Environmental Entomology, 52(6), 2023, 983–989 https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/nvad090 Advance Access Publication Date: 3 October 2023 Research

Behavioral Ecology

Enantiospecific response of *Ips avulsus* **(Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae) to ipsdienol depends on semiochemical context**

Joséphine Queffelec[1](#page-0-0)[,2,](#page-0-1)[*](#page-0-2), [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4325-0758) Justin M. Gaudon[3,](#page-0-3)[4](#page-0-4)[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6091-0714) , Daniel R. Miller[5](#page-0-5)[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1263-6500) , Jessica L. McKenne[y6](#page-0-6) , Jeremy D. Alliso[n1,](#page-0-0)[2,](#page-0-1)[7](#page-0-7)[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0765-3149)

'Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Sault Ste. Marie, ON, Canada, ²African Centre of Chemical Ecology, Innovation Africa Campus, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, Gauteng, South Africa, ³School of Environment, Resources and Sustainability, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, Canada, ⁴Institute of Forestry and Conservation, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, 5 USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station, Athens, GA, USA, 6 Department of Entomology, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA, 7 Department of Zoology and Entomology, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, Gauteng, South Africa * Corresponding author, mail: queffelec.josephine@gmail.com

Subject Editor: William Morrison

Received on 19 June 2023; revised on 16 August 2023; accepted on 4 September 2023

Colonization of hosts by bark beetles is generally mediated by aggregation pheromones. Species competing for the same resource can limit interspecific interactions and maintain reproductive isolation by using different pheromones. In the southern United States, 3 sympatric species of *Ips* breed in pine hosts, each with a different pheromone blend. *Ips avulsus* (Eichhoff) uses ipsdienol and lanierone; *Ips calligraphus* (Germar) uses ipsdienol, *cis*-verbenol, and *trans*-verbenol; and *Ips grandicollis* (Eichhoff) uses ipsenol. Different species can also minimize cross-attraction by using different enantiomeric ratios of the same pheromones. Studies on the enantiomeric ratio of ipsdienol used by *I. avulsus* have come to contradictory conclusions in part because of geographic and seasonal variation. There is growing evidence that semiochemical context, in the form of different co-baits used in trapping experiments, may also play a role in the responses of *I. avulsus* to enantiomeric ratios of ipsdienol. We conducted a trapping study at 2 locations with traps baited with (+)-ipsdienol or racemic ipsdienol and co-baited with ipsenol, lanierone, or both ipsenol and lanierone. We found context-dependent effects of both lanierone and ipsenol on the response of *I. avulsus* to ipsdienol. We suggest that responses to different bait and co-bait combinations may have been shaped by different types of interactions such as the absence of conspecifics or a related species, or the presence of beneficial or antagonistic interspecific interactions.

Key words: ipsdienol, ipsenol, lanierone, enantiomeric ratio, bark beetle

Introduction

Engraver beetles (*Ips* spp.) mediate attacks on susceptible host material (phloem tissue of stressed, dying, or recently dead pines) with aggregation pheromones (Vité [et al. 1978](#page-6-0), [Byers 1989\)](#page-5-0). Aggregation pheromones can provide engraver beetles with reproductive isolation from sympatric and synchronic species. For example, trials with infested bolts suggest that species-specific aggregation pheromones limit cross-attraction among the southern *Ips* (*Ips avulsus* (Eichhoff), *Ips calligraphus* (Germar), and *Ips grandicollis* (Eichhoff)) (Vité [et al.](#page-6-1) [1964](#page-6-1), [Birch et al. 1980](#page-5-1), [Byers 1989](#page-5-0)). Chemical analyses have found that *I. avulsus* and *I. calligraphus* produce ipsdienol. Additionally, field trials have demonstrated that the most attractive blends with the fewest number of pheromone components include ipsdienol for all 3 species (in *I. grandicollis*, blends of ipsenol and *cis*-verbenol or ipsenol and ipsdienol were equally attractive) [\(Allison et al. 2012](#page-5-2)). In addition to qualitative and quantitative differences in the pheromone blend, enantiomeric composition of pheromone blends can minimize cross-attraction among species and contribute to reproductive isolation [\(Meier et al. 2016\)](#page-5-3).

