

## Decolonising climate change-heritage research

*Nature Climate Change*

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01279-8>

Accepted: 5 January 2022

Green Open Access Embargo: 14 June 2022

[Nature policy on self-archiving and license to publish](#): Authors are permitted to self-archive the accepted manuscript on their own personal website and/or in their funder or institutional repositories, for public release six months after publication.

### Authors

Nicholas P. Simpson\*, Joanne Clarke\*, Scott Allan Orr, Georgina Cundill, Ben Orlove, Sandra Fatorić, Salma Sabour, Nadia Khalaf, Marcy Rockman, Patricia Pinho, Shobha S. Maharaj, Poonam V. Mascarenhas, Nick Shepherd, Pindai M. Sithole, Grace Wambui Ngaruiya, Debra C. Roberts, Christopher H. Trisos.

**Corresponding authors:** Nicholas P. Simpson: [nick.simpson@uct.ac.za](mailto:nick.simpson@uct.ac.za), Joanne Clarke: [Joanne.Clarke@uea.ac.uk](mailto:Joanne.Clarke@uea.ac.uk),


-----

## Decolonising climate change-heritage research


Climate change poses a threat to heritage globally. Decolonial approaches to climate change heritage research and practice can begin to address systemic inequities, recognise the breadth of heritage, and strengthen adaptation action globally.

Climate change is an increasing focus of heritage research across Europe and North America, including identification of site-specific adaptation options for heritage preservation<sup>1, 2</sup>. In contrast, climate change research in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) is limited due to systemic gaps in access to funding and its associated knowledge generation and thought leadership<sup>3, 4</sup>, and rarely concentrates on heritage<sup>5, 6</sup> (see Fig. 1a). As heritage includes all the inherited traditions, monuments, objects, places and culture, as well as contemporary activities, knowledge, meanings and behaviours that are drawn from them<sup>7</sup>, its preservation is crucial for all societies. Heritage can be tangible, in the case of objects or monuments, or intangible, including cultural practices and traditions, cultural identity, and sense of place. Across LMICs, tangible and intangible heritage coexist, commonly without clear delineations between them.


**a, Number of English language papers on cultural heritage for different geographical regions and regions of first authors of climate change-heritage research**



**b, Global distribution of UNESCO World Heritage Sites per country**



**c, Categorization of 27 World Heritage Sites in Mexico, showing the progressive marginalization of Indigenous cultures**



**Fig. 1: Colonial legacies affecting inequities in climate change-heritage research and practice.** **a,** Number of English language papers on cultural heritage for different geographical regions and regions of first authors of climate change-heritage research. Concentrations of research focus on Europe and North America while these regions also contain the highest number of first author scholars producing this research (visualisation compiled from the supplemental of ref. <sup>5</sup>). **b,** Global distribution of UNESCO World Heritage Sites per country shows concentrations of inscribed heritage in higher-income countries while former colonies have less UNESCO WHS (map created from UNESCO World Heritage List 2021<sup>8</sup>). **c,** Categorization of 27 World Heritage Sites in Mexico, showing the progressive marginalization of Indigenous cultures. Taken as a set, these World Heritage Sites form a narrative in which the Spanish Conquest destroyed many Indigenous cultures and left the others as marginal and subordinated to European and cosmopolitan cultures, with little or no contribution to heritage (graph created from Mexican sites listed on UNESCO World Heritage List 2021<sup>8</sup>).

Climate change exacerbates existing risks to heritage<sup>5, 6</sup>. This is particularly acute in LMICs where vulnerability to climate change is generally high and adaptation capacity low<sup>9</sup> increasing the risk to heritage from climate hazards such as sea-level rise, flooding and wildfires<sup>10, 11</sup>. These physical risks are compounded by land-use change leading to socio-ecological tipping points and loss of livelihoods<sup>11</sup>. In particular, local and Indigenous knowledge (a form of intangible heritage) is impacted by climate change through loss of livelihoods and migration<sup>12, 13</sup>, yet this knowledge is crucial for safeguarding other forms of heritage, such as traditional buildings and building methods<sup>14, 15</sup> [see Box 1].

