

SUMMARY: The aims of the present study were to describe the diet, space utilization and activity patterns of leopards (*Panthera pardus*) in two farming areas of the Waterberg, namely the Naboomspruit and Melk River areas.

The aims of the present study of *Panthera pardus* were to describe their diet relative to prey abundance, especially pertaining to domestic livestock in two farming areas of the Waterberg namely the Naboomspruit and Melk River areas. Space utilization and activity patterns of a male and female leopard were also investigated in the Naboomspruit study area. These data together with information gained with the translocation of five individual leopards, and experiments on different capture techniques, were used to propose a leopard conservation strategy in farming areas of the Transvaal with special reference to stock protection measures.

Different live capture techniques were investigated, whereby variations on conventional box-traps as well as modifications on gin-traps showed application potential.

The effective home range sizes of a radio collared male and female leopards were calculated at 303 km^2 and 157 km^2 respectively. Both leopards were not found in all parts of their home ranges, equally often. There were usually sectors of concentrated use. The male leopard in the Naboomspruit study area moved a mean distance of 8,6 km (range 0,6 – 21,5km) per diel tracking period. The female averaged a distance of 6,1 km (range 0,2 – 12,2 km) per diel tracking period. Radio tracking data together with spoor identifications showed a leopard density of one leopard per 53 km^2 .

Both leopards were predominantly nocturnal with some

crepuscular activity. In the male's total activity pattern scenario two peaks were apparent, the first during the period 18h00 to 19h00 the second from period 04h00 to 05h00. The female showed a sharp peak between 18h00 to 19h00 and 19h00 to 20h00. Peaks of activity were apparent throughout the night with a noticeable decline between 02h00 and 03h00.

The opportunistic feeding habits of leopards were also apparent in this study. In the Melk River study area, ungulate hair was found in 76,3 % of the scats, impala being the best represented at 33,3 %. Cattle hair was found in 2,5 % of the scats. In addition carcass observations showed that eight cattle calves were confirmed to have been killed by leopards. Ages of calves taken differed from new-born to 103 days old, with an average age of 22 days. Mammals other than ungulates featured in 35,8 % of the scats. In the Naboomspruit study area, 67,5 % of the scats contained the hair of ungulates, impala contributing 18,9 %. Leopards took 11 cattle calves over a period of 11 months (September 1986 – July 1987). The ages of the calves varied from new-born, to 90 days old, with an average of 21 days. Mammals other than ungulates featured in 40,5 % of the scats. Information recorded on prey capture and feeding behaviour (especially involving cattle calves) were used in the creation of a calf protection strategy.

Different aspects of leopard translocations i.e. post release movements, factors involved in preventing homing behaviour or factors that negatively influence an individual leopard settling in a released area, were investigated to determine the

feasibility of translocations to areas where they were partly or totally exterminated due to reasons which don't prevail anymore. However, in evaluating the feasibility of translocations in the Transvaal, it is important to examine the different criteria being used to enable possible justification or disapproval of the action. The two objects of a translocation to be fulfilled before it can be considered a success are first, a socio-economic commitment towards the landowner and secondly a conservation commitment towards the species. Socio-economic factors such as attitude of the people in control of the original area concerned, should be taken into consideration in that the "problem" must be solved. Translocation actions could be considered a success even though individuals had moved out of the area of release and settled elsewhere in the vicinity. As long as they could complement or strengthen a leopard population anywhere without being problem leopards. A problem leopard is therefore seldom suitable for translocation.

In the creation of a proposed leopard conservation strategy for the Transvaal an attempt was first made to estimate distribution and density of leopards in the Transvaal. By extrapolating information gained from radio collared leopards in this study and elsewhere in southern Africa a density estimate of 1642 individuals was made, outside the Kruger National Park, Independent States and selfgoverning States.

Although information on different aspects of gene flow of free ranging leopards is lacking, the leopard populations in

the Transvaal are currently to a large extent linked, which makes natural gene flow a possibility. Although suitable areas for leopards thus exist, these may not be available as homogeneous units in the future, due to increasing human pressure. It is therefore important to start concentrating on the monitoring of habitats providing leopards with strongholds. What is proposed is not to isolate these identified habitats which would comprise thousands of hectares as nature reserves, but rather request that they be reserved in the future as "nature areas" under the S.A. Plan for Nature Conservation, administered by the Department of Environmental Affairs in conjunction with the provincial authorities.

