

**DIVERSITY OF *THEILERIA* PARASITES IN AFRICAN BUFFALO  
(*SYNCERUS CAFFER*) AND THE CHALLENGE OF  
DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS**

**By**

**MAMOHALE M.E. CHAISI**

Submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Philosophiae Doctor** in the Faculty  
of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria

SUPERVISOR

**PROFESSOR MARINDA C. OOSTHUIZEN**

CO-SUPERVISORS

**DR NICOLA E. COLLINS**

**DR DIRK GEYSEN**



**UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA  
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA  
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA**

---

## DECLARATION

---

I declare that this thesis, which I hereby submit for the degree **Philosophiae Doctor** at the University of Pretoria, is my own work and has not previously been submitted by me for a degree at any tertiary institution.

---

Mamohale M.E. Chaisi

November 2011

---

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

---

I wish to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to the following people and institutions for their contributions in this study:

- My supervisor, Prof Marinda Oosthuizen, for her excellent supervision, patience, and unfaltering assistance and support throughout the course of my study.
- Dr Nicola Collins, my co-supervisor, for her supervision, meticulous editorial skills and invaluable contribution towards the successful completion of this study.
- Dr Dirk Geysen, my co-supervisor, for the opportunity to work in his laboratory and for his assistance with editing this thesis. My gratitude also goes to Ms Lieve Vermeiren and Dr Michiel Janssens for their assistance in the lab and kind hospitality during my stay in Antwerp.
- Many thanks to Prof Koos Coetzer (former HOD, DVTD), for providing a friendly academic and social environment, and to the admin personnel for their assistance in various aspects of my study.
- Dr Kgomotso Sibeko for her assistance and patience during my laboratory training, and for always willing to help. Drs Raksha Bhoora and Habib Golezardy for their assistance with phylogenetic analyses, and Dr Tshepo Matjila for his words of encouragement.
- Mrs Milana Troskie, Ms Rhulani Nkuna and Ms Elizabeth Debeila for generously sharing their samples and results.
- Colleagues and friends in the DVTD post-graduate room for their friendship and moral support. I will always treasure the great times we shared.

- Ms Erika Faber, Onderstepoort Veterinary Institute sequencing facility, and Mr Christiaan Labuschagne, Inqaba BioTechnologies, for their invaluable assistance with sequencing.
- My husband Mosa Chaisi for his support, and our lovely daughters, Reitumetse and Refiloe, for their understanding, patience, inspiration and unconditional love. You were my true pillars of strength through this long and challenging journey.
- My parents, Ntate Ts'itso and 'M'e 'Mantsube Khabisi, and mom in-law, 'M'e Mahali Miya, and all my sisters and brothers, for their motivation, love, support, prayers and for always rejoicing in my achievements. Special thanks to Mahali Mothibi and Mots'abi Rahlao for being wonderful caregivers to my girls.
- The National University of Lesotho for the opportunity to further my studies and for providing a dependent's allowance, and the Lesotho Government (NMDS) for the bursary.
- This study was supported by research grants from the National Research Foundation (NRF), Belgian Directorate General for Development Co-operation Framework (ITM/DGCD), and University of Pretoria Research Development Programme.

*For I can do everything through Christ, who gives me strength.....Philippians 4:13*



---

## DEDICATION

---

**To my daughters Reitumetse and Refilohape**

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

DECLARATION.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iii
DEDICATION.....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vi
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xiii
LIST OF TABLES .....	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	xix
THESIS SUMMARY .....	xxi

### CHAPTER 1

#### General Introduction

1.1 Background.....	1
1.1.1 The importance of proper diagnosis and characterization of <i>Theileria</i> infections of buffalo in South Africa .....	2
1.2 Problem Statement.....	4
1.3 Objectives of the study.....	4
1.4 Thesis overview .....	5
1.5 References .....	7

