The effect of occupational stress and organizational commitment on diagnostic imaging radiographers in rendering quality service

by

Chandra Rekha Makanjee

Submitted in fulfillment of the requirement for Masters Degree in Radiography

In die Faculty of Health Sciences

Department of Radiographic Sciences

University of Pretoria

Study leader

Prof I C Uys

Co-study leader

Me Y F Hartzer

May

2004

Preface

Dedic	ation		х				
Ackno	owledgen	nents	х				
Abstr	act		хi				
Abstr	ak		xiii				
Abbre	eviations		xvi				
Cor	ntents		Page				
Cha _l	oter one	Introduction	1				
1.1	Introdu	uction	1				
1.2	Backg	round	2				
1.3	Ration	ale	5				
1.4	Prelim	inary literature review	7				
	1.4.1	Research question	9				
1.5	Definit	Definition of terms and clarification of concepts					
	1.5.1	Rendering quality service	10				
	1.5.2	Occupational stress	11				
	1.5.3	Organizational commitment	12				
1.6	Research programme outline						
	1.6.1	Chapter one – Introduction	13				
	1.6.2	Chapter two – literature review	14				
	1.6.3	Chapter three Methodology	14				
	1.6.4	Chapter four – Results	14				
	1.6.5	Chapter five – Discussion	14				
	1.6.6	Chapter six – Conclusions and recommendations	14				
1.7	Conclu	usion	15				
1.8	Summ	ary	16				
Cha _l	oter two	Literature review	17				
2.1	Introdu	uction and justification	17				
2.2	Servic	Service rendering					
	2.2.1	Radiographers role in rendering quality service	19				
	2.2.2	Quality Service rendering from an organizational perspective	21				
2.3	Job sa	tisfaction and occupational stress	22				
2.4	The or	ganizational health approach	23				
2.5	Occup	ational stress	24				
	251	Organizational culture and climate	25				

	2.5.2	General physic	al w	orking environment	28	
	2.5.3	Career develop	omei	nt and skill utilization	29	
	2.5.4	Conflict			30	
		2.5.4.1 Conflic	t at	work	31	
		2.5.4.2 Role co	onfli	ct and role ambiguity	31	
	2.5.5	Workload			32	
	2.5.6	Shift work			33	
	2.5.7	Mechanism of	copi	ng applied by radiographers	34	
	2.5.8	Level of occupa	atior	nal stress (Emotional, mental and		
		physical sympt	oms	of occupational stress)	35	
2.6	Organi	Organizational commitment				
	2.6.1	Affective comm	nitme	ent	37	
	2.6.2	Continuance co	omm	nitment	38	
	2.6.3	Normative com	mitr	nent	39	
	2.6.4	Relationship be	etwe	en OC and OS	39	
2.7	Relatio	nship between (DC a	and rendering quality service	41	
2.8	Conclu	sion			41	
2.9	Summa	ary			42	
Chai	otor thro	e Methodol	001	,	43	
3.1	Introdu		ogy		43	
3.1		ain aim			43	
3.3	Object				43	
3.4	Hypoth				44	
3.5		rch design			45	
3.6	Sample				46	
3.7	Materia				47	
0.1		uestionnaire			48	
		Questionnaire	cont	ent	49	
	0.7.1.1	3.7.1.1.1		ction one – Demographic information	49	
		3.7.1.1.2		ction two – Organizational commitment	50	
		3.7.1.1.3		ction three – Emotional and		
		0.7.1.1.0		ental symptoms of OS	50	
		3.7.1.1.4		ction four – Sources of OS	51	
			a)	The overall function of the organization	51	
			b)	General physical working environment	52	
			c)	Management trust, support and	02	
			-,	leadership ability	53	
			d)	Career development	53	
			~,			