Despite the necessity for reproductive isolation, the southern *Ips* are sometimes found colonizing the same hosts [\(Birch et al. 1980,](#page-5-1) [Paine et al. 1981](#page-5-4)). There is evidence that this phenomenon is not

only due to similar responses to host volatiles in the 3 species but can also be facilitated by cross-attraction. The most striking example of this cross-attraction is the response of *I. avulsus* to ipsenol. While ipsenol is produced only by *I. grandicollis*, using ipsenol as a co-bait in traps baited with ipsdienol and lanierone (2 main pheromone compounds of *I. avulsus*) increases the capture of *I. avulsus* beetles [\(Miller et al. 2005,](#page-5-5) [Allison et al. 2012](#page-5-2)). [Birch et al. \(1980\)](#page-5-1) and [Paine et al. \(1981\)](#page-5-4) highlighted that, because of differential competitive ability among the southern *Ips*, the colonization of a host by multiple species depends on the identity and order of arrival of the species as well as the numbers of responding beetles per species. This phenomenon should theoretically create context-specific responses by beetles to different pheromone baits and co-baits.

The optimal enantiomeric composition of ipsdienol for attracting *I. avulsus* remains unclear (Vité [et al. 1978](#page-6-0), [Smith et al. 1990,](#page-5-6) [Strom](#page-6-2) [et al. 2003,](#page-6-2) [Miller and Allison 2011,](#page-5-7) [Queffelec et al. 2023](#page-5-8)). For example, while Vité [et al. \(1978\)](#page-6-0) reported that catches in traps baited with (−)-ipsdienol were greater than those in traps baited with (+)-ipsdienol or racemic ipsdienol, [Strom et al. \(2003\)](#page-6-2) reported that racemic ipsdienol is more attractive than (−)-ipsdienol. One factor that complicates the interpretation of this literature is the use of different pheromone co-baits among studies. Field trapping studies that manipulated the semiochemical context (i.e., pheromone co-bait) observed that the effect of the enantiomeric composition of ipsdienol on the capture of *I. avulsus* varied among co-baits ([Smith et al. 1990,](#page-5-6) [Miller and Allison 2011\)](#page-5-7). Semiochemical context is known to affect trap captures by attractive compounds in *Ips* species and in other wood borers ([Sweeney et al. 2010,](#page-6-3) [Miller 2023,](#page-5-9) [Sullivan 2023](#page-6-4)). However, this phenomenon has been primarily studied in the context of host volatiles being used as co-baits. Rarely have the effects of semiochemicals produced by competitors been studied.

Another factor that confounds the interpretation of this literature is seasonality, as studies have been run at different times of year. Seasonal variability in bark beetle responses to pheromones has been reported, including seasonal variability in the response of *Ips pini* to ipsdienol ([Teale and Lanier 1991](#page-6-5)). In fact, previous field trapping studies that attempted to characterize enantiospecific responses of *I*. *avulsus* to ipsdienol were unable to discriminate between semiochemical context and seasonal effects as mechanisms explaining variations in response by *I. avulsus* to ipsdienol enantiomers [\(Miller](#page-5-7) [and Allison 2011\)](#page-5-7).

In this study, we used a field trapping experiment duplicated in Louisiana and Georgia to test for an effect of semiochemical context (i.e., pheromone co-bait) on the response of *I. avulsus* to ipsdienol enantiomers. Specifically, traps were baited with either (+)-ipsdienol or racemic ipsdienol and were co-baited with ipsenol, lanierone, or both ipsenol and lanierone.

Materials and Methods

Two identical field trapping experiments were conducted in Louisiana, USA, and Georgia, USA, to assess interactions between the enantiomeric composition of ipsdienol (racemic or (+)-ipsdienol) and pheromone blend co-baits (i.e., ipsenol, lanierone, and ipsenol + lanierone) on the response of *I. avulsus*. These experiments were analyzed separately. Eight blocks of six (2×3) 8-unit multiplefunnel traps were established in linear arrays of traps spaced ca. 15 m apart within and between blocks. Traps were suspended from ropes between trees, with the collection cup of each trap ca. 0.5 m above ground. To increase retention of captured beetles, traps were equipped with wet cups that contained 150–200 ml of propylene glycol (Peak RV and Marine Antifreeze, Old World Industries Inc.,

Northbrook, IL, USA) as the killing fluid [\(Miller and Duerr 2008,](#page-5-10) [Allison et al. 2014,](#page-5-11) [Allison and Redak 2017\)](#page-5-12).