### CHAPTER 2

#### Literature Review

2.1 Classification of <i>Theileria</i> spp.....	10
2.2 Life cycle of <i>Theileria</i> in cattle and tick vector.....	11

<b>2.3 <i>Theileria</i> species of buffalo and cattle in Africa .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>2.4 <i>Theileria parva</i> (Theiler, 1904) .....</b>	<b>14</b>
2.4.1 East Coast fever (ECF) .....	16
2.4.1.1. <i>The epidemiology of ECF in southern Africa</i> .....	16
2.4.2 January disease .....	17
2.4.3 Corridor disease .....	17
2.4.3.1 <i>The epidemiology of Corridor disease in South Africa</i> .....	17
2.4.4 Control of <i>T. parva</i> .....	18
2.4.4.1. <i>Chemical control of ticks</i> .....	18
2.4.4.2 <i>Immunization of cattle</i> .....	18
2.4.4.3 <i>Subunit vaccines</i> .....	19
2.4.4.4 <i>Chemotherapy</i> .....	19
2.4.5 Diagnosis of <i>T. parva</i> .....	20
2.4.5.1 <i>Conventional parasitological techniques</i> .....	20
2.4.5.2 <i>Serological techniques</i> .....	20
2.4.5.3 <i>Molecular biology techniques</i> .....	20
I. <i>Conventional Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)</i> .....	21
II. <i>PCR-based hybridization assays</i> .....	21
III. <i>PCR-based RFLPs</i> .....	22
IV. <i>PCR-based LAMP assays</i> .....	22
V. <i>Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) assays</i> .....	23
<b>2.5 Benign and mildly pathogenic <i>Theileria</i> species of cattle and buffalo in South Africa .....</b>	<b>24</b>
2.5.1 <i>Theileria mutans</i> (Theiler, 1906) .....	24
2.5.2 <i>Theileria</i> sp. (strain MSD) .....	24
2.5.3 <i>Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo) .....	25
2.5.4 <i>Theileria buffeli/sergenti/orientalis</i> (Neveu-Lemaire, 1912; Yakimov and Dekhterven, 1930; Yakimov and Sudachenkov, 1931) .....	25

2.5.5 <i>Theileria taurotragi</i> (Martin and Brocklesby, 1960) .....	26
2.5.6 <i>Theileria velifera</i> (Uilenberg, 1964) .....	26
<b>2.6 Molecular characterization and phylogeny of <i>Theileria</i> spp.....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>2.7 References .....</b>	<b>28</b>

## CHAPTER 3

### Identification of *Theileria parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) 18S rRNA gene sequence variants in the African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) in southern Africa

<b>3.1 Abstract.....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>3.2. Introduction .....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>3.3. Materials and Methods.....</b>	<b>47</b>
3.3.1 Blood samples and DNA extraction.....	47
3.3.2 PCR amplification and reverse line blot (RLB) assay .....	47
3.3.3 Amplification, cloning and sequencing of the 18S rRNA gene.....	49
3.3.4 Phylogenetic analysis.....	50
3.3.5 Real-time PCR .....	50
3.3.6 Nucleotide sequence accession numbers .....	51
<b>3.4 Results .....</b>	<b>52</b>
3.4.1 RLB Results .....	52
3.4.2 Sequencing and phylogenetic results .....	56
3.4.3 <i>T. parva</i> real-time results .....	64
<b>3.5. Discussion.....</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>3.6 References .....</b>	<b>69</b>



## CHAPTER 4

### Sequence variation in the 18S rRNA gene of *Theileria mutans* and *Theileria velifera* isolated from the African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*)

<b>4.1 Abstract</b> .....	<b>76</b>
<b>4.2 Introduction</b> .....	<b>77</b>
<b>4.3 Materials and Methods</b> .....	<b>79</b>
4.3.1 Blood samples .....	79
4.3.2 PCR amplification and reverse line blot (RLB) hybridization assay .....	79
4.3.3 PCR amplification, cloning and sequencing of the full-length 18S rRNA gene .....	79
4.3.4 Sequence and phylogenetic analyses .....	80
4.3.5 RLB probe design .....	81
<b>4.4 Results</b> .....	<b>82</b>
4.4.1 RLB results .....	82
4.4.2 18S rRNA gene sequence analysis.....	83
4.4.3 Phylogenetic analysis.....	85
4.4.4 Development of RLB probes for detection of the <i>T. mutans</i> -like sequences .....	86
<b>4.5 Discussion</b> .....	<b>89</b>
<b>4.6 Summary</b> .....	<b>92</b>
<b>4.7 References</b> .....	<b>93</b>

