

Using Catadioptrics for multidimensional interaction in Computer Graphics

by

James Lane

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Magister Scientiae

in the Faculty of Natural Science

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

Republic of South Africa

November 2001

Dedicated to my Lord Jesus Christ,
Who faithfully stood by me
And saw me through to the end

Thanks to Dad, Mom, John and Lona
Vali for her patience and invaluable help
Andrè Nel
Gerry and Robin for all their help and prayers
Frans, Jaques, Marde, Jeremy, Gernot, Jeurgen, Dr Eckles
and everybody from the
Virtual Environments group at GMD
and the University of Pretoria

Using Catadioptrics for multidimensional interaction in Computer Graphics

by

James Lane

Abstract

This thesis introduces the use of catadioptrics for multidimensional interaction in the approach called Reflections.

In computer graphics there is a need for multidimensional interaction that is not restricted by cabling connected to the input device. The use of a camera and computer vision presents a solution to the cabling problem. Unfortunately this solution presents an equally challenging problem: a single camera alone can not accurately calculate depth and is therefore not suitable for multidimensional interaction.

This thesis presents a solution, called reflections to this problem. Reflections makes use of only a single camera and one or more mirrors to accurately calculate 3D, 5D, and 6D information in real time.

Two applications in which this approach is used for natural, non-intrusive and multidimensional interaction are the Virtual Drums Project and Ndebele painting in virtual reality. The interaction in these applications and in particular the Virtual Drums is appropriate and intuitive, e.g. the user plays the drums with a real drumstick. Several computer vision algorithms are described in this thesis, which are used in the implementation of the Virtual Drums Project.

Thesis supervisor: Prof. V. Lalioti
Department of Computer Science
University of Pretoria

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Magister Scientiae

Die gebruik van Katadioptika vir multidimensionele interaksie in Rekenaar Grafika

deur

James Lane

Opsomming

Hierdie tesis bied die gebruik aan van katadioptika vir multidimensionele interaksie in die metode wat Refleksie genoem word.

In rekenaar grafika is daar 'n behoefte aan multidimensionele interaksie wat nie beperk word deur kables wat gekoppel is aan die invoer meganisme. Die gebruik van 'n kamera en rekenaarvisie is 'n oplossing vir hierdie probleem. Ongelukig presenteer hierdie oplossing 'n soortgelyke uitdagende probleem; 'n enkele kamera kan diepte nie akkuraat bereken nie en dit is daarom nie geskik vir multidimensionele interaksie nie. Daarom is die gebruik van 'n enkele kamera nie 'n geskikte oplossing vir multidimensionele interaksie nie.

Hierdie tesis stel 'n oplossing voor vir die probleem wat Refleksies genoem word. Refleksies maak gebruik van 'n enkele kamera en een of meer spieëls om 3D, 5D en 6D inligting akkuraat te bereken.

Twee toepassings waarin hierdie benadering gebruik word vir natuurlike nie indringende en multidimensionele interaksie, word in hierdie tesis aangebied, naamlik die Virtuele Dromme Projek en die Ndebele SkilderKuns in virtuele realiteit (VR). Die interaksie in hierdie toepassings en veral die Virtuele Dromme is gepas en intuïtief, byvoorbeeld die gebruiker speel die dromme met 'n regte dromstok. Verskillende rekenaarvisie algoritmes



wat in die implementering van die Virtuele Dromme Projek gebruik word, word in hierdie tesis beskryf.

Studie leier: Prof. V. Lalioti
Department Rekenaarwetenskap
Universiteit van Pretoria

Voorgele ter gedeeltelike vervulling van die vereistes vir die graad Magister Scientiae