In both experiments, each block contained the following 6 randomly distributed treatments: (i) racemic ipsdienol and racemic ipsenol; (ii) racemic ipsdienol and lanierone; (iii) racemic ipsdienol, racemic ipsenol, and lanierone; (iv) (+)-ipsdienol and racemic ipsenol; (v) (+)-ipsdienol and lanierone; and (vi) (+)-ipsdienol, racemic ipsenol, and lanierone. Traps were emptied, and trap captures were recorded 4 times at 2-wk intervals. On top of the *I. avulsus* beetles targeted and captured during these experiments, *I. calligraphus* and *I. grandicollis* beetles were also caught in the traps. *Ips avulsus* beetles were counted and identified at the species level using the morphology of the elytral armature ([Wood 1982](#page-6-6)). Experiments in Louisiana and Georgia were conducted in predominately loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) stands that had experienced a prescribed burn 1–2 yr prior. In Louisiana, the experiment was conducted in the Catahoula Ranger District, Kisatchie National Forest from 9 September 2010 until 3 November 2010. Temperatures ranged from 1.7 to 36 °C with an average temperature of 22.2 °C and average precipitation of 1.1 \pm 3.9 mm/day (mean \pm SD). The trees within the stands were planted in 1958. In Georgia, the experiment was conducted in a naturally regenerated stand within the Oconee National Forest from 15 September 2010 until 10 November 2010. Temperatures ranged from −1 to 35 °C with an average temperature of 17.8 °C and average precipitation of 2.1 ± 6.5 mm/day. Bubble cap lures of racemic ipsdienol, (+)-ipsdienol, racemic ipsenol, and lanierone (chemical purities, >98%) were purchased from Contech Enterprises Inc. (Victoria, BC, Canada). The enantiomeric ratio of (+)-ipsdienol was 97:3 (+):(−). The release rates of the ipsenol, ipsdienol, and lanierone bubble cap lures were approximately 0.2, 0.2, and 0.02 mg/day, respectively, at 22–24 °C (determined by Contech Enterprises Inc.).

During the experiment conducted in Louisiana, some traps fell to the ground. The entire 2-wk interval during which a trap was on the ground was discarded. Consequently, for that experiment, trap capture was calculated as the total number of beetles captured divided by the number of trapping days (i.e., the number of days the trap was not found on the ground). The response variable, trap capture, was log-transformed to correct for overdispersion and predicted using a linear mixed-effects model with the lme function from the nlmer package [\(Bates et al. 2015](#page-5-13)) in R [\(R Core Team 2022\)](#page-5-14). Block number was set as a random effect, and bait, co-bait, and their interaction were set as fixed effects. Model selection was done through a stepwise backward selection process using *P*-values until a minimum adequate model was identified ([Zuur et al. 2009](#page-6-7), Chapter 5).

In Georgia, there were no fallen traps; therefore, trap captures were not corrected according to trapping days. Consequently, trap capture was a count variable and was predicted using a generalized linear mixed-effects model with a Poisson distribution and a log-link function and corrected for overdispersion using a negative binomial distribution. The glmmTMB function in the glmmTMB package [\(Brooks et al. 2017](#page-5-15)) in R [\(R Core Team 2022](#page-5-14)) was used to perform the analysis. Five models using block number as a random effect and using the following fixed effects were compared: (i) null model (no fixed effect), (ii) bait, (iii) co-bait, (iv) bait and co-bait, and (v) bait, co-bait, and their interaction [\(Table 2\)](#page-4-0). The Akaike weight (*w*) of each model was calculated and used to identify the models that fell within a 95% confidence interval [\(Zuur et al. 2009,](#page-6-7) Chapter 21).

Results

In Louisiana, mean corrected captures ranged from 6.3 ± 11.2 $((+)$ -ipsdienol and ipsenol) to 501.4 \pm 357.0 (racemic ipsdienol, ipsenol, and lanierone) for *I. avulsus* beetles ([Fig. 1](#page-2-0)). In Georgia, mean total captures ranged from 60.9 ± 29.7 ((+)-ipsdienol and ipsenol) to 7,893.8 ± 3,029.7 (racemic ipsdienol, ipsenol, and lanierone) for beetles ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)).

Model selection showed that, in Louisiana, bait, co-bait, and their interaction had a significant effect on trap captures (*P*-values < 0.05; [Table 1\)](#page-3-1). When predicting trap captures in Georgia, we found that model v had the lowest Akaike's information criteria (AIC) compared with the other models (AIC_v = 755.3; [Table 2](#page-4-0)). Furthermore, model v had an Akaike weight greater than 0.95 ($w_{\rm v}$ = 0.999), indicating that model v was the only model within the 95% confidence interval. Model v includes bait, co-bait, and their interaction as fixed effects, indicating that, in Georgia, bait, co-bait, and their interaction had a significant effect on trap captures.

