

**Aspects of the sociality, ecology, reproductive biology
and genetic relatedness of colonies of the highveld mole-
rat, *Cryptomys hottenetotus pretoriae*.**

By: Glen Malherbe

Supervised by: Prof. N. C. Bennett & Dr A. Schoeman

**Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements of a Master's Degree in
Zoology at the University of Pretoria, South Africa.**

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank, firstly, all of the mole-rats who have intrigued me for the last couple of years and for their co-operation (usually!). Without them I would not have been able to produce this work.

I would like to thank the following institutions for the support that they have granted me during the time that I have spent on this thesis:

The University of Pretoria for financial assistance in the form of an 'achievement bursary' during the first two years of study which significantly reduced tuition fees.

The Foundation for Research and Development (FRD) for financial assistance in the form of a 'grant-holders bursary' during the first two years of study which allowed me to concentrate on my research rather than on trying to support myself through permanent employment.

The University of Pretoria for financial assistance in the form a 'study abroad bursary' during my second year of study which enabled me to travel abroad and conduct research at a world-class institution (University of London). The research was innovative and highly skilled; being concerned with a fast-growing sector of scientific techniques.

HADECO Pty (Ltd) for the provision of geophytes of varying sizes and species in order to conduct the ecological section of this thesis and make the research more efficient and environmentally friendly as geophytes did not have to be harvested from the wild.

I would like to thank the following individuals for the support that they have granted me during the time I have spent on this thesis:

Professor Nigel C. Bennett (University of Pretoria) is thanked for his involvement in the planning and execution of my project(s); both financially and intellectually. His dearth and diversity of knowledge gave confidence to my queries. His calm nature always showed me to take things step-by step. Finally, his sense of humour always brought a smile to my face during endless hours of observations. Thanks Nige !!

Doctor Chris G. Faulkes (University of London) is thanked for his involvement in the research carried out in London. His intrigue in the mole-rats is second-to-none and was always a motivating factor in the laboratory. His easy-going nature was also welcoming to this unseasoned traveller. Thanks Chris !

Doctor Tamsin Burland (University of London) is thanked for her incredible patience, skill and teaching ability during my research in London. The learning curve was steep but I always felt comfortable enough to ask her any questions I might have had...several times over !!! Tamsin, you have incredible talent and such a warm, friendly, giving

personality. I wish you all the success you deserve in the future and may all of your dreams come true, beyond your imagination !!!

Doctor At Schoeman (University of Pretoria) is thanked for his financial contribution towards my laboratory and field trip costs while in London and in South Africa. Without his contribution, the research in London would not have been possible.

My sister and her husband, **Carrie and Gordon Cloete** respectively, are thanked for their contribution towards my research in London as they provided me with accommodation and food while I was in London on an extremely limited budget. Without their assistance, my stay in London could have only, realistically, been 3 weeks.

My mother, **Mama Novelli**, without whom it would not have been possible for me to get this far in life, is thanked for her inspiration and continued support of whatever I do. There are no words that can describe my appreciation.

And finally;

I would like to thank somebody that is very special in my life (affectionately known as **Muffin**) who never complained whenever I had had enough and was in a moaning mood. I would like to thank him for his silent motivation. I would also like to thank him for his technical support in getting this thesis printed. All his hours of company have contributed to the production of a high quality thesis and keeping me sane (well, a scientist can never be completely sane !!) Baie dankie !

Aspects of the sociality, ecology, reproductive biology and genetic relatedness of colonies of the highveld mole-rat, *Cryptomys hottentotus pretoriae*.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Introduction	1 -15
Introduction	1 – 10
Fossil Record	1
Taxonomy	2
Phylogeny within the Bathyergidae	2
Sociality	3 – 5
Dominance	5 – 6
Ecology	6 – 7
Reproduction and Development	7 – 8
Genetic Relatedness	8 – 9
The study species	9 – 10
Aims of the thesis	10
References	11 – 15
Chapter 2: Dominance	16 – 38
Abstract	16
Introduction	17 – 20
Methods and Materials	21 – 24
Data Collection	22
Results	25 – 26
Figures 1 – 2	i
Discussion	27 – 30
References	31 – 33
Appendix	34 – 38

