#### **CHAPTER 5**

#### **RESULTS**

#### 5.1 DESCRIPTIVE AND RELATIONAL RESULTS

Descriptive statistics were employed to investigate the percentage respondents experiencing low, high or very high levels of stress for the sample as a whole and for different sub-groups. Cramer's V was calculated to determine the effect that sizes of the independent variables have on the levels of stress.

# 5.1.1 Overview of the causes and levels of stress in the Department of Correctional Services.

Table 3: Levels of stress and stressors both within and outside the work situation expressed as a percentage: Descriptive results.

S C A L E	LEVEL OF STRES S	CAUSES OUT SIDE THE WORK SITUA TION	CAUS	CAUSES WITHIN THE WORK SITUATION							
			ORGANI SATIONAL FUNCTIONI NG.	TASK CHARAC TERISTICS	PHYSICAL WORKING CONDI TIONS	CAREER OPPORT UNITIES	SOCIAL MATTER S	REM. FRINGE BENEFIT & PERS. POLICY			
V. HIGH	24.1%	16.8%	8.3%	14.6%	25.4%	16.1%	13.0%	22.9%			
HIGH	28.8%	24.1%	30.7%	23.4%	29.5%	29.0%	10.9%	22.9%			
NORM AL	47.1%	59.2%	60.9%	62.0%	45.1%	54.9%	76.0%	54.2%			

The results reflected in Table 3 indicate that:

- When examining causes within the work situation it is obvious that Physical working conditions cause 25.4% of Heads of Prisons surveyed to experience very high levels of stress. If this is combined with high levels of stress then 54.9% of those surveyed experience high and very high levels of stress.
- Other significant causes within the work situation include: remuneration, fringe benefits and personnel policy with 22.9% (very high) and 45.8% (high + very high); career matters with 16.1% (very high) and 45.1% (high + very high); task characteristics with 14.6% (very high) and 38% (high + very high); social matters with 13.0% (very high) and 23.9% (high + very high).
- Organisational functioning is the lowest cause of stress for Heads of Prison within the work situation and accounts for 8.3% (very high) and 39% (high + very high)

# 5.1.2 The relationship between the independent variables and levels of stress.

TABLE 4. The relationship between different independent variables and levels of stress: Crosstabs – Cramer's V

	VAR	IABLI		LEVI	EL OF ST	RESS	CRAMER'S V
	(	GROUP	ING	VERY HIGH	HIGH	NORMAL	P value
AG	E		<40	26.2%	22.3%	51.5%	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
			≥40	21.6%	33.0%	45.5%	
TC	TAL			24.1%	27.2%	48.7%	0.254
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	OCCUP. CO		30.4%	32.2%	37.4%		
GR	OUP	ASD /	DD	14.3%	25.7%	60%	
TO	TOTAL		24.3%	29.7%	45.9%	0.006 *	
QU	QUALIFI STD8, 9,10 STD10+		9,10	24.5%	28.2%	47.3%	
CA			)+	25.6%	26.7%	47.8%	
TO	TAL			25.0%	27.5%	47.5%	0.968
DEF	DEPENDENTS ≤3			23.8%	31.7%	44.4%	
			≥4	23.2%	23.2%	53.6%	
TO	TAL			23.6%	28.7%	47.7%	0.381
LAN	1G	AFRII	<b>⟨</b> .	27.2%	30.9%	42.0%	
UAC	GE	OTHE	R	21.8%	28.6%	49.6%	
TO	TAL			24.0%	29.5%	46.5%	0.535
Р	GAU1	ENG		17.2%	24.1%	58.6%	
R	MPU	/AL.		16.7%	25.0%	58.3%	
0	N/PR	OV.		33.3%	36.8%	29.8%	
V	N/CAI			37.5%	25.0%	37.5%	
N	W/CA	PE		27.8%	44.4%	27.8%	
C	F/STA		ANTE ALL	25.0%	8.3%	66.7%	
Ē	N/WE	ST		31.8%	22.7%	45.5%	
S	KZN			4.5%	22.7%	72.7%	
	E/CAF	PE		9.1%	27.3%	63.6%	
TO	TAL			24.1%	28.8%	47.1%	0.068
* D <	0.05						

<sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05

The Cramer's V value indicates a significant relationship between the occupational groups (CO; ASD/DD) and level of stress.

The statistical analysis indicates that CO (30.4%) experience higher levels of stress than ASD/DD (14.3%).

Although different provinces do not seem to be associated with specific levels of stress, employees from the following provinces Northern Cape (37.5%), Northern Province (33.3%) and NorthWest (31.8%) experience notably high levels of stress.

Some of the potential causes of the high levels of stress among employees could include:

- Having to deal with hostile prisoners on a regular basis;
- The severe understaffing of correctional facilities;
- Transformation of the Department;
- Low morale of employees;
- A constantly changing Management structure;
- Corruption, favouritism and nepotism;
- Lack of training/management skills;
- Autocratic management style.

## 5.1.3 The relationship between the independent variables and stressors outside the work situation

TABLE 5. The relationship between different independent variables and causes of stress outside the work situation:

Crosstabs – Cramer's V

	VARI	ABLE	CAUSES	S OUTSIDE T SITUATION		CRAMER'S V
	G	ROUPING	VERY HIGH	HIGH	NORMAL	P value
AG	E	<40	16.5%	26.2%	57.3%	
		≥40	19.3%	19.3%	61.4%	
T	DTAL		17.8%	23.0%	59.2%	0.516
		CO	18.1%	28.4%	53.4%	
GR	OUP	ASD/DD	13.0%	13.0%	73.9%	
TO	TOTAL		16.2%	22.7%	61.1%	0.017 *
QU	ALIFI	STD8, 9,10	18.2%	24.5%	57.3%	
CA	CATION STD10+		13.3%	20.0%	66.7%	
TO	TOTAL		16.0%	22.5%	61.5%	0.388
DE	DEPEN ≤3		18.1%	21.3%	60.6%	
DE	NTS	≥4	16.2%	19.1%	64.7%	
TO	OTAL		17.4%	20.5%	62.1%	0.855
LA	NG	AFRIK.	7.3%	23.2%	69.5%	
UA	GE	OTHER	22.9%	22.9%	54.2%	
TO	TAL		16.5%	23.0%	60.5%	0.011 *
P	GAUTE	NG	13.8%	17.2%	69.0%	
R	MPUM	AL.	16.7%	16.7%	66.7%	
0	N/PRO	V	28.1%	29.8%	42.1%	
٧	N/CAP	E	12.5%	37.5%	50.0%	
I N	W/CAP	E	5.9%	23.5%	70.6%	! :
C	F/STATE		0%	25.0%	75.0%	•
Ē	N/WES	Т	13.6%	22.7%	63.6%	
E S	KZN		18.2%	18.2%	63.6%	<b>5</b>
	E/CAPI	E	8.3%	25.0%	66.7%	·
TO	TAL		16.8%	24.1%	59.2%	0.476
* 0	< 0.05					

<sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05

Table 5 shows that there are two independent variables that have a significant effect on the perception of the causes of stress outside the work situation. The results indicate a significant relationship (P<0.05) between occupational groups (CO; ASD/DD) and language groups (Afrikaans/Other) for external stressors. CO's experience higher levels of stress from outside the work situation than ASD/DD's with a mean percentage ratio of 46.5 to 26 percent respectively.

The statistical analysis indicates that the Other language group (22.9%) experiences significantly high levels of stress from outside the work situation than the Afrikaans group.

It seems that the employees from Northern Province (28.1%) is the group that experiences significantly higher levels of stress from outside the work situation.

Some of the potential causes of stress from outside the work situation could include:

- A lack of sport and recreation facilities in some Management Areas and the unavailability of facilities in some residential areas;
- The increasing crime rate;
- The high cost of living;
- \* Some employees have to commute over long distances to and from work. Those who finish late at night may not be able to travel back home;
- ★ Uniformed officials could be potential targets for released offenders;
- ★ Financial problems;
- Extended families as well as having to support two households if employees are employed away from their homes.

## 5.1.4 The relationship between the independent variables and stressors within the work situation.

#### 5.1.4.1 Organisational Functioning

TABLE 6. The relationship between different independent variables and organisational functioning as a stressor within the work situation: Crosstabs – Cramer's V

ANISATIONALI	UNCTIONING	CRAMER'S V
	NORMAL	P value
34.6%	56.7%	
23.6%	69.7%	
<b>6</b> 29.5%	62.7%	0.176
6 33.6%	52.6%	
17.1%	80.0%	
6 27.4%	62.9%	0.001*
31.3%	62.5%	
6 24.4%	63.3%	
<b>6</b> 28.2%	62.9%	0.244
6 26.8%	63.0%	A Ballackhak Ayayay in a sance
28.6%	68.6%	
<b>6</b> 27.4%	65.0%	0.174
6 37.3%	50.6%	
22.7%	70.6%	
<b>6</b> 28.7%	62.4%	0.015 *
27.6%	72.4%	
8.3%	75.0%	
47.4%	43.9%	
1 A 1 B 1 B 1 B 1 B 1 B 1 B 1 B 1 B 1 B	62.5%	
11.1%	77.8%	
MANAGER AND	58.3%	
11444444444		
	<del></del>	
<u>30.7%</u>	60.9%	0.027 *
	RY 34.6% 23.6% 29.5% 33.6% 17.1% 27.4% 31.3% 24.4% 28.2% 26.8% 28.6% 28.6% 27.4% 37.3% 22.7% 27.6% 8.3% 47.4% 6 25.0% 11.1% 6 16.7% 27.3% 45.5%	HIGH 34.6% 56.7% 69.7% 62.7% 62.6% 17.1% 80.0% 62.5% 62.5% 63.3% 62.5% 63.0% 63.6% 63.0% 63.6% 63.0% 63.7% 65.0% 63.7% 60.6% 62.7% 70.6% 62.7% 70.6% 62.7% 70.6% 62.7% 70.6% 62.7% 62.4% 63.3% 75.0% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.4% 63.3% 75.0% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 62.5% 6

<sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05

The Cramer's V value indicates that occupation, language and province have a significant effect (P<0.05) on respondents' perception of organisational functioning as a stressor within the work situation.

The statistical analysis indicates that: CO's (13.8%) experience higher levels of stress from organisational functioning than the ASD/DD category (2.9%).

Afrikaans speaking employees (12.0%) experience higher levels of stress from organisational functioning than the Other language group (6.7%).

Free State (25.0%), Mpumalanga (16.7%) and Northern Cape (12.5%) experience greater levels of stress from organisational functioning than the other provinces.

Some of the potential organisational functioning stressors could include:

- High absenteeism, which places an additional burden on those who do not stay away from work;
- The lack of a properly co-ordinated and comprehensive induction programme;
- Policies and guidelines that are not properly communicated;
- Management that is constantly changing;
- Poor communication channels and a lack of financial and management skills.