Contents

| | |
|---|----------|
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Thesis Focus | 2 |
| 1.2 Layout of the Work | 2 |
| 2 Literature Study | 4 |
| 2.1 Virtual Reality | 5 |
| 2.1.1 Immersive Technologies | 6 |
| 2.1.2 Stereo Vision | 7 |
| 2.1.3 Display Systems | 9 |
| (a) Stereoscopic Monitors | 10 |
| (b) Workbenches | 11 |
| (c) Caves | 11 |
| 2.1.4 Spatial Audio | 13 |
| 2.1.5 Tracking | 14 |
| 2.1.6 Sensory Technology for Tracking and Interaction | 15 |
| a) Contact Sensing Technologies | 15 |
| b) Non-contact Sensing Technologies | 16 |
| 2.1.7 Interaction in VR | 18 |
| 2.2 Interaction | 19 |
| 2.2.1 Interaction Metaphors for Virtual Environments | 20 |
| 2.2.2 Natural Interaction | 21 |
| 2.2.3 Non-intrusive Interaction | 24 |
| 2.2.4 Examples of Natural and Non-intrusive Interaction | 25 |
| 2.3 Computer Vision | 27 |
| 2.3.1 Overview | 28 |
| 2.3.2 Colour, Light and Infrared | 30 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 2.3.3 | Meaningful Features | 31 |
| 2.3.4 | Tracking | 33 |
| 2.3.5 | The 2D to 3D Problem | 35 |
| | (a) Single Camera Solutions | 35 |
| | (b) Multiple Camera Solutions | 36 |
| 2.4 | Catadioptrics | 37 |
| 2.5 | Summary | 39 |
| 3 | Theory | 41 |
| 3.1 | Reflections | 42 |
| 3.1.1 | Overview | 42 |
| 3.1.2 | Approach | 46 |
| 3.1.3 | Relative Orientation | 48 |
| 3.1.4 | Apparatus | 49 |
| | (a) The Image Capture Device | 49 |
| | (b) The Reflective Surfaces | 50 |
| | (c) The Computer, the Tracked Object and Lighting | 51 |
| 3.1.5 | Image Formation | 52 |
| | (a) Epipolar Geometry | 53 |
| | (b) Rectified Catadioptric Stereo | 54 |
| 3.1.6 | Camera Mirror Setup | 56 |
| 3.1.7 | 3D Calculation | 58 |
| | (a) Physics | 58 |
| | (i) Light | 58 |
| | (ii) Mirrors | 59 |
| | (iii) The Pinhole Camera Model | 61 |
| | (b) Calibration | 63 |
| | (i) Calculating the Focal Length and Angle of View | 64 |
| | (ii) Assumptions and Setup | 66 |
| | (c) The Mathematical Model | 67 |
| | (d) The Pre-Processing Step | 68 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| (e) From the Film Plane to the Real World | 71 |
| (f) Initial Calculations | 73 |
| (g) Geometric & Trigonometric Calculation | 74 |
| (h) Algebraic Alternative | 76 |
| 3.1.8 5D/6D Calculation | 78 |
| 3.2 Computer Vision | 82 |
| 3.2.1 Colour & Inverse Chroma Keying | 82 |
| (a) Colour | 83 |
| (b) A Fast Chroma Keying Algorithm | 85 |
| 3.2.2 Image Moments | 86 |
| 3.2.3 Stereo Matching | 88 |
| 3.2.4 SUSAN | 90 |
| (a) Edge Detection | 92 |
| (b) Corner Detection | 95 |
| 3.3 Tracking | 97 |
| 3.3.1 A Window Based Tracker | 97 |
| 3.3.2 A Predictive Curve Tracker | 98 |
| 3.3.3 Multiple Object Tracking | 99 |
| 3.4 Summary | 100 |
| 4 Reflections in Interactive Applications | 102 |
| 4.1 Design and Implementation | 103 |
| 4.1.1 The Physical Installation Process | 104 |
| 4.1.2 The Computational Process | 106 |
| 4.2 Virtual Drums | 108 |
| 4.2.1 The Application | 108 |
| (a) Visualization | 108 |
| (b) Sound | 109 |
| (c) Interaction | 110 |
| 4.2.2 The Prototype | 111 |
| 4.2.3 The AVANGO Implementation | 113 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| (a) The Computer Vision and Tracking Algorithms | 118 |
| (b) The 5DOF Extension | 123 |
| (c) Tracking Multiple Drumsticks | 125 |
| 4.3 Ndebele Paintings | 126 |
| 4.3.1 The Existing Application | 127 |
| 4.3.2 Ndebele Painting Meets Reflections | 128 |
| 4.4 Development Platform | 130 |
| 4.5 Implementing Reflections in Different Environments | 130 |
| 4.5.1 Desktop | 131 |
| 4.5.2 Responsive Workbench | 131 |
| 4.5.3 CyberStage | 132 |
| 4.6 Summary | 132 |
| 5 Results | 134 |
| 5.1 Accuracy | 135 |
| 5.1.1 Position | 135 |
| 5.1.2 Angular Accuracy | 137 |
| 5.1.3 Stability | 140 |
| 5.1.4 Interaction Volume | 142 |
| 5.2 Speed | 142 |
| 5.3 Performance of the Computer Vision Algorithms | 143 |
| 5.3.1 Efficiency of the Algorithms | 143 |
| 5.3.2 Effectiveness of the Algorithms | 148 |
| 5.4 Tracker Performance | 150 |
| 5.5 Summary | 155 |
| 6 Conclusions and Future Work | 156 |
| 6.1 Conclusions | 157 |
| 6.2 Future Work | 158 |



