

REFERENCES

ANDERSON, J. 2010. Understanding Cultural Geography. Places and Traces. London; New York: Routledge.

BAKKER, K.A., CLARKE, N.J. & FISHER, R.C. 2014. Eclectic ZA Wilhelmiens: a shared Dutch built heritage in South Africa. Pretoria: Visual Books.

Casac.org.za. 2016. About CASAC: Council for the Advancement of the South African Constitution. *Internet:* http://www.casac.org.za/about-casac-2/. Accessed 18 Oct. 2016.

CLARKE, N. & KUIPER, M. 2015. Re-Centering Tshwane. Urban strategies for a resilient capital. Pretoria: Minuteman Press.

CLARKE, N. & CORTEN, J. P. 2011. Regenerating Pretoria's historical core. Heritage as an asset for inner city development. Pretoria: University of Pretoria.

Closes, D. 2012. Convent de Sant Francesc. *Internet:* https://davidcloses.wordpress.com/2012/05/18/convent-de-sant-francesc-2/. Accessed 18 Mar. 2016.

CONNERTON, P. 1989. How Societies Remember. Themes in the Social Sciences. Cambridge; New York. Cambridge University Press.

Dailymaverick.co.za. 2016. SA Constitution, 20 Years On: A weapon of war and a political football. *Internet:* http://www.dailymaverick.co.za/article/2016-05-09-sa-constitution-20-years-on-a-weapon-of-war-and-a-political-football/#. WAOOX5N96Aw. Accessed 19 Aug. 2016.

DE KLERK, M. 1995. From Capitol to Capital. Pretoria: University of Pretoria.

Designprotest.tau.ac.il. 2016. Protest - Urban Design. *Internet:* http://designprotest.tau.ac.il/spatial.htm. Accessed 27 Mar. 2016.



Designprotest.tau.ac.il. 2016. Protest - Urban Design. *Internet:* http://designprotest.tau.ac.il/spatial.htm. Accessed 22 Oct. 2016].

Dirco.gov.za. 2016. Contact us. Internet: http://www.dirco.gov.za/contact.htm. Accessed 22 Oct. 2016.

Dunston, L. 1975. Young Pretoria. 1889-1913. Pretoria: Heer.

GOMES, R. 2014. Planning for Protest. Internet: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zgoOrsybOp0. Access:18 May 2016.

GOVERNMENT GAZETTE. 1998. General Notice 794 of 1998. Electoral Court. Government Gazette. 395 (18908).

HEFER, N. 1971. Transvaal 1961-71: The Growth and Progress of the Transvaal Provincial Administration. Johannesburg: Voortrekkerpers.

Justice.gov.za. 2016. SCA History. Internet: http://www.justice.gov.za/sca/historysca.htm. Accessed 9 May 2016.

LE ROUX, S. W. 1990. Plekke en geboue van Pretoria. Vol. 1. Pretoria: PAV.

MACHADO, R. 1976. Old buildings as palimpsest. Towards a theory of remodelling. Progressive architecture. 11: 46-49.

NAYLOR, D. 1981. American Picture Palaces. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.

OMA. 2016. Il Fondaco dei Tedeschi. Internet: http://oma.eu/projects/il-fondaco-dei-tedeschi. Accessed 4 Oct. 2016.

Oxford Dictionaries. English. 2016. Democracy: Definition of democracy in English. Oxford Dictionaries. Internet: https://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/democracy. Accessed 22 Oct. 2016.

PLEVOETS, B. & VAN CLEEMPOEL, K. 2011. Adaptive reuse as a strategy towards conservation of cultural heritage: a literature review. Hasselt: PHL University College & Hasselt University.



vPUNT, W. J. 1989. Kerkplein 1989. Citizen's for the preservation and restoration of Church Square. 30 August 1990.

ROBERT, P. 1989. Adaptations. New uses for old buildings. New York: Princeton Architectural Press.

South Africa - South African Constitution and the People of South Africa. 2015. [video] New York: New York Law School Law Review. Interview: Fester. Internet: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=recgYS19P60. Accessed 20 May 2016.

The Burra Charter. 2000. Burwood, Vic.: Australia ICOMOS.

The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa. 1996. Cape Town: Constitutional Assembly.

Van der Waal Collection. University of Pretoria.

VAN TONDER, J., PAPP, Z., VENTER, T. 1994. Arguments: Parliament for Pretoria. Research Document 1. Pretoria: Pretoria Capital Initiative.