## CHAPTER 5

### Sequence variation and molecular phylogeny of novel *Theileria buffeli*-like and *Theileria sinensis*-like genotypes of the African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) based on their 18S rRNA gene and internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences

<b>5.1 Abstract</b> .....	<b>99</b>
<b>5.2 Introduction</b> .....	<b>100</b>
<b>5.3 Materials and Methods</b> .....	<b>102</b>

5.3.1 DNA samples .....	102
5.3.2 Amplification, cloning and sequencing of the full-length 18S rRNA gene.....	102
5.3.3. Amplification, cloning and sequencing of the ITS (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2) region .....	103
5.3.4 Sequence and phylogenetic analyses .....	104
5.3.5 GenBank Accession numbers .....	104
<b>5.4 Results .....</b>	<b>106</b>
5.4.1 Identification of <i>T. buffeli</i> -like 18S rRNA gene sequences .....	106
5.4.2 Sequence and phylogenetic analyses of the 18S rRNA genes .....	107
5.4.3 Analysis of the V4 hypervariable region of the 18S rRNA gene.....	112
5.4.4 Sequence and phylogenetic analyses of the novel ITS sequences.....	114
<b>5.5 Discussion.....</b>	<b>119</b>
5.5.1 Identification of <i>T. buffeli</i> -like and <i>T. sinensis</i> -like 18S rRNA genotypes.....	119
5.5.2 Identification of novel 18S rRNA gene sequences by the RLB hybridization assay .....	121
5.5.3 <i>Theileria buffeli</i> -like ITS genotypes .....	121
<b>5.6 Conclusion.....</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>5.7 References .....</b>	<b>125</b>

## CHAPTER 6

### Sequence variation in the V4 hypervariable region of the 18S rRNA gene of *Theileria* spp. of the African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) and cattle: Implications for the diagnosis of *Theileria parva* infections in cattle and buffalo in South Africa

<b>6.1 Abstract.....</b>	<b>132</b>
<b>6.2 Introduction.....</b>	<b>133</b>
<b>6.3. Materials and Methods.....</b>	<b>134</b>
6.3.1 Blood samples and DNA extraction.....	134
6.3.2 PCR amplification, cloning and sequencing of the hypervariable V4 region of the 18S rRNA gene .....	134

6.3.3 Sequence and phylogenetic analyses .....	135
<b>6.4. Results .....</b>	<b>136</b>
<b>6.5. Discussion.....</b>	<b>143</b>
<b>6.6 References .....</b>	<b>146</b>

## CHAPTER 7

### Evaluation of a "pan" FRET real-time PCR test for the discrimination of *Theileria* species in the African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*)

<b>7.1 Abstract.....</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>7.2 Introduction.....</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>7.3 Materials and methods .....</b>	<b>155</b>
7.3.1 Samples and DNA extraction.....	155
7.3.2 Polymerase Chain Reaction.....	156
7.3.3 Cloning and sequencing.....	157
7.3.4 Sequence and phylogenetic analysis .....	157
7.3.5 Comparison of the cox III qPCR assay with the RLB assay and the 18S rRNA qPCR ..	158
<b>7.4 Results .....</b>	<b>159</b>
7.4.1 cox III qPCR results.....	159
7.4.2 Comparison of the cox III qPCR assay with the 18S qPCR and RLB hybridization assays .....	162
7.4.3 Sequencing and phylogenetic results .....	164
<b>7.5 Discussion and Conclusion .....</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>7.6 References .....</b>	<b>172</b>