**Box 1 | Climate change and research on intangible cultural heritage**

Djenné is a mud-built town situated on the Bani River within the Inland Niger Delta, Mali. Inhabited since the 13<sup>th</sup> century, its deep history and iconic earthen architecture form part of its 'Outstanding Universal Value', and its mud architecture is maintained by local men who belong to generations of masons. The re-plastering masonic traditions are necessary to ensure 'authenticity' – a requirement for Djenné's inscription on the World Heritage List <sup>15</sup>. Yet these intangible cultural practices have been increasingly difficult to maintain and were not adequately considered at the time of inscription. As a result, Djenné's continued inscription on the UNESCO World Heritage list is precarious because climate change is exacerbating cultural vulnerabilities that threaten both the integrity of the earthen structures and the cultural practices that protect it<sup>15</sup>. Calcified fish bones are needed for good quality mud, but lower rainfall has reduced mud quality for re-plastering by lowering river levels and reducing fish stocks<sup>15</sup>. Young masons have tried to find cheaper building materials instead of buying the required but unaffordable high-quality mud for annual resurfacings. Reduced ability to effectively re-mud traditional buildings increases their exposure, but also interrupts traditional knowledge and practices tied to re-mudding performances. Continued loss of intangible cultural heritage will lead inevitably to the loss of the earthen structures that are its material expression. While colonial policies and their legacies, have typically ignored intangible cultural heritage of host communities<sup>33, 34</sup>, such as Indigenous building practices, this case highlights the importance of understanding climate impacts holistically, those on both tangible and intangible heritage. Climate change-heritage research needs to recognise a broad range of potential impacts protection of sites and contextually appropriate adaptation, including their needs for a just transition when heritage-dependent livelihoods are disrupted by climate change and heritage policies.

Research, data and knowledge barriers that undermine the potential for more informed responses to climate change also pose a risk to heritage<sup>3, 16</sup>. Development of robust climate change adaptation strategies for heritage is impaired by lack of up-to-date, adequately downscaled climate data and heritage-focussed climate information services, particularly for LMICs, some of which are too small for current resolutions of global climate models, such as small island developing states<sup>17</sup>. Without useable data it is highly challenging for LMICs to generate robust risk assessments and policy on how to best adapt and preserve vulnerable heritage, which leads to under-representation of climate risk to heritage in large climate assessments.

The prevailing conceptions and research foci in LMICs are dominated by the perspective of higher income countries (HICs)<sup>18, 19</sup>, perpetuating a narrow, Eurocentric view, and mirroring colonial legacies that continue to shape priorities for climate research questions, funding, and outputs globally<sup>3, 20, 21</sup> (Fig. 1a and 1b). For example, climate adaptation funding for many vulnerable LMICs is heavily dependent on international aid organisations that are commonly located in HICs<sup>3</sup>. This inevitably leads to an unequal balance in the types of heritage earmarked for research or development, with a bias toward heritage that is implicitly (if not explicitly) valued by those living in HICs while commonly side-lining pre-colonial heritage (as in the case of Mexico, see Fig. 1c). The narrow concept of 'Outstanding Universal Value' as defined by UNESCO for World Heritage Sites has been criticised in this regard<sup>22</sup>, because in LMICs, heritage with little or no global appeal frequently holds considerable local or Indigenous significance. Moreover, the continuing dichotomy between tangible and intangible heritage, employed by organisations such as UNESCO, is incompatible with non-Western heritage because it forces an unnatural dichotomy between belief systems and traditions, which often create value, or make sacred, places and things. By distinguishing between tangible and intangible heritage these intricate social, ideological, and cosmological relationships inherent in non-Western heritage are undermined<sup>6</sup> (see Fig. 1c and Box 2). Decolonising climate change heritage-research is therefore important for heritage preservation because locally led research and a more equitable research environment is needed to address the true potential loss and damage to heritage from climate change across LMICs<sup>11, 12</sup>.