VILJOEN, M. 1990. The recycling of the Capitol Theatre. Pretoria: University of Pretoria.

Welsh + Major. 2016. Public / Commercial. *Internet:* http://welshmajor.com/public-and-commercial/#/rocks-police-station/. Accessed 7 Apr. 2016.

WICKS, J. 2016. Mbeki, Yacoob take jibe at Zuma during Constitution anniversary celebrations. News 24. *Internet:* http://www.news24.com/SouthAfrica/News/mbeki-yacoob-take-jibe-at-zuma-during-constitution-anniversary-celebrations-20160508. Accessed 22 Oct. 2016.

ZUMA, J. 2016. President Jacob Zuma: State of the Nation Address 2016 | South African Government. *Internet:* http://www.gov.za/speeches/president-jacob-zuma-state-nation-address-2016-11-feb-2016-0000. Accessed 22 Oct. 2016.

ZUMTHOR, P. 2006. Thinking Architecture. Basel: Birkhäuser.









IMAGES

List of Figu	ures
Figure 1.	A spatial timeline depicting the development of Church Square from 1855 to 1970. The square as remained spatial unchanged since this time. (Van der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria, 1970-)
Figure 2.	(Previous spread) A panorama of the south western facade of Church Square taken infront the Old Raadsaal. The lower recessed facade, towards the left of the image, was added to the Capitol Theatre precinct, refer to Contex chapter. (Author, 2016)
Figure 3.	A dressing room located backstage (Author, 2016). Located in the western side of the fly tower showing the current condition of the majority of the spaces in this section of the Theatre.
Figure 4.	(Previous page) The Grand Foyer, as referred to by the proposal drawings, in its current condition (Author, 2016). This area as well as the adjacent spaces are relatively well maintained when compared to the auditorium space. (Pictured right) Events, private and restaurant hosted extent from the Entrance Foyer into the Grand Foyer and the adjacent spaces.
Figure 5.	(Right) The auditorium space is currently being used as parking garage since 1981 (Author, 2016). Refer to Context chapter
Figure 6.	(Left) A view from the Promenade into the Grand Foyer looking (east) towards the Entrance Foyer (Author, 2016). The Grand Stair can be seen to on the right (south) of the image. The doors on the left (north) of the lower level of the Grand Foyer, now barricaded, lead into the Auditorium on the Orchestra Floor. The Balcony is accessed from the north of the Promenade level. An understanding of the intricacies of the details and ornamentation that once adorned the Theatre can began to be appreciated in this image.
Figure 7.	(Chapter page) Looking up at a portion of the western facade of the Ou Raadsaal building opposite from the Capitol Theatre (Author, 2016).

Fig. 232: Graflex Speed graphic large format camera, produced over a similar period the to that of the heyday of the Capitol Theatre. (Author, 2016)





Figure 8.	(Previous page) Topographical drawing of Pretoria highlighting the Cardo and Decumanus and the Capitol Theatre (Author, 2016).
	Adapted from GWA (2013) City of Tshwane Inner City Precinct drawing.
Figure 9.	(Right) The proposed movement of the Capitol Cities from Bloemfontein (Judicial Capital) and Cape Town (Legislative Capital) to Pretoria (Author, 2016)
Figure 10.	(Right) Sketches of Jordaan (1989) depicting the principal of the Cardo and Decomanus in a city layout as well as the development of Pretoria from 1850 through to 1950
Figure 11.	(Right) A map showing the eastwards movement of development occurring in Pretoria (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from Google Earth Imagery (2016)
	The most easterly centre is the historic city centre of Pretoria. In recent years there has been an increase in development in close proximity to the University of Pretoria in the Hatfield area. This is due to the growing residential demands required expansion of sport facilities in the area. Depicted by the central ring. The Most easterly growth point is that of Menlyn that is retail and business orientated with some residential projects
Figure 12.	(Right) The current functions of Pretoria city centre (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
	The extents of the focus area depicted extends from Pretoria Train station, Church Square and the Union Buildings
Figure 13.	(Right) The three Capital Districts, Administrative, Judicial and Legislative with the imported functions of the Parliament Supreme Court of Appeal shown (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 14.	(Left) Nompendulo Mkatshwa Wits SRC President-Elect (at the time of the protest) leading the Fees Must Fall protest on the 23 October 2015. (Photographer: Longari, 2015)
Figure 15.	(Top) Route taken by the 1913 march against military conscription. Originating in Church Square and moving