Regardless of co-bait, the traps baited with racemic ipsdienol captured more beetles than traps baited with (+)-ipsdienol in both

Louisiana and Georgia [\(Figs. 1](#page-2-0) and [2](#page-3-0)). Regardless of bait, traps co-baited with both ipsenol and lanierone captured more beetles than traps co-baited with ipsenol alone or lanierone alone in both Louisiana and Georgia [\(Figs. 1](#page-2-0) and [2](#page-3-0)).

In [Figs. 1](#page-2-0) and [2](#page-3-0), we used trap captures by traps baited with both ipsenol and lanierone as a baseline to quantify the decrease in trap captures when either lanierone or ipsenol were omitted. In Louisiana, in traps baited with racemic ipsdienol, trap captures decreased by 91% in traps co-baited with lanierone alone compared with traps co-baited with both ipsenol and lanierone [\(Fig. 1](#page-2-0)). Trap captures decreased by 79% in traps co-baited with ipsenol alone compared with traps co-baited with both ipsenol and lanierone. In traps baited with (+)-ipsdienol, trap captures decreased by 77% in traps co-baited with lanierone alone compared with traps co-baited with both ipsenol and lanierone [\(Fig. 1](#page-2-0)). Trap captures decreased by 93% in traps co-baited with ipsenol alone compared with traps co-baited with

Fig. 1. Mean number of *Ips avulsus* individuals captured per trapping treatment in Louisiana. The percentages indicate the decrease in trap captures when compared with traps co-baited with both ipsenol and lanierone. Only traps baited with the same enantiomeric ratio of ipsdienol are compared.

Fig. 2. Mean number of *Ips avulsus* individuals captured per trapping treatment in Georgia. The percentages indicate the decrease in trap captures when compared with traps co-baited with both ipsenol and lanierone. Only traps baited with the same enantiomeric ratio of ipsdienol are compared.

both ipsenol and lanierone in Louisiana. In Georgia, in traps baited with racemic ipsdienol, trap captures decreased by 64% and 89% in traps co-baited with lanierone alone and ipsenol alone, respectively, compared with traps co-baited with both ipsenol and lanierone [\(Fig.](#page-3-0) [2\)](#page-3-0). In traps baited with (+)-ipsdienol, trap captures decreased by 64% and 98% in traps co-baited with lanierone alone and ipsenol alone,

respectively, compared with traps co-baited with both ipsenol and lanierone [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0).

Discussion

Previous studies have focused on the enantiospecific response of *I. avulsus* to ipsdienol [\(Smith et al. 1990](#page-5-6), [Strom et al. 2003](#page-6-2), [Miller and](#page-5-7)

Table 2. AICs and Akaike weights of the 5 generalized linear mixedeffects models compared for model selection when predicting trap captures in Georgia

Model	Bait	Co-bait	Bait x co-bait	AIC	\mathcal{W}
i				861	< 0.0001
ii	X			857.6	< 0.0001
\cdots 111		X		820	< 0.0001
iv	X	X		787.5	< 0.0001
V	X	X	X	755.3	0.999

x, model terms used.

Table 3. Minimum adequate model (model v) predicting the number of captures by each trapping treatment in Georgia

Variable	Estimate	Standard error 0.165	
Intercept	4.107		
Bait racemic ipsdienol	2.607	0.222	
Co-baits ipsenol + lanierone	4.126	0.222	
Co-bait lanierone	3.110	0.220	
Bait racemic ipsdienol:	-1.870	0.312	
co-baits ipsenol + lanierone			
Bait racemic ipsdienol: co-bait	-1.868	0.311	
lanierone			