			e)	Ro	ole ambiguity	53
			f)	Ro	ole conflict	54
			g)	Sc	ocial support	54
			h)	W	orkload	55
			i)	Sh	nift work	55
	3.7.1	.1.5	Mechanism	of c	oping applied by radiographers	55
	3.7.1	1.1.6	Physical syn	npto	oms of Occupational Stress	56
3.8	Pilo	t study	,			56
3.9	Exte	ernal re	eliability and v	alid	ity	57
3.10	Prod	cedure	s			58
	3.1	0.1	Data collec	tion	procedures	58
	3.1	0.2	Data analys	sis	process	59
			3.10.2.1		Raw data	59
			3.10.2.2		Item correlation scales and	
					internal reliability coefficients	59
			3.10.2.3		Comparison between private and public	0
					organization radiographers	59
			3.10.2.4		Descriptive analysis of each item	60
3.11	Ethic	cal con	sideration and	d cle	earance	61
3.12	Cond	clusion	1			63
3.13	Sum	mary				63
Chapt	er four	Th	e results			64
4.1	Introdu	ction a	nd setting			64
Part on	e (A)					65
A4.1	The sar	mple				65
	A.4.1.1	Retur	n rate			65
	A.4.1.2	Public	and private of	orga	anization response	66
A4.2	Demog	raphic	information			67
A4.3	Acaden	nic dev	elopment of r	adio	ographers	69
A4.4	Radiog	rapher	s' employmen	ıt st	atus	71
Part tw	o (B)					72
B4.1	The des	scriptiv	e data			73
B4.2	Item co	rrelatio	on scores			73
B4.3	Internal	l reliab	ility coefficient	t		73
B4.4	Determ	ining l	evel of organiz	zatio	onal commitment	74
			tive commitme			74
	B4.4.2	Conti	nuance comm	itm	ent	76
	B4.4.3	Norm	ative commitn	nen	t	78

	B4.4.4	Summary of organizational commitment	79		
	B4.5	Rating the sources of occupational stress	80		
	B4.5.1	Organizational climate	80		
	B4.5.2	Management style from an organizational perspective	82		
	B4.5.3	General physical working environment	85		
	B4.5.4	Trust, support and leadership ability:	87		
		a) Head of department	87		
		b) Supervisor	89		
	B4.5.5	Career development	91		
	B4.5.6	Role ambiguity	93		
	B4.5.7	Role conflict	94		
	B4.5.8	Social support	96		
	B4.5.9	Workload	99		
	B4.5.10	Shift work	101		
	B4.5.1	1 Summary of sources of occupational stress	103		
B4.6	Level o	f occupational stress	104		
	B4.6.1	Emotional and physical symptoms of occupational stress	104		
	B4.6.2	Physical symptoms of occupational stress	108		
	B4.6.3	Summary of level of occupational stress	110		
B4.7	Mechai	nism of coping applied by radiographers	110		
Part the	ree (C)				
C4.1	Respor	ndents' comments	112		
Part for	ur (D)				
D4.1	Relatio	nships	113		
D4.2	Organiz	zational commitment	113		
D4.3	Relationship between organizational commitment and				
	level of	occupational stress	114		
D4.4	Relatio	nship between organizational commitment and sources of			
	occupa	tional stress	114		
D4.5	Relatio	nship between levels of occupational stress and			
	source	s of occupational stress	116		
D4.6	Relatio	nship between levels of occupational stress and			
	mecha	nism of coping applied by radiographers	118		
D4.7	Relatio	nship between sources of occupational stress and			
	mecha	nism of coping applied by radiographers	118		
D4.8	Summa	ary of the relationships	119		
4.2	Conclusion				
4.3	Summary				

Chap	ter Five D	Piscussion	122
5.1	Introduction	and setting	122
	5.1.1 The	first part	122
	5.1.2 The	second part	122
Part o	ne (A)		
A5.1	Representat	iveness of sample size	123
A5.2	Demographi	c information	123
A5.3	Academic de	evelopment of radiographers	124
A5.4	Radiographe	ers' employment status	124
Part to	vo (B)		
B5.1	The hypothe	eses	125
B5.2	Organization	nal commitment	126
B5.3	The sources	of OS	129
	B5.3.1 Orga	anizational climate and culture	129
	B5.3.2 Man	agement style within an organizational context	132
	B5.3.3 Gen	eral physical working environment	134
	B5.3.4 Man	agement trust, support and leadership ability	136
	B5.3.5 Care	eer development	137
	B5.3.6 Role	e ambiguity	140
	B5.3.7 Role	conflict	141
	B5.3.8 Soc	ial support	143
	B5.3.9 Wor	kload	144
	B5.3.10 Shift	t work	147
B5.4	Level of OS		149
B5.5	Mechanism	of coping applied by radiographers	154
5.2	Conclusion		154
5.3	Summary		155
Chap	ter six Co	nclusions and recommendations	156
6.1	Introduction	and setting	156
6.2	Conclusions	•	156
6.3	Positive attri	butes of this study	159
6.4	Recommend	dations	160
6.5	Limitations		164
Refe	rences		165