	eastwards to the Union Buildings (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 16.	(Middle) 1920 Railway protest again racial exclusion from first class coaches that took place at Pretoria Train Station (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 17.	(Left) 1956 Womens march against pass laws. Participants gathered at the Pretoria Train Station and marches moved north up toward Church Square and the eastwards to the Union Buildings (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 18.	(Top) 3 April 2014 Protest at the State of the Capital Address at Pretoria City Hall (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 19.	(Middle) 23 October 2015 The Fees Must Fall protest, originating at Burger's Park and Hatfield converging at the Union Buildings (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 20.	(Left) 16 December 2015 The Zuma Must Fall protest, originating at Burger's Park and Hatfield converging at the Union Buildings (Author, 2016).
	Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 21.	(Above) The future protest route of the Capital City (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 22.	(Right) Enlarged map of the future protest route (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 23.	(Right)Church Square, an image taken from the roof top of the Barclays Bank building looking towards the western



	facade of the Church Square. (Photograph: S.A. Panorama XII, 1971)	
	The Square has remained largely unchanged since. However, the Capitol Theatre, seen in the top left (south corner, was still operational at this time albeit three years prior to its sale and subsequent abandonment	
Figure 24.	(Right) "Reconstruction Drawing NH of G-Church, Church Square, Pretoria" (Translated Afrikaans description drawing) (Van Der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria. 1986)	of
	The plan of the church is overlayed on to the plan of the contemporary Church Square	.48
Figure 25.	(Top) The NH of G- Church from Van Der Waal's (1986) reconstruction drawing. (Van Der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria. 1900-1902)	
		.50
Figure 26.	(Middle) The south west corner of the Church Square. (Van Der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria. 1900-1902), showing the plinth that was intended for the Paul Kruger Statue. On the left of the frame, the western corner of church can be made out.	of the
	Church can be made out.	.50
Figure 27.	(Left) A view of the south west comer of Church Square know as "The Oaks" (Van Der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria. 1897) Beyond the oak tree was the location of the Presidents Theatre and later on the Capitol Theatre	50
Figure 28.	(Top) The Sammy Marks Fountain that replaces the plinth intended for the statue of Paul Kruger. (Van Der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria. 1906-1911)	
		.51
Figure 29.	(Middle) The Reserve Investment Building is completed (right of frame) (Van Der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria. 1906-1911)	
		51



Figure 30.	(Left) A western view of Church Square, 20 May 1910. (Van Der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria. 1910) South Africa is granted the status of the indenpendant dominion under Britain. The shape of the crowd in similar to that of current design of Church Square.
Figure 31.	Panorama taken from the Ou Raadsaal building on 20 May 1910 (Van Der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria. 1910)
	South Africa is granted the status of the independent dominion under Britain. The shape of the crowd in similar to that of current design of Church Square (Fig. 32).
Figure 32.	A survey drawing of Church Square. (Author: Strauss, 1984)
Figure 33.	(Top) A view towards Standard Bank and Tudor Chambers (Van Der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria. Date unknown)
Figure 34.	(Middle) A view eastward down Church Street, Tudor Chamber form the part of the threshold into Church Square (Van Der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria. Date unknown)
Figure 35.	(Left) The south western facade of Church Square, the infrastructure for the electric trams can been seen in this image.
	(Van Der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria. Date unknown)
Figure 36.	(Right) Albrech Holm sketches of some of the building facade that make up the edges of Church Square. (Author: Holm, 1989)
Figure 37.	(Top) The Palace of Justice and Church Square during Republic day. (Photographer: Unknown, 1961)
Figure 38.	(Middle) Taken from the Barclays Bank building. The Capitol Theatre can be seen on the left of the image. (Van Der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria. Date Unknown)



Figure 39.	(Left) The south western facade of Church Square, the infrastructure for the electric trams can been seen in this
	image. (Van Der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria. Date unknown)
Figure 40.	(Top) An ANC Youth League Poster calling for the removal of the Paul Kruger Statue. (Author: ANCYL Tshwane Region, 2015)
Figure 41.	(Middle) Green Paint covering the north western Burghers on the plinth of the Paul Kruger Statue. (Photographer: Makgatho, 2015)
Figure 42.	(Left) Looking toward the Paul Kruger statue from the southern facade of the Square. A security fence that was erected after the Kruger Must Fall protests of 2015. (Author, 2016).
Figure 43.	The new erected security fence reinforced by a significant amount of razor wire. In the background the Standard bank, Ou Raadsaal, and Transvaal Provincial Administration (TPA) buildings can be clearly seen. (Photographer: McDonald, 2015)
Figure 44.	(Top) Location of the Capitol Theatre in relation to Church Square (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 45.	(Middle) The current identity of Church Square (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 46.	(Left) Vehicular access (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 47.	(Top) The intended edge conditions of Church Square (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)