7 Bibliography

160

List of Figures

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 2.1 Pictures of Virtual Worlds | 5 |
| Figure 2.2 Binocular Disparity | 8 |
| Figure 2.3 A Picture of CyberStage | 13 |
| Figure 2.4 Elements of Interaction | 20 |
| Figure 2.5 Classes of Interaction for 3D User Interfaces | 21 |
| Figure 2.6 Effects of Natural Interaction | 22 |
| Figure 2.7 Illustration of a Pixel and a Frame and their Representation in Memory | 28 |
| Figure 2.8 Features Obtained During Image Analysis | 29 |
| Figure 2.9 Illustration of the 2D to 3D Problem | 35 |
| Figure 2.10 Catadioptric Stereo Sensor | 38 |
| Figure 3.1 Illustration of a Camera and Mirror Setup | 43 |
| Figure 3.2 Illustration of Region of Interaction | 44 |
| Figure 3.3 Illustration of Where the Image Analysis Phase Locates the Object and its Reflection in an Image | 45 |
| Figure 3.4 Views of a Camera and Mirror Setup | 46 |
| Figure 3.5 Geometry of Catadioptric Stereo | 47 |
| Figure 3.6 Relative Orientation | 48 |
| Figure 3.7 Picture Taken by Camera in a Simple Reflective Setup | 53 |
| Figure 3.8 Epipolar Lines Must Meet at the Image Projection of the Screw Axis, m | 54 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 3.9 Single Mirror Rectified Catadioptric Stereo Sensor | 56 |
| Figure 3.10 Region of Interaction | 57 |
| Figure 3.11 Illustration of Overlapping Fields of View | 57 |
| Figure 3.12 Behavior of Light at a Flat Reflective Surface | 60 |
| Figure 3.13 The Pinhole Camera | 61 |
| Figure 3.14 The View Angle of a Pinhole Camera | 61 |
| Figure 3.15 Measurements to be Taken to Find the Angle of View | 64 |
| Figure 3.16 Dimensions of Image | 65 |
| Figure 3.17 Mathematical Model | 67 |
| Figure 3.18 Illustration of Measurements | 68 |
| Figure 3.19 The Different Co-ordinate Bases | 70 |
| Figure 3.20 Location of Points in an Image | 71 |
| Figure 3.21 Similar Triangles | 72 |
| Figure 3.22 Trig/Geometric View of the Mathematical Model | 75 |
| Figure 3.23 Illustration of Reflecting the Point about the Mirror | 77 |
| Figure 3.24 Calculation of 5D/6D Information | 78 |
| Figure 3.25 The System of Axes after Applying All the Rotations | 79 |
| Figure 3.26 Spherical Polar Co-ordinates | 80 |
| Figure 3.27 System After Rotating by $-\phi$ and by $-(90 - \theta)$ | 80 |
| Figure 3.28 RGB Colour Space | 84 |
| Figure 3.29 HLS Color Model Viewed From Above | 84 |
| Figure 3.30 First Set of Constraints | 86 |
| Figure 3.31 Illustration of Image Moments | 87 |
| Figure 3.32 Features Calculated by Image Moments | 87 |
| Figure 3.33 SUSAN Masks at Different Positions in an Image | 90 |
| Figure 3.34 A Typical SUSAN Mask | 92 |
| Figure 3.35 Two Different Edge Types | 93 |
| Figure 3.36 Testing Contiguity | 96 |
| Figure 3.37 A simple Window Tracking System | 97 |
| Figure 3.38 Segmenting Occluded Objects | 100 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 4.1 Flowchart of a General Reflections System | 103 |
| Figure 4.2 Flow Chart of the Computational Process | 106 |
| Figure 4.3 Components of a Virtual Drum Kit | 108 |
| Figure 4.4 A Yamaha Drum Kit | 109 |
| Figure 4.5 Visualization of the Original Virtual Drum Kit | 111 |
| Figure 4.6 Visualization of the Drum Kit in the CyberStage Implementation | 114 |
