

Title: Developing a Competence Audit for Technological Innovation
By: Jan Cornelius Mentz
Study leader: Prof. C.W.J. Pistorius
Department: Engineering and Technology Management, Faculty of Engineering
Degree: Master of Engineering (Technology Management)

Developing a competence audit for technological innovation

By

Jan Cornelius Mentz

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
M. Eng. (Technology Management) in the Faculty of Engineering

University of Pretoria
Pretoria

November 1999

5000-15-08
5300-15-08
5300-15-08

Title: Developing a Competence Audit for Technological Innovation
By: Jan Cornelius Mentz
Study leader: Prof. C.W.I. Pistorius
Department: Engineering and Technology Management, Faculty of Engineering
Degree: Master of Engineering (Technology Management)

Technology and the implementation thereof, has helped to develop the human race far beyond its fragile origins. It is technology that enables us to gather and produce our resource requirements such as food, water and other raw materials. It is technology that transforms our society and the way we interact, technology that influences our politics, economics and even in some cases our religion as well.

This thesis focuses on improving an organisation's capabilities to implement technology, particularly with regard to maximising the organisation's success at innovation and specifically technological innovation. It aims to develop a methodology for the auditing of competencies, in innovative organisations. Subsequently organisational strengths and weaknesses are identified vis-à-vis best innovation practises.

The thesis defines the terms 'innovation' and 'technological innovation', and then proceeds towards developing a methodology for improving technological innovation. This requires the development of a standard or benchmark, which will be able to guide organisations in deciding which of its own competencies are strong or weak. Equipped with such a standard in the form of an innovation model, the process of improving the innovation competencies in organisations may begin. This is accomplished by implementing an audit methodology in the form of an innovation audit questionnaire. The questionnaire audits the competencies in the organisation by comparing them with previously defined best innovation standards. This comparison yields a list of 'strengths' and 'weaknesses' that may then be pursued further by the organisation. The goal of this auditing process is therefore to identify and highlight strengths and weaknesses in the innovation competencies of innovative organisations.

The final section of the thesis contains data gathered through the implementation of the developed competence audit for technological innovation. Five organisations were audited. The results correlate well with the expected competencies of their industries. However, the results should not be interpreted in a quantitative manner, for the aim of the proposed audit is not to dictate absolute solutions, but rather to identify strengths and weaknesses in organisations' innovation processes.

Key Words: technological innovation auditing, competence based innovation auditing, innovation assessment, identifying innovation strengths and weaknesses, innovation management practises.

Titel: Die Ontwikkeling van 'n Vaardigheidsoudit vir Tegnologiese Innovasie
Deur: Jan Cornelius Mentz
Studieleier: Prof. C.W.I. Pistorius
Departement: Ingenieurs- en Tegnologiebestuur, Fakulteit Ingenieurswese
Graad: Meestersgraad in Ingenieurswese (Tegnologiebestuur)

Tegnologie en die implementering daarvan het die mens vêr bo sy aardse agtergrond laat uitstyg. Dit is tegnologie wat ons in staat stel om primêre produkte te produseer, te verwerk en effektief te benut. Tegnologie verander die sosiale struktuur, die interaksie tussen mense, die politiek, ekonomie en soms selfs die mens se geloof.

Hierdie verhandeling beskou 'n organisasie se vermoë om tegnologie tot sy voordeel te implementeer, met ander woorde, om die organisasie se sukses met innovasie en meer spesifiek tegnologiese innovasie te verbeter. Dit poog om 'n metodologie vir die oudit van innoverende organisasies daar te stel, deur hoë impak vaardighede, eie aan die organisasie, uit te lig en met bewese goeie innovasie praktyke te vergelyk.

Die verhandeling begin met die definieëring van innovasie en tegnologiese innovasie om 'n basis vir die ontwikkeling van 'n innovasie oudit te skep. Om sterk en swak punte in innovasie te definieer, vereis 'n standaardmodel, waarin bewese innovasie praktyke vervat mag word. Toegerus met so 'n model, kan werklike verbetering van 'n organisasie se innoveringsvermoëns begin, deur sterk en swak punte uit te lig, waarop dan voortgebou kan word. Dit word vermag deur die implementering van 'n oudit metodologie vraelys. Die vraelys oudit die vaardighede, deur dit te vergelyk met bewese goeie innovasie standaarde of praktyke. 'n Lys van sterk en swak punte van 'n organisasie, waarop dan gebou kan word, word so uitgelig.

