Lifting the Curtain on Unfilled Interlending Requests

Heidi Visser University of Pretoria







Discussion on -

- Current situation of interlending in South Africa
- Why unfilled requests?
- Role of staff
- Performance indicators and unfilled requests
- Grouping of libraries
- Reasons for unfilled requests
- Issues to be addressed to enhance interlending services

Technological Advances in Interlending in Southern Africa

- 52% libraries working online
- 7% libraries allow unmediated requests to be placed
- 13% libraries use ARIEL software
- More than 90% articles shipped electronically
- Electronic delivery of articles to e-mail of patrons
- Interlending Fee Management System
- Electronic retrieval of management information

Information Retrieval in South Africa

- 85% researchers first use the internet to obtain information
- 1% researchers first use the library catalogue

Research Project

- Gauteng and Environment Library Consortium (GAELIC) initiated the research project
- South African Library and information Trust (SALIT) funded the project

Goals of the Research Project

- Investigate the reasons for unfilled requests
- Suggest remedial actions
- Determine the training needs

Methodology

- Selection of a 3 month period, including a peak period
- Data retrieval:
 - Number of new requests placed & received
 - Number of filled documents
 - Reports on reasons for unfilled requests
 - Data to calculate turn-around time

Impact of Staff on Interlending Services

- Best performance by fulltime, specialized staff
- Interlending service influenced by:
 - Attitude: motivated & committed staff
 - Training and experience
 - Capacity: insufficient number of staff

Interlending Performance and Unfilled Requests

- Focus on relationship between unfilled requests and
 - productivity (number of requests handled)
 - fill rate (quality of work and efficiency of staff)
 - turn-around time

Fill Rate

- Represents the percentage of filled requests
- Reflects quality of work and efficiency of staff
- A low fill rate implies a high number of unfilled requests

Requesting Fill Rate

- More important
- Crucial to satisfy patron's needs
- Unfilled requests often caused by inability of staff to select the correct supplying libraries

Number of Attempts to Fill a Request

1	2	3	4	5	>5	Total analyzed
63%	21%	9%	3%	2%	2%	438

Supplying Fill Rate

- Lower than requesting fill rate
- Fast turn-around time important
- Search shelves only once
- Unfilled requests to move immediately on to next library

Grouping of Libraries According to Size - Requesting

Library size Requests handled	Number of libraries	Unfilled requests
>1000=Large	6	33%
	(Placed 53% of all new requests)	
300-399= Medium	14	25%
1-299= Small	19	44%

Grouping Libraries According to Size - Supplying

Size	Libraries	Unfilled requests	Expired
>1000 = Large	8 (Received 76% of all requests)	43%	6%
300-999 = Med	11	50%	17%
0-299 = Small	20	64%	27%

Grouping Requesting Libraries According to Fill Rate

- Requesting and supplying libraries with the least unfilled requests, handled the most requests
- Supplying libraries have had the least expired requests

Grouping Libraries According to Turn-Around Time

- No definite correlation
- A high percentage unfilled requests does not necessarily correspond with a slow turn-around time

Reasons for Unfilled Requests

- 25 reasons to choose from on ReQuest, the Southern African interlending system
- Incorrect usage of reasons

Most Used Reasons for Unfilled Requests

Requesting point view	int of	Supplying point of view		
Not owned	19%	In use-on loan 20%		
Expired	18%	Not owned 17%		
In use-on loan	16%	Not on shelf 13%		
Not on shelf	11%	Expired 10%		

Not Owned (1)

- Human error is frequently the cause for unfilled requests
 - Lack of commitment
 - Lack of experience/training
 - Insufficient number of staff

Not Owned (2)

Inaccuracies on SACat (South African Catalogue) result in staff placing unverified requests

- Records not found on SACat
- Multiple records on SACat
- Incorrect information on SACat

Not Owned (3)

- Libraries not updating information on SACat and other bases regarding
 - Lost books
 - Books on order
 - Numbers of volumes not received
 - Cancelled serial subscriptions
 - Journals with only title holdings

Expired Requests

- 98% of expired requests due to supplying staff not attending to requests within the stipulated time
- Human error

In Use – on loan

- Less books are bought due to ongoing cuts in budgets
- Demand for available books grows patrons revert to interlending
- Requests have to be rerouted more often
- Late return of books

Not On Shelf

- Books shelved incorrectly
- Books not returned to shelves soon after they have been checked in
- Lack of experience and searching skills
- Students/clients hiding books
- Library staff keeping books in their offices

1. Service Provider (Sabinet Online)

- Revise list of reasons for unfilled requests
- Supply separate reports regarding unfilled requests for books & articles
- Eliminate multiple records on SACat
- Provide reliable turn-around time reports

2. Libraries

- Ensure that whole stock is reflected on SACat and international databases
- Regularly update loan status of records & holdings
- Patrons to be encouraged to use electronic resources and the internet.

3. Library Management

- Study interlending management information to detect:
 - progress or decline
 - training needs
 - need for additional staff

4. Interlending Staff

- Should be well trained
- Attend workshops that focus on:
 - new developments
 - advanced practices
 - general updating
 - common errors
- Should report database inaccuracies

Conclusions: Staffing and Databases

- Assumptions regarding unfilled requests proved to be correct
- Commitment, training, experience & sufficient staff are keys to service excellence

Conclusions: Databases & Books

- Regular updating of databases
- Shortage of books
- Overdue books must be addressed
- Shelving issues to be attended to

Conclusions: Fill rate

- Enhancement of requesting fill rate
- High fill rate + cost effectiveness + fast turn-around time = service excellence!

Thank You

Acknowledgements

- Gwenda Thomas former director of the GAELIC consortium for assistance and guidance during the research period
- SALIT for funding the research project on unfilled requests
- Sabinet Online for continuing assistance and cooperation
- GAELIC libraries for their co-operation and allowing the usage of their management information

References

- BAKER, Kim: The rethinking resource sharing initiative - an overview for South African libraries. 2007
- GAELIC/SALIT Interlending project: Unfilled interlending requests and related matters. 2005