The genetic consequences of habitat specificity for fig trees in southern African fragmented forests

Jun-Yin Deng[a], Simon van Noort[b,c], Stephen G. Compton[d,e], Yan Chen[f], Jaco M. Greeff[a],*

[a] Division of Genetics, Department of Biochemistry, Genetics and Microbiology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, 0002, South Africa
[b] Research and Exhibitions Department, South African Museum, Iziko Museums of South Africa, PO BOX 61, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa
[c] Department of Biological Sciences, University of Cape Town, Private Bag Rondebosch, 7701, South Africa
[d] School of Biology, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK
[e] Department of Zoology and Entomology, Rhodes University, Grahamstown, 6140, South Africa
[f] Ecological Security and Protection Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Mianyang Normal University, Mianyang, 621000, China

*Corresponding author. jaco.greeff@up.ac.za

Highlights

• Quantified genetic structure of three *Ficus* species in fragmented forests.
• These species differ in habitat preferences and distributional ranges.
• The habitat-generalist showed the least genetic structure.
• The range-limited forest-specialist was the most structured.
• Fragmentation has a negative effect despite *Ficus*'s extensive pollen flow.

Abstract

Theory predicts that fragmentation will lead to reduced gene flow between populations, with loss of genetic diversity and increased population differentiation. However, these predictions may not always hold true, especially for long-lived woody plants and some fig trees (*Ficus* species) may not be affected by fragmentation because their fig wasps can transfer pollen for distances of over 100 km. Here we contrast the extent of genetic isolation caused by fragmentation among three southern African *Ficus* species with different habitat dependencies and distributional ranges. Two of the species are restricted to forest environments, which have been fragmented since at least the Pleistocene, and provide an indication of the long-term genetic effects of forest fragmentation. The third species is less forest-dependent, with a more general habitat association and more continuous populations. We found significant population differentiation in all three species. Populations of *F. bizanae*, a forest specialist with a highly restricted distribution, displayed the most genetic structure, followed by the second forest specialist, *F. craterostoma*. Populations of the habitat-generalist *F. sur* were the least genetically structured. Forest specialist *Ficus* species are clearly not immune to habitat fragmentation, despite extensive pollen flow, and other southern African forest trees are likely to have experienced similar or greater effects of habitat fragmentation. The strong genetic structure of *F. bizanae* suggests a limited seed

dispersal range and local dispersal by the fig wasp pollinator, a possible adaptation to the limited range of its host fig tree.

1. Introduction

Gene flow in most plants is achieved by a combination of pollen movement and seed dispersal. Gene flow acts to homogenize populations and maintains genetic diversity. Fragmentation of habitats can erode the genetic diversity of populations even if gene flow is extensive (Albaladejo et al., 2012; Ismail et al., 2012; Leonardi et al., 2012; Morán-López et al., 2016; Sampson et al., 2014), but it can nonetheless buffer the effects of fragmentation brought about by factors such as climate change and other anthropogenic disturbances (Bai et al., 2014; Byrne et al., 2007; Colabella et al., 2014; Labra et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2013; Llorens et al., 2012).

The genetic erosion caused by fragmentation is typically reflected in the fixation of some alleles and the genetic isolation of populations (Young et al., 1996). These effects eventually result in a loss of heterozygosity, which is really the last symptom of an ongoing process (Aguilar et al., 2008; Vranckx et al., 2012). Because the loss of alleles in response to population fragmentation is caused by drift, which is slow to act, the genetic effects of fragmentation are only manifested generations after fragmentation occurs (Young et al., 1996; Kramer et al., 2008; Bacles and Jump, 2011; Vranckx et al., 2012). Furthermore, in long lived species such as trees we cannot hope to evaluate the genetic effects of fragmentation experimentally (Bacles and Jump, 2011; Kramer et al., 2008). To understand the impact of fragmentation it is therefore important to study habitats that have been naturally fragmented for centuries or millennia.

South African forests are a prime example of a long-fragmented habitat. Across geological time scales, uplifting and warping facilitated formation of large internal mountain ranges and basins that dramatically changed the landscape of South Africa (van Zinderen Bakker, 1983). This facilitated expansion of arid areas, which split habitats that had previously comprised continuous forests (Sepulchre et al., 2006). In addition, around 20 glacial-interglacial cycles have occurred during the last two million years (Lawes, 1990) and alternating colder and warmer cycles have led to periodic contraction and expansion of forest cover (Eeley et al., 1999). During the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) (18 000 years ago), the forests shrank dramatically and most were eliminated entirely (Eeley et al., 1999; Lawes, 1990; Lawes et al., 2007a). As with eastern African coastal forests, some remnants may have moved to lower elevations whereas inland forests retreated to higher elevations, contributing further to their disjunctive distributions (Axelrod and Raven, 1978). Most recently, human activities such as grazing, logging and agriculture have reduced the extent of forest cover and further aggravated forest fragmentation across the sub-continent (Hoffman, 1997; Lawes et al., 2004b, 2007b). Currently, South African forests cover an area of about 4981 km^2, which is only about 0.41% of the land area of the country. Furthermore, they are divided into an estimated 19470 tiny fragments with a median size of less than 0.02 km^2 and a mean of just over 0.25 km^2 (Mucina et al., 2006; http://bgis.sanbi.org/vegmap).

The responses of species to forest fragmentation are highly variable (Henle et al., 2004), and may result in no discernible effects (Berens et al., 2014), a loss of genetic diversity and increased population differentiation (Matolweni et al., 2000; McManus et al., 2015) or even extinction of forest specialists (Cooper et al., 2017; Lawes, 2004a; Olivier et al., 2013). The effects of fragmentation, although unlikely ever to be beneficial, are therefore unpredictable and remain poorly understood.

