

## SPECIAL ISSUE: AFRICAN FLORA IN A CHANGING WORLD

# **Weak latitudinal trends in reproductive traits of Afromontane forest trees**

<span id="page-0-1"></span>**R. C. Swart[1](#page-0-0),[\\*](#page-0-1), [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8629-1299) S. Geert[s2](#page-0-2) , C. J. Geldenhuys[3](#page-0-3) , J. Pauw[1](#page-0-0) and A. Coetzee[1](#page-0-0)**

<span id="page-0-3"></span><span id="page-0-2"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span>*1 Department of Conservation Management, Faculty of Science, George Campus, Nelson Mandela University, George 6530, South Africa, 2 Department of Conservation and Marine Sciences, Cape Peninsula University of Technology, PO Box 652, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa and 3 Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0184, South Africa \* For correspondence. E-mail [s224842684@mandela.ac.za](mailto:s224842684@mandela.ac.za)*

Received: 25 February 2023 Returned for revision: 1 June 2023 Editorial decision: 22 June 2023 Accepted: 5 July 2023

**• Background and Aims** Is the increase in species diversity patterns towards lower latitudes linked to reproductive traits? Plant reproductive organs influence reproductive isolation and hence species divergence. Abiotic differences between temperate and tropical regions can also directly impact on plant reproductive traits. Here we provide a novel overview of southern hemisphere, Afromontane forest tree taxonomical patterns and ask whether reproductive traits relate to latitude, while accounting for environmental (tree height) and evolutionary (biogeographical affinity) selective forces.

• **Methods** We compiled a novel dataset with (1) flower colour, size and pollination syndrome and (2) fruit colour, size and dispersal syndrome for 331 tree species found in six Afromontane forest regions. We categorized each species into latitudinal distribution using these six regions, spanning the southern Cape (34º S) to Mount Kenya (0º S). Additionally, we gathered maximum tree height (m) for each species and determined the global distribution of all 196 tree genera (Afrotropical, Palaeotropical or Pantropical).

**• Key Results** Species, genera and families showed a general decrease in richness away from tropical and subtropical forests towards warm temperate forests. Southern Afrotemperate forests (the furthest south) had the highest tree endemism. There was no relationship between latitude and the reproductive traits tested here. Biogeographical affinity related to fruit colour and dispersal syndrome, with palaeotropical genera showing relative increases in black-purple fruit colour compared with pantropical genera, and palaeotropical genera showing relative increases in biotic seed dispersal compared with Afrotropical genera, which showed higher relative abiotic seed dispersal. Taller trees had a higher chance to be wind or insect pollinated (compared with bird pollinated) and had larger fruits. **• Conclusions** Latitude explained patterns in Afromontane tree taxonomic diversity; however, tree reproductive traits did not relate to latitude. We suggest that phylogenetic conservatism or convergence, or both, explain the reported patterns.

**Key words:** Afromontane forest, latitude, pollination syndromes, dispersal syndromes.

## INTRODUCTION

Latitude and its effects on biodiversity are among the more widely debated ecological topics (Gaston *et al*[., 1998;](#page-11-0) [Gaston, 2000](#page-11-1); Willig *et al*[., 2003](#page-12-0)) but it is still poorly understood how species interactions are affected by changes in latitude [\(Moles and Ollerton, 2016](#page-11-2)). Flowers and fruits are the main reproductive organs of trees and are under strong selection pressure, both biotic and abiotic, to enhance pollination and seed dispersal, respectively ([Delmas](#page-11-3) *et al*., 2020; [Valenta and Nevo, 2020\)](#page-12-1). Floral and fruit traits vary between tree species and can be both a reflection of past evolutionary selection and more recent environmental pressures ([Sobral](#page-12-2) *et al*[., 2015](#page-12-2); [Sauquet](#page-12-3) *et al*., 2017; [Delmas](#page-11-3) *et al*., 2020). For example, phylogenetic conservatism can lead to trait homogeneity within certain phylogenies (e.g. Chilean rain forest Myrtaceae) ([Smith-Ramírez](#page-12-4) *et al*., 1998; [Vasconcelos](#page-12-5) *et al*., [2018\)](#page-12-5). Alternatively, trait homogeneity across phylogenies could indicate selective pressures towards adaptive convergence. For instance, a dominance of whitish flowers in Australian rainforests is found across trees with different

biogeographical affinities and could reflect regional adaptation ([Delmas](#page-11-3) *et al*., 2020).

Plant reproductive organs are often involved in reproductive isolation and hence species divergence. Predictably, there is a link between species diversity and reproductive trait diversity. Latitudinal patterns in pollinator and frugivorous animal diversity, which are known to increase towards the tropics [\(Hawkins](#page-11-4) *et al*., 2007; [Davies and Buckley, 2011](#page-11-5); [García-Robledo](#page-11-6) *et al*., 2020), could indirectly reflect associated plant reproductive trait diversity. Flower colour of angiosperms is partially selected upon for its detectability through the visual systems of the pollinator community, largely insects [>80 % of flowering plants are entomophilous ([Ollerton](#page-11-7) *et al*., [2011\)](#page-11-7)], but also larger vertebrates, such as birds and mammals ([Shrestha](#page-12-6) *et al*., 2013; [Song and Lee, 2018\)](#page-12-7). Fruit colour, in the case of fleshy fruits that are consumed by animals as primary seed dispersers, is similarly selected upon for its detectability, although through the visual systems of the frugivore community, largely birds and mammals (Nevo *et al*[., 2018](#page-11-8); [Sinnott-](#page-12-8)[Armstrong](#page-12-8) *et al.*, 2021). There is an evolutionary link between

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Annals of Botany Company.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([https://creativecommons.org/licenses/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [by/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. fruit colour diversity and the number of fruit-eating birds present in an ecosystem ([Willson and Thompson, 1982\)](#page-12-9), as well as between flower colour and pollinator diversity, the latter expected to decrease away from the tropics [\(Dalrymple](#page-11-9) *et al*., [2015](#page-11-9)). It has been suggested that bird dispersal can select for smaller fruit, compared with mammal dispersal [\(Valido](#page-12-10) *et al*., [2011](#page-12-10)). The implications are that tropical latitudes, which host a richness of frugivorous primates and bats exceeding that of temperate latitudes ([Fleming](#page-11-10) *et al*., 1987), might see a relative increase in fruit size. Similarly, flower and fruit colour could be expected to increase in morphological diversity at tropical latitudes.

Animals are not always the primary selective drivers of flower and fruit colour ([Sinnott-Armstrong](#page-12-8) *et al*., 2021). For example, anthocyanins in plants produce the black, blue, purple and red colours, and their production is affected by exposure to UV radiation [\(Chalker-Scott, 1999](#page-10-0); [Steyn](#page-12-11) *et al*., [2002](#page-12-11); [Gould, 2004](#page-11-11)), which increases nearer to the equator [\(Beckmann](#page-10-1) *et al*., 2014). Strong relationships are shown between plant reproductive traits and climatic gradients, with fleshy fruits increasing in proportion to non-fleshy fruits at lower latitudes in Australia (Chen *et al*[., 2017\)](#page-11-12). Thus, apart from frugivore selective pressures, physiological responses to the external environment can also impact on fruit traits [\(Valenta](#page-12-12) *et al*., 2018).

Fruit colour has been shown to be related to latitude, as gathered from numerous studies [\(Willson](#page-12-13) *et al*., 1990), with temperate latitudes dominated by red and black fruits (predominantly bird dispersed) and colours yellow, green and brown increasing in relative abundance at lower latitudes. Also, flowers could have higher colour diversity at higher latitudes, linked to fewer pollinators and lower precipitation further from the equator ([Dalrymple](#page-11-9) *et al*., 2015). Alternatively, floral disparity, or the morphological diversity of flowers, has been shown to be greatest at tropical latitudes for the order Ericales, which was, importantly, not coupled with plant species richness patterns ([Chartier](#page-11-13) *et al*., 2021). Of further interest at latitudinal scales are the proportional changes in dispersal and pollination syndromes, the former shown to differ at the continental scale [\(Dugger](#page-11-14) *et al*., 2019; [Delmas](#page-11-3) *et al*., 2020) and the latter shown to differ at continental ([Aizen and Ezcurra, 1998\)](#page-10-2) and regional [\(Chazdon](#page-11-15) *et al*., 2003) scales. For example, Afrotemperate forests in KwaZulu–Natal, South Africa, had a higher incidence of wind pollination compared with scarp and coastal forests, which were linked to steep topography, more seasonal droughts and less pollinator diversity in Afrotemperate forests ([Griffiths](#page-11-16)  [and Lawes, 2006\)](#page-11-16). Notably, at temperate latitudes, abiotic dispersal can be expected to increase relative to tropical latitudes (Chen *et al*[., 2017\)](#page-11-12).