Figure 48.	(Middle) Occupation of the supportive edges by private programs (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 49.	(Left) "Breaks" in the urban fabric (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 50.	(Left) A newspaper clipping showing the vision for the new Church Square. (Van der Waal Collection, University o Pretoria, 1971)
Figure 51.	(Top) An Architects impression of the 1970's Church Square vision. (Hefer, 1971: 156)
Figure 52.	(Middle) Church Square proposal drawing No. 6, S.A. Architectural Record , 1962 (Van der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria, 1962)
Figure 53.	(Right) Alternative Church Square proposal by Stadskern Herontwikkeling (Van der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria, 1960's)
Figure 54.	(Left) Perspective of the Church Square Proposal Plan No 6 (S.A. Architectural Record, 1962)67
Figure 55.	(Top) The new edge conditions of Church Square (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 56.	(Middle) Judicial and public functions of the edges (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 57.	(Left) Proposed vehicular access (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 58.	(Top) The new public identity of Church Square (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)



Figure 59.	(Middle) Proposed public ground floor programs (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 60.	(Left) Church Square, the turn point of the protest (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 61.	Current function of the buildings surrounding Church Square (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 62.	Proposed function of the buildings surrounding Church Square (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from GWA Studios (2013)
Figure 63.	(Left) Perspective of the new Church Square looking from the TPA building, over the Capitol Theatre towards the northern corner. (Author, 2016)
Figure 64.	(Middle) Perspective of the south western corner of the new Church Square looking towards the Capitol Theatre depicting the historical retaining wall and steps leading into the inner square. (Author, 2016)
Figure 65.	(Right) Sketch plan of the new Church Square (Author, 2016).
Figure 66.	(Right) Plan of the new Church Square (Author, 2016).
Figure 67.	Sketch of the entrance portico of the Capitol Theatre (Author, 2016).
	The portico, in its current state, is glazed and is treated as an extension to the internal condition and is occupied b



	the restaurant.
Figure 68.	A view from the stage looking up at the fly tower (Author, 2016).
	Streaks of daylight filter through the timber slatted mezzanine level just below the sky lights. This ingress of daylight at the this point is the only aspect of the external context in the auditorium space. An alternative context and condition is created by the interior of the auditorium.
Figure 69.	(Chapter page) Reflections cast through a window on to marble floor of the Grand Foyer. (Author, 2016)
Figure 70.	(Left) An exploration sketch depicting the extension of the internal heritage in to the public realm in order to bring and awareness to the historical value of the internal condition of the auditorium. (Author, April 2016)
Figure 71.	(Right) An sketch the explores the idea of a new skin that exposes the layer of heritage inside. The inserted architecture occupies the internal volume of the auditorium with its roof extending over the layer of heritage that is accommodates the circulation, placing the occupant in close proximity with the heritage before entering the new architecture that allows views of the heritage from within the space. (Author, April, 2016)88
Figure 72.	Sketch of the main facade of the Covent de Sant Francesc, the intervention by David Closes redefining the entrance to the existing space. (Author, 2016)
Figure 73.	(Top) The two upper sketch deal the "weight" of the intervention in relation to the facade of the existing and the balance and scale of the resultant composition. (Author, 2016)
Figure 74.	(Middle) The ordering of the new form is initially link to the existing by replicating the roof angle after which the form respond to the new stairs and introduces a new form. (Author, 2016)
Figure 75.	(Right) The proportions of the new respect that of the existing, scaled down so as not to shift the hierarchy away from the heritage. (Author, 2016)
Figure 76.	The insertions of new forms on the left are balances through the formalised aspect of decay that bring natural ligh



	into the space. (Author, 2016)
Figure 77.	Sketch illustrating the various intentions of the alterations ranging from the new structure (right) the hidden (middle), and the subservient insertion (left). (Author, 2016)
Figure 78.	(Top) The main facade with the subservient insertion that defined the entrance. (Photographer: Surroca, 2011)
Figure 79.	(Middle) The skylight that formalises aspects of the decay of the building. (Photographer: Surroca, 2011)
Figure 80.	(Left) The new structure containing modern services for the building. (Photographer: Surroca, 2011)
Figure 81.	(Top) Interior perspective of the new insertions. The texture of the new concrete and timber slats mimicking that of the existing interior. (Photographer: Surroca, 2011)
Figure 82.	(Middle) An interior view looking toward the new insertion. (Photographer: Surroca, 2011)
Figure 83.	(Left) The new structure contrasts the existing in terms of its materiality and form yet is completely dependent on the existing for support.
	(Photographer: Surroca, 2011)
Figure 84.	(Left) The Ou Raadsaal Building. The Supreme Court of Appeal, moved from Bloemfontein, will be accommodated in this building. (Van der Waal Collection, University of Pretoria, 1910-)