### **Box 2 | Climate change-heritage research and Indigenous communities**

In the Amazon, climate change impacts are experienced by Indigenous communities in dramatic and yet poorly understood ways. Impacts from heatwaves, precipitation variation, and more frequent and intense extreme weather events are material, including disruptions to food systems and local diets<sup>35</sup>. Non-material impacts include losses of livelihoods, knowledge, place attachment, governance institutions, culture, and identity which compound material impacts to reduce resilience of Indigenous communities<sup>36</sup>. The marginalisation of Amazonian Indigenous communities is determined by these climate impacts, coupled with maladaptive responses and structural imbalances in power and resources<sup>36, 37</sup>. Yet, climate change-heritage research on the Amazon faces severe funding crises and ongoing political opposition<sup>38</sup>. This instability in research funding will likely exacerbate existing knowledge gaps such as climate impacts on Indigenous communities' health<sup>36</sup>. Lack of research funding also affects the visibility of Indigenous communities and the potential for mobilising protective interventions<sup>36</sup>. Resulting damages may enhance existing inequalities in the types of heritage recognised for protection. The Amazonian experience highlights the need for climate change-heritage scholars to propose new strategies for transdisciplinary research that adopts broad conceptions of heritage, includes protection of ecosystems, and empowers Indigenous communities<sup>36, 39, 40</sup>.

### **Decolonise climate-heritage research**

Despite recent interest in decolonising heritage research<sup>20, 23</sup>, decolonial approaches are not yet widely established in climate change-heritage scholarship and practice. Recognising that colonisation led to Euro-American centrality, dispossession, racism, and ongoing power imbalances in how climate change heritage research is produced and used is an important first step<sup>21, 24, 25</sup>. The next step is committing to actively undoing those systems and ways of thinking through transformations to agenda setting, funding, training, access to data, and governance.

First, scholars and heritage practitioners across LMICs need the epistemic freedom to set their agendas for climate change-heritage research to address inequities in research leadership<sup>26, 27</sup> (Fig 1). For this to happen, research agendas and funding, along with the policy agendas to which they are linked, need to be decentred from the HICs. Priorities for

research and practice should be informed by Indigenous and local communities and should integrate their values, preferences and judgements with climate change risk and vulnerability assessments<sup>28</sup>. For example, climate risk assessments need to integrate heritage values at local scale with scientific information on climate change.

Second, specific efforts will be required to train scholars in transdisciplinary research methodologies that accommodate multiple knowledges and world views in the formulation of research questions and the co-creation of solutions, including collaboration with Indigenous and local communities in equitable ways. Mentoring scholars from LMICs in writing for international peer-reviewed journals will help bring up a new generation of climate change-heritage scholars<sup>29</sup>. Further, safeguards are needed to avoid exclusive and extractive research relationships and ensure research outcomes benefit local heritage communities and custodians, particularly where this knowledge can support adaptation responses to climate change.

Third, research findings and data need to be made accessible to heritage managers and practitioners in the regions that were the target of the research. At present many online data repositories and journals are not freely available, and subscriptions are too expensive for many research institutions in LMICs. A teered system of subscription costs based on ability to pay, or preferably open access, could make access to research more equitable.

Fourth, research on governance is also critically important for identifying enabling conditions for the transformation of colonial heritage governance arrangements that dislocated and disempowered local and Indigenous heritage governance structures<sup>7, 30</sup>. Multiple research disciplines including social and political sciences, anthropology and climate research need to provide critical research on what climate resilient governance for heritage might look like when decolonised. Further, these disciplines need to collaborate to produce the kinds of actionable knowledge local governance would require, for example, down-scaled and heritage-focussed climate information available in local user languages to support multi-level decision making<sup>31</sup>.

Finally, Nationally Determined Contributions are a mechanism by which every country can present its climate adaptation and mitigation plans to the UNFCCC. As such, these are bottom-up action plans for individual countries to tackle climate change. Although these documents are formatted with national priorities in mind, heritage should be included within suggested formats in order to catalyse climate change-heritage research globally.