In the tropics, where high species densities are expected to be packed into narrow ecological niches [\(Mittelbach](#page-11-17) *et al*[., 2007](#page-11-17); [Ollerton, 2012\)](#page-11-18), specialization might be expected to increase, which could impact on pollination and dispersal syndromes. Unexpectedly, it has been shown that pollinator specialization does not correlate with latitude [\(Ollerton and](#page-11-19)  [Cranmer, 2002\)](#page-11-19), with later work indicating exceptional specialization in temperate regions, i.e. the Cape Floristic Region *sensu lato* ([Geerts and Pauw, 2009](#page-11-20); [Geerts, 2011](#page-11-21); [Schleuning](#page-12-14)  *et al*[., 2012;](#page-12-14) [Pauw and Stanway, 2015](#page-12-15)). However, there are almost no data to support or refute it and therefore the idea that specialization and related reproductive traits are influenced by latitude remains contentious at best [\(Vizentin-Bugoni](#page-12-16) *et al*., [2018\)](#page-12-16).

The Afromontane flora lends itself to testing how latitude influences plant reproductive traits within one vegetation zone, because it spans both temperate and tropical latitudes. Africa is the only continent that extends north and south of the bisecting equator to nearly equal distances (roughly up to the 35º latitudinal degree). The flora of the Afromontane archipelago (*sensu* [White, 1978\)](#page-12-17), specifically, reflects a highly endemic phytochorion supporting >4000 species, of which >75 % are endemics [\(White, 1981](#page-12-18)). These form small pockets of fragmented forests across the continent. Afromontane forests, despite their fragmented nature and the high isolation between forests, share tree species, genera and families, often covering relatively high-altitude mountains or mountain ranges surrounded by lower-altitude – and different – floras. The Afromontane flora is distinct from the Congo rainforest flora, forming scattered forest communities both south [\(White](#page-12-19) *et al*., [2001\)](#page-12-19) and north ([Chapman and Chapman, 2001](#page-10-3)) of the Congo basin. It is also floristically distinct from Miombo woodlands ([White, 1978\)](#page-12-17).

Afromontane forest trees are seemingly highly dependent on animals for both pollination and seed dispersal, based on the dominance of trees producing small, white flowers (insect pollination) and fleshy fruits (mammal and bird dispersal) ([Geldenhuys, 1993](#page-11-22); White *et al*[., 2001](#page-12-19); [Venter, 2011](#page-12-20); [Hyde](#page-11-23) *et al*[., 2021](#page-11-23)). Given the disjunct, and often isolated, nature of Afromontane forest flora, understanding to what extent animals aid recruitment and gene flow in this flora is of great conservation significance. Many Afromontane forests harbour narrow endemics ([De Klerk](#page-11-24) *et al*., 2002; [Fjeldså and Bowie,](#page-11-25) [2008\)](#page-11-25), some of which are critically endangered ([Obunga](#page-11-26)  *et al*[., 2022](#page-11-26)). Africa's complex topography and vegetation palaeohistory, especially relating to its southern and eastern mountainous regions ([Assèdé](#page-10-4) *et al.*, 2021), greatly shaped the diversity distributions of both pollinators and frugivores in Afromontane forest systems. Latitude is expected to strongly exert selection pressures on tree reproductive traits, indirectly (pollinator and frugivore diversity) and directly (plant physiology).

Here, we determine whether Afromontane forest tree species' reproductive traits show relation to latitude, accounting for environmental (tree height) and evolutionary (biogeographical affinity) selective forces. We compiled a novel, comprehensive dataset of tree species occurring in six Afromontane forests, spanning the southern Cape (34ºS) to Mount Kenya (0ºS), which includes, per species, (1) flower colour, size and pollination syndrome, and (2) fruit colour, size and dispersal syndrome.

We hypothesize that

- (1) tree species richness will decrease away from tropical latitudes,
- (2) flower and fruit colour will decrease in morphological disparity away from tropical latitudes,
- (3) flower and fruit size will decrease away from tropical latitudes, and
- (4) wind pollination and abiotic dispersal will increase away from tropical latitudes.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### *Study area and dataset*

The dataset includes tree species occurrence from six Afromontane forest regions from the eastern and southern rift mountains, Manica highlands and the Drakensberg range ([Fig.](#page-2-0) [1](#page-2-0)). The six forests include the southern Afrotemperate forests, northern Afrotemperate forests, Manica Highlands, Mount Mulanje, Mount Kilimanjaro and Mount Kenya. We selected the six forests based on their distribution along the latitudinal gradient and the availability of comprehensive species lists. The six forests are found on a diversity of substrates of varying geological origins ([Supplementary Data Table S1](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data)). In geological terms, Mount Kilimanjaro and Mount Kenya are recent in origin (<6 mya) compared with the relatively ancient mountain ranges of the Manica Highlands and those underlying southern and northern Afrotemperate forests (>300 mya) ([Supplementary](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data) [Data Table S1](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data)). The Afromontane archipelago, as noted by [Grimshaw \(2001\),](#page-11-27) is not a geographical concept; rather, it is defined by its floristics. We therefore did not consider substrate or age in selecting study forests.

The Afromontane flora contains many endemics, but also many ecological transgressors that occur in two or more major phytochoria or in at least two vegetation types [\(White, 1978\)](#page-12-17). Also, as pointed out by Linder *et al*[. \(2005\)](#page-11-28), the distinction between Afromontane flora and surrounding lowland forest flora, especially in the tropics, is often not clear, with a gradual transition rather than a sharp boundary. Viewing the Afromontane flora holistically, we include species that are not only considered as 'core Afromontane species', but also species that are associated with Afromontane forests (i.e. *Afrocanthium mundianum*, *Celtis africana*, *Ekebergia capensis*) or those that occur along Afromontane forest margins (i.e. *Buddleja saligna*, *Lachnostylis hirta*, *Virgilia* spp.) in the six study forests.

Only species with woody growth forms, described as a free-standing tree (climbers and spreading shrubs and subshrubs excluded), excluding monocots, and which are found in Afromontane forests or forest margins in the six indigenous forest regions were considered. Notable Afromontane species that were excluded are *Dracaena* spp. (woody monocots) and *Yushania alpina* (non-woody monocot). Plant species <4 m in height when mature were not classified as trees and excluded.

Data were obtained from [Geldenhuys \(1993\),](#page-11-22) [Venter \(2011\)](#page-12-20) and [Von Breitenbach \(1974,](#page-10-5) [1985](#page-10-6)) for the list of southern Afrotemperate species. Here, the southern Afrotemperate forest species were confined to the area between the Gouritz River in the west, the Kromme River in the east, the Cape Fold Mountains to the north and the Indian Ocean to the south ([Geldenhuys, 1993\)](#page-11-22). The northern Afrotemperate forests are less well defined geographically, and here include



<span id="page-2-0"></span>Fig. 1. The six Afromontane forest regions included in this study, spanning from the southern Afrotemperate (34°S) forests to the forests at Mount Kenya (0°).

the Marakele Afromontane forests ([Van Staden](#page-12-21) *et al*., 2021) and the Northern Mistbelt forests (Limpopo and Mpumalanga Mistbelt forests), which comprise numerous forest subtypes of Afrotemperate affinity ([Geldenhuys, 1992;](#page-11-29) [Mucina](#page-11-30)  *et al*[., 2006](#page-11-30); Lötter *et al*[., 2014](#page-11-31)). We consulted species lists obtained from [Mucina](#page-11-30) *et al*. (2006), [Van Staden](#page-12-21) *et al*. (2021) and [Geldenhuys \(1992\)](#page-11-29) for the list of northern Afrotemperate species. The Manica Highlands here include the Bvumba, Chimanimani and Nyanga indigenous forest complexes, with species records obtained from the online, open access resource *Flora of Zimbabwe* (Hyde *et al*[., 2021\)](#page-11-23) and a species list of moist forests compiled by [Timberlake](#page-12-22) *et al*., (2021). The forest tree and large shrub species around the Mulanje massif were documented by White *et al*[. \(2001\)](#page-12-19) and this publication was used to compile the list of species from Mount Mulanje. For tree species from Mount Kilimanjaro, we used species lists from Lovett *et al*[. \(2000\)](#page-11-32) and [Hemp \(2006\)](#page-11-33), with Zhou *et al*[. \(2018\)](#page-12-23) used for the tree species found at Mount Kenya. Additionally, we used the online platform GBIF to supplement the data (GBIF.org, 2021), manually filtering species records by excluding non-native and/ or planted trees. Thus, only naturally occurring, indigenous species were included. We are confident the number of tree species recorded accurately reflects the number of species and is not an effect of missing data since larger number of species were recorded for regions with less data availability.