Figure 85.	(Right) A sketch depicting the subterranean links between the government buildings surrounding Church Square (Author, 2016)
Figure 86.	(Previous page) The now barricaded doors leading into the Auditorium from the Grand Foyer (Author, 2016).
	These doors separate the current function of the Auditorium as a parking garage from the Grand Foyer and rest of the southern portion of that is used as event spaces by the current restaurant.
Figure 87.	(Right) Section through the stage, auditorium and grand foyer looking east (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from Rogers Cooke.(1928)
	The black indicates the primary heritage to be retained. These are the internal façades of the auditorium that are main contributors to the "external" condition of the created context and for the most part will remain unaltered. The sky like ceiling shown in grey also contributes to the experience of the created context but can be altered so long as the essence of the ceiling is retained. The red indicates that which is to be demolished, in this case, a portion of suspended timber flooring under the balcony seating to accommodate an insertion.
Figure 88.	The Ground floor of the Capitol Theatre (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from Rogers Cooke. (1928)
	The extent of the proposed precinct can seen by the buildings that were never realised (light grey) that would have completed the street façade. The entrance to the Theatre is through the portico, a later addition. The route into the Theatre can noted the first transition space that place the occupant into the context of the "imagine street-scape. The walls to be demolished (red) between the columns (black) open the internal space in order to enhance the experience of a street-scape. The fabric beyond the following transition spaces is the all recognised as primary heritage and will be retained. The fabric in the auditorium to be demolished will accommodate new fire exists and circulation routes. The primary heritage of the auditorium is separated from the skin of the Theatre and provides the opportunity to insert a new architectural layer behind the heritage layer.



Figure 89.	The First floor of the Capitol Theatre (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from Rogers Cooke.(1928)
	With the exception of the ring beam of the restaurant foyer above the "imagined" street-scape and the steel girder that supports the balcony, the primary heritage fabric is finer that on the ground floor. At the level the heritage fabric in the auditorium is treated like a veneer that draws the eye to the stage and the performance all whilst hiding the circulation to the balcony. The balcony is accessed from the corridors extending toward the stage from the north portion of the promenade either side of the primary heritage of the auditorium. The primary heritage in the Grand foyer and promenade still carry out a structural role. The Kirkness brick of the auditorium skin are to be removed to make way for the new architectural layer that will be inserted behind the heritage layer
Figure 90.	The Second floor of the Capitol Theatre (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from Rogers Cooke. (1928) The primary heritage maintains the same fineness as on the floor below but is contained to the auditorium. Again this heritage layer hides circulation and service spaces such as the projection rooms located at the back of the auditorium. The primary heritage at this level replicates a roof condition behind which the sky-like ceiling drops behind, fixing to the Theatre skin and creates the perception of an infinite sky
Figure 91.	(Right) Section through the stage, auditorium and grand foyer looking east (Author, 2016). Drawing adapted from Rogers Cooke. (1928).
	The new architectural layer is governed by the nature of the existing. The new layer is separated from the primary heritage fabric, occupying the space behind it giving it more depth. Where as with the secondary heritage adaptations can be made that must ensure the retention of the essence or function
Figure 92.	(Right) The main level and extent intervention of the SCA Library (Author, 2016)
Figure 93.	(Right) An early conceptual sketch of the links required between the Library and SCA (Author, 2016) 118
Figure 94.	(Left) The Space for the CASAC Offices extending over the entrance foyer (Author, 2016)
Figure 95.	(Right) A section showing the CASAC Offices in relation to the streetscape below (Author, 2016) 122