#### 5.1.4.2 Task Characteristics

TABLE 7. The relationship between different independent variables and task characteristics as a stressor within the work situation:

Crosstabs – Cramer's V

	VAR	IABLE	TASK C	IARACTE	RISTICS	CRAMER'S V
and the same of th	GRO	UPING	VERY HIGH	HIGH	NORMAL	P value
A	GE	<40	13.5%	26.9%	59.6%	
		≥40	11.2%	18.0%	70.8%	
T	TOTAL		12.4%	22.8%	64.8%	0.247
O	CCUP	CO	18.1%	25.9%	56.0%	
GI	ROUP	ASD/DD	4.3%	18.6%	77.1%	
T	OTAL	¥	12.9%	23.1%	25.9%       56.0%         8.6%       77.1%         23.1%       64.0%       0.005 *         9.6%       60.7%         4.4%       68.9%         21.8%       64.4%       0.029 *         1.3%       66.1%         1.4%       65.7%         21.3%       66.0%       0.998	0.005 *
QI	JALIFI	STD8, 9,10	19.6%	19.6%	60.7%	
C/	NOITA	STD10+	6.7%	24.4%	68.9%	<del>-</del>
7	TOTAL		13.9%	21.8%	64.4%	0.029 *
DE	PEN	≤3	12.6%	21.3%	66.1%	
DE	ENTS	≥4	12.9%	21.4%	65.7%	-
T	OTAL	•	12.7%	21.3%	66.0%	0.998
LA	NG	AFRIK.	16.9%	25.3%	57.8%	
UA	AGE	OTHER	11.8%	20.2%	68.1%	
7	OTAL		13.9%	22.3%	63.9%	0.317
Р	GAUT	ENG	10.3%	20.7%	69.0%	
R	MPUN	IAL.	8.3%	16.7%	75.0%	
0	N/PRC	OV.	26.3%	28.1%	45.6%	
V	N/CAF	PE	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	:
N	W/CA	PE	5.6%	27.8%	66.7%	
C	F/STA		16.7%	8.3%	75.0%	
E	N/WEST		4.5%	22.7%	72.7%	
S	KZN		9.1%	27.3%	63.6%	
	E/CAF	PE	8.3%	16.7%	75.0%	
T	OTAL		14.6%	23.4%	62.0%	0.393

<sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05

Occupation and qualification are both related to the task characteristics as a stressor within the work situation. This is statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence.

The statistical analysis indicates that: CO (18.1%) experience more stress from task characteristics than the ASD/DD (4.3%).

Employees with std 8,9,10 (19.6%) experience notably higher levels of stress from task characteristics than employees with std 10 (6.7%).

Northern Province (26.3%), Northern Cape (25.0%) and Free State (16.7%) also reported high levels of stress due to task characteristics.

Some of the potential task characteristics which causes stress among employees could include:

- \* Role ambiguity;
- \* Routine work;
- Being isolated on watch;
- \* Working with teams under constant threat of escapes;
- Working with dangerous offenders;
- \* Being "locked" in sections with offenders.

#### 5.1.4.3 Physical working conditions.

TABLE 8. The relationship between different independent variables and physical working conditions as a stressor within the work situation: Crosstabs – Cramer's V