Fig trees (*Ficus* spp., Moraceae) are a diverse and ecologically significant component of the African flora (Berg and Wiebes, 1992; Shanahan et al., 2001; Burrows and Burrows, 2003). Most species exhibit a monoecious breeding system and they typically have wide distributions across the continent (Berg and Wiebes, 1992). The *Ficus* species present in South African forests vary in the extent to which they are restricted to this habitat and in their overall area of distribution (Burrows and Burrows, 2003). They are pollinated by small (1–2 mm; Renoult et al., 2009) host specific fig wasps (Agaonidae). The adults are short-lived (Warren et al., 2010) and fly slowly, but can be carried passively by the wind over long distances (Ware and Compton, 1994; Ahmed et al., 2009) and they are renowned for their dispersal ability (Kobmoo et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2013; Bain et al., 2016). In a desert habitat one species, *Ceratosolen arabicus* was recorded to disperse the pollen of its host *Ficus* over a distance of 160 km (Ahmed et al., 2009). As a result, fig tree populations often show little evidence of genetic isolation by distance (Liu et al., 2013; Yu and Nason, 2013; Wang et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2019), although smaller species, with more aggregated populations, provide exceptions (Chen et al., 2011).

Long-term fragmentation might therefore be expected to have had less effect on the population structure of fig trees than on other tree species in South African forests, because as few as four immigrants per generation can effectively homogenize populations (Hartl, 2000). It nonetheless remains unclear whether wasp-assisted pollen flow can be sufficient to connect forest specialist fig trees into a single large genetic unit across sub-continental scales, especially given that the dispersal of *Ficus* seeds often extends for only short-distances, despite the services of strong flyers such as frugivorous birds (Zhou and Chen, 2010). Seed dispersal distances in South Africa may have been reduced further by the loss of forest specialist birds and mammals after their own populations responded to fragmentation (Lawes, 2004a; Olivier et al., 2013; Cooper et al., 2017).

Comparing allele numbers at microsatellite loci across species as a means of assessing genetic diversity is problematic because mutation rates differ between loci and even for the same locus, and ascertainment bias can also give misleading results (Jin et al., 1996; Kalia et al., 2011). An alternative approach is to estimate and compare genetic structure, because this is not prone to these shortcomings. We took this approach to describe the genetic effects of fragmentation on three related South African forest trees that differ in their habitat specificity and distributional ranges. Specifically, we asked whether two *Ficus* species that are restricted to forests (*F. bizanae* Hutch. & Burtt-Davy and *F. craterostoma* Midlbr. & Burret) exhibit a more developed genetic structure and less gene flow between populations than a habitat-generalist species (*F. sur* Forsk.), and whether autecological characteristics may modify the impact of fragmentation on individual species.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. The study species

Ficus bizanae and *F. craterostoma* belong to section *Galoglychia* while *F. sur* belongs to section *Sycomorus* (Burrows and Burrows, 2003). These three *Ficus* species have different life forms, and they vary in their habitat preferences (Table 1, Fig. 1). *Ficus bizanae* usually grows as a free-standing tree and can reach a height of 18 m. It is usually associated with rocky habitats within coastal forest environments, where it favours gently sloping rocky scree slopes (Pers. Obs.). The figs of *F. bizanae* develop on major stems, usually in clusters of 2–3 figs emanating from a single boss. The figs reach 25–45 mm in size. Although they do not dramatically change colour on ripening, they develop a strong smell when they turn from bright green to a pale yellowish-green colour after the fig wasps have exited. These features suggest that both mammals and birds are likely to be attracted to the figs (Shanahan et al., 2001). *Ficus bizanae* is a local endemic that only occurs in a few populations. Its entire range is shown in Fig. 1. *Ficus craterostoma* can reach heights of up to 20 m, and often grows as a strangler of other forest trees. Its figs are located in the leaf axils, where they reach 15–20 mm in diameter and turn yellow with red spots or entirely reddish when ripe. Consequently, birds are likely to be their main seed dispersal agents (Shanahan et al., 2001). *Ficus craterostoma* has a wide distribution in Afromontane forests along the eastern side of Africa from just north of the equator to South Africa. It is also present in forests of central and West Africa. The third species, *Ficus sur,* is a large free-standing tree that grows up to 30 m in height. It is a habitat generalist, but is more common in forest and riparian habitats. The figs are produced in clusters on leafless branchlets arising from the trunk, from high in the canopy down to ground level. The figs reach 20–40 mm in diameter and turn red or dark orange with a pronounced sweet smell when ripe (Berg and Wiebes, 1992). The figs are likely to be dispersed by a wide variety of birds and mammals, including terrestrial non-volant species. *Ficus sur* has a wide distribution across Africa from the southern Cape coastal belt of South Africa northwards.

Table 1. The habitat preferences, life forms, South African pollinators and recorded seed dispersal vectors of three South African *Ficus* species.

Ficus (pollinator)	Habitats	Life form	Seed dispersal vector
F. bizanae (Courtella sp.)	Forest specialist[a]	Tree (up to 15–18 m), lithophytic or hemi-epiphytic[a,b]	No records, probably understory birds, bats and mammals such as monkeys
F. craterostoma (Alfonsiella pipithiensis)	Forest specialist[a]	Small tree (up to 5–10 m), shrub, lithophyticor hemi-epiphytic (occasionally reaching to 20 m) [a,b]	Birds, small rodents and Samango monkeys[c,d]
F. sur (Ceratosolen capensis)	Forest, savanna and woodland[a]	Medium to large size tree (up to 12 m in open woodland or to 30 m in forest) [a,b]	Birds, bats, rodents, primates (monkeys or baboons) [e,f]

[a]Burrows and Burrows (2003).
[b]Berg and Wiebes (1992).
[c]Gautier-Hion et al. (1985).
[d]Basabose (2002).
[e]Bleher et al. (2003).
[f]Linden et al. (2015).

Fig. 1. The distributions of *F. craterostoma* (horizontal lines, a) and *F. sur* in Africa (horizontal dashed lines, b). The distributions of *F. bizanae* (filled circles, its entire range), *F. craterostoma* (horizontal lines) and *F. sur* (horizontal dashed lines) in southern Africa (c). Country borders in solid black bold lines and provincial borders in grey lines.

2.2. Sampling

We collected leaves or bark of the three *Ficus* species from six forests in the Eastern Cape and one forest in KwaZulu-Natal (Fig. 2 and Table 2). We dried and stored most samples in bags with silica gel crystals. Some samples were stored in a NaCL-CTAB-azide buffer (Bhattacharjee et al., 2009). Samples were collected from two types of forests as defined by Mucina et al. (2006): scarp and Southern mistbelt forests that occur as fragments along a southwest-northeast edge of southern Africa. Scarp forests are closer to the coast and mistbelt forests are more inland at higher elevations - usually above 1000 m. Montane mistbelt forests are botanically species-rich and contain subtropical floral elements. Scarp forests occur at lower elevations and are characterized by high rates of endemism.