Figure 96.	The urban "megaphone" projecting through the existing in the courtyard (Author, 2016)
Figure 97.	A conceptual sketch of the urban "megaphone" (Author, 2016)
Figure 98.	Extending the street façade of south west comer of Church Square (Author, 2016)
Figure 99.	(Right) The witness stand relative to the plane of the unbuilt buildings (Author, 2016).
Figure 100.	(Far right) The witness stand in relation to the rest of the precinct (Author, 2016)
Figure 101.	(Right) The subterranean clandestine level (Author, 2016)
Figure 102.	(Right) The new circulation of the ground floor of the precinct (Author, 2016)
Figure 103.	(Right) Circulation of the upper level (Author, 2016)
Figure 104.	The street facing, Neo-Classical façade of The rocks Police Station. (Photographer: Lu, 2014)
Figure 105.	The contemporary addition by Welsh + Major that gives a edge to The Rocks Police Station and to the pedestriar walkway that runs behind the building. (Photographer: Lu, 2014)
Figure 106.	(Top) The contrast of the new steel and glass façade and the old masonry walls of the old station (Photographer: Lu, 2014).
Figure 107.	(Middle) The roof of the new structure facilitating gutter drainage off the existing roof structure (Photographer: Lu, 2014).
Figure 108.	(Left) The new façade in context (Photographer: Lu, 2014)
Figure 109.	(top) A clear separation between the existing condition and the new façade is made, distinguishing the two identities (Photographer: Lu, 2014).
	(i notographa. Eu, 2014).



Figure 110.	(left) A closer view of the junction where the cladding is separated from the existing and joined only by the structure (Photographer: Lu, 2014)
Figure 111.	(Previous page) Extending the heritage and function into the public realm (Author, March 2016).
Figure 112.	(Right) A sketch showing the intersections with heritage and the new layer of architecture extending into Church Square (Author, May 2016)
Figure 113.	A parti diagram of the connections between the various levels (Author, June 2016)
Figure 114.	An exploration sketch that depicts possible links between the various conceptual levels and programs (Author, April 2016).
	The links between the levels are explored through the visual connections and physical junctions within the Capitol Theatre as well as the surrounding buildings
Figure 115.	Section through the CASAC offices looking east (Author, 2016)
Figure 116.	Section looking west towards the archived collection (Author, 2016)
Figure 117.	Section looking east towards the current collection (Author, 2016)
Figure 118.	Basement plan, Clandestine level (Author, 2016)
Figure 119.	Ground floor plan, Public level (Author, 2016)
Figure 120.	First floor plan, Constitutional level (Author, 2016)
Figure 121.	Balcony plan, Constitutional level (Author, 2016)
Figure 122.	Section looking north (Author, 2016)
Figure 123.	Section looking south (Author, 2016)
Figure 124.	Floor Levels over looking the atrium space (Photographer: Legani, Cappelletti, 2016)



Figure 125.	The new modern roof hidden above the frosted glass panels of the ceiling (Photographer: Legani, Cappelletti, 2016)
Figure 126.	The new frosted glazed ceiling (Photographer: Legani, Cappelletti, 2016)
Figure 127.	(Top) Sectional model cutting through the atrium space (Photographer: Legani, Cappelletti, 2016).
Figure 128.	(Middle) Mediation space between the existing and the new layers (Photographer: Legani, Cappelletti, 2016).
Figure 129.	(Left) a view of the new layers through an existing arch (Photographer: Legani, Cappelletti, 2016)
Figure 130.	(Top) An axonometric drawing of the adaptations made to the existing (Photographer: Legani, Cappelletti, 2016).
Figure 131.	(Left) The stair case, an obviously new adaptation (Photographer: Legani, Cappelletti, 2016)
Figure 132.	(Previous spread) Conceptual line drawing on the design importance of the various accommodated programs (Author, 2016).
Figure 133.	(Right) Occupying the void (Author, 2016). An inquiry as to the spacial impact on the experience of heritage through subtraction of the internal volume.
Figure 134.	(Top) Initial conceptual intented of occupying the auditorium (Author, 2016).
rigure 154.	
Figure 135.	(Middle) Analysis of the voids on site (Author, 2016).