STD10+   23.6%   29.5%   41.9%   29.5%   47.9%   0.000*	VAR	IABLE	PHYSICAL	WORKING (	CONDITIONS	CRAMER'S V
≥40   16.9%   28.1%   55.1%     TOTAL   23.2%   28.9%   47.9%   0.099     OCCUP   CO   30.8%   32.5%   36.8%     GROUP   ASD   11.4%   21.4%   67.1%     DD	GRO	UPING	VERY HIGH	HIGH	NORMAL	P value
TOTAL       23.2%       28.9%       47.9%       0.099         OCCUP GROUP GROUP GROUP ASD / DD       11.4%       21.4%       67.1%         TOTAL       23.5%       28.3%       48.1%       0.000*         TOTAL       23.6%       28.6%       46.0%         STD10+       27.8%       22.2%       50.0%         TOTAL       23.6%       28.6%       47.8%       0.164         DEPEN STD10+       23       23.4%       31.3%       45.3%         DENTS       24       22.9%       21.4%       55.7%         TOTAL       23.2%       27.8%       49.0%       0.276         LANG UAGE       AFRIK. 24.1%       30.1%       45.8%         OTHER       22.5%       28.3%       49.2%         TOTAL       23.2%       29.1%       47.8%       0.893         F GAUTENG       13.8%       17.2%       69.0%         MPUMAL.       25.0%       41.7%       33.3%         O N/PROV.       36.2%       37.9%       25.9%	AGE	<40	28.6%	29.5%	41.9%	
OCCUP GROUP       CO ASD / DD       30.8%       32.5%       36.8%         TOTAL       23.5%       28.3%       48.1%       0.000*         QUALIFI CATION       STD8, 9,10 STD10+ 27.8%       22.2%       50.0%         TOTAL       23.6%       28.6%       47.8%       0.164         DEPEN STD10+ 27.8%       22.2%       50.0%         TOTAL       23.4%       31.3%       45.3%         DEPEN STD10+ 27.8%       21.4%       55.7%         TOTAL       23.2%       27.8%       49.0%       0.276         LANG OTHER 22.5%       28.3%       49.2%         TOTAL       23.2%       29.1%       47.8%       0.893         TOTAL       23.2%       29.1%       47.8%       0.893         P GAUTENG       13.8%       17.2%       69.0%         R MPUMAL       25.0%       41.7%       33.3%         O N/PROV.       36.2%       37.9%       25.9%		≥40	16.9%	28.1%	55.1%	
GROUP DD       ASD / DD       11.4%       21.4%       67.1%         TOTAL       23.5%       28.3%       48.1%       0.000*         QUALIFI CATION	TOTA	L	23.2%	28.9%	47.9%	0.099
DD   TOTAL   23.5%   28.3%   48.1%   0.000*	OCCUP	CO	30.8%	32.5%	36.8%	
QUALIFI CATION       STD8, 9,10       20.4%       33.6%       46.0%         TOTAL       23.6%       28.6%       47.8%       0.164         DEPEN DENTS       ≤3       23.4%       31.3%       45.3%         DENTS       ≥4       22.9%       21.4%       55.7%         TOTAL       23.2%       27.8%       49.0%       0.276         LANG LANG OTHER       22.5%       28.3%       49.2%         TOTAL       23.2%       29.1%       47.8%       0.893         TOTAL       23.2%       29.1%       47.8%       0.893         P GAUTENG       13.8%       17.2%       69.0%         R MPUMAL.       25.0%       41.7%       33.3%         O N/PROV.       36.2%       37.9%       25.9%	GROUP	\$ <b>\</b> \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	11.4%	21.4%	67.1%	
CATION       9,10         STD10+       27.8%       22.2%       50.0%         TOTAL       23.6%       28.6%       47.8%       0.164         DEPEN DENTS       ≤3       23.4%       31.3%       45.3%         DENTS       ≥4       22.9%       21.4%       55.7%         TOTAL       23.2%       27.8%       49.0%       0.276         LANG UAGE       AFRIK.       24.1%       30.1%       45.8%         UAGE       OTHER       22.5%       28.3%       49.2%         TOTAL       23.2%       29.1%       47.8%       0.893         P GAUTENG       13.8%       17.2%       69.0%         R MPUMAL.       25.0%       41.7%       33.3%         O N/PROV.       36.2%       37.9%       25.9%	TOTA	L	23.5%	28.3%	48.1%	0.000*
STD10+       27.8%       22.2%       50.0%         TOTAL       23.6%       47.8%       0.164         DEPEN		'   '	20.4%	33.6%	46.0%	
DEPEN DENTS       ≤3       23.4%       31.3%       45.3%         DENTS       ≥4       22.9%       21.4%       55.7%         TOTAL       23.2%       27.8%       49.0%       0.276         LANG UAGE       AFRIK.       24.1%       30.1%       45.8%         UAGE       OTHER       22.5%       28.3%       49.2%         TOTAL       23.2%       29.1%       47.8%       0.893         P GAUTENG       13.8%       17.2%       69.0%         R MPUMAL.       25.0%       41.7%       33.3%         O N/PROV.       36.2%       37.9%       25.9%		STD10+	27.8%	22.2%	50.0%	Table of the second of the sec
DENTS       ≥4       22.9%       21.4%       55.7%         TOTAL       23.2%       27.8%       49.0%       0.276         LANG UAGE       AFRIK. OTHER       24.1%       30.1%       45.8%         OTHER       22.5%       28.3%       49.2%         TOTAL       23.2%       29.1%       47.8%       0.893         P       GAUTENG       13.8%       17.2%       69.0%         R       MPUMAL.       25.0%       41.7%       33.3%         O       N/PROV.       36.2%       37.9%       25.9%	TOTAL		23.6%	28.6%	47.8%	0.164
TOTAL       23.2%       27.8%       49.0%       0.276         LANG UAGE       AFRIK. 24.1%       30.1%       45.8%         UAGE       OTHER 22.5%       28.3%       49.2%         TOTAL       23.2%       29.1%       47.8%       0.893         P GAUTENG       13.8%       17.2%       69.0%         R MPUMAL.       25.0%       41.7%       33.3%         O N/PROV.       36.2%       37.9%       25.9%		≤3	23.4%	31.3%	45.3%	
LANG UAGE       AFRIK.       24.1%       30.1%       45.8%         UAGE       OTHER       22.5%       28.3%       49.2%         TOTAL       23.2%       29.1%       47.8%       0.893         P GAUTENG       13.8%       17.2%       69.0%         R MPUMAL.       25.0%       41.7%       33.3%         O N/PROV.       36.2%       37.9%       25.9%	DENTS	≥4	22.9%	21.4%	55.7%	
UAGE         OTHER         22.5%         28.3%         49.2%           TOTAL         23.2%         29.1%         47.8%         0.893           P GAUTENG         13.8%         17.2%         69.0%           R MPUMAL.         25.0%         41.7%         33.3%           O N/PROV.         36.2%         37.9%         25.9%	TOTAL		23.2%	27.8%	49.0%	0.276
TOTAL     23.2%     29.1%     47.8%     0.893       P GAUTENG     13.8%     17.2%     69.0%       R MPUMAL.     25.0%     41.7%     33.3%       O N/PROV.     36.2%     37.9%     25.9%	LANG	AFRIK.	24.1%	30.1%	45.8%	
P GAUTENG       13.8%       17.2%       69.0%         R MPUMAL.       25.0%       41.7%       33.3%         O N/PROV.       36.2%       37.9%       25.9%	UAGE	OTHER	22.5%	28.3%	49.2%	
R     MPUMAL.     25.0%     41.7%     33.3%       O     N/PROV.     36.2%     37.9%     25.9%	TOTAL	_	23.2%	29.1%	47.8%	0.893
O N/PROV. 36.2% 37.9% 25.9%	P GAU	TENG	13.8%	17.2%	69.0%	
11.1101.	1111		000000758800000000000000000000000000000		33.3%	
V N/CAPE 25.0% 25.0% 50.0%		The second secon				<u> </u>
	W/CAPE					
W/CAPE 22.2% 44.4% 33.3%						
C F/STATE 8.3% 16.7% 75.0%	C F/SIA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
E N/WEST 22.7% 18.2% 59.1%	E N/WE	ST				-
S KZN 18.2% 31.8% 50.0%	S KZN				~\~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1
<b>E/CAPE</b> 41.7% 16.7% 41.7%	Parameter Commence	······································				1
TOTAL 25.4% 29.5% 45.1% 0.036 *	TOTAL	-	25.4%	29.5%	45.1%	0.036 *

<sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05

The responses of the occupational groups (CO; ASD/DD) are significantly related (P<0.001) to the physical working conditions as a stressor within the work situation.

The statistical analysis indicates that CO (30.8%) experience higher levels of stress from physical working conditions than the ASD/DD (11.4%) group.

Physical working conditions as a stressor within the work situation are also significantly related (P<0.05) to the different provinces. The statistical analysis indicates that Eastern Cape (41.7%), Northern Province (36.2%), Mpumalanga (25.0%) and Western Cape (22.2%) experience high to very high levels of stress due to physical working conditions.