Fig. 2. A more detailed depiction of the eastern part of Fig. 1 indicating sampled forests. Species sampled in each location are indicated (*F. bizanae* = dots filled, *F. craterostoma* = empty, *F. sur* = black filled) and forest **distribution is given in black pixels.**

Table 2. Sampled populations and sample sizes in parentheses. The three letter code for each forest, the forest type and the latitude and longitude of each forest are given.

Code	Populations	Species	Forest types	Latitude	Longitude
NGO	Ngome	*F. craterostoma* (23), *F. sur* (13)	Southern mistbelt	−27.826	31.419
ING	Ingeli	*F. craterostoma* (125)	Southern mistbelt	−30.530	29.689
MKA	Mkambati	*F. bizanae* (54), *F. sur* (26)	Scarp	−31.297	29.979
MBO	Mboyti	*F. bizanae* (32), *F. craterostoma* (21), *F. sur* (56)	Scarp	−31.435	29.688
DWE	Dwesa	*F. bizanae* (22), *F. craterostoma* (48), *F. sur* (17)	Scarp	−32.280	28.848
MAN	Manubi	*F. craterostoma* (30), *F. sur* (57)	Scarp	−32.449	28.606
PIR	Pirie	*F. sur* (17)	Southern mistbelt	−32.739	27.298

In total, we sampled 108 *F. bizanae* individuals from three populations, 247 *F. craterostoma* individuals from five populations, and 186 *F. sur* individuals from six populations (Table 2, Fig. 2).

2.3. DNA extraction and genotyping

Genomic DNA were extracted with the nucleoSpin, Plant II kit (Macherey-Nagel GmbHandCo.KG, Düren, Germany) using standard protocols. We selected 49 microsatellite primer pairs that were developed for other *Ficus* species (Khadari et al., 2001; Giraldo et al., 2005; Zavodna et al., 2005; Vignes et al., 2006; Ahmed et al., 2007; Crozier et al., 2007; Heer et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2016). Amplifications for all primers of samples of each species were ran with 15 µl of PCR mixture, including ddH2O 10.6 µl, reaction Taq buffer 1.5 µl (10x), dNTP 0.3ul (10 mM), primers 0.2 µl (10 µM), Taq polymerase (Takara) 0.2 µl (5U/ul) and DNA 2 µl (50 ng/ul). The published cycle programs were used for each primer and suitable Tm were tested for those primers showing clear bands in amplifications. We then amplified DNA using all the primers again, but at their best Tms. The products were examined for polymorphism on an 8% polyacrylamide gel with a 50bp DNA ladder stained with silver nitrate. Twenty-three polymorphic SSR primer pairs were obtained and labelled with fluorescent markers giving 8 for *F. bizanae*, 12 for *F. craterostoma* and 10 for *F. sur* (Table S1). We genotyped the samples with these primers using an automated sequencer (ABI 3730) and scored loci using GeneMarker HID v.2.05 (Holland and Parson, 2011).

2.4. Confirming usability of loci

We examined the presence of any genotypic errors due to stuttering, large allele dropout and null alleles using Micro-checker v.2.2.3 (Van Oosterhout et al., 2004). FSTAT v.2.9.3 was used to confirm that no loci were in linkage disequilibrium with each other (Goudet, 1995). The deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in each population was examined by the multi-locus exact test in GENEPOP 4.0 (Rousset, 2008). A Markov chain method was used to estimate the P-value of the test with default settings.

2.5. Genetic differentiation and structure

Global and pairwise population differentiation indexes (F_{ST}) for each species were estimated in FSTAT, jackknifing over all loci and using 1000 permutations to test significance and confidence intervals were calculated based on mean and standard error (CI = mean ± 1.96 × SE). We standardized these estimates to correct for the maximum difference that could be obtained given the variation using $F'_{ST} = F_{ST}/F_{STmax}$ as suggested by Meirmans and Hedrick (2011). RecodeData (Meirmans, 2006) was used to convert the data and imported them into FSTAT to estimate global F_{STmax} and pairwise population F_{STmax}.

We used an AMOVA as implemented in ARLEQUIN 3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer, 2010) to partition the genetic variation of each species, into within and among population components with 1000 permutations to determine significance. In addition, for *F. craterostoma* and *F. sur*, we specified two groups of populations: montane (mistbelt forest) and coastal lowland forest (scarp). We used the AMOVA to partition the genetic variation into three components (within population, among populations and between groups). A 1000 permutations were used to estimate significance.

2.6. Isolation by distance

The effect of geographic distance on genetic differentiation between populations was measured using microsatellites. Specifically, we correlated pairwise estimates of genetic distances F'_{ST} against the corresponding geographic distance with a Mantel test using the R 3.3 package 'vegan' (http://www.r-project.org/). We determined significance with 1000 permutations.

3. Results

In total, we scored 541 individual trees: 8 loci in 108 *F. bizanae*, 12 loci in 247 *F. craterostoma* and 10 loci in 186 F *sur* (Table 2, Table S1). We found no significant linkage disequilibrium. Several populations of each species deviated from HWE and some contained null alleles (Table S2). The excess of homozygotes in these populations may be due to inbreeding, a Wahlund effect, or the presence of null alleles (Hartl, 2000; Chapuis and Estoup, 2006).

All three species exhibited significant genetic structuring of their populations, with *F. bizanae* displaying the most structure and *F. sur* the least (Table 3). Similarly, among-population genetic variance was highest in *F. bizanae*, and lowest in *F. sur* (Table 3). Significant genetic difference (F_{CT} = 0.03, *p* = 0.02) was found between *F. craterostoma* in mistbelt (montane) and scarp (coastal lowland) forests, while no such difference was detected in *F. sur* (F_{CT} = 0, *p* = 0.60).

Table 3. Global F_{ST} with 95% confidence interval in parentheses, F'_{ST} and among population percentage of variation for each species.