The leopard/cattle contact problem can to a large extent be overcome by adapting proper stock management to the threat. On farms where such measures are impractical, prevention of stock losses must be through supplementary actions such as physical barriers during high risk periods. The different applications of electrified fences showed potential. The leopard/game farm conflict will be solved by making the leopard more available for trophy hunting and thereby turning it into an economic asset. A strict well controlled scientifically based permit system, together with a licence system regarding the possession of leopard skins and trophies is however essential.

Different capturing devices being used to eliminate individual leopards (e.g. gintraps etc.) must also be made illegal and only used under controlled circumstances by authorized individuals, for the exclusive control of problem

leopards. Poison will always be available, the only option is to inform and educate landowners and the general public about the destructive effect of poisons on an ecosystem.

The implications of leopard conservation are greater than merely for aesthetical considerations. As the largest predator in most farming areas of the Transvaal, they have a definite functional role to play in balancing and stabilizing the different ecosystems. Leopard populations outside large sanctuaries in the Transvaal are not yet in danger of extinction, but it would be naive to think that they are stable or even increasing. Although prospects appear gloomy, farmers are sympathetic, and will cooperate if stock losses can be limited, and the game farm problem solved. The biggest long-term threat however to the future survival of leopards still is the reduction of their natural habitat. What is of importance is that we still have some time to experiment with our options to ensure the long term survival of these magnificent cats.

OPSUMMING Die doel van hierdie studie was om inligting te verkry oor die voeding van luiperde wat in die Naboomspruitgebied op 'n veeplaas voorkom. Die doel van hierdie studie van die luiperd *Panthera pardus* was om inligting in te win oor aspekte van voeding relatief tot die digtheid van prooi-spesies in twee boerdery gebiede van die Waterberg naamlik, die Naboomspruit- en Melkrivierareas.

Spesifieke verwysing is na die varg en voedingsgedrag van luiperds met betrekking tot beeskalwers gemaak. Verder is aspekte van ruimtebenutting en aktiwiteitspatrone van 'n manlike en vroulike individu in die Naboomspruitstudiegebied ondersoek. Hierdie data tesame met inligting ingewin ten opsigte van die translokering van vyf luiperds, asook met die eksperimentering van luiperdvangtegnieke, is gebruik in die daarstelling van 'n 'voorgestelde luiperdbewaringstrategie vir boerderygebiede van Transvaal. Klem is in die strategie ook ondermeer op veebeskermingsmaatreëls gelê.

Variasies op bestaande vanghakke en slagysters is ook ondersoek vir die lewendige vang van luiperds in verskillende omstandighede.

Die effektiewe loopgebied van 'n manlike en vroulike luiperd wat van radiomiekbande voorsien is, is vasgestel op 303 km^2 en 157 km^2 respektiewelik. In beide individue is loopgebiede nie eweredig benut nie, en was daar areas van voorkeur benutting. Die manlike dier in die Naboomspruitstudiegebied het 'n gemiddelde afstand van 8,6 km (variasie 0,6 – 21,5 km), en die vroulike dier 'n gemiddelde afstand van 6,1 km (variasie 0,2 – 12,2 km) per 24 uur sikelus beweeg.

Radio-opsporingsdata tesame met die identifisering van addisionele luiperds in die studiegebied dui op 'n luiperdigtheid van een luiperd per 53 km^2 in die Naboomspruitstudiegebied. Beide luiperds was oorwegend naglewend, maar het soms in die skemer aktiwiteit getoon. In die mannetjie se totale aktiwiteitsscenario was twee pieke opmerklik. Die eerste gedurende die periode 18h00 tot 19h00 en die tweede vanaf 04h00 05h00. Die wyfie het aktiwiteitspieke tussen 18h00 en 19h00 en weer tussen 19h00 en 20h00 getoon.

Die opportunistiese voedingsgedrag van luiperds was ook opmerklik in die studie. In die Melkrivierstudiegebied was die hare van hoefdiere in 76,3 % van die mismonsters teenwoordig, waarvan 33,3 % onder andere die van raaibakke bevat het. Beeshare is in 2,5 % gevind. Karkas ondersoeke het verder getoon dat luiperds verantwoordelik was vir die varing van agt beeskalfers in die gebied.