## *Latitudinal distribution, tree height and biogeographical affinity*

To determine the relation between reproductive traits and latitude, we divided the six forest regions into three latitudinal distribution categories [\(Delmas](#page-11-3) *et al*., 2020), namely tropical (Mount Kenya, Mount Kilimanjaro), subtropical (Mount Mulanje, Manica Highlands) and warm temperate (northern Afrotemperate, southern Afrotemperate). Subsequently, we categorized each tree species into one of the following six latitudinal categories: tropical (Mount Kenya and/or Mount Kilimanjaro only); subtropical (Mount Mulanje and/or Manica Highlands only); warm temperate (northern Afrotemperate and/ or southern Afrotemperate only); tropical to warm temperate (species across whole range); tropical to subtropical (species limited to tropical and subtropical forests); and subtropical to warm temperate (species limited to subtropical and warm temperate forests).

For each species in the dataset, we determined its biogeographical affinity based on the present geographical distribution of its genus ([Supplementary Data Tables S2 and S3\)](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data). Regions of biogeographical affinity to which genera were ascribed to include Austral (genus distributed on multiple southern hemispheric continents), Afrotropical realm (distributed in Africa south of the Sahara, the Arabian Peninsula and Madagascar, including endemic Afromontane genera), cosmopolitan (distributed on most continents), Mediterranean (predominantly distributed in Mediterranean regions), palaeotropical (confined to tropical regions of Africa and/or Asia including the Pacific) and pantropical (confined to tropical regions of Africa, America and/or Asia including the Pacific). Maximum tree height (metres) was used in analyses ([Supplementary Data Tables S2](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data)  [and S3](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data)).

#### *Flower colour, size and pollination syndrome*

We categorized each species' flower colour based on the dominant 'human vision' colour of the flower. Colour categories included white (including white, pale white to cream, and greenish-yellowish white), green, yellow, orange-red and pinkpurple. Conifers and trees in the *Ficus* genus were placed in a separate category, termed 'no external flowers', due to the absence of flowers in the former and the lack of external flowers in the latter. Flowers displaying more than one colour, having young flowers change colour with age, or in which females and males show sexual dimorphism were placed in the category of the dominant colour. For flower size, we used the largest measurement of the apparent display organ (in millimetres), which included mostly petals but also other organs in some species, such as sepals. This was obtained from exhaustive literature scans ([Supplementary Data Tables S2 and S3](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data)).

We categorized each tree species into the following pollination syndromes: 'bird', 'insect', 'bird–insect' and 'wind' pollinated. Bird-pollinated species were grouped as such when flowers are either large, striking, bright orange-red, tubular or a combination of these characteristics, including confirmation from the literature [\(Supplementary Data Tables S2 and S3](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data)). Flowers that are small, inconspicuous, creamy-white to green, scented or a combination of these characteristics were grouped as insect pollinated. To support this, for each tree or shrub species a literature scan was done, specifically looking for pollination syndrome or confirmed pollinator ([Supplementary Data](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data) [Tables S2 and S3](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data)). The category 'bird–insect' included species possibly pollinated by both insects and birds, with no one pollination method being clear from its flower morphology or the literature. For four species, we were unable to obtain information on flower morphology or pollination syndromes. In these cases, we ascribed the mode of pollination using other, closely related species within the genus, for which pollination is known. This includes the species *Maytenus albata* (insect pollinated), *Scolopia oreophila* (insect pollinated) and *Tricalysia acocantheroides* (insect pollinated).

## *Fruit colour, size and dispersal syndrome*

We categorized each species' fruit into colour (fleshy fruit only), which included the dominant human vision colour of the fruit. Colour categories were black-purple, brown, green, orange, red and yellow. Species with fruits displaying more than one colour, having young fruits that change colour as they mature, having fruit appendages of differing colours, or having fruits showing a range of colour were placed in the category of the dominant colour, or the colour when fruits are ripe, as determined by exhaustive literature scans [\(Supplementary Data](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data)  [Tables S2 and S3](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data)). For each tree species, the length and width of fruit were obtained (in millimetres), with the longest measurement used in data analyses (for instance, if a fruit size was  $6 \times 8$  mm, 8 would be used).

We further ascribed each tree species to seed dispersal syndromes: 'biotic', 'abiotic', or 'multiple' dispersed. 'Biotic dispersal' comprised species for which a fleshy fruit, or an aril, covers the whole or parts of the seed. This also included those taxa for which we could find literature confirming it as animal dispersed ([Supplementary Data Tables S2 and S3](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data)). We

| Southern<br>Afrotemperate $(34^{\circ} S)$ | Northern<br>Afrotemperate $(24^{\circ} S)$ | Manica<br>Highlands $(19^{\circ} S)$ | Mount Mulanje<br>$(15^{\circ} S)$ | Mount<br>Kilimanjaro $(3^{\circ} S)$ | Mount<br>Kenya $(0^{\circ})$ |  |  |  |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 90   | 129  | 181                                  | 156                               | 176                                  | 165                          |  |  |  |
| 72   | 96   | 135                                  | 131                               | 134                                  | 129                          |  |  |  |
| 43   | 54   | 62                                   | 61                                | 62                                   | 60                           |  |  |  |
| 25   | 16   | 14                                   | 14                                | 11                                   | 8                            |  |  |  |
| 27.78                                      | 12.4                                       | 7.74                                 | 8.97                              | 6.25                                 | 4.85                         |  |  |  |
|  |  |                                      |                                   |                                      |                              |  |  |  |

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 1. *Number of tree species, genera and families with the percentage of endemic species for six Afromontane forests from Mount Kenya to southern Afrotemperate forests.*

<span id="page-4-1"></span>a Number of species that occurred only in corresponding forest region in relation to all other forests in the dataset.

categorized taxa producing grain-like, small seeds in woolly hairs (e.g. *Brachylaena* spp.), seeds that have explosive, dehiscent mechanisms with wing-like structures (e.g. *Catha edulis*) or those that had reference to being wind or gravity dispersed in the literature in the 'abiotic dispersal' category ([Supplementary](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data) [Data Tables S2 and S3](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data)). Only one species was determined to be a probable water disperser, *Hibiscus burtt-davyi*, and this was placed in the 'abiotic dispersal' category. 'Multiple dispersal' was ascribed to species as follows: (1) seeds that are produced in pods, notably in the family Fabaceae [excluding Fabaceae members where adaptations for either a biotic or abiotic dispersal method is clear, as in *Craibia brevicaudata*, which has explosive pods for gravity dispersal ('abiotic dispersal')], or (2) those with no one clear method of dispersal but more than one possibility, e.g. dehiscent fruits followed by secondary dispersal by animals. This category also included taxa with known multiple dispersal methods, e.g. *Combretum kraussii*, which has seeds dispersed by wind and/or animals, and *Clutia pulchella*, which has seeds with lipid-rich elaiosomes, attracting ants as secondary dispersers ([Supplementary Data Tables S2 and S3\)](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data). For three species, we were unable to confidently determine its dispersal syndrome: *Lepidotrichilia volkensii*, *Necepsia castaneifolia chirindica* and *Mallotus oppositifolius.* These were removed from dispersal syndrome analyses.

#### *Statistical analyses*

To determine the similarity in tree species composition, cluster analysis between the six forest regions was done in PRIMER 6, indicating similarity of tree species assemblages [\(Clarke and Gorley, 2006\)](#page-11-34). Analyses were done on square-roottransformed data, with Bray–Curtis similarity measures applied prior to analysis. To determine whether latitude correlates with tree reproductive traits, we fitted both generalized linear mixed-effect models (GLMMs, for categorical response variables) and linear mixed-effect models (LMMs, for numerical response variables) using the *lme4* package (Bates *et al*[., 2014](#page-10-7)) in R [\(R Core Team, 2019\)](#page-12-24). Four respective GLMMs contained the response variables 'flower colour', 'pollination syndrome', 'fruit colour' and 'dispersal syndrome', with each model containing the variables latitudinal distribution (categorical), tree height (numerical) and biogeographical affinity (categorical). The family of each species was included as a random variable.

GLMMs were fitted using the binomial family distribution. Two respective LMMs contained the response variables 'flower size' and 'fruit size' (both followed a positive skew non-normal distribution and were log-transformed), with each model containing the variables latitudinal distribution (categorical), tree height (numerical) and biogeographical affinity (categorical). The family of each species was included as a random variable.

Due to the negligible contributions and the disjunct nature of the biogeographical affinities Mediterranean (*n* = 5), Austral  $(n = 2)$  and Cosmopolitan  $(n = 12)$ , species with these three affinities were removed from statistical analyses in which the factor 'biogeographical affinity' was used. Tree species with no external flowers were excluded from flower colour and flower size analyses (mostly the conifers and *Ficus* spp.). Pollination syndrome analyses again included these tree species. For pollination syndrome analysis, the categories 'bird' and 'bird–insect' were combined into the category 'bird–insect' due to low numbers recorded of exclusively bird-pollinated tree species  $(n = 4)$ . Tree species with non-fleshy fruit were excluded from fruit colour and fruit size analyses. Dispersal syndrome analyses again included these species.