APPENDIX	(1	173
Consent form	1	173
APPENDIX	(2	175
Cover letter f	or questionnaire	175
APPENDIX	(3	177
The question	naire	177
Section one -	- Demographic information	177
Section two	– Organizational commitment	178
Section three	e – Emotional and physical symptoms of Occupational stress	179
Section four	- Sources of Occupational Stress	180
Section five -	Mechanisms of coping applied by radiographers	186
Section six -	Physical symptoms of Occupational Stress	187
Section seve	n – Comments	187
APPENDIX	(4	188
The response	9	188
Figures		
Chapter one		
Figure 1.1	Causal model of service accomplishment and	
	acceptance of change	6
Figure 1.2	An illustration of organization and supervisor influence	
	on employee discretionary behaviour	6
Chapter two		
Figure 2.1	Three factor model of occupational well-being	23
Figure 2.2	A general model of commitment in the workplace	
	illustrating the resultant behaviour of an employee	37
Chapter four		
Figure 4.1	Total number of questionnaires collected	65
Figure 4.2	Percentage radiographers from public and private	
	organizations	66
Figure 4.3	Dependents' contribution to monthly income	69
Figure 4.4	Radiographers engaged in obtaining an additional	
	qualification	70

Figure	4.5 Radiographers' terms of employment at organizations	71
Figure	4.6 Ranks of employment	72
Table	es	
Chapt	er three	
3.1	Sampling framework	47
Chapt	er four	
4.1	The sampling framework of participants and respondents	
	per organization	66
4.2	Respondents per type of organization	67
4.3	Gender identity of radiographers	67
4.4	Radiographers' age categories	67
4.5	Radiographers marital status of respondents	68
4.6	Dependents – children	68
4.7	Dependents other than children	68
4.8	Radiographers highest qualification	70
4.9	Respondents obtaining an additional qualification	70
4.10	Number of years employed in organization	71
4.11	Internal reliability coefficients for all the item scales	74
4.12	Affective commitment	75
4.13	Affective commitment – Public and private radiographers	76
4.14	Continuance commitment	77
4.15	Continuance commitment - Public and private radiographers	77
4.16	Normative commitment	78
4.17	Normative commitment- Public and private radiographers	79
4.18	Organization culture and climate	81
4.19	Organization culture - Public and private radiographers	82
4.20	Management style from an organizational perspective	83
4.21	Management style from an organizational perspective-	
	Public and private radiographers	85
4.22	General physical working environment	86
4.23	General physical working environment-	
	Public and private radiographers	87
4.24	Head of department trust, support and leadership ability	88
4.25	Head of department trust, support and leadership ability-	
	Public and private radiographers	89
4.26	Supervisor trust, support and leadership ability	90
4.27	Supervisor trust, support and leadership ability-	
	Public and private radiographers	91