Die ouerdomme van die betrakte kalfers het gewissel tussen pasgebore en 103 dae, met 'n gemiddeld van 22 dae. Nie-haewige soogdiere was in 35,8 % van die mismonsters verteenwoordig. In die Naboomspruitstudiegebied het 67,5 % van die mismonsters die hare van hoefdiere bevat waarvan 18,9 % ondermeer raaibakhare bevat het. Elf beeskalfers is oor 'n tydperk van 11 maande (September 1986 – Julie 1987) gevang. Ouderdomme van hierdie kalfers het gewissel van pasgebore tot 90 dae, met 'n gemiddelde van 21 dae. Soogdiere anders as hoefdiere is in 40 % van die mismonsters gevind. Informasie met betrekking tot varing en voedingsgedrag vanveral beeskalfers is in die

daarstelling van 'n kalfbeskermingsstrategie gebruik (bees).

Verskillende aspekte van luiperdtranslokasies onder andere inisiele bewegings na vrylating, faktore wat terugkeringsbeïnvloed/vaarkom of 'n individu verhinder om in 'n gebied te vestig is ondersoek, om die lewensvatbaarheid van translokasies per se te bepaal. Die twee kriteria waaraan 'n translokasie-eksperiment moet voldoen alvorens dit 'n sukses kan wees is, eerstens 'n sosia-ekonomiese doelwit ten opsigte van die grondeienaar en tweedens 'n bewaringsdoelwit gerig op die spesie. Die sosia-ekonomiese doelwit behels dat die "probleem" verwyder moet word. 'n Translokasie poging kan dus as 'n sukses beskou word al beweeg die dier uit sy vrylatingsgebied, so lank 'n luiperdpopulasie elders aangevul word, sonder om skade aan te rig. Probleemluiperds is dus selde geskik vir translokasie.

In die daarstelling van 'n voorgestelde luiperdbewarings-strategie in die Transvaal, was dit ook nodig om meer inligting oor die verspreiding en getalle van luiperds te bekom. Deur gebruikmaking van inligting soos uit radio-opsporing in die Naboomspruitarea verkry, sowel as die ekstrapolering van navorsing elders in Suider Afrika, is 'n digtheidsberaming van 1642 individue gemaak. Dit geld vir gebiede in Transvaal (buite die Nasionale Krugerwildtuin en onafhanklike- en selfregerende state).

Alhoewel daar geen inligting oor die genevloeい in luiperdpopulasies beskikbaar is nie, is die meeste luiperdpopulasies in die Transvaal in 'n groot mate verbind, wat dus genevloeい 'n moontlikheid maak.

Alhoewel geskikte luiperdhabitat tans beskikbaar is, kan dit as gevolg van verhoogde ontwikkelingsdruk in die toekoms nie as homogenerie eenhede teenwoordig wees nie. Dit word dus voorgestel dat alle inligting oor luiperddigtheidsgebiede by die S.A. Plan vir Natuurbewaring, geadministreer deur die Departement van Omgewingssake in samewerking met Provinciale owerhede, geinkorporeer word.

Die luiperd/vee konflik kan tot 'n groot mate deur middel van doeltreffende veebestuursaksies opgelos word. Op please waar sodanige maatreels onprakties mag wees, behoort veeverliese deur middel van addisionele (gedurende hoe risiko periodes) fisiese hindernisse beperk te word. Die verskillende toepassings van elektriese heinings het in die verband groot potentiaal getoon.

Die luiperd/wildbaer konflik sal tot 'n groot mate opgelos word indien luiperds meer beskikbaar is as trofeediere en die se teenwoordigheid dus 'n bate vir die wildbaer is. 'n Streng, gekontroleerde, wetenskaplik gebaseerde permitstelsel, tesame met 'n lisensiesisteem vir die besit van luiperdvelle en trofee is egter essensieel.

Verskillende vangapparate byvoorbeeld slagysters moet onwettig verklaar word en slegs onder gekontroleerde toestande deur gemagtigde individue vir die eksklusiewe eliminering van probleem luiperds aangewend word. Gifstowwe sal egter altyd beskikbaar wees en die enigste wyse om die probleem aan te spreek is om die grondeiemaars op te voed oor die destruktiewe invloed van gifstowwe op ekosisteme.

Die implikasies van luiperdbewaring strek dus verder as slegs

estetiese redes. As die grootste roofdier in meeste boerderygebiede van Transvaal het luiperds 'n definitiewe funksionele rol in die balansering en stabilisering van die verskillende ekosisteme te speel. Luiperdpopulasies buite groot reservate is nie bedreigd nie, maar dit sal moeit wees om aan te neem dat luiperdpopulasies stabiel is, of aan die vergroot is. Alhoewel vooruitsigte maar vaal lyk, is die meeste boere baie positief veral as veeverliese beperk en die wildbaerkonflik opgelos kan word. Die grootste langtermyn bedreiging in die Transvaal is egter die verdwyning van luiperds se natuurlike habitat. Die belangrikste is egter, dat daar nog genoeg tyd is om met die verskillende opsies te eksperimenteer, om die langtermyn oorlewwing van hierdie magtige katte te verseker.

PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS

1. HUNTING AND TRAPPING

BEAR, C. P., 1990. Hunting and trapping.

Live capture of mammals for research purposes.

Hydrocarbon residues of foxes and other mammals.

PERSON, G.L., 1981. Predator-prey relationships.

South Africa, 1981. Predator-prey relationships.

SEWARD, L. S., 1980. Predator-prey relationships.

Great Smoky Mountains National Park, Tennessee, USA.

Wildlife management. 2nd ed. by M. R. L. and J. M. L. 1980.

Int. University Press, New York, USA.

REFERENCES

- ACOCKS, J.P.H. 1975. Veld types of South Africa.
Mem. Bot. Surv. S. Afr. 40 : 1 - 128.
- ALT, G.L., MATULA, G.J. JR, ALT, F.W. & LINDZEY, J.S. 1977. Movements of translocated nuisance blackbears of northeastern Pennsylvania. *Trans. Northeast Fish and Wildl. Conf.* 34 : 119 - 126.
- ANON, D.S. 1984. Drainage systems in the Waterberg. Dept. of Geography. University of Pretoria. (Unpubl. Mimeogr.)
- BAILEY, T.N. 1974. Social organization in a bobcat population. *J. Wildl. Mgmt* 38 : 435 - 446.
- BEAR, C.H., SEVERSON, R.E. & LINHART, S.B. 1978. Live capture of coyotes from a helicopter with ketamine hydrochloride. *J. Wildl. Mgmt* 42 : 452 - 454.
- BEASOM, S.L. 1974. Selectivity of predator control techniques in South Texas. *J. Wildl. Mgmt* 38 (4) : 837 - 844.
- BEEMAN, L.E. & PELTON, M.R. 1976. Homing of black bears in the Great Smoky Mountains National Park. In : Bears, Their biology and management. Ed Pelton M.R., Lentfer, J.W. & Folk, G.E. JR. Int. Union Conserv. Nat. Publ. New Ser. 40

BERTRAM, B.C.R. 1978. Pride of lions. J.M. Dent & Sons Ltd, London.

BERTRAM, B.C.R. 1980. Serengeti predators and their social systems. In : Serengeti : Dynamics of an Ecosystem. Ed Sinclair, A.R.E. & Norton - Griffiths, M. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

BOTHMA, J. DU P. & LE RICHE, E.A.N. 1984. Aspects of the ecology and the behaviour of the leopard Panthera pardus in the Kalahari Desert. Koedoe Suppl. 27 : 259 – 279.

BOTHMA, J. DU P. & LE RICHE, E.A.N. 1986. Prey preference and hunting efficiency of the Kalahari Desert leopard. In : Cats of the World : Biology, Conservation and Management. Ed Miller, S.D. & Everett, D.D. National Wildlife Federation, Washington, D.C., U.S.A. PP 389 – 414.

BOTHMA, J. DU P. & LE RICHE, E.A.N. 1989. Evidence of a flexible hunting technique in Kalahari leopards. S. Afr. J. Wildl. Res. 19 : 57 – 60.

BOVET, J. 1982. Homing behavior of mice : Test of a "Randomness" - Model. Z. Tierpsychol. 58 : 301 – 310.

BRAIN, C.K. 1981. The hunters or the hunted? An introduction to African cave taphonomy. Chicago, University Press.

BROWN, L.H. 1971. East Africa : Mountains and Lakes. East African Publishing House, Nairobi.

BURT, W.H. 1943. Territoriality and home range concepts as applied to mammals. J. Mammal. 24 : 346 - 352.

CARLEY, C.J. 1981. Red wolf experimental translocation project summarized. WCSRC / Wolf Sanctuary Bull. Winter 1981 : 4 - 7, Spring 1981 : 8 - 9.

COLLINSON, R.F.H. 1985. Selecting wildlife sensususing techniques. Wildlife Monographs. University of Pietermaritzburg, Pietermaritzburg.

COOK, JUDITH. A. & TERMAN, C.R. 1977. Influence of displacement distance and vision on homing behaviour of the white - footed mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus noveboracensis*) J. Mamm. 58: 58 - 66.

CORBETT, L.K. 1979. Feeding ecology and social organization of wildcats (*Felis silvestris*) and domestic cats (*Felis catus*) in Scotland. Ph.D. thesis, University of Aberdeen, Scotland. 296 pp.