Chapter 3: Ecology	39 - 62
Abstract	39
Introduction	40 - 43
Methods and Materials	44 - 47
Feeding	44
Foraging	45 - 47
Schematic I	ii
Results	48 - 52
Feeding	48
Absolute values	49
Foraging	49 - 51
Visitation quantification correlation	51 - 52
Figures 1 - 14	iii - x
Discussion	53 - 62
Feeding	53 - 55
Foraging	56 - 59
Correlation of quantification methodologies	59
References	60 - 62
 Chapter 4: Reproductive Biology and Postnatal Development	 63 - 99
Abstract	63
Introduction	64 - 68
Methods and Materials	69 - 78
Ovulation	69 - 75
Experimental design	69
Sample collection	70
Creatinine determination	70 - 71
Progsterone determination	71 - 73
Corrected progesterone determination	73
Data analysis	73
Histology	74 - 75
Gestation Period and Development	75 - 78
Postnatal Growth	75 - 76
Bootstrapping	77
Data Analysis	77 - 78

Results	79 – 85
Ovulation	79 - 81
Control	79
Experimental manipulation	79 - 81
Courtship and copulation	81 - 82
Gestation and litter size	82
Postnatal Development	82 - 85
Figures 1 – 14	xi – xiv
Plates 1- 7	xv - xviii
Discussion	86 - 93
References	94 – 99
Chapter 5: Genetic Relatedness	100 - 138
Abstract	100
Introduction	101 – 106
History	101
Incompatibility of bathyergid social research and field observations	102
Advantages of genetic marker techniques	102 – 103
Characteristics of microsatellites	103 – 105
Characteristics of social bathyergids	105
Aims of the study	106
Methods and Materials	107 - 113
General	107
Genomic DNA sampling	107
Genomic DNA extraction	108
DNA concentration determination	108
DNA amplification	108 – 109
Microsatellite allele size determination	109
Scoring of gels	109 - 110
Calibration of R estimates	110 – 111
Identification of mother- and father-offspring pairs	111 – 112
Calculating pairwise relatedness	112 – 113
Calculating mean relatedness	113
Results	114 – 119
Polymerase Chain Reaction	114
Parentage analysis	115

Paternal allele analysis	115 – 116
Rarefaction analysis	116
Relatedness	117 – 118
Possible incidence of mutation and null alleles	118 - 119
Figures 1 – 2	xix
Plates 1 – 3	xx - xxii
Discussion	120 – 126
Number of loci used	120 – 121
Calibration of relatedness estimates	121
Overestimation of relatedness estimates	121 – 123
Null alleles and mutation	123
Origin of paternal alleles	124
Social organisation of colonies of the highveld mole-rat	124
Position amongst subterranean rodents	124 - 126
Summary	127
References	128 - 135
Appendix	136 - 139

genetic variation more explicable in terms of social structure, effective population size and stochastic processes like genetic drift.

Summary

Although relatedness estimates are slightly higher than expected for outbred first-order relatives, it can be stated with reasonable confidence that colonies of the highveld mole-rat consist of first-order relatives (mother-offspring/full siblings). Colonies are composed of highly related individuals, although it is unlikely that they are simply monogamous family groups, it is more likely that colonies are composed of extended family groups.

The higher than expected estimates of relatedness can be explained by low sample size (in terms of the number of individuals, colonies and loci used in the study), limited gene flow or a hypothesised mating system where close relatives breed.

The identification of a reproductive female in colonies by morphological characteristics is reliable in this species, while the identification of the breeding male is unreliable when using morphological characteristics. The breeding male(s) do not appear to be resident in the colonies; when and where mating takes place is unknown at this time.

Offspring of the reproductive female appear, in most cases to be full siblings, although multiple paternity was identified in a number of colonies. Whether multiple paternity is within or between litters is unknown at this time. Individuals which were identified as not being the offspring of the reproductive female generally are thought to be her offspring or full siblings but were mistyped or had a null allele or had genotypes that differed from the expected alleles by a single base pair (evidence of mutation).