# Probabilistic Skill of Statistically Downscaled ECMWF S2S Forecasts of Maximum and Minimum Temperatures for Weeks 1-4 over South Africa

#### 225 235 245 265 265 265 265 305 315 305 315 325 335 345 355 365 235 245 255 285 285 285 285 285 285 305 315 325 305 315 325 305 345 245 255 265 275 285 305 315 325 335 345 345 --20E 22E 24E 26E 28E our air air air air air 140 745 To: Weak 7 225 235 245 255 255 265 265 265 265 265 265 305 315 305 315 335 335 335 335 345 355 225 235 245 255 265 275 285 245 255 265 275 285 295 305 305 315 325

# **Supplemental Information**

**Figure S1.** CORA between the 850hPa geopotential heights of ECMWF S2S model and the maximum and minimum temperatures of the ERA5 reanalysis for weeks 1-4 DJF seasons from 2001-2020. Stippling indicates areas of statistical significance at a 95% confidence level using the Student's t-test at each grid point.

## Forecast skill metric

The correlation of anomalies (CORA) is a skill metric often used to assess deterministic S2S forecasts (e.g., Li & Robertson, 2015; Wang & Robertson, 2019). CORA is calculated as follows: Firstly, the anomalies of the models' hindcasts and minimum and maximum temperatures (observations) for the weeks 1-4 DJF seasons from 2001-2020 are computed. Secondly, the correlations between the forecast and observed 20-year timesteps climatological anomalies are then calculated. This method of computing CORA is commonly used in S2S prediction studies (e.g., Becker et al., 2013; Wang & Robertson, 2019; Alvarez et al., 2020; Phakula et al., 2020; Engelbrecht et al., 2021). The statistical significance of CORA is taken into consideration following the Student's t-test approach (Al-Achi, 2019; Mishra et al., 2019). The Student's t-test is based on a 20-year climatological anomalies of the events. Any CORA value greater than 0.3 at

each grid-point is considered significant at the 5% confidence level, and only positive CORA values are considered skillful.

## References

Al-Achi, A. The Student's t-test: A brief description. Res. Rev. J. Hosp. Clin. Pharm. 2019, 5, 1-3.

Alvarez, M.S., C.A.S. Coelho, M. Osman, M.A.F. Firpo, and C.S. Vera (2020), Assessment of ECMWF subseasonal temperature predictions for an anomalously cold week followed by an anomalously warm week in central and southeastern South America during July 2017, *Weather and Forecasting*, 35, 1871-1889, DOI: 10.1175/WAF-D-19-0200.1.

Becker, E.J., H. van den Dool, and M. Pena (2013), Short-term climate extremes: Prediction skill and predictability, J. Clim., 26, 512-531, DOI: 10.1175/JCLIM-12-001177.1.

Engelbrecht, C.J., S. Phakula, W.A. Landman, and F.A. Engelbrecht (2021), Subseasonal deterministic skill of low-level geopotential height affecting southern African, *Weather and Forecasting*, 36, 195-205. <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/WAF-D-20-008.s1</u>

Li, S., and A.W. Robertson (2015), Evaluation of submonthly precipitation forecast skill from global ensemble prediction system, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 143, 2871-2889, DOI: 10.1175/MWR-D-14-00277.1.

Mishra, P., U. Singh, C.M. Pandey, P. Mishra, and G. Pandey (2019), Application of Student's ttest, analysis of variance, and covariance, Ann. Card Anaesth, 22, 407-411, doi: 10.4103/aca.ACA\_94\_19.

Phakula, S., W.A. Landman, C.J. Engelbrecht, and T. Makgoale (2020), Forecast skill of minimum and maximum temperatures on subseasonal-to-seasonal timescales over South Africa, Earth and Space Science, 7, e2019EA000697. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EA000697</u>

Wang, L., and A.W. Robertson (2019), Week 3-4 predictability over the United States assessed from two operational ensemble prediction systems, *Clim. Dyn.*, 52, 5861-5875. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4484-9</u>