#### RESULTS

#### *Taxonomical overview and trends*

A total of 331 tree species, from 73 families and 196 genera, were documented. The most speciose families were Rubiaceae (51), Celastraceae (17), Fabaceae (14), Salicaceae (13) and Euphorbiaceae and Rutaceae (both 12). The most speciose genera were *Psychotria* (8), *Ficus* (7), *Searsia* (7), and *Allophylus*, *Canthium*, *Dovyalis*, *Maytenus* and *Pavetta* (all 5). A total of 27 tree species occurred in all six forest regions. Of these, 24 are insect pollinated, one is bird pollinated (*Halleria lucida*) and two are wind pollinated (*Afrocarpus falcatus*, *Podocarpus latifolius*). Of these 27 species, only *Trichocladus ellipticus* is dispersed through abiotic means, the rest biotically.

The number of species, genera and families decreased away from the tropics [\(Table 1\)](#page-4-0). The number of families decreased from between 60 and 62 from the Manica Highlands northwards to 43 in southern Afrotemperate forests. Southern Afrotemperate forests had the highest proportion of endemic species (27.78 %), followed by northern Afrotemperate forests  $(12.40\%)$  and Mount Mulanje  $(8.97\%)$  [\(Table 1\)](#page-4-0). Cluster analysis revealed that forests group together based on latitude, with a clear tropical (Mount Kenya, Mount Kilimanjaro), subtropical (Mount Mulanje, Manica Highlands) and warm temperate (northern Afrotemperate, southern Afrotemperate) clustering [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-5-0). On a higher level, the warm temperate forests are distinct from the northern forests.

## *Flower colour, size and pollination syndrome*

Latitude had no relation to flower colour, flower size or pollination syndrome ([Table 2\)](#page-6-0). Flower colour proportions were statistically similar between all six latitudinal distribution categories, the dominant flower colour across forest regions being white  $(n = 207)$ , followed by yellow  $(n = 38)$  and green  $(n = 29; Fig. 3)$  $(n = 29; Fig. 3)$  $(n = 29; Fig. 3)$ . These colours represented 90.13 %  $(n = 274)$ of all flowering species, compared with 6.58  $% (n = 20)$  for pink-purple and  $3.29 \%$  ( $n = 10$ ) for orange-red flowers. The most dominant pollination syndrome was insect (91.35 %;  $n = 285$ ), followed by bird–insect (5.77 %;  $n = 18$ ), wind (1.6) %;  $n = 5$ ) and bird (1.28 %;  $n = 4$ ) pollination. A significant relation was found between tree height and pollination syndrome: bird–insect-pollinated trees were rarely taller than 22 m, whereas insect-pollinated trees encompassed the full spectrum of tree height, i.e. 4 up to 60 m. Wind-pollinated trees, although represented by five species only, were largely >25 m [\(Table 2;](#page-6-0) [Fig. 4](#page-7-0)).

## *Fruit colour, size and dispersal syndromes*

Latitude had no relation to fleshy fruit colour, fruit size or dispersal syndrome [\(Table 2](#page-6-0)). Fruit colour proportions were statistically similar between all six latitudinal distribution categories, the dominant fruit colour being black-purple (*n* = 88; 37.77 %), followed by red (*n* = 62; 26.61 %) [\(Fig. 5](#page-7-1)). Cryptic colours, i.e. brown  $(n = 12)$ , green  $(n = 22)$  and yellow  $(n = 33)$ , were found for 28.76 % of species  $(n = 67)$ , with only 6.87 % of species bearing orange fruit  $(n = 16)$ . The most dominant dispersal syndrome was biotic (79.29 %; *n* = 245), followed by abiotic (13.59 %;  $n = 42$ ) and multiple (7.12 %;  $n = 22$ ). Fruit colour and dispersal syndrome revealed significant relations with biogeographical affinity [\(Table 2](#page-6-0); [Fig. 6](#page-8-0)). The distribution of fruit colour proportions differed between palaeotropical and pantropical genera, with palaeotropical genera revealing a relative increase in contrasting fruit colours driven by black-purple fruits and a relative decrease in cryptic fruit colours [\(Fig. 6A\)](#page-8-0). Afrotropical genera showed lower than expected biotic dispersal compared with palaeotropical genera, with higher than expected abiotic dispersal ([Fig. 6B](#page-8-0)). A significant relation was found between tree height and fruit size, taller trees correlating with larger fruits ([Table 2](#page-6-0); [Fig. 7\)](#page-9-0).

#### DISCUSSION

Afromontane tree taxonomic diversity decreases from the tropics and subtropics towards southern latitudes, whereas southern Afrotemperate forests contain the highest proportion of endemic species. No effect of latitude was found on the tree reproductive traits tested here. We reject our hypothesis that flower and fruit colour of Afromontane forest trees decrease in morphological disparity away from tropical latitudes, with latitude having no relation to either flower or fruit colour. Fruit colour, interestingly, related to biogeographical affinity, with palaeotropical genera revealing a relative increase in contrasting fruit colours (black-purple, red) and a relative decrease



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Fig. 2. Cluster analysis revealing percentage similarities in the species composition of six Afromontane forests between the latitudinal degrees 34° (southern Afrotemperate) and 0º (Mount Kenya).

| Response factor             | Model variable           | Akaike information criterion | $\pmb{\chi}^2$ | Degrees of freedom | $P$ value   |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Flower colour (GLMM)        | Latitudinal distribution | 190.6                        | 6.55           | 5                  | 0.26        |
|                             | Tree height              | 192.57                       | 0.53           |                    | 0.47        |
|                             | Biogeographical affinity | 191.09                       | 1.05           | $\overline{2}$     | 0.59        |
| Flower size (LMM)           | Latitudinal distribution | 802.66                       | 5.06           | 5                  | 0.41        |
|                             | Tree height              | 809.84                       | 4.24           |                    | $0.04*$     |
|                             | Biogeographical affinity | 804.81                       | 1.21           | $\overline{2}$     | 0.55        |
| Pollination syndrome (GLMM) | Latitudinal distribution | 104.2                        | 2.32           | 5                  | 0.8         |
|                             | Tree height              | 118.89                       | 9              |                    | $0.003**$   |
|                             | Biogeographical affinity | 106.33                       | $\Omega$       | $\overline{2}$     |             |
| Fruit colour (GLMM)         | Latitudinal distribution | 283.14                       | 5.1            | 5                  | 0.4         |
|                             | Tree height              | 287.13                       | 1.08           |                    | 0.3         |
|                             | Biogeographical affinity | 293.77                       | 9.72           | $\overline{2}$     | $0.007**$   |
| Fruit size (LMM)            | Latitudinal distribution | 625.41                       | 1.36           | 5                  | 0.93        |
|                             | Tree height              | 644.32                       | 12.27          |                    | $<0.001***$ |
|                             | Biogeographical affinity | 631.77                       | 1.72           | 2                  | 0.42        |
| Dispersal syndrome (GLMM)   | Latitudinal distribution | 205.24                       | 4.41           | 5                  | 0.49        |
|                             | Tree height              | 209.36                       | 0.53           |                    | 0.47        |
|                             | Biogeographical affinity | 212.86                       | 6.03           | $\mathfrak{2}$     | $0.04*$     |

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Table 2. *Summative results of the GLMMs and LMMs showing the relation between reproductive traits of Afromontane forest trees and the variables latitudinal distribution, tree height and biogeographical affinity.*



<span id="page-6-1"></span>Fig. 3. Proportional similarities of flower colours of Afromontane forest tree species for different latitudinal distribution categories: tropical (Mount Kenya and/or Mount Kilimanjaro only); subtropical (Mount Mulanje and/or Manica Highlands only); warm temperate (northern Afrotemperate and/or southern Afrotemperate only); warm temperate to tropical (species across whole range); subtropical to tropical (species limited to subtropical and tropical forests); and warm temperate to subtropical (species limited to warm temperate and subtropical forests).

in cryptic fruit colours, compared with pantropical genera. We reject our hypothesis that flower and fruit size decrease away from tropical latitudes; however, tree height showed positive correlations with fruit size regardless of latitude. Lastly, we reject our hypothesis that wind pollination and abiotic dispersal increase away from tropical latitudes; however, wind pollination was more prevalent in taller tree species (relative to bird pollination), and insect-pollinated trees encompassed the whole spectrum of tree height.

The diversity distribution of Afromontane tree species along the latitudinal gradient is consistent with global trends, with tropical latitudes hosting the highest levels of tree richness (Gatti *et al*[., 2022\)](#page-11-35). Forest area, interestingly, did not influence tree diversity patterns; the Manica Highlands' Afromontane



<span id="page-7-0"></span>FIG. 4. Relation between tree height (m) and pollination syndrome for Afromontane forest tree species at six forest regions between the southern Cape and Mount Kenya. Shared letters above plots indicate statistically similar means. Coloured points relate to flower colour: pink = pink-purple; red = orange-red; yellow = yellow; white = white; grey = no external flower.