Die laaste deel van die verhandeling fokus op resultate, na aanleiding van verskeie oudittoetse wat by vyf organisasies uitgevoer was. Die resultate is verkry deur die implementering van 'n ouditvraelys. Die meeste van die resultate het goed met die verwagtinge van die tipiese industrieë ooreengestem. Alhoewel mens geneig is om die resultate op 'n kwantitatiewe manier te beoordeel, is dit nie die doel van die verhandeling om dit so te interpreteer nie. Dit moet eerder op 'n kwalitatiewe wyse gebruik word, waar dit die sterk en swak punte van 'n organisasie se innovering beklemtoon.

Sleutel terme: tegnologiese innovasieoudit, vaardigheds gebaseerde innovasieoudit, innovasie assessering, identifikasie van sterk en swak innovasiepunte, innovasie bestuurspraktyke.

I wish to express my gratitude to the following individuals and organisations:

My study leader, Professor Carl W.I. Pistorius. For believing in me and creating the opportunity to find my own way through the process of researching and developing a masters thesis. I greatly appreciated his ideas, points of view, volumes of literature and advice. It was an honour to work with, and learn from him.

Debtek (Division of DeBeers)

Victor Ross

For the thought provoking discussions on technology, innovation and technological innovation, as well as the use of his organisation specific innovation model.

Grintek Avitronics

Alan Holloway (Managing Director)

For encouragement on the Proposed Innovation Model

Nedcor Investment Bank

André la Grange (Divisional Director)

For his inputs on innovation and remuneration of employees to encourage them to be more innovative.

To the following organisations for allowing me to test my ideas and a proposed innovation audit at their firms.

Kentron (Division of Denel)

Jan Mentz (Director)

Chris Haynes (Group Manager Business Development)

Gerrit Viljoen (Guidance & Control Consultant)

Spescom

Viv Crone (Technical Manager)

AMS

Christo Weder (Director)

EML

Keith Richards (Director)

KMS

Danie Saaiman (Director)

To the personnel of the Academic Information Service at the University of Pretoria, and the assistance received from Ms. Christine Mallo in the form of articles and information on innovation.

And last but not least, Mrs Wilna Mentz for her formidable editing skills.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1. Introduction	1
1.1 Overview	3
1.2 References	3

CHAPTER 2: DEFINING TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION

2. Defining Technological Innovation	7
2.1 Defining Technological Innovation	7
2.1.1 Invention	11
2.1.2 Realisation	12
2.1.3 Implementation	12
2.1.4 Commercialisation	12
2.2 Different Types of Innovation	12
2.2.1 Revolutionary versus Evolutionary Innovation	12
2.2.2 Modular versus Architectural Innovation	12
2.2.3 Process versus Product Innovation	12
2.2.4 Production versus Service Innovation	12
2.2.5 Disruptive versus Sustaining Innovation	12
2.2.6 Market Pull versus Technology Push Innovation	12
2.2.7 Conclusion	12
2.3 Management of Technology and Innovation	12
2.3.1 The Management of Technology	12
2.3.2 Dynamics of Technological Change	12
2.4 Management of Innovation	12
2.5 Innovation Management versus Technology Management	12
2.6 Conclusion	12
2.7 References	12

**Business has only two basic functions:
 Marketing and innovation.
 Marketing and innovation produce results.
 All the rest are costs.**

— Peter F Drucker (1985)¹

CHAPTER 3: MODELLING TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION

3. Introduction	13
3.1 The Importance of Modeling	13
3.1.1 Functional Models and Maps	13
3.2 Modeling Technological Innovation	13
3.2.1 Three Modeling Viewpoints	13
3.2.2 Linear versus Non-linear Models	13
3.2.3 Hierarchical Depth of Innovation Models	13
3.2.4 Generic versus Specific Models	13
3.3 Thesis Viewpoint on Different Models	13
3.4 Developing a Proposed Innovation Model	13
3.5 The Proposed Innovation Model	13
3.5.1 Model Viewpoint	13
3.5.2 The Innovation Fostering Environment	13
3.5.3 The Innovation Process Life cycle	13
3.5.4 How the Model Works	13
3.6 Proposed Implementations for the Proposed Innovation Model	13
3.7 Proposed Core of the Proposed Model	13
3.8 References	13

¹ Drucker P.F., [1985] *Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, Harper & Row, New York.