Some of the potential causes of stress due to physical working conditions could include:

- Working in old prisons that have not been renovated;
- Poor lighting and sanitation facilities;
- Working for long periods in the control rooms;
- Being isolated on watch;
- Working with teams under constant threat of escapes;
- Working with dangerous offenders;
- Being "locked" in sections with offenders;
- Strain of custodial services.

#### 5.1.4.4 Career opportunities.

TABLE 9. The relationship between different independent variables and career opportunities and as a stressor within the work situation: Crosstabs – Cramer's V

	VARI	ABLE	CAR	EER MA	TTERS	CRAMER'S V
	G	ROUPING	VERY HIGH	HIGH	NORMAL	P value
A	GE	<40	16.2%	29.5%	54.3%	
		≥40	12.4%	24.7%	62.9%	
T	OTAL	The state of the s	14.4%	27.3%	58.2%	0.469
O	CCUP	CO	22.2%	32.5%	45.3%	
GI	ROUP	ASD/DD	4.3%	22.9%	72.9%	-
T	OTAL	·	15.5%	28.9%	55.6%	0.000 *
-		STD8, 9,10	15.9%	32.7%	51.3%	
		STD10+	14.4%	21.1%	64.4%	=
T	TOTAL		15.3%	27.6%	57.1%	0.133
DE	EPEN	≤3	17.2%	28.9%	53.9%	
DE	ENTS	≥4	10.0%	27.1%	62.9%	
T	OTAL		14.6%	28.3%	57.1%	0.320
LA	NG	AFRIK.	19.3%	28.9%	51.8%	
UA	AGE	OTHER	12.5%	29.2%	58.3%	
7	OTAL		15.3%	29.1%	55.7%	0.396
P	GAUT	ENG	3.4%	27.6%	69.0%	
R	MPUN	IAL.	16.7%	0%	83.3%	
0	N/PRC	OV.	22.4%	41.4%	36.2%	
V	N/CAF	PE	25.0%	12.5%	62.5%	
N	W/CA		16.7%	27.8%	55.6%	
C	F/STA		16.7%	8.3%	75.0%	! <del>!</del> <b>1</b>
Ε	N/WE	ST	22.7%	0%	77.3%	
S	KZN		9.1%	59.1%	31.8%	
process:	E/CAF		8.3%	33.3%	58.3%	
T	OTAL		16.1%	29.0%	54.9%	0.001 *

<sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05

Occupation and provinces are both related to career opportunities as a stressor within the work situation. This is statistically significant at the 99% level of confidence. The statistical analysis indicates that CO (22.2%) experience high levels of stress from career matters than the ASD/DD (4.3%) group.

The statistical analysis indicates that Northern Cape (25.0%), Northwest (22.7%) and Northern Province (22.4%) experience very high levels of stress from career matter. An interesting observation, however, is that only 31.8% of the respondents from KwaZulu Natal perceive career matters as "normal". They are followed by Northern Province with 36.2%.

Career matters that cause stress among employees could include:

- \* Changing expectations of management;
- \* Restructuring and job insecurity;
- \* Lack of promotion opportunities especially among white employees;
- \* Lack of clearly defined career paths;
- \* Technological advances;
- Recognition of Correctional Services qualifications;
- Poorly qualified Correctional Officials;
- Corruption in respect of promotions and appointments.

#### 5.1.4.5 Social matters.

TABLE 10. The relationship between different independent variables and social matters as a stressor within the work situation:

Crosstabs – Cramer's V

	VAF	RIABLE	SO	CIAL MAT	TERS	CRAMER'S V
	GRO	DUPING	VERY HIGH	HIGH	NORMAL	P value
AC	3E	<40	10.5%	11.4%	78.1%	
		≥40	14.8%	10.2%	75.0%	
T	OTAL		12.4%	10.9%	76.7%	0.659
OC	CUP	CO	17.2%	14.7%	68.1%	
GF	ROUP	ASD/DD	8.6%	5.7%	85.7%	
TOTAL		,	14.0%	11.3%	74.7%	0.027 *
QL	JALIFI	STD8,9,10	15.2%	13.4%	71.4%	
CA	TION	STD10+	10.0%	8.9%	81.1%	
TO	TOTAL		12.9%	11.4%	75.7%	0.280
DE	PEN	≤3	13.3%	10.9%	75.8%	
DE	NTS	≥4	12.9%	10.0%	77.1%	
TO	OTAL	,	13.1%	10.6%	76.3%	0.973
LA	NG	AFRIK.	12.2%	11.0%	76.8%	
UA	GE	OTHER	13.3%	10.8%	75.8%	
TO	OTAL		12.9%	10.9%	76.2%	0.972
P	GAU	ΓENG	6.9%	3.4%	89.7%	
R	MPU	MAL.	0%	8.3%	91.7%	
0	N/PR	OV.	20.7%	12.1%	67.2%	
VI	N/CA	PE	12.5%	0%	87.5%	
N C	W/CA	PE	16.7%	16.7%	66.7%	
E	F/STATE		8.3%	16.7%	75.0%	
S	N/WE	ST	14.3%	14.3%	71.4%	: -
<b>-</b>	KZN		13.6%	13.6%	72.7%	
	E/CA	PE	0%	8.3%	91.7%	
TC	TAL		13.0%	10.9%	76.0%	0.647

<sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05

From an inspection of table 10 it can be seen that occupational group is the only independent variable that relates significantly (P<0.05) with social matters as a stressor in the work situation.

The statistical analysis indicates that 17.2% of the CO's attribute stress to social matters in the work situation.

Employees from Northern Province (20.7%), Western Cape (16.7%) and Northwest (14.3%) also identified social matters as stressors in the work environment.

Social matters as causes of stress could include:

- Working with a culturally diverse workforce;
- Gangsterism and the threat of crime;
- Transformation and racial tension;
- \* Domestic violence:
- \* Living in an enclosed environment on the prison premises;
- \* Having to associate with the same people both at work and at home.