CRAIGHEAD, F.C. 1976. Grizzly bear ranges and movement as determent by radio - tracking. In Bears, Their biology and Management. Ed Pelton, M.R. & Lentfer, J.W. Int. Union Conserv. Nat. New. Ser. 40. Morges, Switzerland. pp. 97 - 109.

DU TOIT, A.L. 1954. The Geology of South Africa. Oliver & Boyd,
Edinburgh.

EATON, R.L. 1978. The conservation of the leopard in Africa :
towards an authentic philosophy of conservation. Carnivore
1 (3/4) pp. 1 – 75.

EISENBURG, J.F. 1986. Life history strategies of the felidae :
variations on a common theme. In : Cats of the World : Biology,
Conservation and Management, Ed Miller S.D. & Everett D.D.,
National Wildlife Federation, Washington, D.C., pp 293 – 310.

ELOFF, F.C. 1973. Ecology and behaviour of the Kalahari lion.
In: The World's Cats, Vol 1, Ed Eaton, R. World Wildlife Safari,
Winston, Oregon, U.S.A. pp 90 – 126.

ELTRINGHAM, S.K. 1979. The ecology and conservation of large
African mammals. Macmillan Press, London.

ENGLUND, J. 1982. A comparison of injuries to leg-hold trapped
and foot-snared red foxes. J. Wildl. Mgmt 46 : 1113 – 1117.

ERICKSON, A.W. & PETRIDES, G.A. 1964. Population structure,
movements and mortality of tagged bears in Michigan. In : The
black bear in Michigan. Ed Erickson, A.W., Nellar, J. &
Petrides, G.A. Mich. Agric. Exp. Sta. Res. Bull. 4. pp 46 – 67.

ESTERHUIZEN, W.C.N. & NORTON, P.M. 1985. The leopard as a problem animal in the Cape Province, as determined by the permit system. Bontebok 4 : 9 - 16.

ESTES, R.D. 1967. Predators and Scavengers. Nat. Hist. 76 (2) : 20 - 29 ; 76 (3) : 38 - 47.

EWER, R.F. 1973. The Carnivores. Weidenfeld and Nicholson, London. 494 pp.

FENDLEY, T.T. & BUIE, D.E. 1986. Seasonal home range and movement patterns of the bobcat on the Savanna River Plant. In : Cats of the World : Biology, Conservation and Management Ed Miller S.D. & Everett D.D. National Wildlife Federation, Washington, D.C. pp 237 - 265.

FRITTS, S.H., PAUL, W.J. & MECH, D.L. 1984. Movements of translocated wolves in Minnesota. J. Wildl. Mgmt 48 : 709 - 721.

FURRER, R.K. 1973. Homing of Peromyscus maniculatus in the channeled scablands of east-central Washington. J. Mamm. 54 : 466 - 482.

GIBB, J.A., WARD, C.P. & WARD, G.D. 1978. Natural control of a population of rabbits, Oryctolagus cuniculus (Linnaeus) for ten years in the Kuarau enclosure. New Zealand D.S.I.R. Bulletin 223, 89 pp.

HARPER, C. R. 1979. A study of the home range of black bears.
GITTLEMAN, J.L. & HARVEY, P.H. 1982. Carnivore home-range size,
metabolic needs and ecology. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 10 : 57 -
63.

HAMILTON, P.H. & HOBSON, L.B. 1981. *Wol en jackalvoering : Howling*

GREEN, B. 1976. The use of etorphine hydrochloride (M99) in the
capture and immobilization of wild dingoes, *Canis familiaris*
dingo. *Aust. Wildl. Res.* 3 : 123 - 128.

GRIFFO, J.V., JR. 1961. A study of homing in the cotton mouse,
Peromyscus gossypinus. *Am. Midl. Nat.* 65 : 257 - 289.

GRIMBEEK, A.M. 1984. Territorialiteit : Teorie en Praktyk. B.Sc.
Hons. thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria.

GROBLER, J.H. & WILSON, V.J. 1972. Food of the leopard (*Panthera*
pardus Linnaeus) in the Rhodes Matopos National Park, Rhodesia,
as determined by faecal analysis. *Arnoldia* 5 (35) : 1 - 9.

HAMILTON, P.H. 1976. The movements of leopards in Tsavo National
Park, Kenya, as determined by radio-tracking. M.Sc. thesis,
University of Nairobi.

HAMILTON, P.H. 1981. The leopard (*Panthera pardus*) and the
cheetah (*Acinonyx jubatus*) in Kenya. Report for U.S. Wildlife
Service, the African Wildlife Leadership Foundation and
Government of Kenya.