Ficus species	Global F_{ST}	F'_{ST}	AMOVA: Percentage variation among populations
F. bizanae	0.12 (0.07–0.17) ***	0.23	11.85
F. craterostoma	0.05 (0.01–0.09) ***	0.16	6.25
F. sur	0.04 (0.03–0.05) ***	0.11	3.89

***p < 0.001.

All three species had indications of an increase in genetic distance as geographical distance increased, but isolation by distance was only significant in *F. craterostoma* (Fig. 3). *Ficus bizanae* occurs in very few forest patches, which lowered the statistical power to detect a correlation, but its F'_{ST} was twice that of *F. sur* and nearly 1.5 times that of *F. craterostoma* (Fig. 3; Table 3). Despite occurring in many forests, we were unable to detect any significant isolation by distance in *F. sur*. These findings support the impression that genetic structure is highest in *F. bizanae* and lowest in *F. sur*.

Fig. 3. The relationships between genetic distances (pairwise F'_{ST}) and geographic distances between population pairs. *Ficus bizanae* = squares and solid line; *F. craterostoma* = circles and dashed line; *F. sur* = diamonds and dotted line.

4. Discussion

Despite the exceptional ability of some fig wasps to disperse pollen over long distances (Harrison and Rasplus, 2006; Ahmed et al., 2009; Kobmoo et al., 2010; Nazareno et al., 2013), we found that natural long-term fragmentation of South Africa's forests has resulted in stronger genetic isolation of populations of two habitat-specialist *Ficus* species than in the habitat-generalist *F. sur*. This is likely to be because forest populations of *F. sur* are linked genetically via individuals growing in other habitats between the fragmented forests. The extent of genetic isolation between populations was greater in the forest specialist species with a more limited distribution (*F. bizanae*) than in the more widely distributed *F. craterostoma*. This may be linked to differences in the distances that their seeds and pollen are dispersed.

Wright (1978) proposed that observed overall values of F'_{ST} can be characterized as belonging to one of three different qualitative categories, indicating very great divergence ($F'_{ST} > 0.25$), great divergence ($0.25 > F'_{ST} > 0.15$) and moderate divergence ($0.15 > F'_{ST} > 0.05$). Based on these criteria, great divergence was found in both *F. bizanae* ($F'_{ST} = 0.23$) and *F. craterostoma* ($F'_{ST} = 0.16$), while moderate divergence was revealed in *F. sur* ($F'_{ST} = 0.11$).

The pairwise F'_{ST}'s of the three species nonetheless extend across Wright's categories, in response to the varying distances between populations (Fig. 3). More distant populations were more genetically dissimilar due to isolation by distance. This trend was only statistically significant for *F. craterostoma*, as a lack of statistical power limited our analysis of *F. bizanae*, despite it having greater genetic structure than *F. craterostoma*. For example, a comparison between the species shows that at a distance of 500 km the genetic distance between *F. craterostoma* populations is equivalent to that of *F. bizanae* populations located only 100 km apart. The relationship between genetic and geographic distances between

9

populations of the habitat generalist *F. sur* was not significant, suggesting that the gene flow is sufficient to homogenize samples that are over 600 km apart into one genetic unit (Fig. 3). This result is similar to that recorded for some other fig trees in Asia, including species closely related to *F. sur* (Kobmoo et al., 2010; Tian et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2018). The low gene flow of the two forest-specialists stems from the fact that these samples actually came from discrete populations, separated by 10's of kilometers rather than a semi-continuous distribution with individual trees more densely dispersed across the overall distributional range, as is the case for *F. sur* (Fig. 1).

Whereas *F. bizanae* was only recorded in scarp forests, *F. craterostoma* and *F. sur* were recorded in both scarp and mistbelt forests. *Ficus bizanae* showed distinct genetic structure within scarp forest. In *F. craterostoma*, the AMOVA revealed that scarp and mistbelt forest populations were genetically distinct. Even so, pairwise F'_{ST} indicate some gene flow occurs between the two forest types when subpopulations are geographically close (ING and MBO). In contrast, in *F. sur* no significant difference was found between scarp and mistbelt forests by AMOVA. Indeed, populations of this species from distantly located forest fragments, as well as those from different forest types can be genetically similar.

Working with animal rather than plant populations, Tolley et al. (2018) proposed an idiosyncratic process of vicariance in South African forests. They concluded that South African forests have undergone expansions, contractions and distribution shifts. Despite our current results being insufficient to elucidate the underlying evolutionary processes driving forest fragmentation in South Africa, we highlight dissimilar effects of the fragmentation process on different plant species with different ecological characters, as well as with some animal species.

The speciation process and evolutionary history of *F. bizanae* is currently unresolved. In particular, it is unclear whether the species has had a wider distribution in the past, or is a neo-endemic species that has always been restricted to the southern coastal areas of South Africa. *F. bizanae*'s overall range is much more restricted than that of *F. craterostoma* (Fig. 1; Burrows and Burrows, 2003). The greater genetic isolation between its populations as compared to *F. craterostoma* suggests that the ecology of the two species differs in ways that influence their response to habitat fragmentation. Here we list a number of biological features of the two species and then relate these to their dispersal ability. *Ficus bizanae*'s figs are borne on the trunks (Burrows and Burrows, 2003) below the canopy of the forest, whereas branches bearing *F. craterostoma*'s figs frequently protrude above the forest canopy. *Ficus bizanae*'s phenology can be asynchronous within a tree allowing wasps to disperse from mature figs to immature figs on a single tree and thereby the plant can self-pollinate. In contrast, *F. craterostoma* has highly synchronous crops.

At a local population level, *F. bizanae*'s crop sizes are far smaller than those of the other two species, and closely situated individual trees often simultaneously bear figs at different stages of development. These factors may favour more local dispersal of both pollen and seeds and could explain the stronger genetic structure of *F. bizanae. Ficus bizanae*'s pollinators are produced by figs situated underneath the canopy and few or no pollinators may venture above the canopy to disperse passively over long distances using wind currents (Compton et al., 2000; Harrison and Rasplus, 2006). Intermittent asynchrony of *F. bizanae*

crops will be conducive to pollinator cycling on the same tree, and could result in selfing. This was never the case in *F. craterostoma* where within-crop development is highly synchronised (Hossaert-McKey and Bronstein, 2001). Selfing should result in detectable inbreeding. However, if inbreed offspring suffer from inbreeding depression it will reduce or even result in a negative inbreeding coefficient. In all the studied populations (bar one) of all three *Ficus* species, the inbreeding coefficient was significantly larger than 0. However, the excess homozygosity may be due to true inbreeding, null alleles, or Wahlund effect. Parentage analysis will be required to identify the cause of the observed excess homozygosity.