## CHAPTER 8

### General Discussion, Conclusions and Recommendations

<b>8.1 Identification and molecular characterization of pathogenic, mildly pathogenic and benign <i>Theileria</i> spp. of the South African buffalo.....</b>	<b>176</b>
8.1.1 Implications of the sequence variation of the V4 hypervariable region of the 18S rRNA gene on the diagnosis of pathogenic and non-pathogenic <i>Theileria</i> species of the African buffalo .....	177
<b>8.2 Molecular characterization and phylogeny of <i>T. buffeli</i>-like and <i>T. sinensis</i>-like genotypes of the African buffalo (<i>Syncerus caffer</i>) based on their 18S rRNA gene and internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences.....</b>	<b>178</b>
<b>8.3 Evaluation of the cox III qPCR assay for the simultaneous identification and differentiation of <i>Theileria</i> spp. in buffalo .....</b>	<b>179</b>
<b>8.4 References .....</b>	<b>180</b>
<b>PUBLICATION .....</b>	<b>183</b>
<b>APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>184</b>

---

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

**Figure 2.1:** The life-cycle of *Theileria parva* (ILRAD, 1990).....12

**Figure 2.2:** The distribution of *T. parva* in Africa (ILRAD, 1990).....15

**Figure 3.1:** Occurrence of *Theileria* spp. infections in buffalo blood samples from the Kruger National Park (KNP), Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Game Park (HIP) and the Greater Limpopo Transfronteir Park (GLTP), as determined by the Reverse Line Blot hybridization assay.....53

**Figure 3.2:** Composition of mixed *Theileria* spp. infections, as determined using the RLB hybridization assay, in buffalo blood samples from the Kruger National Park, Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park, Greater Limpopo Transfrontier Park and a private game farm in Hoedspruit.....55

**Figure 3.3:** Phylogenetic tree showing (a) relationship of the *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) variants identified in this study with other *Theileria* and *Babesia* species and (b) relationships amongst the *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) variants based on the near full-length 18S rRNA gene sequences as indicated by neighbour-joining analysis. Values at the nodes are bootstrap values indicating the degree of support for each cluster. Vertical lengths in each tree are not significant and are merely set for clarity. GenBank accession numbers are indicated in parentheses. The tree was rooted using *Prorocentrum micans*, *Sarcocystis muris* and *Toxoplasma gondii*.....62

**Figure 3.4:** Nucleotide alignment of a 56 bp region of the V4 hypervariable region of the published 18S rRNA gene of *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo), as well as the variants identified in the study. Regions where the *T. parva* real-time PCR and RLB probes were designed are indicated with boxes.....64

**Figure 3.5:** *Theileria parva*-specific real-time PCR results obtained with the cloned *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) variants obtained in this study. (a) Amplification curves showing increase in fluorescence at 640 nm for both *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) clones. (b) Melting curve analysis at 640 nm, showing the *T. parva*-specific melting peak at 63°C only for *T. parva* positive control and clones.....65

**Figure 4.1:** Phylogenetic tree showing the relationship of the *T. mutans*, *Theileria* sp. (strain MSD) and *T. velifera* 18S rRNA gene sequence variants identified in this study with other *Theileria* and *Babesia* species as indicated by maximum parsimony analysis. Bootstrap values indicate the degree of support for each cluster. The tree was outgroup rooted using *Prorocentrum micans*, *Sarcocystis muris* and *Toxoplasma gondii*.....87

**Figure 4.2:** Nucleotide alignment of a 100 bp region of the V4 hypervariable region of the published 18S rRNA gene of *T. mutans* and *T. velifera*, as well as the variants identified in the study. Regions where the RLB probes were designed are indicated in grey.....88

**Figure 5.1:** Agarose gel electrophoresis analysis of amplicons of the full-length 18S rRNA gene (1-10) and ITS region (11-17) of *Theileria* spp. of buffalo from field samples. M = 100 bp plus marker, + = *T. parva* positive control, - = water negative control.....106

**Figure 5.2:** Phylogenetic relationships of novel *T. buffeli*-like and *T. sinensis*-like 18S rRNA gene sequences from South Africa (red) with known *T. buffeli* sequences as inferred by the Neighbor-joining method. GenBank accession numbers are indicated in parenthesis. Numbers in brackets are designated cluster numbers. Bootstrap values are indicated at the nodes.....109

