustainability analysis of human settelements in South Africa. 2002.
- 44 Orme B. Sample size issues for conjoint analysis studies. Sequim: Sawtooth Software Technical Paper, 1998.
- 45 Johnson R, Orme B. *Getting the most from CBC*. Sequim: Sawtooth Software Research Paper Series, Sawtooth Software, 2003.
- 46 Pearce A, Harrison M, Watson V, et al. Respondent Understanding in Discrete Choice Experiments: A Scoping Review. Patient 2021;14:17–53.
- 47 Li H, Han J, Yuan H, *et al.* Eliciting gastric cancer survivors' preferences for follow-up services: a discrete choice experiment protocol. *BMJ Open* 2021;11:e049742.
- 48 Hensher DA, Rose JM, Greene WH. Applied choice analysis. 2005. Available: https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/ 9780511610356/type/book
- 49 Can W, De W, Wei Z. Research progress of discrete choice models. Prog Geogr 2015;34:1275–87.

7