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1	Introduction _____	1
1.1	Overview _____	3
1.2	References _____	6

CHAPTER 2: DEFINING TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION

2	Defining Technological Innovation _____	7
2.1	Defining Technological Innovation _____	8
2.1.1	Invention _____	11
2.1.2	Realisation _____	12
2.1.3	Implementation _____	12
2.1.4	Conclusion _____	12
2.2	Different Types of Innovation _____	12
2.2.1	Revolutionary versus Evolutionary Innovation _____	13
2.2.2	Modular versus Architectural Innovation _____	14
2.2.3	Process versus Product Innovation _____	16
2.2.4	Procedure versus Service Innovation _____	18
2.2.5	Disruptive versus Sustaining Innovation _____	18
2.2.6	Market Pull versus Technology Push Innovation _____	18
2.2.7	Conclusion _____	19
2.3	Management of Technology and Innovation _____	19
2.3.1	The Management of Technology _____	20
2.3.2	Dynamics of Technological Change _____	21
2.4	Management of Innovation _____	25
2.5	Innovation Management versus Technology Management _____	27
2.6	Conclusion _____	27
2.7	References _____	28

CHAPTER 3: MODELLING TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION

3	Introduction _____	30
3.1	The Importance of Modelling _____	31
3.1.1	Functional Models and Maps _____	31
3.2	Modelling Technological Innovation _____	32
3.2.1	Three Modelling Viewpoints _____	33
3.2.2	Linear versus Non-linear Models _____	33
3.2.3	Hierarchical Depth of Innovation Models _____	38
3.2.4	Generic versus Specific Models _____	45
3.3	Thesis Viewpoint on Different Models _____	48
3.4	Developing a Proposed Innovation Model _____	48
3.5	The Proposed Innovation Model _____	50
3.5.1	Model Viewpoint _____	50
3.5.2	The Innovation Fostering Environment _____	51
3.5.3	The Innovation Process Life cycle _____	55
3.5.4	How the Model Works _____	59
3.6	Proposed Implementations for the Proposed Innovation Model _____	61
3.7	Pros and Cons of the Proposed Model _____	64
3.8	Conclusion _____	64
3.9	References _____	65

CHAPTER 4: A TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION AUDIT METHODOLOGY

4	Audit Methodologies _____	67
4.1	A Financial Audit Methodology _____	68
4.1.1	General Standards in Financial Auditing _____	69
4.1.2	The Adapted Financial Audit Methodology _____	70
4.2	Possible Technological Innovation Audit Methodologies _____	73
4.2.1	The Competence Innovation Audit _____	73
4.2.2	The Process Innovation Audit _____	74
4.2.3	The Performance Innovation Audit _____	74
4.2.4	Conclusion to Technological Innovation Methodologies _____	75
4.3	An Innovation Audit Example _____	75
4.3.1	Process Audit _____	76
4.3.2	Performance Audit _____	77
4.3.3	Example Review _____	78
4.4	A Proposed Audit Methodology (based on a competence audit framework) _____	79
4.4.1	The Fostering Environment Methodology _____	79
4.4.2	General Standards _____	80
4.4.3	Audit Boundaries _____	81
4.4.4	Defining the Audit Group _____	81
4.4.5	The Audit Questionnaire _____	83
4.4.6	Data Analysis _____	83
4.4.7	Strengths, Weaknesses, Threats and Opportunities (SWOT) _____	86
4.4.8	Business Strategy Formulation _____	86
4.4.9	Advantages and Disadvantages of the Proposed Audit _____	86
4.5	Conclusion _____	87
4.6	References _____	87