### 5.1.4.6 Remuneration, fringe benefits and personnel policy.

TABLE 11. The relationship between different independent variables and remuneration, fringe benefits and personnel policy as stressors within the work situation: Crosstabs – Cramer's V

	VARI	ABLE		ON, FRINGE E RSONNEL POL	BENEFITS AND LICY	CRAMER'S V	
	GRO	UPING	VERY HIGH	HIGH	NORMAL	P value	
AC	E	<40	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%		
		≥40	18.0%	18.0%	64.0%		
T	TOTAL		21.8%	21.8%	56.5%	0.146	
0000000000	OCCUP CO		27.6%	29.3%	43.1%		
GF	ROUP	ASD/DD	14.3%	11.4%	74.3%		
<i>T</i> (	TOTAL		22.6%	22.6%	54.8%	0.000 *	
QL	QUALIFI STD8, 9,10 STD10+		22.3%	23.2%	54.5%		
CA			23.3%	18.9%	57.8%	Control of the Contro	
T			22.8%	21.3%	55.9%	0.756	
		≤3	26.0%	21.3%	52.8%		
DE	DENTS ≥4		15.7%	20.0%	64.3%		
TO	OTAL		22.3%	20.8%	56.9%	0.200	
LA	NG	AFRIK.	21.7%	22.9%	55.4%		
UA	GE	OTHER	24.4%	21.8%	53.8%		
TO	TAL		23.3%	22.3%	54.5%	0.905	
P	GAUT	ENG	20.7%	3.4%	75.9%		
R	MPUN	IAL.	16.7%	25.0%	58.3%	0.903	
0	N/PR	OV.	31.6%	33.3%	35.1%		
VI	N/CAI	PE	0%	25.0%	75.0%		
N C	W/CA		27.8%	11.1%	61.1%	į	
E	F/STA		16.7%	25.0%	58.3%		
S	N/WE	ST	13.6%	18.2%	68.2%		
	KZN		22.7%	18.2%	59.1%		
5000 M	E/CAF	PE	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%		
TC	TAL		22.9%	22.9%	54.2%	0.030 *	

<sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05

The Cramer's V value indicates that occupation and province has a significant association (P < 0.05) with remuneration, fringe benefits and personnel policy as stressors within the work situation.

A high percentage (27.6%) of the CO's indicated that the experience, remuneration, fringe benefits and personnel policy are major stressors.

Northern Province (31.6%), Western Cape (27.8%) and Eastern Cape (25.0%) indicated very high levels of stress due to remuneration, fringe benefits and personnel policy of the Department of Correctional Services.

Some of the potential causes of stress could include:

- \* Lack of promotion opportunities;
- Salaries that are not in line with the private sector;
- \* A poorly managed incentive system;
- \* A lack of confidence in the grievance and disciplinary procedure;
- Management that is constantly changing.

#### 5.2 COMPARATIVE RESULTS

#### 5.2.1 A comparison of levels of stress for different variables.

TABLE 12. A comparison of levels of stress for different groups: T-Test

VARIABLE	GROUPING	N	MEAN	SD.	T-TEST	SIG. (2- TAILED) P value
AGE	<40 ≥40	103 88	82.5728 82.8182	20.1049 19.0580	-0.086	0.931
LANGUAGE	AFRIKAANS OTHER	81 119	85.5062 81.1849	21.8478 17.5629	1.546	0.124
OCCU PATIONAL GROUP	CO category ASD/DD category	115 70	86.6870 77.2429	18.3525 20.5422	3.243	0.001 *
QUALIFI CATIONS	Std 8,9,10 Std 10+	110 90	83.2818 82.5000	18.0560 21.6863	0.278	0.781
DEPEN DENTS	≤3 ≥4	126 69	84.1587 79.2464	19.3169 18.8550	1.712	0.088

<sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05

A high mean score indicates a high stress level.

The t-test indicates a significant difference (P<0.01) between the levels of stress experienced by the two occupational groups. The statistical analysis indicates that employees in the CO category have higher levels of stress in comparison with the ASD/DD category.

The reason for this may be that those at CO level may lack the necessary experience in managing a prison and as a result they experience higher

stress. Heads of prison in the CO category also receive a lower remuneration than their counterparts in the ASD/DD categories and this may impact on levels of stress. Salaries that are not commensurate with levels of responsibility are likely to result in stress.

#### 5.2.2 A comparison of stressors for different groups.

TABLE 13. A comparison of stressors (causes) outside the work situation for different groups: T-Test

VARIABLE	GROUPING	N	MEAN	SD.	T-TEST	SIG. (2- TAILED) P value
AGE	<40	103	32.4466	8.5299	0.216	0.829
	≥40	88	32.1818	8.3660		
LANGUAGE	AFRIKAANS	82	29.7195	7.5249	-3.448	0.001 *
	OTHER	118	33.7797	8.6193		
occu	CO category	116	33.5603	7.8044	3.488	0.001 *
PATIONAL GROUP	ASD/DD category	69	29.2464	8.6660		
QUALIFI	Std 8,9,10	110	33,5364	7.9201	2.902	0.004 *
CATIONS	Std 10+	90	30,1333	8,6352		
DEPENDENTS	≤3	127	31.9843	8.1523	-0.209	0.835
	≥4	68	32.2500	9.0577		

#### \* P < 0.05

A high mean score indicates a high stressor value.

The t-test revealed that the perceived stressors outside the work situation were significantly different (P<0.01) for the different language, occupation and qualification groups.

#### Language

The statistical analysis indicates that Afrikaans-speaking employees differ significantly (P<0.01) from other language groups. They revealed a lower score in relation to causes of stress outside the work situation. Many of the employees in the other language groups live in townships and this could be a cause of stress as they are in close proximity to crime, violence and criminals and also have to commute over long distances to work.

#### Occupational group

The statistical analysis indicates that CO's and ASD/DD's differ significantly (P<0.01) from one another in relation to causes of stress outside the work situation. The mean value of the CO category is significantly higher. Employees at CO level are not as well remunerated as those at ASD/DD levels and this may be a cause of additional stress.