HARGER, E.M. 1970. A study of homing behavior of black bears.
M.A. thesis, Northern Michigan Univ. Marquette. pp 81.

HARRINGTON, F.H. & MECH, L.D. 1983. Wolf pack spacing : Howling
as a territory - independent spacing mechanism in a territorial
population. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 12 : 161 - 168.

HENSHAW, R.E. & STEPHENSON, R.O. 1974. Homing in the gray wolf
(*Canis lupus*). *J. Mamm.* 55 : 234 - 237.

HENSCHEL, R.J. 1986. The socio - ecology of a spotted hyaena
Crocuta crocuta clan in the Kruger National Park. D.Sc. thesis.
University of Pretoria, Pretoria.

HORNOCKER, M.G. 1969. Winter territoriality in mountain lions.
J. Wildl. Manag. 33 : 457 - 464.

HORNOCKER, M.G 1970. An analysis of mountain lion predation upon
mule deer and elk in the Idaho Primitive Area. *Wildl. Monogr.*
21 : 1 - 39.

HORNOCKER, M.G & BAILEY, T.N. 1986. Natural regulation in three
species of felids. In : Cats of the World. Ed Miller, S.D. &
Everett, D.D. National Wildlife Federation, Washington, D.C. pp
211 - 220.

HORNICKER, M.G., MESSICK, J. & MELQUIST, W. 1983. Spatial strategies in three species of Mustelidae. *Acta Zool. Fennica* 174 : 185 - 188.

HUMPHREY, S.R. 1985. How species become vulnerable to extinction and how we can meet the crisis. In *Animal Extinctions*. Ed Hoage, R.J. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C. London.

HUNTLEY, B.J. 1982. Southern African Savannas. In : *Ecology of Tropical Savannas, Ecological Studies*, No 42. Ed Huntley, B.J. & Walker, B.H. Springer – Verlag, Berlin, pp 101 - 119.

HUNTLEY, B.J. 1984. Characteristics of South African biomes. In : *Ecological Effects of Fire in South African Ecosystems*. Ed Booysen, P. de V. & Tainton, N.M. Springer – Verlag, Berlin.

INGLIS, J.M. 1981. The forward-null twin-yagi antenna array for aerial radio-tracking. *Wildl. Soc. Bull.* 9 : 222-225.

KAUFMANN, J.H. 1983. On the definitions and functions of dominance and territoriality. *Biol. Rev.* 58 : 1 - 20.

KLEIMAN, D.G. & EISENBERG, J.R. 1973. Comparisons of Canid and Felid Systems from an Evolutionary Perspective. *Anim. Behav.* 21 : 637 - 659.

KOLENOSKY, G.B. & JOHNSTON, D.H. 1967. Radio-tracking timber wolves in Ontario. *Am. Zool.* 7 : 289 - 303.

KRUUK, H. 1986. Interaction between Felidae and their prey species : a review. In : Cats of the World : Biology, Conservation and Management, Ed Miller, S.D. & Everett, D.D. National Wildlife Federation, Washington, D.C., U.S.A. pp 353 - 374.

KRUUK, H. & TURNER, M. 1967. Comparative notes on predation by lion, leopard, cheetah and wild dog in the Serengeti area, East Africa. *Mammalia* 31 : 1 - 27.

LE ROUX, P.G. & SKINNER J.D. 1989. A note on the ecology of the leopard (*Panthera pardus* Linnaeus) in the Londolozi Game Reserve, South Africa. *Afr. J. Ecol.* 27 : 167 - 171.

LEYHAUSEN, P. 1979. Cat behaviour. Translated by B.A. Tonkin. Garland Press. New York.

LEYHAUSEN, P. 1986. The Indian Tiger. In "Cat News" 4, February 1986. IUCN Cat Specialist Group Newsletter.

LIBERG, O 1981. Predation and social behaviour in a population of domestic cat. An evolutionary perspective. Ph.D. thesis University of Lund.

LIGHTFOOT, C.J. & NORVAL, R.A.I. 1981. Tick problems in wildlife in Zimbabwe. 1. The effects of tick parasitism on wild ungulates. *S. Afr. J. Wildl. Res.* 11 : 41 - 45.

LITVAITIS, J.A., SHERBURNE, J.A. & BISSONETTE, J.A. 1986. Bobcat habitat use and home range size in relation to prey density. J. Wildl. Mgmt 50 : 110 - 117.