**Figure 5.3:** Alignment of novel *T. buffeli*-like (blue) and *T. sinensis* (brown) 18S rRNA gene sequences with known *T. buffeli* sequences (black, green and pink) showing V1 variable (A) and V4 hypervariable (B) regions of the gene. RLB probes were designed from the V4 hypervariable region (B). Blocks indicate sequences from which the RLB probes were designed. Nucleotide differences in the probe sequences within the different genotypes are underlined.....113

**Figure 5.4:** Sequence alignment of the complete ITS2 region of novel *Theileria* spp. from the Addo Elephant Game Park. The dots indicate conserved nucleotides; gaps (-) indicate missing nucleotides and were introduced to maintain homology.....116

**Figure 5.5:** Phylogenetic relationships of novel *T. buffeli*-like ITS sequences from South Africa (red) with known *T. buffeli*-like ITS sequences from Genbank (accession numbers in parenthesis) as determined by Bayesian inference. The numbers in brackets are designated cluster numbers. Posterior probabilities are indicated at the nodes of the tree.....118

**Figure 6.1:** The frequency of known and novel (\*) 18S rRNA gene sequences (n = 57) of *Theileria* spp. identified from buffalo and cattle samples (n = 31) from different geographical regions in South Africa, and from the GLTP in Mozambique.....137

**Figure 6.2:** Phylogenetic tree showing the relationships between *Theileria* spp. variants identified in this study with known *Theileria* and *Babesia* species as indicated by Neighbour-joining analysis. Bootstrap values indicate the degree of support for each cluster. The tree was rooted using *Prorocentrum micans*, *Sarcocystis muris* and *Toxoplasma gondii*.....139

**Figure 6.3:** Nucleotide alignment of a region of 78 bp of the V4 hypervariable region of published 18S rRNA gene sequences of *Theileria* spp. of cattle and buffalo and their variants identified in this study. Dots represent nucleotides that are identical to those of the *T. parva* (HQ680566) sequence and dashes represent nucleotide deletions. Areas where the *T. parva* real-time qPCR forward primer and probes sequences were designed are indicated with boxes.....142

**Figure 7.1:** (a) Sequence alignment showing the number of mismatches in the modified FRET anchor and sensor probe areas in the different species. (b) Melting curve analysis of the cox III gene plasmid controls of *Theileria* spp. as determined by the cox III qPCR assay. Melting peaks shown are for *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) ( $39.7 \pm 0.5$  °C), *T. velifera* ( $46.0 \pm 0.4$  °C), *T. parva* ( $48.4 \pm 0.3$  °C), *T. taurotragi* ( $54.7 \pm 0.8$  °C), and *T. mutans* ( $63.9 \pm 0.4$  °C). The melting peak of *T. buffeli* ( $53.7 \pm 0.1$  °C) is not shown. (c) Non-specific peaks (arrows) were observed from some samples. No fluorescence was detected from the water control.....161

**Figure 7.2:** (a) The occurrence of *Theileria* species infections in buffalo and cattle samples from South Africa and Mozambique as determined by: the RLB and cox III qPCR assays (n = 224). The number of samples with non-specific melting temperatures on the cox III qPCR assay (\*) and those that hybridized only with the *Theileria/Babesia* genus-specific probes using the RLB assay (\*\*) are shown, (b) Comparison of the RLB, 18S qPCR and cox III qPCR assays for detection of *T. parva* (n = 206).....163

**Figure 7.3:** Phylogenetic relationships of the cox III gene sequence variants of *Theileria* spp. of buffalo and cattle in South Africa identified in this study (black) with *Theileria* control sequences (blue) and published *Theileria* spp. (red) as indicated by neighbor joining analysis. Bootstrap values indicate the degree of support for each cluster. The tree was outgroup rooted using the cox III gene sequence of *T. annulata*.....167

**Figure 7.4:** Sequence alignment of the cox III gene of *Theileria* spp. indicating the sequences of the modified anchor (light blue) and sensor (yellow) probes. Cox III sequences were obtained from clones from control samples and clones from selected African buffalo samples. Differences are based on the cox III sequence of *T. mutans* (ZAM/C9142.2). The cox III qPCR result obtained for each clone is shown along with the cox III genotype identified by phylogenetic analysis. The RLB results obtained from the sample from which the cox III clones were derived are also shown.....168