#### Qualifications

The statistical analysis indicates that employees with std 8,9,10 qualifications have a significantly (P<0.01) higher mean value than those with std 10+ qualifications in relation to causes of stress outside the work situation. Employees with poorer qualifications may lack the necessary skills and experience needed to perform their duties.

They are often usually appointed in lower posts in the organisation with less income and poorer living standards. Their circumstances may

generate additional stressors i.e. long hours, time spent away from the family and unhealthy eating habits. Working mothers, regardless of whether they are married or single, could face higher levels of stress at the home. Family problems, domestic violence and substance abuse can also add to stress levels.

#### 5.2.3 A comparison of stressors and age groups.

TABLE 14. A comparison of stressors between different age groups:

T-Test

44.000000000000000000000000000000000000	7	Ţ <u>-</u>				010 (6
						SIG. (2-
<b>VARIABLE</b>	AGE	N	MEAN	SD.	T-TEST	TAILED)
						P value
ORGANI	<40	104	18.4423	5.7889	-1.552	0.122
SATIONAL FUNCTIONING	≥40	89	19.7528	5.9147		
TASK CHARACTERISTICS	<40	104	44.4712	9.9591	-0.618	0.537
	≥40	89	45.3371	9.4001		i.
PHYSICAL WORKING	<40	105	17.5143	7.0739	-2.563	0.011*
JOB EQUIPMENT	≥40	89	20.1236	7.0579		
CAREER	<40	105	22.4381	6.6822	-1.832	0.069
OPPORTUNITIES	≥40	89	24.2247	6.8701		
SOCIAL	<40	105	23.7905	5.3416	-0.533	0.595
MATTERS	≥40	88	24.2273	6.0472		
REMUNERATION,	<40	104	23.0288	8,0489	-2.410	0.017 *
FRINGE BENEFITS AND PERSONNEL POLICY	≥40	89	26.0112	9.1409		

<sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05

A low mean score indicates a high stressor value.

The statistical analysis indicates a significant difference (P<0.05) between the age groups <40 and ≥40 in relation to two dependent stressor variables. In the table a low score indicates a negative response while a high score indicates a positive response.

The analysis indicates that the age group <40 experiences more stress due to physical work conditions and job equipment. Conditions in the prison environment are stressful as indicated in the previous chapters and those employees in both the <40 and the ≥40 age groups will definitely find the physical work challenging. Many of the prisons are old and are overcrowded and prison buildings are not being maintained regularly. Office space in many prisons is also a problem. Correctional officials risk possible danger from unstable offenders and the increase in violence in prisons can contribute to increased levels of stress. The relationships between correctional officials and offenders can be problematic and is often one of mutual distrust. The statistical analysis also indicates that employees in the age group <40 experience significantly more stress (P<0.05) due to remuneration, benefits and personnel policy. Many employees are unhappy with the merit and notch increase system and believe that it is subjective. Employees also believe that the grievance procedure does not serve the purpose it was intended for. Correctional officials may perceive themselves as buffers between "management" and "offenders" and this can result in stress.

#### 5.2.4 A comparison of stressors and language groups.

TABLE 15. A comparison of stressors between different language groups:

T-Test

VARIABLE	LANGUAGE GROUPING	N	MEAN	SD.	T-TEST	SIG. (2- TAILED) P value
ORGANISATIONAL FUNCTIONING	AFRIKAANS OTHER	83 119	17.2651 20.0504	5.3786 6.0756	-3.358	0.001 *
TASK CHARACTERISTICS	AFRIKAANS OTHER	83 119	43.6506 45.0084	9.6909 9.9750	-0.963	0.337
PHYSICAL WORKING CONDITIONS AND JOB EQUIPMENT	AFRIKAANS OTHER	83 120	18.5904 18.6167	7.5094 7.0332	-0.025	0.980
CAREER OPPORTUNITIES	AFRIKAANS OTHER	83 120	21.6867 23.8500	6.7280 6.9617	-2,206	0.028 *
SOCIAL MATTERS	AFRIKAANS OTHER	82 120	23.8902 23.8083	5.2092 5.8944	0.102	0.919
REMUNERATION, FRINGE BENEFITS AND PERSONNEL POLICY	AFRIKAANS OTHER	83 119	23.3614 24.3782	7.5135 9.3799	-0.821	0.413

<sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05

A low mean score indicates a high stressor value.

The t-test revealed a significant difference (P<0.01) between the language groups (Afrikaans/Other) for organisational functioning as a stressor.

The statistical analysis indicates that the Afrikaans language group experiences more stress due to organisational functioning. In line with the new equity policies, the Departments affirmative action process has been

implemented without adequately preparing employees for the change. As a result employees experience problems with decision-making, organisational structures, positive management climates, recognition of work well done and open communication channels with supervisors. Most of the policies and procedures of the Department of Correctional services are in English and this may also be a source of stress. Lack of communication and standardisation of policies and procedures could also be a cause of stress.

A significant difference (P<0.05) exists between the language groups (Afrikaans / Other) for the variable career opportunities. The statistical analysis indicates that the Afrikaans group in the DCS as more stressful than the Other language groups. White Afrikaans employees may feel deprived of career opportunities because of the Affirmative Action strategy of the Department. Many feel insecure in their positions. Relationships at work, problems of ambiguity and conflict, career development including under and over-promotion, organisational structure and climate and the degree of involvement in decision-making can be contributors to stress.