International bodies concerned with heritage research and practice including UNESCO, ICOMOS, ICCROM and the IPCC have increasingly recognised the importance of climate change-heritage research to inform climate action within heritage practice globally<sup>32</sup>. Knowledge generated is essential to inform heritage-specific understanding of the impacts, vulnerability, and risks from climate change, including Loss and Damage, and how such knowledge can inform adaptation and mitigation responses to climate change. It is therefore critical that transformations to climate change-heritage research agenda setting and funding, training, access to data, and governance overcome geographic, intersectional, and distributional blind spots associated with colonial research legacies. Failure to actively transform in these ways, the climate change-heritage research community stands to further entrench these long-standing inequities as well as exacerbate inequalities in heritage-relevant responses to climate change.

### **Acknowledgements**

NPS received financial support from the UK Government's Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office and the International Development Research Centre, Ottawa, Canada (grant number 109419-001). CHT is supported by FLAIR Fellowship Programme: a partnership between the African Academy of Sciences and the Royal Society funded by the

UK Government's Global Challenges Research Fund. NK is supported by the Mapping Africa's Endangered Archaeological Sites and Monuments project funded by Arcadia – a charitable fund of Lisbet Rausing and Peter Baldwin. SS Leverhulme Trust Doctoral Training Scheme, hosted by Southampton Marine and Maritime Institute at the University of Southampton.

#### **Data availability**

The underlying data set for Figure 1a is available open access from the supplemental material in ref. <sup>5</sup>, and data sets for Figure 1b and 1c from the UNESCO World Heritage List 2021 ref. <sup>8</sup>.

#### **Code availability**

Not applicable

#### **Competing Interests Statement**

The authors have no competing interests as defined by Nature Research, or other interests that might be perceived to influence the interpretation of the article. The authors have no non-financial competing interests as defined by Nature Research, or other interests that might be perceived to influence the interpretation of the article.

#### **Author Statement**

NPS, JC: Conceptualization; NPS, JC, SAO, GC, BO, SF, SS, NK, MR, PP, SSM, PVM, NS, PS, GWN, DR, CHT: Resources; NPS, JC, SAO, GC, BO, SF, SS, NK, MR, PP, SSM, PVM, NS, PS, GWN, DR, CHT; Writing - Original Draft; NPS, JC, SO, GC, BO: Writing - Review & Editing; NPS, JC: Project administration; CHT: Funding acquisition. The following authors contributed equally: NPS, JC.

#### **References**

1. Fatorić S, Biesbroek R. *Climatic Change* 2020, **162**(2): 301-320.
2. Reimann L, Vafeidis AT, Brown S, Hinkel J, Tol RSJ. *Nature Communications* 2018, **9**(1).
3. Overland I, Fossum Sagbakken H, Isataeva A, Kolodzinskaia G, Simpson NP, Trisos C, *et al.* *Climate and Development* 2021: 1-20.
4. North MA, Hastie WW, Craig MH, Slotow R. *Environmental Science & Policy* 2022, **127**: 196-208.
5. Orr SA, Richards J, Fatorić S. *The Historic Environment: Policy & Practice* 2021: 1-43.
6. Fatorić S, Seekamp E. *Climatic Change* 2017, **142**(1): 227-254.
7. Brooks N, Clarke J, Ngaruiya GW, Wangui EE. *Azania: Archaeological Research in Africa* 2020, **55**(3): 297-328.
8. UNESCO. World Heritage Sites: World Heritage List. 2020 [cited 01/09/2020] Available from: <https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/>
9. Birkmann J, Feldmeyer D, McMillan JM, Solecki W, Totin E, Roberts D, *et al.* *Environmental Research Letters* 2021, **16**(9): 094052.