General island biogeographic effects may have selected for more localized movements of *F. bizanae* pollinators as seen in the reduced dispersal exhibited by animals on small islands (MacArthur and Wilson, 2001), and parasitoid wasps whose hosts are highly restricted to a particular habitat (van Noort et al., 2014). *Ficus bizanae*'s restricted range means that long distance pollinator dispersal will always be unsuccessful and these pollinators may thus have evolved a reduced affinity to fly upwards towards strong wind currents, thereby avoiding the risk of ending up outside a forest (Smith and Bronstein, 1996; Gates and Nason, 2012; Fagan et al., 2014). Such local wasp dispersal may also be responsible for restricting *F. bizanae*'s ability to found new populations because mature trees in newly established forest populations will never be pollinated.

Other factors may be restricting the ability of *F. bizanae* to colonise and establish new populations. *Ficus* seed dispersal is influenced by species-specific fruit traits that attract different animal species (Shanahan et al., 2001; Lomáscolo et al., 2008, 2010). Primates may be the major seed dispersal vectors for *F. bizanae,* given the green colour and the sweet smell of its mature figs (Shanahan et al., 2001; Lomáscolo et al., 2008). Primates are usually not long-distance travelers and they frequently become extinct in small patches in fragmented habitats (Lawes, 2004) so low rates of seed dispersal, as well as short dispersal distances would not be surprising in *F. bizanae.*

In contrast, *F. craterostoma* trees, which bear large, dense and synchronous crops of small figs in the leaf axils, frequently protrude above the forest canopy. Although *F. craterostoma* figs are also known to be eaten by monkeys (Basabose, 2002; Linden et al., 2015), their small size and the fact that they ripen to a bright yellow and sometimes even to a reddish colour suggests that birds are important dispersers (Lomáscolo et al., 2008), and figs in the canopy are more likely to attract birds that disperse over long distances (Shanahan and Compton, 2001). Continuous forest cover across parts of South Africa is believed to have started to fragment during the late Pleistocene (Lawes, 1990; Lawes et al., 2007a; Eberle et al., 2017). The extent to which the populations of the two forest-specialist fig tree species have diverged is consistent with such long-term fragmentation of their forest habitat and agrees with conclusions based on regional climatic conditions inferred from pollen studies (Scott et al., 1997; Scott, 2002) and climatic reconstructions (Eeley et al., 1999; Eberle et al., 2017). Divergence has occurred despite the extensive pollen flow that characterizes monoecious *Ficus* species. Comparable genetic data is not available from other South African forest trees, but our results suggest that many other tree species that are restricted to South Africa's forests are likely to display similar or greater genetic effects from fragmentation, because their gene flow is likely to be less extensive than that of fig trees.

Forest fragments form archipelagos in Afromontane areas across the whole eastern side of Africa, and fragmentation effects are likely to be widespread across a wide range of forest species (White, 1983).

Given the results of our study, it would be beneficial to both forest specialist *Ficus* species if nearby forest populations were linked genetically by transplanting seeds and seedlings. The artificial transfer of *F. bizanae* to other forest patches would also make populations of this rare endemic more resilient. The limited range of *F. bizanae* might nonetheless suggest that it has highly specific habitat requirements, but this remains to be confirmed.

In conclusion, we used a naturally fragmented population to study the effect of millennia of repeated forest contraction and expansion. It is clear that even for *Ficus*, with its high pollen dispersal ability, populations have become differentiated over time. Such differentiation is the result of a reduction of gene flow, which was more marked in forest specialists that are physically more isolated. The isolation was also more marked in the range-limited forest species where seed and pollen dispersal distances may both be reduced, which emphasizes the importance of individual species' ecology.

Author contributions

Jun-Yin Deng, Simon van Noort, Stephen G. Compton and Jaco M. Greeff conceived the ideas. Jun-Yin Deng and Simon van Noort collected the material. Yan Chen advised on lab work. Jun-Yin Deng genotyped samples, analysed the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Stephen G. Compton, Simon van Noort, Yan Chen and Jaco M. Greeff interpreted results and revised the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

References

Aguilar, R., Quesada, M., Ashworth, L., Herrerias-Diego, Y., Lobo, J., 2008. Genetic consequences of habitat fragmentation in plant populations: susceptible signals in plant traits and methodological approaches. *Mol. Ecol.* 17, 5177–5188.

Ahmed, S., Compton, S.G., Butlin, R.K., Gilmartin, P.M., 2009. Wind-borne insects mediate directional pollen transfer between desert fig trees 160 kilometers apart. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A*. 106, 20342–20347.

Ahmed, S., Dawson, D.A., Compton, S.G., Gilmartin, P.M., 2007. Characterization of microsatellite loci in the African fig *Ficus sycomorus* L.(Moraceae). *Mol. Ecol. Notes* 7, 1175–1177.
Albaladejo, R.G., Guzman, B., Gonzalez-Martinez, S.C., Aparicio, A., 2012. Extensive pollen flow but few pollen donors and high reproductive variance in an extremely fragmented landscape. *PLoS One* 7, e49012.

Axelrod, D., Raven, P., 1978. Late cretaceous and tertiary vegetation history of Africa. In: Werger, M.J.A. (Ed.), *Biogeography and Ecology of Southern Africa*. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 77–130.

Bacles, C.F., Jump, A.S., 2011. Taking a tree's perspective on forest fragmentation genetics. *Trends Plant Sci.* 16, 13–18.

Bai, W.N., Wang, W.T., Zhang, D.Y., 2014. Contrasts between the phylogeographic patterns of chloroplast and nuclear DNA highlight a role for pollen-mediated gene flow in preventing population divergence in an East Asian temperate tree. *Mol. Phylogenetics Evol.* 81, 37–48.