---

## LIST OF TABLES

---

- Table 3.1:** *Theileria* and *Babesia* oligonucleotide probe sequences used in this study. The degenerate position R denotes either A or G, W denotes either A or T, and Y denotes either C or T.....48
- Table 3.2:** The occurrence of *Theileria* species infections in buffalo blood samples from four localities in South Africa and Mozambique as determined by the RLB hybridization assay.....54
- Table 3.3:** Origin and results of buffalo blood samples selected for 18S rRNA gene characterization .....57
- Table 3.4:** Estimates of evolutionary divergence between the *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) 18S rRNA gene sequences. The number of base differences per sequence from analysis between sequences is shown. All results are based on the pairwise analysis of 25 sequences. Analyses were conducted using MEGA4 (Tamura et al., 2007). All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated from the dataset (complete deletion option). There were a total of 1505 positions in the final dataset.....59
- Table 4.1:** RLB hybridization assay, cloning and sequencing results of buffalo blood samples selected for 18S rRNA gene sequence analysis. The samples originated from the Kruger National Park (KNP), Hluhluwe-iMfolozi game park (HIP), a private game range near Hoedspruit area (H), and a farm in the KwaZulu-Natal province (KZN).....82
- Table 4.2:** Estimates of evolutionary divergence between *Theileria* spp. sequences by pairwise sequences as indicated by MEGA4 (Tamura et al., 2007). The numbers are base differences between sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were

eliminated from the dataset (complete deletion option). A total of 1562 [for *T. mutans* and *Theileria* sp. (strain MSD)] and 1587 (for *T. velifera*) were compared.....85

**Table 5.1:** 18S rRNA gene sequences of *T. buffeli*-like isolates and field samples used in the construction of phylogenetic trees.....105

**Table 5.2:** Highest percentage identity BLASTn hits of novel *Theileria* spp. 18S rRNA gene sequences obtained from samples from the Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Game Park (HIP),.....107

**Table 5.3:** Estimates of evolutionary divergence between novel *T. buffeli*-like (SA1 – red) and *T. sinensis*-like (SA2 – green) 18S rRNA genotypes of the African buffalo, and known *T. buffeli* (black and blue) and *T. sinensis* (orange) genotypes of cattle and buffalo. The number of base differences per sequence from analysis between sequences is shown. The results are based on the pairwise analysis of 29 sequences. Analyses were conducted using MEGA4 (Tamura et al., 2007). There were a total of 1499 positions in the final dataset.....111

**Table 6.1:** Estimates of the evolutionary divergence between *Theileria* spp. genotypes identified in this study as indicated by MEGA4 (Tamura et al., 2007). The numbers are base difference between sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated from the dataset (complete deletion option). A total of 255 positions were compared.....141

**Table 7.1:** Origin and no. of samples analysed by the modified cox III qPCR assay.....155

---

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

---

AEGP	Addo Elephant Game Park
BLAST	Basic local alignment search tool
Cox III	Cytochrome oxidase subunit III
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	Deoxynucleotide triphosphate
FRET	Fluorescence resonance energy transfer
GLTP	Greater Limpopo Transfronteir Park
HIP	Hluhluwe iMfolozi Game Park
ITS	Internal transcribed spacer
KNP	Kruger National Park
LAMP	Loop mediated isothermal amplification
MgCl <sub>2</sub>	Magnesium chloride
ml	Milliliter
μl	Microliter
OVI	Onderstepoort Veterinary Institute
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
qPCR	Quantitative polymerase chain reaction

RFLP	Restriction fragment length polymorphism
RLB	Reverse line blot
rRNA	Ribosomal ribonucleic acid
$T_m$	Melting temperature

---

## THESIS SUMMARY

---

In South Africa, the diagnosis of *Theileria parva* in cattle and buffalo has been complicated by the presence of mildly pathogenic and non-pathogenic *Theileria* spp. This can lead to inaccurate diagnostic results and confuse the epidemiology of theileriosis. The aims of this study were to identify and characterize the 18S rRNA genes of novel *Theileria* spp. of the African buffalo, as well as to test new gene targets that will allow for the development of more accurate diagnostic tests for the identification of *T. parva* infections in cattle and buffalo.