<span id="page-7-1"></span>FIG. 5. Proportional similarities of fruit colours for Afromontane forest tree species for the different latitudinal distribution categories: tropical (Mount Kenya and/ or Mount Kilimanjaro only); subtropical (Mount Mulanje and/or Manica Highlands only); warm temperate (northern Afrotemperate and/or southern Afrotemperate only); warm temperate to tropical (species across whole range); subtropical to tropical (species limited to subtropical and tropical forests); and warm temperate to subtropical (species limited to warm temperate and subtropical forests).

forests, at ~6000 ha in extent, had more tree species than the largest Afromontane forest included here, at Mount Kenya (~160 000 ha). The reported latitudinal pattern is contrasted to an inverse pattern in Africa: high plant diversity of the temperate Cape Floristic Region *sensu lato*, which does not conform to global latitudinal trends [\(Linder, 2014\)](#page-11-36). Afromontane forest flora, importantly, is largely of tropical origin ([White, 1978;](#page-12-17) [Linder, 2014](#page-11-36)), which since the Oligocene–Miocene expanded and contracted across the continent in relation to fluctuating climatic conditions [\(Migliore](#page-11-37) *et al*., 2020; [Couvreur](#page-11-38) *et al*., 2021). The uplifting of the East African Plateau throughout the mid-Miocene saw the formation of migration routes linking north

and south Africa ([Couvreur](#page-11-38) *et al*., 2021). As noted by [Migliore](#page-11-37) *et al*[. \(2020\),](#page-11-37) research on Afromontane trees' dispersal and migration history among currently isolated Afromontane areas is scant; however, recent phylogeographical work on *Prunus africana* (Kadu *et al*[., 2011](#page-11-39)) and *Podocarpus latifolius* ([Migliore](#page-11-37)  *et al*[., 2020](#page-11-37)), both widespread Afromontane forest trees, indicate a north-to-south migration route. The arid Limpopo River valley, as shown by Lawes *et al*[. \(2007\),](#page-11-40) separates the Manica Highlands from northern Afrotemperate forests, and provides a significant barrier to tropical elements dispersing southward. Our data suggest a sharp decrease in tree taxonomic diversity for Afromontane forests south of the Manica Highlands.



<span id="page-8-0"></span>FIG. 6. Proportions of fruit colour (A) and dispersal syndrome (B) of Afromontane tree species grouped into pantropical (Pan), Afrotropical (Afro) and palaeotropical (Palaeo) biogeographical affinities. Results of GLMMs are reported as *P*-values above the bars. \**P* <0.05; \*\* *P* <0.01.

Further south, the semi-arid Bedford gap, separating forests of the eastern and south-eastern Cape [\(Lawes, 1990](#page-11-41)), could explain the further decrease in species richness towards southern Afrotemperate forests.

The higher endemism of Afromontane forest trees shown for southern Afrotemperate forests could be argued to be a result of the age of the substrate (>300 compared with <6 mya for Mount Kilimanjaro and Mount Kenya) and allowing more time for speciation [\(Schluter, 2016](#page-12-25)). This would not explain the relatively lower levels of endemism for other, equally older substrates on which Afromontane forests are found, for instance the Manica Highlands (>300 mya) or Mount Mulanje (>130 mya). Southern Afrotemperate forests, in part due to

their southerly location, could have seen longer periods of isolation from northern Afromontane forests ([Lawes](#page-11-40) *et al*., 2007), allowing more time for speciation. This leads to the question whether pollinator shifts could have facilitated sympatric speciation. Another explanation could lie in its nestedness in the diverse Austro-temperate clade and proximity to coastal thicket floras ([Linder](#page-11-28) *et al*., 2005; [Linder, 2014](#page-11-36)); Afromontane floras, as pointed out by Linder *et al*[. \(2005\)](#page-11-28), are often enriched by surrounding floral elements, or ecological transgressors ([White, 1978\)](#page-12-17). These considerations, along with a temperate location and climate, could explain why the warm temperate forest regions are relatively unique among the Afromontane forests studied here.



<span id="page-9-0"></span>FIG. 7. Relation between tree height (m) and log(fruit size) for Afromontane forest tree species between Mount Kenya (0<sup>o</sup>) and southern Afrotemperate forest (34<sup>o</sup>) regions. The blue line shows a logarithmic regression and the grey area depicts the 95 % confidence interval. Coloured points relate to fruit colour: black = blackpurple; red = red; yellow = yellow; brown = brown; green = green; orange = orange.

We suggest three possible hypotheses explaining the results pertaining to latitudinal similarities in tree reproductive traits. Firstly, the latitudinal similarities reported are indicative of phylogenetic conservatism. Secondly, there is no change in selective pressures across the latitudinal gradient, with similar mutualistic partners, thus indicating convergence. Thirdly, selective forces of plant mutualists on tree reproductive traits remain proportionately similar, regardless of whether diversity decreases away from tropical latitudes.

#### *First hypothesis: phylogenetic conservatism*

Phylogenetic conservatism, in which legacy traits of ancestors persist [\(Prinzing](#page-12-26) *et al*., 2001), is supported both by the lack of latitudinal patterns on most of the traits tested here, and traits relating to biogeographical affinity (fruit colour, dispersal syndrome). From an analysis of 9370 plant species across a broad taxonomic range in China, occurring in tropical, subtropical and temperate regions, phylogenetic conservatism explained the majority of fruit trait variation, with little variation explained by climate or growth form (Wang *et al*[., 2022](#page-12-27)). Evolutionary rates of plants, especially trees, are slow [\(Herrera, 1987](#page-11-42)). This has led many authors to suggest that, rather than the intuitive link between frugivore selection pressure and fruit traits, nonadaptive processes (i.e. phylogenetic inertia) might be shaping current fruit trait distribution [\(Valenta and Nevo, 2020\)](#page-12-1). For the historically expanding and contracting Afromontane tree flora, underlying fruit traits could drive plant distribution patterns; thus, phylogenetic niche conservatism could explain the reported similarities. Previous work on coastal, scarp and Afrotemperate forests in South Africa showed how tree reproductive traits are highly phylogenetically conserved, which included flower colour, flower size, pollination syndrome, fruit size and the fruit colours black-purple, red, brown and yellow [\(Griffiths and Lawes, 2006\)](#page-11-16). Although we did not specifically test for phylogenetic conservatism, we suggest that it is a viable hypothesis explaining the reported patterns.

## *Second hypothesis: convergence*

Divergence in reproductive trait expression across geographic zones often results from morphological, taxonomical or behavioural differences in plant mutualists and related selective pressures. Alternatively, plant communities may converge when pollinator and frugivore selective forces are similar, or even shared [\(Newman](#page-11-43) *et al*., 2014). For Afromontane tree flora, reproductive traits are argued to be ancient, predating current species' distribution, having converged towards similar, and historically connected, biotic selective forces. This becomes especially plausible when considering current, and arguably divergent, biotic and abiotic selective pressures between temperate and tropical latitudes. A much expanded and connected Afromontane flora, at lower altitudes, has been suggested by Assèdé *et al*[. \(2021\)](#page-10-4), [Migliore](#page-11-37) *et al*. (2020, [2022\)](#page-11-44) and Allen *et al*[. \(2021\)](#page-10-8) in response to palaeoclimatic fluctuations. The similarities in reproductive traits of Afromontane trees, despite the isolation between study areas, lends further credence to the connection theory, in which the isolation of forests along the Afromontane archipelago are, in geological terms, fairly recent ([Raven and](#page-12-28)  [Axelrod, 1974](#page-12-28); [Lawes, 1990;](#page-11-41) Kadu *et al*[., 2011](#page-11-39)). During the interglacial to preglacial period, 100 000–18 000 years before present, Afromontane forests would have reached a wider area distribution than the present distribution, which decreased in extent (albeit fluctuating) since the last glacial maximum (18 000 years before present; [Lawes, 1990\)](#page-11-41). During palaeohistoric periods of forest expansion, forest fauna was able to disperse between presently highly isolated forests ([Lawes, 1990\)](#page-11-41). This is seen in the fragmented distribution of numerous endemic forest frugivores, e.g. the Samango monkey (*Cercopithecus mitis*) ([Lawes, 1990\)](#page-11-41), Cape parrot (*Poicephalus robustus*) ([Downs](#page-11-45) *et al*., 2014) and Knysna turaco (*Tauraco corythaix*) (Perktaş *et al*[., 2020](#page-12-29)). Thus, the similarity in pollinator and frugivore morphology, taxonomy and behaviour in now isolated forests could drive trait convergence despite latitudinal differences in climate and diversity.