Bain, A., Borges, R., Chevallier, M.-H., Vignes, H., Kobmoo, N., Peng, Y., Cruaud, A., Rasplus, J.Y., Kjellberg, F., Hossaert-Mckey, M., 2016. Geographic structuring into vicariant species-pairs in a wide-ranging, high-dispersal plant-insect mutualism: the case of *Ficus racemosa* and its pollinating wasps. *Evol. Ecol*. 30, 663–684.

Basabose, A.K., 2002. Diet composition of chimpanzees inhabiting the montane forest of Kahuzi, Democratic Republic of Congo. *Am. J. Primatol*. 58, 1–21.

Berg, C.C., Wiebes, J.T., 1992. *African Fig Trees and Fig Wasps*. Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen, Amsterdam.

Berens, D.G., Chama, L., Albrecht, J., Farwig, N., 2014. High conservation value of forest fragments for plant and frugivore communities in a fragmented forest landscape in South Africa. *Biotropica* 46, 350–356.

Bhattacharjee, R., Ferguson, M., Gedil, M., Dumet, D., Ingelbrecht, I., 2009. Field collection, preservation and large scale DNA extraction procedures for cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz.). *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 8, 3424–3430.

Bleher, B., Potgieter, C.J., Johnson, D.N., Böhning-Gaese, K., 2003. The importance of figs for frugivores in a South African coastal forest. *J. Trop. Ecol*. 19, 375–386.

Burrows, J., Burrows, S., 2003. *Figs of Southern and South-Central Africa*. Umdaus Press, Hatfield, South Africa.

Byrne, M., Elliott, C.P., Yates, C., Coates, D.J., 2007. Extensive pollen dispersal in a bird-pollinated shrub, *Calothamnus quadrifidus*, in a fragmented landscape. *Mol. Ecol*. 16, 1303–1314.

Chapuis, M.P., Estoup, A., 2006. Microsatellite null alleles and estimation of population differentiation. *Mol. Biol. Evol*. 24, 621–631.

Chen, Y., Jiang, Z.X., Compton, S.G., Liu, M., Chen, X.Y., 2011. Genetic diversity and differentiation of the extremely dwarf *Ficus tikoua* in Southwestern China. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 39, 441–448.

Colabella, F., Gallo, L.A., Moreno, A.C., Marchelli, P., 2014. Extensive pollen flow in a natural fragmented population of Patagonian cypress *Austrocedrus chilensis*. *Tree Genet. Genomes* 10, 1519–1529.

Compton, S.G., Ellwood, M.D.F., Davis, A.J., Welch, K., 2000. The flight heights of Chalcid wasps (Hymenoptera, Chalcidoidea) in a lowland Bornean rain forest: fig wasps are the high fliers. *Biotropica* 32, 515–522.

Cooper, T.J.G., Wannenburgh, A.M., Cherry, M.I., 2017. Atlas data indicate forest dependent bird species declines in South Africa. *Bird. Conserv. Int.* 27, 337–354.

Crozier, Y.C., Jia, X.C., Yao, J.Y., Field, A.R., Cook, J.M., Crozier, R.H., 2007. Microsatellite primers for *Ficus racemosa* and *Ficus rubiginosa. Mol. Ecol. Notes* 7, 57–59.

Eeley, H.A.C., Lawes, M.J., Piper, S.E., 1999. The influence of climate change on the distribution of indigenous forest in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *J. Biogeogr*. 26, 595–617.

Eberle, J., Rdder, D., Beckett, M., Ahrens, D., 2017. Landscape genetics indicate recently increased habitat fragmentation in African forest-associated chafers. *Glob. Chang. Biol*. 23, 1988–2004.

Excoffier, L., Lischer, H.E., 2010. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 10, 564–567.

Fagan, W.F., Bewick, S., Cantrell, S., Cosner, C., Varassin, I.G., Inouye, D.W., 2014. Phenologically explicit models for studying plant-pollinator interactions under climate change. *Theor. Ecol.* 7, 289–297.

Gates, D.J., Nason, J.D., 2012. Flowering asynchrony and mating system effects on reproductive assurance and mutualism persistence in fragmented fig-fig wasp populations. *Am. J. Bot.* 99, 757–768.

Gautier-Hion, A., Duplantier, J., Quris, R., Feer, F., Sourd, C., Decoux, J., Dubost, G., Emmons, L., Erard, C., Hecketsweiler, P., Moungazi, A., Roussilhon, C., Thiollay, J., 1985. Fruit characters as a basis of fruit choice and seed dispersal in a tropical forest vertebrate community. *Oecologia* 65, 324–337.

Giraldo, E., Viruel, M., López-Corrales, M., Hormaza, J., 2005. Characterisation and cross-species transferability of microsatellites in the common fig (*Ficus carica* L.). *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol*. 80, 217–224.

Goudet, J., 1995. FSTAT (version 1.2): a computer program to calculate F-statistics. *J. Hered*. 86, 485–486.

Harrison, R.D., Rasplus, J.Y., 2006. Dispersal of fig pollinators in Asian tropical rain forests. *J. Trop. Ecol*. 22, 631–639.

Hartl, D.L., 2000. *A Primer of Population Genetics*, 3d ed. Sinauer Associates Inc., Sunderland, Mass.

Heer, K., Machado, C.A., Himler, A.G., Herre, E.A., Kalko, E.K., Dick, C.W., 2012. Anonymous and EST-based microsatellite DNA markers that transfer broadly across the fig tree genus (*Ficu*s, Moraceae). *Am. J. Bot*. 99, e330–e333.

Henle, K., Davies, K.F., Kleyer, M., Margules, C., Settele, J., 2004. Predictors of species sensitivity to fragmentation. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 13, 207–251.

Hoffman, M.T., 1997. Human impacts on vegetation. In: Cowling, R.M., Richardson, D. (Eds.), *Vegetation of Southern Africa.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 507–534.

Holland, M.M., Parson, W., 2011. GeneMarker® HID: a reliable software tool for the analysis of forensic STR data. *J. Forensic Sci*. 56, 29–35.