LOTT, D.F. 1984. Intraspecific variation in the social systems of wild vertebrates. Behaviour. 88 : 266 - 325.

MACKINTOSH, J.H. 1973. Factors affecting the recognition of territory boundaries by mice (Mus musculus). Anim. Behav. 21 : 464 - 470.

MARTIN, R.B. & DE MEULENAER, T. 1987. Survey of the status of the leopard (Panthera pardus) in sub-saharan Africa. Report to the CITES Secretariat. Sixth meeting of the conference of the parties. Ottawa. Canada.

Mc ARTHUR, K.L. 1981. Factors contributing to effectiveness of black bear transplants. J. Wildl. Mgmt 45 : 102 - 110.

MC BRIDE, R.T. 1974. Predator protection collar for livestock. U.S. Patent No. 3,842,806. Registered, U.S. Patent Office, Washington, D.C.

MEAGHER, M. & PHILLIPS, J.R. 1983. Management of grizzly and black bears in Yellowstone National Park. Bear. Biol. Ass. Conf. Ser. 4.

MIERS, H. 1978. The use of a predator collar in African lions. Management of the African lion : 71-76.

MECH, L.D. 1970. The Wolf : The ecology and behavior of an endangered species. Nat. Hist. Doubleday, New York, N.Y. pp. 384.

MILLER, S.D. & BALLARD, W.B. 1982. Homing of transplanted Alaskan brown bears. J. Wildl. Mgmt 46 : 869 - 876.

MILLS, M.G.L. 1981. The socio-ecology and social behaviour of the brown hyaena, Hyaena brunnea (Thunberg, 1820) in the southern Kalahari. D.Sc. thesis, University of Pretoria.

MOHR, C.O. 1947. Table of equivalent populations of North American small mammals. Am. Midl. Nat. 37 : 223 - 249.

MONOD, T. 1965. Comment Discussion Section. In : African Ecology and Human Evolution. Ed Howell, F.C. & Bourliere, F. Methuen, London. pp 547 - 654.

MUCKENHIRN, N.A. & EISENBURG, J.F. 1973. Home ranges and predation of the Ceylon leopard. In : The World's Cats. Vol I. The big cats of Asia and Africa. Ed Eaton R.L. University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. pp 142 - 175.

MURIE, M. 1963. Homing and orientation of deer mice. J. Mamm. 44 : 338 - 349.

MYERS, N. 1976. The leopards Panthera pardus in Africa. IUCN Monograph 5 : 1 - 79 (Morges)

NOBILE, G.K. 1939. The role of dominance in the social life of birds. Auk. 56 : 263 - 273.

NORTON, P.M. 1984. Leopard conservation in South Africa. African Wildlife 38 : 191 - 196.

NORTON, P.M. & HENLEY, S.R. 1987. Home range and movements of male leopards in the Cedarberg Wilderness Area, Cape Province. S. Afr. J. Wildl. Res. 17 : 41 - 48.

NORTON, P.M. & LAWSON, A.B. 1985. Radio tracking of leopards and caracals in the Stellenbosch area, Cape Province. Bontebok 4 : 9 - 16.

NORTON, P.M., LAWSON, A.B. & AVERY, G. 1986. Prey of leopards in four mountainous areas of the south-western Cape Province. S. Afr. J. Wildl. Res. 16 : 47 - 58.

ODUM, E.P. & KUENZLER, E.J. 1955. Measurement of territory and home range size in birds. Auk. 72 : 128 - 137.

PENZHORN, B.L. 1971. A summary of the re-introduction of ungulates into South African national parks. Koedoe. 14 : 145 - 159.

PETTIFER, H.L. 1980. The experimental release of captive-bred cheetah (Acinonyx jubatus) into the natural environment. In : Proc. Worldwide Furbearer Conf. Maryland, U.S.A. pp 1001 - 1024.

PIENAAR, U. de V. 1969. Predator-prey relationships amongst the larger mammals of the Kruger National Park. Koedoe. 12 : 108 – 176.

ROBERTS, D.H. 1986. On the ecological separation between

ROBERTS, D.H. 1986. Determination of predators responsible for killing small livestock. S. Afr. J. Wildl. Res. 16 : 150 – 152.

ROBINSON, W.L. & FALLS, J.B. 1965. A study of homing of meadow mice. Am. Midl. Nat. 73 : 188 – 224.

ROGERS, L.L. 1977. Social relationships, movements and population dynamics of black bears in northeastern Minnesota. Ph.D. thesis. University of Minnesota, St. Paul. pp 194.