Buffalo blood samples originating from different geographical regions in South Africa and from Mozambique were screened for the presence of *Theileria* spp. by the reverse line blot (RLB) hybridization assay. A total of six *Theileria* spp., namely *T. parva*, *Theileria* sp. (buffalo), *Theileria mutans*, *Theileria velifera* and *Theileria buffeli*, were identified from the buffalo samples. These occurred mainly as mixed infections. Some of the samples hybridized only with the *Theileria/Babesia* genus specific probe that is used in the RLB assay, and not with any of the species-specific probes used, suggesting the presence of novel genotypes or species.

The full-length 18S rRNA genes of parasites from selected samples were characterized by cloning and sequencing. In addition to the identification of 18S rRNA gene sequences that were similar to published *Theileria* spp. of cattle and buffalo, we identified *Theileria* sp. (bougasvlei), and novel 18S rRNA gene variants of *T. mutans*, *T. velifera*, *T. buffeli*. This variation explained why the RLB hybridization assay failed to detect these species in some of the analysed samples. As extensive variation was observed within the *T. mutans* group, specific RLB oligonucleotide probes were designed from the V4 hypervariable region of the *T. mutans*-like 1 and 2/3 18S rRNA gene sequences. Unfortunately these cross-hybridized with *T. mutans* target DNA and could not be used to screen buffalo samples to determine the occurrence of these genotypes in buffalo in South Africa. This problem could be solved by designing probes from a more variable area of the 18S rRNA gene of the *T. mutans* groups. Alternatively, a quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) assay could be used for differentiation of these genotypes as it is more sensitive than the RLB assay.

Despite the variation observed in the full-length *T. parva* 18S rRNA gene sequences, the area in the V4 hypervariable region where the *T. parva* RLB and real-time PCR hybridization probes were developed was relatively conserved between sequences obtained in this study. The existing *T. parva*-specific qPCR assay was able to successfully detect all *T. parva* variants identified in this study and, although amplicons were obtained from *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) and *Theileria* sp. (bougasvlei) DNA, these species were not detected by the *T. parva*-specific hybridization probes. The sequences of the other *Theileria* spp. and the novel genotypes identified in this study under the probes were also different from that of *T. parva* and therefore these species do not compromise the specificity of the *T. parva* 18S qPCR assay.

In order to determine the sequence variation and phylogenetic positions of *T. buffeli* spp. of the African buffalo, we cloned and sequenced their 18S rRNA gene and complete internal transcribed spacer (ITS). We identified novel *T. buffeli*-like and *T. sinensis*-like 18S rRNA and ITS genotypes from buffalo originating from two different geographical regions in South Africa. There was extensive sequence variation between these novel South African genotypes and known *T. buffeli*-like and *T. sinensis*-like genotypes. The presence of organisms with *T. buffeli*-like and *T. sinensis*-like genotypes in the African buffalo is of significant importance, particularly to the cattle industry in South Africa as these animals might act as sources of infections to naïve cattle.

Recently, a qPCR assay based on the *cox III* gene was developed for the diagnosis of *Theileria* spp. in cattle. This test detects and differentiates six *Theileria* spp. in cattle. We evaluated the use of this assay for the detection of *Theileria* spp. in buffalo. The results of the *cox III* qPCR were compared to those of the RLB and 18S qPCR for the simultaneous detection and differentiation of *Theileria* spp. of the African buffalo, and for the specific detection of *T. parva*, respectively. The *cox III* genes from selected samples with non-specific melting peaks were characterized by cloning and sequencing. Extensive sequence variation in the *cox III* gene was observed between and within species. The *T. mutans* group was the most variable. The qPCR assay could be further improved by designing new primers and probes using all known *cox III* gene sequences of *Theileria* spp. of buffalo and cattle.

This study highlights the complexity of the diagnosis of *T. parva* in cattle and buffalo in South Africa. It provides invaluable information towards the development of an improved molecular diagnostic assay for *T. parva* and co-infecting species in cattle and buffalo in South Africa which will assist the veterinary regulatory authorities in the control of Corridor disease in South Africa.