[Allison 2011](#page-5-7), [Queffelec et al. 2023\)](#page-5-8). However, these studies have reported contradictory results. When co-baited with ipsenol ([Vit](#page-6-0)é [et al. 1978\)](#page-6-0) or *trans*-verbenol and *cis*-verbenol [\(Smith et al. 1990](#page-5-6)), (−)-ipsdienol was found to be more attractive to *I. avulsus* than racemic and (+)-ipsdienol in Texas. However, when co-baited with ipsenol and *cis*-verbenol, racemic ipsdienol was 100–1,000 times more attractive to *I. avulsus* [\(Smith et al. 1990\)](#page-5-6). When co-baited with lanierone [\(Strom et al. 2003](#page-6-2)), ipsenol, or lanierone and α -pinene [\(Miller and Allison 2011](#page-5-7)), racemic ipsdienol was most attractive in Louisiana, Texas, Florida, and Georgia. Finally, when co-baited with ipsenol, lanierone, and α-pinene, (+)-ipsdienol was as attractive as racemic ipsdienol in Georgia ([Miller and Allison 2011\)](#page-5-7). While these variations could be attributed to population specificity [\(Lanier et al.](#page-5-16) [1972](#page-5-16), [1980](#page-5-17), [Seybold et al. 1995,](#page-5-18) [Miller et al. 1996](#page-5-19), [1997](#page-5-20), [Song et al.](#page-6-8) [2011](#page-6-8)) and seasonal preferences ([Teale and Lanier 1991\)](#page-6-5), our study also demonstrates that co-baits interact differently with different enantiomeric ratios of ipsdienol, creating a context-dependent response by *I. avulsus* [\(Tables 1](#page-3-1) and [3\)](#page-4-1).

Overall, traps baited with racemic ipsdienol performed better than traps baited with (+)-ipsdienol ([Figs. 1](#page-2-0) and [2\)](#page-3-0). This enantiospecific response has been widely hypothesized to allow for the avoidance of hybridization and interspecific competition between related species that also use ipsdienol for pheromone communication ([Seybold](#page-5-21) [1993](#page-5-21)). In Louisiana and Georgia, *I. calligraphus* occurs in sympatry with *I. avulsus* and attacks the same hosts ([Schoeller and Allison](#page-5-22) [2013](#page-5-22)). *Ips calligraphus* also uses ipsdienol for pheromone communication but likely uses a different enantiomeric ratio of this compound ([Kohnle et al. 1994](#page-5-23)).

In both Louisiana and Georgia, when comparing the decrease in trap captures in traps baited with racemic ipsdienol and ipsenol (Louisiana: 79% decrease; Georgia: 89% decrease; [Figs. 1](#page-2-0) and [2\)](#page-3-0) to the decrease in trap captures in traps baited with (+)-ipsdienol and ipsenol (Louisiana: 93% decrease; Georgia: 98% decrease; [Figs.](#page-2-0) [1](#page-2-0) and [2](#page-3-0)), we observed a greater decrease of trap captures in traps baited with (+)-ipsdienol compared with traps baited with racemic

ipsdienol. This indicates a context-dependent effect of lanierone. Lanierone is produced by *I. avulsus*, but not by *I. calligraphus* ([Vit](#page-6-9)é [et al. 1972](#page-6-9)). Because of interindividual variation in the enantiomeric ratio produced within a species ([Queffelec et al. 2023\)](#page-5-8), the enantiomeric ratio of ipsdienol provides an ambiguous message about the identity of the signaler. The presence of lanierone clarifies this message for *I. avulsus* in case the signaler is producing a ratio uncommon to *I. avulsus*. Consequently, while traps baited with racemic ipsdienol and ipsenol contain the appropriate enantiomeric ratio for *I. avulsus* and would signal the potential presence of *I. avulsus*, traps baited with (+)-ipsdienol and ipsenol signal the absence of *I. avulsus* because they contain the wrong enantiomer and no lanierone. This would lead to a greater decrease in trap captures in traps baited with (+)-ipsdienol when omitting lanierone.

In Georgia, there was no difference in the decrease in trap captures between the traps baited with racemic ipsdienol and lanierone (64% decrease; [Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0) and traps baited with (+)-ipsdienol and lanierone (64% decrease; [Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0). However, in Louisiana, there was a greater decrease in trap captures in traps baited with racemic ipsdienol and lanierone (91% decrease; [Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0) compared with traps baited with (+)-ipsdienol and lanierone (77% decrease; [Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0). This indicates that, at least in Louisiana, there is a context-dependent effect of ipsenol. Furthermore, [Miller and Allison \(2011\)](#page-5-7) recorded a similar phenomenon in Georgia where trap captures by traps baited with (+)-ipsdienol, lanierone, and α -pinene decreased more significantly when compared with traps baited with racemic ipsdienol, lanierone, and α -pinene than trap captures by traps baited with $(+)$ -ipsdienol, ipsenol, lanierone, and α-pinene when compared with traps baited with racemic ipsdienol, ipsenol, lanierone, and α-pinene.