#### *Third hypothesis: similar proportional selective forces*

Despite biodiversity decreasing away from lower latitudes, similar proportional selection pressures could exist across the continent. Previous work has shown how selection pressures differ across latitudinal gradients, exerting intraspecific variation in plant traits ([Sobral](#page-12-30) *et al*., 2013, [2015](#page-12-2)). Our methodology does not allow comparisons between populations of single species, but rather presents interspecific, population-level differences in reproductive trait composition. Although there is a change in diversity of mutualistic partners with latitude, the proportions of functional groups (birds, insects, mammals) to tree diversity remain, in broad terms, constant. For example, bird species richness in southern Afrotemperate forests numbers 35 ([Koen, 1992](#page-11-46)), compared with Afromontane forests in KwaZulu–Natal, South Africa (29º S), which had a bird richness of 61 [\(Wethered and Lawes, 2003](#page-12-31)). In an Afromontane forest at Mount Kenya, a bird richness of 70 was recorded ([Mahiga](#page-11-47) *et al*[., 2019\)](#page-11-47). Proportionately, these increases are closely mimicked in tree richness reported here. Arguably, this leads to little change in the proportion of reproductive traits, explaining trait similarity.

From southern temperate, Andean forests, latitude did not correlate with pollination or dispersal syndromes of plants [\(Aizen and Ezcurra, 1998\)](#page-10-2). Rather, longitude, linked to a rainfall gradient from west to east, explained changes in plant– animal mutualisms [\(Aizen and Ezcurra, 1998\)](#page-10-2). Although not tested here, longitude could explain plant reproductive traits, especially for South African Afromontane forests, which show great west-to-east variation in elevation, ocean temperatures, neighbouring vegetation types and tree species richness [\(Cowling](#page-11-48) *et al*., 1997). The patterns reported here should be viewed as broad-scale trends, both spatially and morphologically. Investigations into finer-scale variables, both inter- and intraspecific, e.g. specific pollinator identity, flower shape or petal reflectance, may reveal latitudinal effects not shown here. However, we present three important considerations with regard to the Afromontane tree flora: (1) evolutionary selective forces, i.e. biogeographical affinity, is deemed important for dispersal syndrome and fruit colour; (2) environmental selective forces, i.e. tree height, is deemed important for pollination syndrome and fruit size; and (3) latitudinal selective forces exert little pressure on tree reproductive traits.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

Afromontane forests occur over a large latitudinal range with differential abiotic, and perhaps biotic, selection pressures. The decrease in tree taxonomic diversity shown from tropical and subtropical to temperate latitudes becomes apparent south of the Manica Highlands. Southern Afrotemperate forests stand out as having the most endemic species relative to the forest regions included here. Despite these considerations, trends in reproductive traits of Afromontane forest trees show no relation to latitude. We propose that reproductive trait similarity across latitude can be explained by phylogenetic conservatism, trait convergence or similar proportional selective forces between temperate and tropical latitudes. We suggest that tree reproductive traits in this system are ancient and persist throughout significant palaeohistoric shifts in

climate and a once greater extent of Afromontane flora across the African continent.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available online at [https://academic.](https://academic.oup.com/aob) [oup.com/aob](https://academic.oup.com/aob) and consist of the following. Table S1: summary of the six Afromontane forest regions included in the study, including respective approximate area of forest, elevational range, annual rainfall range, substrate type, estimated age of mountain and the number of tree species. Table S2: tree species list with references used to gather data on genus distribution, flower and fruit colour, flower and fruit size and pollination and dispersal syndrome. [Table S3:](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data) Summary of 331 tree species occurring in six Afromontane forest regions from the southern Cape to Mount Kenya, including their respective heights, latitudinal distributions and biogeographical affinities, as well as reproductive traits, ordered alphabetically by species name..

#### FUNDING

This work was supported by Nelson Mandela University and the Rufford Foundation (33850-1).

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

R.C.S conceived the idea, collected the data and wrote the first draft. S.G., A.C and C.J.G. wrote and edited various drafts, and J.P and R.C.S completed the statistical analyses and edited the final manuscript. The full dataset will be deposited as [Supplementary Data.](http://academic.oup.com/aob/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/aob/mcad080#supplementary-data) The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## LITERATURE CITED