4.28	Career development			
4.29	Career development - Public and private radiographers			
4.30	Role ambiguit	ty	94	
4.31	Role ambiguity - Public and private radiographers			
4.32	Role conflict		95	
4.33	Role conflict-	Public and private radiographers	96	
4.34	Social suppor	t	97	
4.35	Social suppor	t - Public and private radiographers	98	
4.36	Workload		100	
4.37	Workload - Po	ublic and private radiographers	100	
4.38	Shift work		102	
4.39	Shift work - P	ublic and private radiographers	103	
4.40	Emotional and	d mental symptoms of occupational stress	104	
4.41	Emotional and	d mental symptoms of occupational stress-		
	Public and pri	ivate radiographers	108	
4.42	Physical sym	ptoms of occupational stress	109	
4.43	Physical sym	ptoms of occupational stress –		
	Public and pri	ivate radiographers	110	
4.44	Coping mech	anism applied by radiographers	111	
4.45	Mechanism of coping - Public and private radiographers			
4.46	Organizational commitment			
4.47	Organizational commitment and level of occupational stress			
4.48	Organizational commitment and sources of occupational stress			
4.49	Relationship between levels of OS			
4.50	Levels of occ	upational stress and mechanisms of		
	coping applie	d by radiographers	118	
4.51	Sources of oc	ccupational stress and mechanism of coping		
	applied by rad	diographers	119	
APPE	NDIX 4			
Tables	representing for	requency item distribution and item correlation		
	scores for each	ch item of the questionnaire		
Tables	A1 – A6	Affective commitment (V18 – V23)	188-190	
Tables	A7 – A11	Continuance commitment (V24 – V28)	190-192	
Tables	A12 – A17	Normative commitment (V29 – V34)	192-194	
Tables	A18 – A42	Emotional and mental symptoms of OS (V35 V59)	194-20	
Tables	A43 – A52	Organization climate and culture (V60 – V69)	202-20	
Tables	A53 – A63	Management style within an		
		organizational context (V/70 – V/80)	205-200	

Tables A64 A72	General physical working environment (V81 – V89)	209-212
Tables A73 A81	HOD trust, support and leadership	
	ability (V90 –V98)	212-215
Tables A82 – A90	Supervisor trust, support and leadership	
	ability (V99-V107)	215-218
Tables A91 – A98	Career development (V108- V115)	218-220
Tables A99 – A102	Role ambiguity (V116 – V119)	220-221
Tables A103 - A107	Role conflict (V120 – V124)	222-223
Tables A108 – A118	Social support (V125-V135)	224-227
Tables A119 - A130	Workload (V136 – V147)	227-230
Tables A131 – A139	Shift work (V148 – V156)	230-233
Tables A140 - A 147	Mechanisms of coping applied by	
	radiographers (V157 – V164)	233-236
Tables A148 – A156	Physical symptoms of occupational	
	stress (V165 – V173)	236-239

This dissertation is dedicated to:

My parents Mr & Mrs P Makanjee Master Mayush N Ambelal Mr Milind Borkar

Acknowledgements

Special thanks are extended to:

Prof R Kirberger, Prof A Carstens and Sister B Olivier

Mayush and Milind

Mr and Mrs P Makanjee

Mrs N Ebrahim

Prof I C Uys, my study leader

Mrs Y Hartzer, my supervisor

Mrs M Roux - Pretoria Academic Hospital

Mrs R Owen - Department of Statistics - University of Pretoria

Ms G Dry – for editing the dissertation

Mrs P Bester -- Department of CACS - University of Pretoria

The entire staff of the Department of Radiography at the Medical University of South Africa for taking part in the pilot study

Sonita Sohan, Anetta van der Linde, Bettie van Staden and Mumtaaz Limbada

Head of sections and radiographers who participated in this study for without them there would have been no study

Abstract

The aim:

The main aim of this study is to determine the effect of occupational stress and organizational commitment on diagnostic imaging radiographers in rendering quality service.

Background:

In the past two years radiography staff turnover at the public and private health organizations has increased by 45% and 50%. Increased occupational stress and burnout are some of the main reasons for staff leaving the organization. Limited studies were found on occupational stress amongst diagnostic imaging radiographers and no studies could be found on organizational commitment amongst diagnostic imaging radiographers at the time of conducting the study. The link between organization health services and patient outcomes is rarely tested empirically.

Materials and method:

It was a descriptive correlational study. The sample comprised 123 radiographers who completed a self-administered questionnaire.

Results:

There was a 97% response rate. Organization commitment was moderate towards a tendency of low. Sources of occupational stress, namely workload and role conflict were high, whilst social support and role ambiguity were low. Radiographers relied on problem-solving mechanisms to cope with stress. Therefore the level of occupational stress experienced was generally moderate, but with a slight tendency towards high.