Ipsenol is not produced by *I. avulsus* ([Birgersson et al. 2012](#page-5-24)) but is produced by *I. grandicollis* (Vité [et al. 1972](#page-6-9)), a related species of bark beetle that occurs in sympatry with *I. avulsus* and that exploits the same hosts ([Paine et al. 1981](#page-5-4)). Hence, the presence of ipsenol signals the presence of *I. grandicollis* to *I. avulsus*. Our observation that traps baited with racemic ipsdienol, ipsenol, and lanierone capture more beetles than traps baited with racemic ipsdienol and lanierone corroborates past observations ([Allison et al. 2012](#page-5-2)) and would indicate that *I. avulsus* prefers a tree that contains both *I. avulsus* and *I. grandicollis* to a tree that only contains *I. avulsus*. It is possible that the presence of *I. grandicollis* provides interspecies facilitation through predator avoidance or by helping to overcome host defenses. Additionally, [Paine et al. \(1981\)](#page-5-4) demonstrated that *I. grandicollis* has weak competitive ability compared with *I. avulsus*. They showed that *I. grandicollis* colonized a smaller surface of the host tree in the presence of *I. avulsus*, while *I. avulsus* was not affected by the presence of *I. grandicollis*. [Birch et al. \(1980\)](#page-5-1) also suggested that due to the size difference between the 2 species, *I. avulsus* might be able to exploit parts of the phloem that stay unexploited by *I. grandicollis*. While the traps baited with (+)-ipsdienol, ipsenol, and lanierone could also signal the presence of *I. grandicollis*, the presence of (+)-ipsdienol could also signal the potential presence of *I. calligraphus* on the host. However, *I. calligraphus* has greater competitive ability compared with *I. avulsus* and *I. grandicollis* ([Paine et](#page-5-4) [al. 1981\)](#page-5-4). Perhaps in the context of a potential tripartite interaction, the presence of *I. grandicollis* becomes less attractive to *I. avulsus*.

In this study, we showed that the response of *I. avulsus* to different enantiomeric ratios of ipsdienol depends on co-bait. We hypothesize that these co-baits signal the type of interspecific interactions that *I. avulsus* would encounter on a host. Some combinations of baits and co-baits might signal the absence of conspecifics or a related species, while others might signal beneficial or antagonistic interspecific interactions. Pheromone signals are fine-tuned communication systems. This work suggests that both intraspecific interactions [\(Queffelec et al. 2023\)](#page-5-8) and interspecific interactions generate selection acting on them. While we are confident that semiochemical context can influence response, additional tests are needed to investigate how consistent this phenomenon is in space and time.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Louisiana State University AgCenter for funding. Open Access was provided by Natural Resources Canada.

Conflict of Interest

The authors of this manuscript have no relevant financial or nonfinancial interests to disclose.

Author Contributions

Joséphine Queffelec (Data curation [Supporting], Formal analysis [Lead], Methodology [Supporting], Validation [Equal], Visualization [Lead], Writing – original draft [Equal], Writing – review & editing [Equal]), Justin M. Gaudon (Formal analysis [Supporting], Methodology [Supporting], Validation [Equal], Writing – review & editing [Equal]), Daniel Miller (Conceptualization [Equal], Data curation [Equal], Investigation [Equal], Methodology [Equal], Resources [Equal], Writing – review & editing [Equal]), Jessica L. McKenney (Data curation [Equal], Investigation [Equal], Methodology [Equal], Writing – review & editing [Equal]), and Jeremy D. Allison (Conceptualization [Lead], Data curation [Equal], Funding acquisition [Lead], Investigation [Lead], Methodology [Lead], Project administration [Lead], Resources [Lead], Supervision [Lead], Validation [Equal], Writing – original draft [Equal], Writing – review & editing [Equal])

Data Availability

The data underlying the results of this study are openly available on the Open Government Canada portal at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.23687/197171a9-9d38-42bf-8a98-891f35f6f539) [org/10.23687/197171a9-9d38-42bf-8a98-891f35f6f539.](https://doi.org/10.23687/197171a9-9d38-42bf-8a98-891f35f6f539)