- <span id="page-10-2"></span>**Aizen MA**, **Ezcurra C. 1998**. High incidence of plant-animal mutualisms in the woody flora of the temperate forest of southern South America: biogeographical origin and present ecological significance. *Ecología Austral* **8**: 217–236.
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>**Allen KE**, **Tapondjou WP**, **Freeman B**, **Cooper JC**, **Brown RM**, **Peterson AT. 2021**. Modelling potential Pleistocene habitat corridors between Afromontane forest regions. *Biodiversity and Conservation* **30**: 2361– 2375. doi:[10.1007/s10531-021-02198-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-021-02198-4).
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>**Assèdé ESP**, **Azihou FA**, **Biaou SSH**, **Mariki SB**, **Geldenhuys CJ**, **Sinsin B. 2021**. Managing woodland development stages in Sudanian dry woodlands to meet local demand in fuelwood. *Energy for Sustainable Development* **61**: 129–138. doi[:10.1016/j.esd.2021.01.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esd.2021.01.006).
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>**Bates D**, **Mächler M**, **Bolker B**, **Walker S**. **2014**. *Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.5823.
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>**Beckmann M**, **Václavík T**, **Manceur AM**, *et al*. **2014**. glUV: a global UV‐B radiation data set for macroecological studies. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **5**: 372–383. doi[:10.1111/2041-210x.12168](https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210x.12168).
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>**Von Breitenbach FV. 1974**. *Southern Cape forests and trees*. Pretoria: Government Printer
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>**Von Breitenbach FV. 1985**. *Southern Cape tree guide*. Pretoria: Department of Environmental Affairs, Forestry Branch.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>**Chalker‐Scott L. 1999**. Environmental significance of anthocyanins in plant stress responses. *Photochemistry and Photobiology* **70**: 1–9.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>**Chapman JD**, **Chapman HM. 2001**. *The forests of Taraba and Adamawa States, Nigeria. An ecological account and plant species checklist*. Christchurch: University of Canterbury. [https://www.canterbury.ac.nz/](https://www.canterbury.ac.nz/media/documents/oexp-science/biological-science/The-Forests-of-Adamawa-and-Taraba-States-Nigeria-Chapman-and-Chapman-WEB.pdf) [media/documents/oexp-science/biological-science/The-Forests-of-](https://www.canterbury.ac.nz/media/documents/oexp-science/biological-science/The-Forests-of-Adamawa-and-Taraba-States-Nigeria-Chapman-and-Chapman-WEB.pdf)[Adamawa-and-Taraba-States-Nigeria-Chapman-and-Chapman-WEB.pdf](https://www.canterbury.ac.nz/media/documents/oexp-science/biological-science/The-Forests-of-Adamawa-and-Taraba-States-Nigeria-Chapman-and-Chapman-WEB.pdf) (18 June 2020).
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>**Chartier M**, **von Balthazar M**, **Sontag S**, *et al*. **2021**. Global patterns and a latitudinal gradient of flower disparity: perspectives from the angiosperm order Ericales. *New Phytologist* **230**: 821–831. doi[:10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17195) [nph.17195](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17195).
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>**Chazdon RL**, **Careaga S**, **Webb C**, **Vargas O. 2003**. Community and phylogenetic structure of reproductive traits of woody species in wet tropical forests. *Ecological Monographs* **73**: 331–348. doi[:10.1890/02-4037](https://doi.org/10.1890/02-4037).
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>**Chen SC**, **Cornwell WK**, **Zhang HX**, **Moles AT. 2017**. Plants show more flesh in the tropics: variation in fruit type along latitudinal and climatic gradients. *Ecography* **40**: 531–538.
- <span id="page-11-34"></span>**Clarke KR**, **Gorley RN. 2006**. Primer. Plymouth: PRIMER-e .
- <span id="page-11-38"></span>**Couvreur TL**, **Dauby G**, **Blach‐Overgaard A**, *et al*. **2021**. Tectonics, climate and the diversification of the tropical African terrestrial flora and fauna. *Biological Reviews* **96**: 16–51.
- <span id="page-11-48"></span>**Cowling RM, Richardson DM, Pierce SM**, eds, **1997**. *Vegetation of southern Africa*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>**Dalrymple RL**, **Kemp DJ**, **Flores‐Moreno H**, *et al*. **2015**. Birds, butterflies and flowers in the tropics are not more colourful than those at higher latitudes. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **24**: 1424–1432.
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>**Davies, TJ**, **Buckley LB. 2011**. Phylogenetic diversity as a window into the evolutionary and biogeographic histories of present-day richness gradients for mammals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **366**: 2414–2425.
- <span id="page-11-24"></span>**De Klerk HM**, **Crowe TM**, **Fjeldså J**, *et al*. **2002**. Biogeographical patterns of endemic terrestrial Afrotropical birds. *Diversity and Distributions* **8**: 147–162.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>**Delmas CE**, **Kooyman RM**, **Rossetto M. 2020**. Evolutionary constraints and adaptation shape the size and colour of rain forest fruits and flowers at continental scale. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **29**: 830–841.
- <span id="page-11-45"></span>**Downs, CT**, **Pfeiffer M**, **Hart, LA. 2014**. Fifteen years of annual Cape parrot *Poicephalus robustus* censuses: current population trends and conservation contributions. *Ostrich* **85**: 273–280.
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>**Dugger PJ**, **Blendinger PG**, **Böhning‐Gaese K**, *et al*. **2019**. Seed‐dispersal networks are more specialized in the Neotropics than in the Afrotropics. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **28**: 248–261.
- <span id="page-11-25"></span>**Fjeldså, J**, **Bowie RC. 2008**. New perspectives on the origin and diversification of Africa's forest avifauna. *African Journal of Ecology* **46**: 235–247.
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>**Fleming TH**, **Breitwisch R**, **Whitesides GH. 1987**. Patterns of tropical vertebrate frugivore diversity. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **18**: 91–109. doi[:10.1146/annurev.es.18.110187.000515](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.18.110187.000515).
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>**García‐Robledo C**, **Kuprewicz EK**, **Baer CS**, **Clifton E**, **Hernández GG**, **Wagner DL. 2020**. The Erwin equation of biodiversity: from little steps to quantum leaps in the discovery of tropical insect diversity. *Biotropica* **52**: 590–597. doi:[10.1111/btp.12811](https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.12811).
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>**Gaston KJ. 2000**. Global patterns in biodiversity. *Nature* **405**: 220–227. doi[:10.1038/35012228.](https://doi.org/10.1038/35012228)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>**Gaston KJ**, **Blackburn TM**, **Spicer JI. 1998**. Rapoport's rule: time for an epitaph? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **13**: 70–74. doi[:10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-5347(97)01236-6) [s0169-5347\(97\)01236-6.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-5347(97)01236-6)
- <span id="page-11-35"></span>**Gatti RC**, **Reich PB**, **Gamarra JG**, *et al*. **2022**. The number of tree species on Earth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **119**: e2115329119.
- **GBIF.org** (**01 October 2021**) *GBIF Occurrence Download* doi[:10.15468/](https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.gdwn8w) [dl.gdwn8w](https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.gdwn8w).
- **GBIF.org** (**10 October 2021**) *GBIF Occurrence Download* doi[:10.15468/](https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.ae7kta) [dl.ae7kta](https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.ae7kta)
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>**Geerts, S. 2011**. *Assembly and disassembly of nectar-feeding bird communities*. PhD Thesis, Stellenbosch University, South Africa.
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>**Geerts S**, **Pauw A. 2009**. Hyper-specialization for long-billed bird pollination in a guild of South African plants: the malachite sunbird pollination syndrome. *South African Journal of Botany* **75**: 699–706. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2009.08.001) saib.2009.08.001.
- <span id="page-11-29"></span>**Geldenhuys CJ. 1992**. Richness, composition and relationships of the floras of selected forests in southern Africa. *Bothalia* **22**: 205–233. doi[:10.4102/](https://doi.org/10.4102/abc.v22i2.847) [abc.v22i2.847](https://doi.org/10.4102/abc.v22i2.847).
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>**Geldenhuys CJ. 1993**. Floristic composition of the southern Cape forests with an annotated check-list. *South African Journal of Botany* **59**: 26–44.
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>**Gould KS. 2004**. Nature's Swiss Army knife: the diverse protective roles of anthocyanins in leaves. *Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology* **2004**: 314–320. doi[:10.1155/S1110724304406147](https://doi.org/10.1155/S1110724304406147).
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>**Griffiths, ME**, **Lawes MJ. 2006**. Biogeographic, environmental, and phylogenetic influences on reproductive traits in subtropical forest trees, South Africa. *Ecography* **29**: 614–622.
- <span id="page-11-27"></span>**Grimshaw JM. 2001**. What do we really know about the Afromontane archipelago? *Systematics and Geography of Plants* **71**: 949–957.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>**Hawkins BA**, **Diniz-Filho JAF**, **Jaramillo CA**, **Soeller SA. 2007**. Climate, niche conservatism, and the global bird diversity gradient. *American Naturalist* **170**: S16–S27.
- <span id="page-11-33"></span>**Hemp A. 2006**. Vegetation of Kilimanjaro: hidden endemics and missing bamboo. *African Journal of Ecology* **44**: 305–328. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-2028.2006.00679.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2028.2006.00679.x).
- <span id="page-11-42"></span>**Herrera CM. 1987**. Vertebrate‐dispersed plants of the Iberian Peninsula: a study of fruit characteristics. *Ecological Monographs* **57**: 305–331. doi:[10.2307/2937089](https://doi.org/10.2307/2937089).
- <span id="page-11-23"></span>**Hyde MA**, **Wursten BT**, **Ballings P**, **Coates Palgrave M.** *Flora of Zimbabwe*. <https://www.zimbabweflora.co.zw>(1 June 2023).
- <span id="page-11-39"></span>**Kadu CAC**, **Schueler S**, **Konrad H**, *et al*. **2011**. Phylogeography of the Afromontane *Prunus africana* reveals a former migration corridor between East and West African highlands. *Molecular Ecology* **20**: 165–178.
- <span id="page-11-46"></span>**Koen JH. 1992**. Medium-term fluctuations of birds and their potential food resources in the Knysna forest. *Ostrich* **63**: 21–30. doi:[10.1080/0030652](https://doi.org/10.1080/00306525.1992.9634178) [5.1992.9634178.](https://doi.org/10.1080/00306525.1992.9634178)
- <span id="page-11-41"></span>**Lawes MJ. 1990**. The distribution of the samango monkey (*Cercopithecus mitis erythrarchus* Peters, 1852 and *Cercopithecus mitis labiatus* I. Geoffroy, 1843) and forest history in southern Africa. *Journal of Biogeography* **17**: 669–680.
- <span id="page-11-40"></span>**Lawes MJ**, **Eeley HA**, **Findlay NJ**, **Forbes D. 2007**. Resilient forest faunal communities in South Africa: a legacy of palaeoclimatic change and extinction filtering? *Journal of Biogeography* **34**: 1246–1264.
- <span id="page-11-36"></span>**Linder HP. 2014**. The evolution of African plant diversity. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* **2**. doi[:10.3389/fevo.2014.00038](https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2014.00038).
- <span id="page-11-28"></span>**Linder HP**, **Lovett J**, **Mutke JM**, *et al*. **2005**. A numerical re-evaluation of the sub-Saharan phytochoria of mainland Africa. *Biologiske Skrifter* **55**: 229–252.
- <span id="page-11-31"></span>**Lötter MC**, **Mucina L**, **Witkowski ETF. 2014**. Classification of the indigenous forests of Mpumalanga Province, South Africa. *South African Journal of Botany* **90**: 37–51.
- <span id="page-11-32"></span>**Lovett JC**, **Ruffo CK**, **Gereau RE**, **Taplin JR. 2000**. *Field guide to the moist forest trees of Tanzania*. London: Society for Environmental Exploration.