CHAPTER 5: DEFINING BEST INNOVATION PRACTISES

5	Defining Best Innovation Practises _____	89
5.1	Interaction with External Environment _____	90
5.1.1	Technology _____	90
5.1.2	Market and Customer _____	99
5.1.3	Industry _____	104
5.1.4	Political, Economical and Social (P.E.S.) _____	109
5.2	Organisational Issues _____	110
5.2.1	Strategic _____	110
5.2.2	Innovation Process Implementation _____	115
5.2.3	Fostering Environment _____	119
5.3	Individual _____	122
5.3.1	Personality and Emotions _____	122
5.3.2	Knowledge, Experience and Background _____	125
5.3.3	Interactions _____	126
5.4	Conclusion _____	128
5.5	References _____	128

CHAPTER 6: A PROPOSED COMPETENCE AUDIT FOR TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION

6	A Competence Audit Questionnaire _____	132
6.1	Identifying Representative Questions and Answers _____	133
6.2	Interaction with the External Environment _____	134
6.2.1	Technology _____	134
6.2.2	Market and Customer _____	135

6.2.3	Industry	136
6.2.4	Political, Economical and Social	137
6.3	Organisational	138
6.3.1	Strategic	138
6.3.2	Implementation	139
6.3.3	Fostering Environment	140
6.4	Individual	141
6.4.1	Personality and Feelings	141
6.4.2	Knowledge, Intelligence, Experience and Background	142
6.4.3	Social Environment	143
6.5	Conclusion to Audit Questions	144
6.6	Testing the Proposed Competence Audit	144
6.6.1	The Beta Test Procedures	144
6.6.2	Audit Test Selection	146
6.6.3	Beta Test Findings	149
6.7	Results From the Beta Test Process	153
6.7.1	Comparative Analysis	153
6.7.2	Organisational Analysis	157
6.8	Conclusion to the Beta Test Process	160
6.9	Conclusion	160
6.10	References	160
CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
7	Conclusion and Summary	162
7.1	Audit Validity	162
7.1.1	The Proposed Innovation Model (Chapter 3)	163
7.1.2	The Proposed Audit Methodology (Chapter 4)	163
7.1.3	Defining Best Innovation Practises (Chapter 5)	164
7.1.4	The Proposed Competence Audit for Technological Innovation (Chapter 6)	164
7.2	Recommendations	165
7.3	Conclusion	167
7.4	References	167
ADDENDUM		
Appendix A:	Background Study	168
A.1	Power Shift (The future as seen by Toffler)	169
A.2	Current Global Reasons why Innovation is Already Imperative (The Future as seen by Drucker)	172
A.3	Managing the Post Entrepreneurial Organisation (Kanter)	173
A.3.1	Doing More with Less	174
A.3.2	Cutting Paths for Innovation	176
A.4	Challenges to the Innovation Manager (Burgelman and Maidique)	177
A.5	Innovation Opportunities in the Induced Process	177
A.6	Innovation Opportunities in the Autonomous Process	178
A.6.1	The Balancing Act	178
A.6.2	Managing Corporate Entrepreneurship	178
A.6.3	New Venture Divisions	179
A.6.4	NVD-operating Division Interface Problems	179
A.6.5	NVD-corporate Management Interference Problems	180
A.6.6	A framework for Assessing Internal Entrepreneurial Initiatives	180
A.6.7	Design Alternatives for Corporate Entrepreneurship	182
A.6.8	Choosing Design Alternatives	183

A.6.9 Implementing Design Alternatives _____	184
A.7 Conclusion _____	185
Appendix B: Realising Innovation, a Systems Approach _____	186
B.1 Conceptual System Design _____	186
B.2 Preliminary System Design _____	186
B.3 Detail Design and Development _____	187
B.4 System Test and Evaluation _____	190
Appendix C: Audit Questionnaire _____	193
Please answer the following _____	209
Appendix D: Innovation Models _____	210
Appendix E: Audit Questionnaire Results (data) _____	214
E.1 Data Analysis Calculations _____	214
E.2 Tables E.2 to E.11 _____	214
References _____	225