10. Oppenheimer M, Glavovic BC, Hinkel J, van de Wal R, Magnan AK, Abd-Elgawad A, *et al.*In: Pörtner HO, Roberts DC, Masson-Delmotte V, Zhai P, Tignor M, Poloczanska E, *et al.* (eds). *IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate*, 2019, p In press.
11. Ley D, Araos ME, Bazaz A, Buckeridge M, Camilloni I, Ford J, *et al.*In: Masson-Delmotte V, Zhai P, Pörtner HO, Roberts D, Skea J, Shukla PR, *et al.* (eds). *Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty*, 2018, p In press.
12. Boyd E, Chaffin BC, Dorkenoo K, Jackson G, Harrington L, N'Guetta A, *et al.* *One Earth* 2021, **4**(10): 1365-1370.
13. Cundill G, Singh C, Adger WN, Safra de Campos R, Vincent K, Tebboth M, *et al.* *Global Environmental Change* 2021, **69**: 102315.
14. Carmichael B, Wilson G, Namarnyilk I, Nadji S, Brockwell S, Webb B, *et al.* *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 2018, **23**(2): 231-255.
15. Joy C. *Journal of Material Culture* 2011, **16**(4): 389-400.
16. Simpson NP, Andrews TM, Kronke M, Lennard C, Odoulami RC, Ouweneel B, *et al.* *Nature Climate Change* 2021.
17. Bordner AS, Ferguson CE, Ortolano L. *Global Environmental Change* 2020, **61**: 102054.
18. NOAH's ARK Project. Global Climate Change Impact on Built Heritage and Cultural Landscapes. 2021 [cited 2021 05/10/2021]Available from: <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/bartlett/heritage/research/projects/project-archive/noahs-ark-project>
19. Sabbioni C, Brimblecombe P, Cassar M. Anthem Press: London, 2010.
20. ICCROM. ICCROM 31st General Assembly 2019; 2019; Rome, Italy: International Centre for the Study of the Preservation and Restoration of Cultural Property; 2019.
21. Liboiron M. *Nature Geoscience* 2021.
22. Tucker H, Carnegie E. *Annals of Tourism Research* 2014, **47**: 63-76.
23. Breunlin R. *Genealogy* 2020, **4**(3): 95.
24. Wijsman K, Feagan M. *Environmental Science & Policy* 2019, **98**: 70-76.

25. Trisos CH, Auerbach J, Katti M. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 2021.
26. Ndlovu-Gatsheni SJ. Routledge: London, 2018.
27. Schipper ELF, Dubash NK, Mulugetta Y. *Climatic Change* 2021, **168**(3): 18.
28. Chirikure S, Ndoro W, Deacon J. In: Ndoro W, Chirikure S, Deacon J (eds). *Managing Heritage in Africa*, 1 edn. Routledge: London, 2017, pp 1-21.
29. The British Academy. Rewriting World Archaeology: Dialogues on the Archaeology of the Global South. 2021 [cited 2021 05/10/2021] Available from: <https://www.thebritishacademy.ac.uk/projects/rewriting-world-archaeology-dialogues-on-the-archaeology-of-the-global-south/>
30. Gahman L, Penados F, Greenidge A. *Social Movement Studies* 2020, **19**(2): 241-248.
31. Krauß W, Bremer S. *Climate Risk Management* 2020, **28**: 100221.
32. IPCC, ICOMOS, UNESCO. In: IPCC, ICOMOS, UNESCO, editors. International Co-Sponsored Meeting on Culture, Heritage and Climate Change; 2021 06/12/2021; Online-Virtual: IPCC-ICOMOS-UNESCO; 2021.
33. Nursey-Bray M, Palmer R, Smith TF, Rist P. *Local Environment* 2019, **24**(5): 473-486.
34. Pocock C, Collett D, Baulch L. *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 2015, **21**(10): 962-982.
35. Zavaleta-Cortijo C, Ford JD, Arotoma-Rojas I, Lwasa S, Lancha-Rucoba G, García PJ, et al. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 2020, **4**(9): e381-e382.
36. Parry L, Radel C, Adamo SB, Clark N, Counterman M, Flores-Yeffal N, et al. *Social Science & Medicine* 2019, **241**: 112448.
37. Zavaleta-Cortijo C, Berrang-Ford L, Ford J, Llanos-Cuentas A, Cárcamo C, Ross NA, et al. *PLOS ONE* 2018, **13**(10): e0205714.
38. Rodrigues M. *Nature* 2021, **598**(7881).
39. Fernández-Llamazares Á, Garcia RA, Díaz-Reviriego I, Cabeza M, Pyhälä A, Reyes-García V. *Regional Environmental Change* 2017, **17**(6): 1673-1685.
40. Walker RT. *Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development* 2021, **63**(1): 15-25.