| Figure 4.7 Desktop Installation of the Camera and Mirrors for the Virtual Drums Application | 115 |
| Figure 4.8 Blue Drumsticks Tracked in the desktop Virtual Drums Installation | 116 |
| Figure 4.9 Light Stick Used in the CyberStage Virtual Drum Kit | 117 |
| Figure 4.10 The Computer Vision Algorithm Used in the Practical Implementation of the Virtual Drums Project | 118 |
| Figure 4.11 Illustration of a Catadioptric Stereo Image of a Blue Drumstick | 119 |
| Figure 4.12 SUSAN Applied to a Drumstick and a Piece of Paper | 120 |
| Figure 4.13 Image Moments of a Drumstick | 121 |
| Figure 4.14 Visualization of the Mathematical Model | 122 |
| Figure 4.15 Tracking a Blue Drumstick | 123 |
| Figure 4.16 Finding the Endpoints of a Drumstick | 123 |
| Figure 4.17 Illustration of the Test for One or Two Drumsticks | 126 |
| Figure 4.18 Ndebele Paintings | 127 |
| Figure 4.19 Ndebele Painting in VR | 127 |
| Figure 4.20 The Light Candle and Camera Used in Ndebele Painting | 129 |
| Figure 4.21 Reflections in Ndebele Paintings | 129 |
| Figure 5.1 Graph of Results of Trigonometric 3D Calculation | 135 |
| Figure 5.2 Accuracy of 3D Calculation using the Algebraic Approach | 137 |
| Figure 5.3 Image Moments of A4 Page Used in Angular Tests | 139 |
| Figure 5.4 Endpoints Found by Image Moments | 139 |
| Figure 5.5 Graph Illustrating the Number of Extreme Erroneous Results | 141 |
| Figure 5.6 Average Processing Time for the Reflections Algorithm | 143 |
| Figure 5.7 Processing Time for the Different Components of the System | 144 |
| Figure 5.8 Two different views of a Drumstick | 146 |
| Figure 5.9 Image Before Application of the Algorithms | 148 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 5.10 Image After Chroma Keying is Applied to it | 149 |
| Figure 5.11 SUSAN Applied to Image | 149 |
| Figure 5.12 Affects of the Flood Fill Algorithm | 150 |
| Figure 5.13 Calculation of Image Moments | 150 |
| Figure 5.14 Region of Interaction in which Tracking is Performed | 151 |
| Figure 5.15 Number of Frames Object Tracked in Over 10 Seconds | 151 |
| Figure 5.16 Movement of the Drumstick Over 10 Seconds | 152 |
| Figure 5.17 Rapid Motion of Drumstick | 153 |
| Figure 5.18 Number of Frames for which the Drumstick is Tracked in the Different Views . | 153 |
| Figure 5.19 Effects of Rapid Movement on SUSAN Algorithm | 154 |

List of Tables

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 5.1 Trigonometric 3D Calculation Accuracy Results | 135 |
| Table 5.2 Algebraic 3D Calculation Accuracy Results | 137 |
| Table 5.3 Angular Accuracy Results | 138 |
| Table 5.4 Stability Results for 3D Calculation Using the Algebraic Approach | 140 |
| Table 5.5 Results of a Single Trial for Angular Stability | 141 |
| Table 5.6 Average Processing Time for the Reflections Algorithm | 143 |
| Table 5.7 Results of the Chroma Keying and SUSAN Algorithms | 145 |
| Table 5.8 Results of Image Moments and the Fast Flood Fill Algorithm | 146 |
| Table 5.9 Results of the 3D and 6D Calculation Algorithms | 147 |
| Table 5.10 Time to Track a Single Drumstick | 147 |
| Table 5.11 Total of the Combined Times for the Different Algorithms | 148 |
| Table 5.12 Tracking Results | 152 |
| Table 5.13 Tracking Results for Faster Movement | 154 |