Hossaert-McKey, M., Bronstein, J.L., 2001. Self-pollination and its costs in a monoecious fig (*Ficus aurea*, Moraceae) in a highly seasonal subtropical environment. *Am. J. Bot*. 88, 685–692.

Ismail, S.A., Ghazoul, J., Ravikanth, G., Shaanker, R.U., Kushalappa, C.G., Kettle, C.J., 2012. Does long-distance pollen dispersal preclude inbreeding in tropical trees? Fragmentation genetics of Dysoxylum malabaricum in an agro-forest landscape. *Mol. Ecol.* 21, 5484–5496.

Jin, L., Macaubas, C., Hallmayer, J., Kimura, A., Mignot, E., 1996. Mutation rate varies among alleles at a microsatellite locus: phylogenetic evidence. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A*. 93, 15285–15288.

Kalia, R.K., Rai, M.K., Kalia, S., Singh, R., Dhawan, A.K., 2011. Microsatellite markers: an overview of the recent progress in plants. *Euphytica* 177, 309–334.

Khadari, B., Hochu, I., Santoni, S., Kjellberg, F., 2001. Identification and characterization of microsatellite loci in the common fig (*Ficus carica* L.) and representative species of the genus Ficus. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* 1, 191–193.

Kobmoo, N., Hossaert-McKey, M., Rasplus, J., Kjellberg, F., 2010. Ficus racemosa is pollinated by a single population of a single agaonid wasp species in continental South-East Asia. *Mol. Ecol.* 19, 2700–2712.

Kramer, A.T., Ison, J.L., Ashley, M.V., Howe, H.F., 2008. The paradox of forest fragmentation genetics. *Conserv. Biol*. 22, 878–885.

Labra, M., Grassi, F., Sgorbati, S., Ferrari, C., 2006. Distribution of genetic variability in southern populations of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) from the Alps to the Apennines. *Flora* 201, 468–476.

Lawes, M., 1990. The distribution of the samango monkey (*Cercopithecus mitis erythrarchus* Peters, 1852 and *Cercopithecus mitis labiatus* I. Geoffroy, 1843) and forest history in southern Africa. *J. Biogeogr.* 669–680.

Lawes, M.J., 2004. *Conservation of Fragmented Populations of Cercopithecus Mitis in South Africa: the Role of Reintroduction, Corridors and Metapopulation Ecology, the Guenons: Diversity and Adaptation in African Monkeys*. Springer, pp. 375–392.

Lawes, M.J., Macfarlane, D.M., Eeley, H.A., 2004. Forest landscape pattern in the KwaZulu-Natal midlands, South Africa: 50 years of change or stasis? *Austral. Ecol*. 29, 613–623.

Lawes, M.J., Eeley, H.A.C., Findlay, N.J., Forbes, D., 2007a. Resilient forest faunal communities in South Africa: a legacy of palaeoclimatic change and extinction filtering? *J. Biogeogr*. 34, 1246–1264.

Lawes, M.J., Griffiths, M.E., Boudreau, S., 2007b. Colonial logging and recent subsistence harvesting affect the composition and physiognomy of a podocarp dominated Afrotemperate forest. *For. Ecol. Manag*. 247, 48–60.

Leonardi, S., Piovani, P., Scalfi, M., Piotti, A., Giannini, R., Menozzi, P., 2012. Effect of habitat fragmentation on the genetic diversity and structure of peripheral populations of beech in Central Italy. *J. Hered.* 103, 408–417.

Linden, B., Linden, J., Fischer, F., Linsenmair, K.E., 2015. Seed dispersal by South Africa's only forest-dwelling guenon, the samango monkey (*Cercopithecus mitis*). *Afr. J. Wildl. Res.* 45, 88–99.

Liu, M., Zhang, J., Chen, Y., Compton, S.G., Chen, X.Y., 2013. Contrasting genetic responses to population fragmentation in a coevolving fig and fig wasp across a mainland-island archipelago. *Mol. Ecol*. 22, 4384–4396.

Llorens, T., Byrne, M., Yates, C., Nistelberger, H., Coates, D., 2012. Evaluating the influence of different aspects of habitat fragmentation on mating patterns and pollen dispersal in the bird-pollinated *Banksia sphaerocarpa* var. *caesia*. Mol. Ecol. 21, 314–328.

Lomáscolo, S.B., Levey, D.J., Kimball, R.T., Bolker, B.M., Alborn, H.T., 2010. Dispersers shape fruit diversity in *Ficus* (Moraceae). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 107, 14668–14672.

Lomáscolo, S.B., Speranza, P., Kimball, R.T., 2008. Correlated evolution of fig size and color supports the dispersal syndromes hypothesis. *Oecologia* 156, 783–796.

MacArthur, R.H., Wilson, E.O., 2001. *The Theory of Island Biogeography.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, USA.

Matolweni, L.O., Balkwill, K., McLellan, T., 2000. Genetic diversity and gene flow in the morphologically variable, rare endemics *Begonia dregei* and *Begonia homonyma* (Begoniaceae). *Am. J. Bot*. 87, 431–439.

McManus, J.S., Dalton, D.L., Kotzé, A., Smuts, B., Dickman, A., Marshal, J.P., Keith, M., 2015. Gene flow and population structure of a solitary top carnivore in a human-dominated landscape. *Ecol. Evol*. 5, 335–344.

Meirmans, P.G., 2006. Using the AMOVA framework to estimate a standardized genetic differentiation measure. *Evolution* 60, 2399–2402.

Meirmans, P.G., Hedrick, P.W., 2011. Assessing population structure: FST and related measures. *Mol. Ecol. Res*. 11, 5–18.

Morán-López, T., Robledo-Arnuncio, J.J., Díaz, M., Morales, J.M., Lázaro-Nogal, A., Lorenzo, Z., Valladares, F., 2016. Determinants of functional connectivity of holm oak woodlands: fragment size and mouse foraging behavior. *For. Ecol. Manag*. 368, 111–122.

Mucina, L., Geldenhuys, C.J., Rutherford, M.C., 2006. Afrotemperate, subtropical and azonal forests. *Strelitzia* 19, 584–614.

Nazareno, A.G., Alzate-Marin, A.L., Pereira, R.A.S., 2013. Dioecy, more than monoecy, affects plant spatial genetic structure: the case study of *Ficus. Ecol. Evol.* 3, 3495–3508.