Figure 136.	(Left) Massing of the site (Author, 2016)
Figure 137.	(Top) Massing plan (Author, June 2016).
Figure 138.	(Middle) Section exploring the idea of puncturing the roof and extending in the void (Author, 2016).
Figure 139.	(Left) Section through the auditorium (Author, June 2016)
Figure 140.	(Top) Section through the auditorium depicting the occupation of the various levels (Author, 2016) 177
Figure 141.	Bird's eye view of the just the intervention on site (Author, June 2016)
Figure 142.	Bird's eye view of the intervention and heritage fabric (Author, June 2016)
Figure 143.	The extension of the street façade with the library underneath courtyard (Author, June 2016)
Figure 144.	(Previous page) Perspective from within the library space (Author, June 2016).
Figure 145.	(Top) Initial sketch of the library space with planter and skylights on the right (Author, June 2016).
Figure 146.	(Middle) Sketch showing the spatial layout of the programs (Author, June 2016).
Figure 147.	(Left) Perspective inside double volume space in the library (Author, June 2016)
Figure 148.	A view from the Ou Raadsaal toward the new building that accommodate the restaurant (Author, June 2016).184
Figure 149.	Looking toward the Grand foyer through the street scape with the CASAC offices above (Author, June 2016).185
Figure 150.	One of the design iterations of the analyst's chambers that extends in to the auditorium's volume (Author, June 2016)



Figure 151.	The analyst's chambers that extends in to the auditorium's volume (Author, June 2016)
Figure 152.	A perspective of the courtyard urban "megaphone" and CASAC offices (Author, June 2016)
Figure 153.	Looking down the urban "megaphone" into Church square (Author, June 2016)
Figure 154.	The plans of the new (white) in relation to the existing (Author, August 2016)
Figure 155.	(Left) Constitutional Section of the SCA Library (Author, 2016).
Figure 156.	(Right) The promenade that will house the Constitutional section (Author, 2016)
Figure 157.	(Left) The Archived Collection (Author, 2016).
Figure 158.	(Right) The Archived Collection, early explorations (Author, June 2016)
Figure 159.	The Current Collection (Author, 2016)199
Figure 160.	(Left) Section through The Current Collection (Author, July 2016)
Figure 161.	(Right) The composition and form governed by the skylights of internal roof of the Current Collection (Author, August 2016).
Figure 162.	(Page 172) Extending the Current Collection beyond the Theatre skin (Author, July 2016).
Figure 163.	(Page 173) An axonometric drawing of change in levels of the circulation routes linking the old and new programs (Author, August 2016).
Figure 164.	(Right) The CASAC offices occupying the void of the demolished "attic of foyer" (Author, 2016)
Figure 165.	(Left) Initial perspective sketch of the CASAC offices over the new streetscape (Author, June 2016)
Figure 166.	(Top) Development sketches of the office layout (Author, 2016).



Figure 167.	(Middle) The heritage response of the offices in relation to the demolished (Author, 2016).
Figure 168.	(Right) Perspective from urban "megaphone" looking towards the CASAC offices (Author, 2016) 209
Figure 169.	(Right) The space beneath the balcony seating that will adapted to the Research commons (Author, 2016). 210
Figure 170.	(Top left) Section looking east through the Research commons (Author, 2016).
Figure 171.	(Left) The Research commons is located north of the promenade beneath the balcony seating (Author, 2016). The library office and CASAC reception occupies the (uncoloured) room that is to the east of the promenade.
Figure 172.	(Right) the extent of the adaptations made to the space shown in red (Author, 2016)
Figure 173.	(Left) The "Ladies room" just east of the promenade that will house the reception and librarians office (Author, 2016)
Figure 174.	(Right) The Auditorium in it current condition (Author, 2016).
Figure 175.	(Overleaf Left) Light study of the existing auditorium (Author, 2016)
Figure 176.	(Overleaf Right)The reprogram auditorium as the CASAC platform (Author, June 2016)
Figure 177.	(Right) The current entrance to auditorium and future exit through the urban "megaphone" (Author, 2016) 220
Figure 178.	(Top) Initial idea of interaction between the "megaphone" and the surrounding heritage fabric (Author, 2016).
Figure 179.	(Middle) Exiting the "megaphone into the Square (Author, 2016).
Figure 180.	(Left) Further development of the "megaphone" (Author, 2016)



Figure 181.	(Top) The courtyard as viewed from the witness stand (Author, 2016).
Figure 182.	(Middle) Perspective of the courtyard from the CASAC offices (Author, 2016).
Figure 183.	(Left) Development drawing, the "megaphone" viewed from under the portico (Author, 2016)
Figure 184.	(Right) Development Section looking south through the site, a basement linking the kitchen to the auditorium (Author, August 2016).
Figure 185.	(Top) The "megaphone" framed by the heritage on the right and witness stand on the left (Author, 2016).
Figure 186.	(Middle) The negotiations of the witness stand (Author, 2016).
Figure 187.	(Right) Perspective of the witness stand (Author, June 2016).
Figure 188.	(Next page) Section perspective through vertical circulation of the witness stand, courtyard, clandestine tunnel, library and auditorium (Author, 2016)
Figure 189.	The Clandestine level situated at basement level (Author, 2016)
Figure 190.	Sketch of the tunnel that links the Ou Raadsaal and Palace of Justice, into which the Clandestine tunnel links (Author, 2016).
Figure 191.	Basement plan, Clandestine level (Author, 2016)
Figure 192.	Ground floor plan, Public level (Author, 2016)
Figure 193.	Mezzanine plan, Constitutional level (Author, 2016)
Figure 194.	First floor plan, Constitutional level (Author, 2016)