Discussion:

There was a relationship between level and sources of occupational stress. No relationship was found between a low level of organizational commitment and a high level of occupational stress. A relationship was found between sources, levels of occupational stress and organizational commitment. Thus, the level of service delivery is affected by organizational commitment and occupational stress experienced by radiographers.

Conclusion and recommendations:

The overall context within which an organization functions has an effect on radiographers' quality of working life and this directly impacts on the behaviour of the radiographer during a service encounter. Therefore, from an organizational perspective it is important to create a positive working environment for radiographers and to improve their quality of working life. The overall function of the organization would benefit were management to shift from an authorative to a participative style. Workload and role conflict could be reduced by having protocols in place to minimize the variation in standard of the quality of work done.

Abstrak

Die doel:

Die hoofdoel van hierdie navorsing is om vas te stel wat die effek van beroepstres en organisatoriese verbintenis op diagnostiese algemene radiograwe is tydens die lewering van kwaliteitsdiens.

Agtergrond:

Gedurende die afgelope twee jaar het die personeelomset onder radiograwe in openbare en privaat gesondheidsinstansies met tussen 45 en 50% toegeneem. 'n Toename in beroepstres en uitbranding tel onder die belangrikste redes waarom personeel organisasies verlaat. 'n Beperkte aantal studies is gevind oor beroepstres onder diagnostiese algemene radiograwe. Geen studies oor organisatoriese verbintenis onder diagnostiese algemene radiograwe is tydens die verloop van hierdie navorsing gevind nie. Die skakel tussen organisatoriese gesondheidsdienste en pasiëntuitkomste is selde empiries getoets.

Materiaal en metode:

Hierdie was 'n beskrywende korrelasie studie. Die steekproef het 123 radiografiste wat 'n selfgeadministreerde vraelys voltooi het behels.

Resultate:

'n Respons van 97% is behaal. Organisatoriese verbintenis was gemiddeld met 'n neiging tot laag. Die oorsake van beroepstres, naamlik werksdrukte en rol konflik was hoog, terwyl sosiale ondersteuning en rol dubbelsinnigheid laag was. Radiograwe het hulle op probleemoplossing meganismes verlaat tydens die hantering van stres. Gevolglik was die vlakke van beroepstres wat ondervind is oor die algemeen gemiddeld, maar met 'n geringe neiging tot hoog.

Bespreking:

Daar is 'n verhouding tussen die vlak en oorsprong van beroepstres. Geen verhouding is gevind tussen 'n lae vlak van organisatoriese verbintenis en 'n hoë vlak van beroepstres nie.

Daar is egter wel 'n verhouding gevind tussen oorsprong, vlakke van beroepstres en organisatoriese verbintenis. Gevolglik is die vlak van dienslewering geraak deur die organisatoriese verbintenis en beroepstres wat deur radiografiste ondervind is.

Gevolgtrekkings en aanbevelings:

Die oorkoepelende konteks waarin 'n organisasie funksioneer het 'n uitwerking op die gehalte diens wat deur radiografiste gelewer word. Vanuit 'n organisatoriese perspektief is dit gevolglik belangrik om 'n positiewe werkomgewing vir radiograwe te skep en hulle werkslewe dusdoende te verbeter. Die organisasie se algehele funksie sou daarby baat om van 'n outoritêre tot 'n deelnemende styl te verander. Werkslas en rol konflik kan verlaag word deur die opstel van protokolle om variasies in die standard van werk wat gelewer word te verminder.

Abbreviations/acronyms

A - Agree Ch - Chapter

CE - Continuous Education

CPD - Continuous Professional Development

CQI - Continuous Quality Improvement

DA - Disagree
Dev - Deviation

EAP - Employee Assistance Programme

Fig - Figure

HOD - Head Of Department KR - Kuder Richardson

N - Never

n - Number of respondents

O - Often

OC - Organizational Commitment

OS - Occupational Stress

p - Page

p - Pearson correlation coefficient valuePOS - Perceived Organizational Support

QA - Quality Assurance
QWL - Quality of Working Life
r - Pearson r correlation

R - Rarely

S - Sometimes
SA - Strongly agree
SDA - Strongly disagree

Sect. - Section
Std - Standard
V - Variable

WLQ - Work Life Questionnaire