References

- **Allison JD, Redak RA.** The impact of trap type and design features on survey and detection of bark and woodboring beetles and their associates: a review and meta-analysis. Annu Rev Entomol. **2017**:62:127–146. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-010715-023516) doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-010715-023516
- **Allison JD, Bhandari BD, McKenney JL, Millar JG.** Design factors that influence the performance of flight intercept traps for the capture of longhorned beetles (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) from the subfamilies Lamiinae and Cerambycinae. PLoS One. **2014**:9(3):e93203. [https://doi.org/10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0093203) [journal.pone.0093203](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0093203)
- **Allison JD, McKenney JL, Miller DR, Gimmel ML.** Role of ipsdienol, ipsenol, and *cis*-verbenol in chemical ecology of *Ips avulsus*, *Ips calligraphus*, and *Ips grandicollis* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae). J Econ Entomol. **2012**:105(3):923–929. <https://doi.org/10.1603/ec12078>
- **Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S.** Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. J Stat Softw. **2015**:67:1–48.
- **Birch MC, Švihra P, Paine TD, Miller JC.** Influence of chemically mediated behavior on host tree colonization by four cohabiting species of bark beetles. J Chem Ecol. **1980**:6(2):395–414. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01402917) [bf01402917](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01402917)
- **Birgersson G, Dalusky MJ, Espelie KE, Berisford CW.** Pheromone production, attraction, and interspecific inhibition among four species of *Ips* bark beetles in the southeastern USA. Psyche. **2012**:2012:1–14.
- **Brooks ME, Kristensen K, van Benthem KJ, Magnusson A, Berg CW, Nielsen A, Skaug HJ, Mächler M, Bolker BM**. glmmTMB balances speed and flexibility among packages for zero-inflated generalized linear mixed modeling. R J. **2017**:9:378–400.
- **Byers JA.** Chemical ecology of bark beetles. Experientia. **1989**:45(3):271–283. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01951813>
- **Kohnle U, Vité JP, Meyer H, Francke W.** Response of four American engraver bark beetles, *Ips* spp. (Col., Scolytidae), to synthetic racemates of chiral pheromones. J Appl Entomol. **1994**:117(1–5):451–456. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.1994.tb00761.x) [org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.1994.tb00761.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.1994.tb00761.x)
- **Lanier GN, Birch MC, Schmitz RF, Furniss MM.** Pheromones of *Ips pini* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae): variation in response among three populations. Can Entomol. **1972**:104(12):1917–1923. [https://doi.org/10.4039/](https://doi.org/10.4039/ent1041917-12) [ent1041917-12](https://doi.org/10.4039/ent1041917-12)
- **Lanier GN, Classon A, Stewart T, Piston JJ, Silverstein RM.** *Ips pini*: the basis for interpopulational differences in pheromone biology. J Chem Ecol. **1980**:6(3):677–687.<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00987678>
- **Meier LR, Zou Y, Millar JG, Mongold-Diers JA, Hanks LM.** Synergism between enantiomers creates species-specific pheromone blends and minimizes cross-attraction for two species of cerambycid beetles. J Chem Ecol. **2016**:42(11):1181–1192. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-016-0782-z>
- **Miller DR.** Should ipsdienol and ipsenol lures be retained in a generic trap lure blend for pine bark and woodboring beetles (Coleoptera) in the southeastern United States? J Entomol Sci. **2023**:58(2):230–241. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.18474/jes22-41) [org/10.18474/jes22-41](https://doi.org/10.18474/jes22-41)
- **Miller DR, Allison JD.** Variation in enantiospecific attraction of *Ips avulsus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) to the pheromone ipsdienol in Georgia. J Econ Entomol. **2011**:104(3):895–900. <https://doi.org/10.1603/ec10405>
- **Miller DR, Duerr DA.** Comparison of arboreal beetle catches in wet and dry collection cups with Lindgren multiple funnel traps. J Econ Entomol. **2008**:101(1):107–113. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493(2008)101[107:coabci]2.0.co;2) [org/10.1603/0022-0493\(2008\)101\[107:coabci\]2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493(2008)101[107:coabci]2.0.co;2)
- **Miller DR, Asaro C, Berisford CW.** Attraction of southern pine engravers and associated bark beetles (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) to ipsenol, ipsdienol, and lanierone in southeastern United States. J Econ Entomol. **2005**:98(6):2058– 2066. <https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-98.6.2058>
- **Miller DR, Borden JH, Slessor KN.** Enantiospecific pheromone production and response profiles for populations of pine engraver, *Ips pini* (Say) (Coleoptera: Scolytidae), in British Columbia. J Chem Ecol. **1996**:22(11):2157–2172. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02040100>