ROWE – ROWE, D.T. & GREEN, B. 1981. Steel-jawed traps for live capture of black-backed jackals. S. Afr. J. Wildl. Res. 11 : 63 – 65.

RUDNAI, J. 1974. The pattern of lion predation in Nairobi Park. S. Afr. Wildl. J. 12 : 213 – 225.

SANDELL, M. 1989. The mating tactics and spacing patterns of solitary carnivores. In : Carnivore behaviour, ecology and evolution. Ed Gittleman J.L. Cornell University Press. Ithaca, New York. pp 283 – 301.

SCHALLER, G.B. 1972. The Serengeti lion. Chicago University, Press.

SCHULZE, B. R. 1965. Climate of South Africa. Part 8. Government Printer, Pretoria.

SEIDENSTICKER, J. 1976. On the ecological separation between tigers and leopards. *Biotropica* 8 : 225 - 234.

SHEPPE, W. 1965. Dispersal by swimming in *Peromyscus leucopus*.

J. Mamm. 46 : 336 - 337.

SIMONS, J.W. 1966. The presence of leopard and a study of the food debris in the leopard lairs of the Mount Suswa Caves, Kenya. Bulletin of cave Exploration Group of East Africa.

Vol 1 : 50 - 69.

SINCLAIR, A.R.E. 1979. Dynamics of the Serengeti ecosystem. In : Serengeti : dynamics of an ecosystem. Ed Sinclair, A.R.E. & Norton-Griffiths, M.. Chicago University, Press.

SKINNER, J.D. & SMITHERS, R.H.N. 1990. The mammals of the southern African subregion 2nd edn. University of Pretoria, Pretoria.

SKINNER, J.D. & VAN AARDE, R.J. 1987. Range use by brown hyaenas *Hyaena brunnea* relocated in an agricultural area of the Transvaal. J. Zool. Lond. 212 : 350 - 352.

SMITH, R.M. 1977. Movement patterns and feeding behaviour of leopard in the Rhodes Matopas National Park, Rhodesia. Arsnoldia Rhod. 8 : 1 - 16.

TURNER, J. P. 1967. The leopard. Purnell, Cape Town.
SMITHERS, R.H.N. 1983. The mammals of the southern African subregion 1 st edn. University of Pretoria.

SMITHERS, R.H.N. 1986. South African red data book – terrestrial mammals. South African National Scientific Programmes Report No. 125. pp 211.

SMUTS, G.L. 1978. Interrelations between predators, prey and their environment. Bioscience 28 : 316 - 320.

STREAM, L. 1976. 1976 Lithium chloride coyote taste aversion experiment in Whitman County, Washington. Final report to Washington State Depart. Game, Olympia, Wash. 18 pp.

STUART, C.T. 1981. Notes on the mammalian carnivores of the Cape Province, South Africa. Bontebok 1 :1 - 58.

SUNQUIST, M. 1981. The social organization of tigers (Panthera tigris) in Royal Chitawan National Park, Nepal. Smithsonian Contrib. Zool. 336 : 1 - 98.

SUNQUIST, M.E. & SUNQUIST, FIONA.C. 1989. Ecological constraints on predation by large felids. In : Carnivore behaviour, ecology

and evolution. Ed Gittleman, J.L. Cornell University Press,
Ithaca, New York. pp 283 – 301.

TURNBULL-KEMP, P. 1967. The leopard. Howard Timmins, Cape Town.

VAN AARDE, R.J. & SKINNER, J.D. 1986. Pattern of space use by
relocated servals Felis serval. Afr. J. Ecol. 24 : 97 – 101.

WARD, R.M.P. & KREBS, C.J. 1985. Behavioural responses of lynx
to declining snowshoe hare abundance. Can. J. Zool. 63 : 2817 –
2824.

WEATHER BUREAU. 1986. Climate of South Africa. Government
Printer, Pretoria.

WEIGEL, I. 1975. Big felids and cheetah. In : Grzimek's Animal
Life Encyclopedia. Ed Grzimek, B. Nostrand & Reinhold Company,
London. Vol 12 : 335 – 344.

WEISE, T.F., ROBINSON, W.L., HOOK, R.A. & MECH, L.D. 1975. An
experimental translocation of the eastern timber wolf. Audubon
Conserv. Rep. 5. pp28.

WELLINGTON, J.H. 1955. Southern Africa, Vol 1, University Press,
Cambridge.

WHITEHOUSE, S. & STEVEN, D. 1977. A technique for aerial
radio-tracking. J. Wildl. Mgmt 41 : 771 – 775.