Olivier, P.I., van Aarde, R.J., Lombard, A.T., 2013. The use of habitat suitability models and species-area relationships to predict extinction debts in coastal forests, South Africa. *Divers. Distrib*. 19, 1353–1365.

Renoult, J.P., Kjellberg, F., Grout, C., Santoni, S., Khadari, B., 2009. Cyto-nuclear discordance in the phylogeny of *Ficus* section Galoglychia and host shifts in plant-pollinator associations. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 9, 248.

Rousset, F., 2008. Genepop' 007: a complete re-implementation of the genepop software for Windows and Linux. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 8, 103–106.

Sampson, J.F., Byrne, M., Yates, C.J., Gibson, N., Thavornkanlapachai, R., Stankowski, S., MacDonald, B., Bennett, I., 2014. Contemporary pollen-mediated gene immigration reflects the historical isolation of a rare, animal-pollinated shrub in a fragmented landscape. *Heredity* 112, 172–181.

Scott, L., 2002. Grassland development under glacial and interglacial conditions in southern Africa: review of pollen, phytolith and isotope evidence. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol*. 177, 47–57.

Scott, L., Anderson, H., Anderson, J., 1997. *Vegetation history. In: Vegetation of Southern Africa*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 62–90.

Sepulchre, P., Ramstein, G., Fluteau, F., Schuster, M., Tiercelin, J.J., Brunet, M., 2006. Tectonic uplift and eastern Africa aridification. *Science* 313, 1419–1423.

Shanahan, M., So, S., Compton, S.G., Corlett, R., 2001. Fig-eating by vertebrate frugivores: a global review. *Biol. Rev.* 76, 529–572.

Shanahan, M., Compton, S.G., 2001. Vertical stratification of figs and fig-eaters in a Bornean lowland rain forest: how is the canopy different? *Plant Ecol.* 153, 121–132.

Smith, C.M., Bronstein, J.L., 1996. Site variation in reproductive synchrony in three neotropical figs. *J. Biogeogr.* 23, 477–486.

Tan, L., Deng, J., Fu, R., Chen, Y., 2016. Isolation and characterization of 13 polymorphic microsatellite loci for the fig wasp, *Ceratosolen* sp.(Hymenoptera: agaonidae). *Appl. Entomol. Zool*. 51, 317–320.

Tian, E.W., Nason, J.D., Machado, C.A., Zheng, L.N., Yu, H., Kjellberg, F., 2015. Lack of genetic isolation by distance, similar genetic structuring but different demographic histories in a fig-pollinating wasp mutualism. *Mol. Ecol*. 24, 5976–5991.

Tolley, K.A., Conradie, W., Harvey, J., Measey, J., Blackburn, D.C., 2018. Molecular phylogenetics reveals a complex history underlying cryptic diversity in the Bush Squeaker Frog (*Arthroleptis wahlbergii*) in southern Africa. *Afr. Zool.* 53, 83–97.

van Noort, S., Masner, L., Popovici, O., Valerio, A.A., Taekul, C., Johnson, N.F., Murphy, N.P., Austin, A.D., 2014. Systematics and biology of the aberrant intertidal parasitoid wasp *Echthrodesis lamorali* Masner (Hymenoptera: platygastridae sl): a parasitoid of spider eggs. *Invertebr. Syst.* 28, 1–16.

Van Oosterhout, C., Hutchinson, W.F., Wills, D.P., Shipley, P., 2004. MICRO-CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* 4, 535–538.

van Zinderen Bakker Sr., E.M., 1983. The Late Quaternary history of climate and vegetation in East and southern Africa. *Bothalia* 14, 369–375.

Vignes, H., Hossaert-Mckey, M., Beaune, D., Fevre, D., Anstett, M.C., Borges, R., Kjellberg, F., Chevallier, M.H., 2006. Development and characterization of microsatellite markers for a monoecious *Ficus* species, *Ficus insipida*, and cross-species amplification among different sections of *Ficus. Mol. Ecol. Notes* 6, 792–795.

Vranckx, G., Jacquemyn, H., Muys, B., Honnay, O., 2012. Meta-analysis of susceptibility of woody plants to loss of genetic diversity through habitat fragmentation. *Conserv. Biol.* 26, 228–237.

Wang, R., Yang, C.H., Ding, Y.Y., Tong, X., Chen, X.Y., 2018. Weak genetic divergence suggests extensive gene flow at the north-eastern range limit of a dioecious *Ficus* species. *Acta Oecol*. 90, 12–17.

Ware, A.B., Compton, S.G., 1994. Dispersal of adult female fig wasps: 1. Arrivals and departures. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 73, 221–229.

Warren, M., Robertson, M.P., Greeff, J.M., 2010. A comparative approach to understanding factors limiting abundance patterns and distributions in a fig tree–fig wasp mutualism. *Ecography* 33, 148–158.

White, F., 1983. *The Vegetation of Africa*. UNESCO, Paris, France.

Wright, S., 1978. *Evolution and the Genetics of Populations, Volume 4: Variability within and Among Natural Populations.* University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

Young, A., Boyle, T., Brown, T., 1996. The population genetic consequences of habitat fragmentation for plants. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 11, 413–418.

Yu, H., Nason, J.D., 2013. Nuclear and chloroplast DNA phylogeography of *Ficus hirta*: obligate pollination mutualism and constraints on range expansion in response to climate change. *New Phytol.* 197, 276–289.

Yu, H., Tian, E., Zheng, L., Deng, X., Cheng, Y., Chen, L., Wu, W., Tanming, W., Zhang, D., Compton, S.G., 2019. Multiple parapatric pollinators have radiated across a continental fig tree displaying clinal genetic variation. *Mol. Ecol.* 28, 2391–2405.

Zavodna, M., Arens, P., Van Dijk, P.J., Vosman, B., 2005. Development and characterization of microsatellite markers for two dioecious *Ficus* species. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* 5, 355–357.

Zhou, H.P., Chen, J., 2010. Spatial genetic structure in an understorey dioecious fig species: the roles of seed rain, seed and pollen-mediated gene flow, and local selection. *J. Ecol*. 98, 1168–1177.