Figure 195.	(Previous Page) Section looking south through the Auditorium, SCA Library, urban "megaphone" and Withe stand (Author, 2016).	SS
	Starta (Autrio), 2010).	. 240
Figure 196.	(Right) Section looking south through the SCA Library Current Collection (Author, 2016).	
		. 240
Figure 197.	(Far right) Section looking east the CASAC Offices (Author, 2016)	. 240
Figure 198.	(Previous Page) Technical exploration poster (Author, September 2016).	
		. 245
Figure 199.	(Next page) Tectonic concept drawing (Author, 2016).	. 245
Figure 200.	The Archived Collection plan (Author, September 2016)	. 249
Figure 201.	The Archived Collection section looking south (Author, September 2016).	
		. 250
Figure 202.	(Above) Foundation detail (Author, 2016).	
		. 250
Figure 203.	(Right) The Current Collection, section looking south (Author, 2016).	. 250
Figure 204.	(Left) The Current Collection plan (Author, September 2016).	
		. 252
Figure 205.	(Centre) Internal skylight detail (Author, 2016).	
		. 252
Figure 206.	(Right) 3d of the SCA Library floor detail (Author, 2016)	. 252
Figure 207.	(Left) Natural lighting of the Current Collection of the SCA Library (Author, September 2016).	
		. 255
Figure 208.	(Right) Diagram of the hybrid ventilation system for the SCA library (Author, 2016)	. 255



Figure 209.	(Right) Plan of the CASAC Offices and connection to the promenade (Author, 2016)
Figure 210.	(Left) Section of the CASAC Offices above the streetscape (Author, 2016).
Figure 211.	(Right) The light shelf detail (Author, 2016)
Figure 212.	Ground floor plan of the public level (Author, 2016)
Figure 213.	(Left) Kitchen Plan at Basement, Clandestine level (Author, 2016).
Figure 214.	(Centre) Ground floor reception and waiting area, Public level (Author, 2016).
Figure 215.	(Right) Upper level Plan, the witness level (Author, 2016)
Figure 216.	(Left) Section perspective through the vertical circulation of the witness stand (Author, 2016).
Figure 217.	(Right) Section looking south (Author, 2016)
Figure 218.	Final Sefaira Iteration (11) (Author, 2016)
Figure 219.	(Top) Sefaira Iteration 1(Author, 2016).
Figure 220.	(Left) Sefaira Iteration 3 (Author, 2016)
Figure 221.	(Top) Sefaira Iteration 6(Author, 2016).
Figure 222.	(Left) Sefaira Iteration 8 (Author, 2016)
Figure 223.	Plan of Clandestine level (Author, 2016)
Figure 224.	(Next page, top) Corridor to the stage area past the water storage tanks.(Author, 2016).



270
(Next page, bottom left) 3d detail of the tanked basement construction (Author, 2016).
(Next page, Bottom right) Pavement light and tanked basement detail (Author, 2016)
(Right) Placement of new services (Author, 2016)
(Left) Water Storage (Author, 2016)
(Right) SBAT Report (Author, 2016)
Graflex Speed graphic large format camera, produced over a similar period the to that of the heyday of the Capito Theatre. (Author, 2016)









To my parents, the love you have given me, the support and encouragement for my wildest pursuits is all I could of ever wished for and more. Thank you for the landscapes that you raised me in, the red dunes of Mier, the crystal waters off Anse Royale, the golden sunsets over the Matobo hills, they have made me who I am. They have fostered a wanderlust and passion to explore and a need to share new horizons with others. I can only hope that these horizons and the spaces I create there move them in the same way it moves me.

To my brothers, thank for exploring with me, teaching me, helping me through each endeavor. You keep me grounded.

Finally to Arthur, Thank you. Your guidance and questions have led to a surety I have in my abilities. You have cultivated an eagemess to continue dreaming, developing and making space. As I meander towards the future, I fall more and more in love with architecture.