- <span id="page-11-47"></span>**Mahiga SN**, **Webala P**, **Mware MJ**, **Ndang'ang'a PK. 2019**. Influence of landuse type on forest bird community composition in Mount Kenya forest. *International Journal of Ecology* **2019**: 1–8. doi[:10.1155/2019/8248270.](https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/8248270)
- <span id="page-11-37"></span>**Migliore J**, **Lézine AM**, **Hardy OJ. 2020**. The recent colonization history of the most widespread *Podocarpus* tree species in Afromontane forests. *Annals of Botany* **126**: 73–83. doi[:10.1093/aob/mcaa049](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcaa049).
- <span id="page-11-44"></span>**Migliore J**, **Lézine AM**, **Veuille M**, *et al*. **2022**. Origin, persistence, and vulnerability to climate changes of *Podocarpus* populations in Central African mountains. *Forests* **13**: 208. doi[:10.3390/f13020208](https://doi.org/10.3390/f13020208).
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>**Mittelbach GG**, **Schemske DW**, **Cornell HV**, *et al*. **2007**. Evolution and the latitudinal diversity gradient: speciation, extinction and biogeography. *Ecology Letters* **10**: 315–331. doi[:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01020.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01020.x)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>**Moles AT**, **Ollerton J. 2016**. Is the notion that species interactions are stronger and more specialized in the tropics a zombie idea? *Biotropica* **48**: 141– 145. doi:[10.1111/btp.12281](https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.12281).
- <span id="page-11-30"></span>**Mucina L**, **Geldenhuys CJ**, **Rutherford MC. 2006**. Afrotemperate, subtropical and azonal forests. In: **Mucina L**, **Rutherford MC**, eds. *The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Strelitzia* **19**: 584–614. Pretoria, South Africa: South African National Biodiversity Institute.
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>**Nevo O**, **Valenta K**, **Razafimandimby D**, **Melin AD**, **Ayasse M**, **Chapman CA. 2018**. Frugivores and the evolution of fruit colour. *Biology Letters* **14**: 20180377. doi:[10.1098/rsbl.2018.0377](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2018.0377).
- <span id="page-11-43"></span>**Newman E**, **Manning J**, **Anderson B. 2014**. Matching floral and pollinator traits through guild convergence and pollinator ecotype formation. *Annals of Botany* **113**: 373–384. doi[:10.1093/aob/mct203](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mct203).
- <span id="page-11-26"></span>**Obunga G**, **Siljander M**, **Maghenda M**, **Pellikka PKE. 2022**. Habitat suitability modelling to improve conservation status of two critically endangered endemic Afromontane forest bird species in Taita Hills, Kenya. *Journal for Nature Conservation* **65**: 126111.
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>**Ollerton J. 2012**. Biogeography: are tropical species less specialised? *Current Biology* **22**: R914–R915. doi:[10.1016/j.cub.2012.09.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.09.023).
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>**Ollerton J**, **Cranmer L. 2002**. Latitudinal trends in plant‐pollinator interactions: are tropical plants more specialised? *Oikos* **98**: 340–350. doi:[10.1034/j.1600-0706.2002.980215.x.](https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2002.980215.x)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>**Ollerton J**, **Winfree R**, **Tarrant S. 2011**. How many flowering plants are pollinated by animals? *Oikos* **120**: 321–326. doi[:10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.18644.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.18644.x).
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>**Pauw A**, **Stanway R. 2015**. Unrivalled specialization in a pollination network from South Africa reveals that specialization increases with latitude only in the Southern Hemisphere. *Journal of Biogeography* **42**: 652–661.
- <span id="page-12-29"></span>**Perktaş U**, **Groth JG**, **Barrowclough GF. 2020**. Phylogeography, species limits, phylogeny, and classification of the turacos (Aves: Musophagidae) based on mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequences. *American Museum Novitates* **2020**: 1–61. doi:[10.1206/3949.1](https://doi.org/10.1206/3949.1).
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>**Prinzing A**, **Durka W**, **Klotz S**, **Brandl R. 2001**. The niche of higher plants: evidence for phylogenetic conservatism. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B* **268**: 2383–2389. doi:[10.1098/rspb.2001.1801](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2001.1801).
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>**R Core Team. 2019**. *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. [https://www.R-project.](https://www.R-project.org/) [org/.](https://www.R-project.org/)
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>**Raven PH**, **Axelrod DI. 1974**. Angiosperm biogeography and past continental movements. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* **61**: 539–673. doi[:10.2307/2395021.](https://doi.org/10.2307/2395021)
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>**Sauquet H**, **Von Balthazar M**, **Magallón S**, *et al*. **2017**. The ancestral flower of angiosperms and its early diversification. *Nature Communications* **8**: 1–10.
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>**Schleuning M**, **Fründ J**, **Klein AM**, *et al*. **2012**. Specialization of mutualistic interaction networks decreases toward tropical latitudes. *Current Biology* **22**: 1925–1931. doi:[10.1016/j.cub.2012.08.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.08.015).
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>**Schluter D. 2016**. Speciation, ecological opportunity, and latitude (American Society of Naturalists Address). *American Naturalist* **187**: 1–18. doi[:10.1086/684193.](https://doi.org/10.1086/684193)
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>**Shrestha M**, **Dyer AG**, **Boyd‐Gerny S**, **Wong BB**, **Burd M. 2013**. Shades of red: bird‐pollinated flowers target the specific colour discrimination abilities of avian vision. *New Phytologist* **198**: 301–310.
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>**Sinnott‐Armstrong MA**, **Donoghue MJ**, **Jetz W. 2021**. Dispersers and environment drive global variation in fruit colour syndromes. *Ecology Letters* **24**: 1387–1399. doi:[10.1111/ele.13753](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13753).
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>**Smith-Ramírez C**, **Armesto JJ**, **Figueroa J. 1998**. Flowering, fruiting and seed germination in Chilean rain forest Myrtaceae: ecological and phylogenetic constraints. *Plant Ecology* **136**: 119–131.
- <span id="page-12-30"></span>**Sobral M**, **Guitian J**, **Guitian P**, **Larrinaga AR. 2013**. Selective pressure along a latitudinal gradient affects subindividual variation in plants. *PLoS One* **8**: e74356. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0074356](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0074356).
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>**Sobral M**, **Veiga T**, **Domínguez P**, **Guitián JA**, **Guitián P**, **Guitián JM. 2015**. Selective pressures explain differences in flower color among *Gentiana lutea* populations. *PLoS One* **10**: e0132522. doi[:10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0132522) [journal.pone.0132522](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0132522).
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>**Song, BM**, **Lee CH. 2018**. Toward a mechanistic understanding of color vision in insects. *Frontiers in Neural Circuits* **12**: 16.
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>**Van Staden PJ**, **Bredenkamp GJ**, **Bezuidenhout H**, **Brown LR. 2021**. A reclassification and description of the Waterberg Mountain vegetation of the Marakele National Park, Limpopo province, South Africa. *Koedoe* **63**: 16.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>**Steyn WJ**, **Wand SJE**, **Holcroft DM**, **Jacobs GJNP. 2002**. Anthocyanins in vegetative tissues: a proposed unified function in photoprotection. *New Phytologist* **155**: 349–361.
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>**Timberlake JR**, **Dowsett-Lemaire F**, **Müller T. 2021**. The phytogeography of moist forests across Eastern Zimbabwe. *Plant Ecology and Evolution* **154**: 192–200. doi[:10.5091/plecevo.2021.1814.](https://doi.org/10.5091/plecevo.2021.1814)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>**Valenta K**, **Nevo O. 2020**. The dispersal syndrome hypothesis: how animals shaped fruit traits, and how they did not. *Functional Ecology* **34**: 1158– 1169. doi[:10.1111/1365-2435.13564.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13564)
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>**Valenta K**, **Kalbitzer U**, **Razafimandimby D**, *et al*. **2018**. The evolution of fruit colour: phylogeny, abiotic factors and the role of mutualists. *Scientific Reports* **8**: 14302.
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>**Valido A**, **Schaefer HM**, **Jordano P. 2011**. Colour, design and reward: phenotypic integration of fleshy fruit displays. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **24**: 751–760. doi[:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02206.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02206.x).
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>**Vasconcelos TN**, **Lucas EJ**, **Faria JE**, **Prenner G. 2018**. Floral heterochrony promotes flexibility of reproductive strategies in the morphologically homogeneous genus *Eugenia* (Myrtaceae). *Annals of Botany* **121**: 161–174.
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>**Venter E. 2011**. *Trees of the Garden Route – Mossel Bay to Storms River*. Pretoria: Briza Publishing.
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>**Vizentin-Bugoni J**, **Maruyama PK**, **Souza CSD**, **Ollerton J**, **Rech AR**, **Sazima M. 2018**. Plant-pollinator networks in the tropics: a review. In: **Dáttilo W**, **Rico-Gray V**, eds. *Ecological Networks in the Tropics* 73–91. Cham: Springer.
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>**Wang G**, **Ives AR**, **Zhu H**, *et al*. **2022**. Phylogenetic conservatism explains why plants are more likely to produce fleshy fruits in the tropics. *Ecology* **103**: e03555. doi:[10.1002/ecy.3555](https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3555).
- <span id="page-12-31"></span>**Wethered R**, **Lawes MJ. 2003**. Matrix effects on bird assemblages in fragmented Afromontane forests in South Africa. *Biological Conservation* **114**: 327–340.
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>**White F. 1978**. The Afromontane region. In: **Werger MJA**, ed. *Biogeography and ecology of southern Africa*. Dordrecht: Springer, 463–513.
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>**White F. 1981**. The history of the Afromontane archipelago and the scientific need for its conservation. *African Journal of Ecology* **19**: 33–54. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-2028.1981.tb00651.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2028.1981.tb00651.x).
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>**White F**, **Dowsett-Lemaire, F**, **Chapman JD. 2001**. *Evergreen forest flora of Malawi*. London: Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew.
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>**Willig MR**, **Kaufman DM**, **Stevens RD. 2003**. Latitudinal gradients of biodiversity: pattern, process, scale, and synthesis. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **34**: 273–309.
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>**Willson MF**, **Thompson JN. 1982**. Phenology and ecology of color in bird-dispersed fruits, or why some fruits are red when they are 'green'. *Canadian Journal of Botany* **60**: 701–713.
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>**Willson MF**, **Graff DA**, **Whelan CJ. 1990**. Color preferences of frugivorous birds in relation to the colors of fleshy fruits. *Condor* **92**: 545–555. doi:[10.2307/1368671](https://doi.org/10.2307/1368671).
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>**Zhou Y**, **Chen S**, **Hu G**, **Mwachala G**, **Yan X**, **Wang Q. 2018**. Species richness and phylogenetic diversity of seed plants across vegetation zones of Mount Kenya, East Africa. *Ecology and Evolution* **8**: 8930–8939. doi:[10.1002/ece3.4428.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4428)