- **Miller DR, Gibson KE, Raffa KF, Seybold SJ, Teale SA, Wood DL.** Geographic variation in response of pine engraver, *Ips pini*, and associated species to pheromone, lanierone. J Chem Ecol. **1997**:23(8):2013–2031. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1023/b:joec.0000006486.39056.48) [org/10.1023/b:joec.0000006486.39056.48](https://doi.org/10.1023/b:joec.0000006486.39056.48)
- **Paine TD, Birch MC, Švihra P.** Niche breadth and resource partitioning by four sympatric species of bark beetles (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). Oecologia. **1981**:48(1):1–6.<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00346980>
- **Queffelec J, Sullivan B, McKenney JL, Allison JD.** No evidence of responding individuals constraining the evolution of the pheromone signal in the pine engraver *Ips avulsus*. J Chem Ecol. **2023**:49(1–2):11–17. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-022-01396-w) [org/10.1007/s10886-022-01396-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-022-01396-w)
- R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna (Austria): R Foundation for Statistical Computing; **2022**.
- **Schoeller EN, Allison JD.** Flight phenologies of the southeastern *Ips* species (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae) and some associated Coleoptera in central and southern Louisiana. Environ Entomol. **2013**:42(6):1226– 1239. <https://doi.org/10.1603/EN13181>
- **Seybold SJ.** Role of chirality in olfactory-directed behavior: aggregation of pine engraver beetles in the genus *Ips* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). J Chem Ecol. **1993**:19(8):1809–1831. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00982310) [BF00982310](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00982310)
- **Seybold SJ, Ohtsuka T, Wood DL, Kubo I.** Enantiomeric composition of ipsdienol: a chemotaxonomic character for North American populations of *Ips* spp. in the *pini* subgeneric group (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). J Chem Ecol. **1995**:21(7):995–1016. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02033804>
- **Smith MT, Payne TL, Birch MC.** Olfactory-based behavioral interactions among five species in the southern pine bark beetle group. J Chem Ecol. **1990**:16(12):3317–3331. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00982101>
- **Song L-W, Zhang Q-H, Chen Y-Q, Zuo T-T, Ren B-Z.** Field responses of the Asian larch bark beetle, *Ips subelongatus*, to potential aggregation pheromone components: disparity between two populations in northeastern China. Insect Sci. **2011**:18(3):311–319. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7917.2010.01375.x) [org/10.1111/j.1744-7917.2010.01375.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7917.2010.01375.x)
- **Strom BL, Clarke SR, Roton LM.** Attraction of *Ips avulsus* (Eichhoff) to varying enantiomeric composition of ipsdienol in commercially available lures. J Entomol Sci. **2003**:38(1):137–139. <https://doi.org/10.18474/0749-8004-38.1.137>
- **Sullivan BT.** Host odour *alpha*-pinene increases or reduces response of *Ips avulsus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae) to its aggregation pheromone, depending on separation of release points. Can Entomol. **2023**:155:e4.
- **Sweeney JD, Silk PJ, Gutowski JM, Wu J, Lemay MA, Mayo PD, Magee DI.** Effect of chirality, release rate, and host volatiles on response of *Tetropium fuscum* (F.), *Tetropium cinnamopterum* Kirby, and *Tetropium castaneum* (L.) to the aggregation pheromone, fuscumol. J Chem Ecol. **2010**:36(12):1309–1321. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-010-9876-1>
- **Teale SA, Lanier GN.** Seasonal variability in response of *Ips pini* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) to ipsdienol in New York. J Chem Ecol. **1991**:17(6):1145– 1158. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01402940>
- **Vité JP, Bakke A, Renwick JAA.** Pheromones in *Ips* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae): occurrence and production. Can Entomol. **1972**:104(12):1967–1975. <https://doi.org/10.4039/ent1041967-12>
- **Vité JP, Gara RI, Von Scheller HD.** Field observations on the response to attractants of bark beetles infesting southern pines. Contrib Boyce Thompson Inst. **1964**:22:461–470.
- **Vité JP, Ohloff G, Billings RF.** Pheromonal chirality and integrity of aggregation response in southern species of the bark beetle *Ips* sp. Nature. **1978**:272(5656):817–818.<https://doi.org/10.1038/272817a0>
- **Wood SL.** The bark and ambrosia beetles of North and Central America (Coleoptera: Scolytidae), a taxonomic monograph. Provo (UT): Brigham Young University; **1982**.
- **Zuur A, Ieno EN, Walker N, Saveliev AA, Smith GM.** Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology with R. New York (NY): Springer; **2009**.