#### 5.2.5 A comparison of stressors and occupational groups.

TABLE 16. A comparison of stressors between different occupational groups: T-Test

VARIABLE	OCCUPATIONAL GROUPING	N	MEAN	SD.	T-TEST	SIG. (2- TAILED) P value
ORGANISATIONAL FUNCTIONING	CO category ASD/DD category	116 70	17.4483 21.3857	5.7292 5.8959	-4.491	0.000*
TASK CHARACTERISTIC S	CO category ASD/DD category	116 70	42.5000 48.6857	9.9752 9.1660	-4.222	0.000*
PHYSICAL WORKING CONDITIONS AND JOB EQUIPMENT	CO category ASD/DD category	117 70	16.9402 21.5571	6.7432 7.0703	-4.449	0.000 *
CAREER OPPORTUNITIES	CO category ASD/DD category	117 70	21.0940 26.8714	6.2505 7.1179	-5.804	0.000 *
SOCIAL MATTERS	CO category ASD/DD category	116 70	22.6379 25.9857	5.5551 5.6684	-3.951	0.000*
REMUNERATION FRINGE BENEFITS AND PERSONNEL POLICY	CO category ASD/DD category	116 70	22.1897 28.0286	7.3330 9.3560	-4.733	0.000 *

<sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05

A low mean score indicates a higher stressor value.

The results clearly indicate that the CO's mean scores are significantly lower than those of the ASD/DD category employees. Lower mean scores are indicative of the presence of stress factors in the work situation. Support for the CO's in coping with stress is one of the areas that requires attention.

The outcome of the t-tests indicates a statistically significant difference (P<0.001) between the occupational groups (CO category; ASD/DD category) for all stressors in the work situation:

Organisational functioning;
Task characteristics;
Physical working conditions and job equipment;
Career opportunities;
Social matters;
Remuneration, fringe benefits and personnel policy.

Correctional Officials may feel that the nature of their work does not allow them to participate in decisions about the work process or that there is a lack of communication. Understaffing leading to work overload, time pressures and increasing responsibility are contributors to differing stress levels between the occupational groups (CO level; ASD/DD level).

Many of the prisons are overcrowded and this may lead to work overload, time pressures and increasing responsibility. Correctional Officials may find that the training they have received during basic training may not be enough to equip them to deal with hardened criminals.

Employees with longer service within the Department of Correctional Services often feel threatened by new appointees with new ideas. Technological advances, the redesign of jobs and the movement from hierarchical to non-hierarchical forms of relationships are contributors to stress between occupational groups.

#### 5.2.6 A comparison of stressors and qualifications.

TABLE 17. A comparison of stressors between employees with different qualifications: T-Test

VARIABLE	QUALIFICA TIONS	N	MEAN	SD.	T-TEST	SIG. (2- TAILED) P value
ORGANISATIONAL FUNCTIONING	Std 8,9,10 Std 10+	112 90	19.0357 18.8000	5.4491 6.6064	0.278	0.781
TASK CHARACTERIS TICS	Std 8,9,10 Std 10+	112 90	43,3036 46,2667	10.5205 9.1698	-2.105	0.037 *
PHYSICAL WORKING CONDITIONS AND JOB EQUIPMENT	Std 8,9,10 Std 10+	113 90	18.5044 18.4889	6.4422 8.0897	0.015	0.988
CAREER OPPORTUNITIES	Std 8,9,10 Std 10+	113 90	22.5221 23.8778	6.8441 7.1624	-1.373	0.171
SOCIAL MATTERS	Std 8,9,10 Std 10+	112 90	23.1786 24.7222	5.8003 5.3758	-1.942	0.054
REMUNERA TION, FRINGE BENEFITS AND PERSONNEL POLICY	Std 8,9,10 Std 10+	112 90	23.7946 24.4778	8.0092 9.3859	-0.558	0.577

<sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05

A low mean score indicates a high stress value.

The results of the t-test regarding differences between employees with different qualifications elicited significant differences (P<0.5). The five categories of qualifications were collapsed into two categories (Std 8,9,10 and Std 10+)

The statistical analysis indicates that employees with lower qualifications experience more task related stress. Those employees without proper training and qualifications will invariably find it difficult to manage a prison. Many lack the necessary management skills and this invariably results in stress.

### 5.2.7 A comparison of stressors and dependents.

TABLE 18. A comparison of stressors between employees with dependents: T-test

VARIABLE	DEPEN DENTS	N	MEAN	SD.	T-TEST	SIG. (2- TAILED) P value
ORGANISATIONAL FUNCTIONING	≤3	127	18.6535	5.8437	-1.754	0.081
	≥4	70	20.1714	5.7609		
TASK	≤3	127	44.7244	9.5665	-0.605	0.546
CHARACTERISTICS	≥4	70	45.6000	9.9817		The state of the s
PHYSICAL	≤3	128	18.3281	7.2624	-1.054	0.293
WORKING CONDITIONS AND JOB EQUIPMENT	≥4	70	19.4714	7.3637	7	
CAREER	≤3	128	22.7266	6.7855	-1.648	0.101
OPPORTUNITIES	≥4	70	24.4000	6.9186		
SOCIAL MATTERS	≤3	128	23.9922	5.5564	0.125	0.900
MATERIAL LA	≥4	70	23.8857	5.9989		
REMUNERATION, FRINGE BENEFITS AND PERSONNEL POLICY	≤3 ≥4	127 70	23.2205 26.3857	8.6483 8.6950	-2.454	0.015*

<sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05

A low mean score indicates a high stressor value.

The statistical analysis indicates that a significant difference (P<0.05) between employees with different dependents ( $\leq 3$  /  $\geq 4$ ) for the stressor remuneration, fringe benefits and personnel policy. In a normal situation employees with more dependents may find it more difficult to sustain their families on lower salaries. However the results indicate that employees with fewer ( $\leq 3$ ) dependents experience higher levels of stress. There is no plausible explanation for this occurrence.

Many of the employees of the Department of Correctional Services are often promoted and have to relocate to new areas. Some of the employees do not relocate with their families and often have to maintain two households. Extended families also place a burden on employees who eam lower salaries. This may result in stress.

#### 5.3 CONCLUSION

Stress is present among Heads of Prison (CO's, ASD's and DD's) of the Department of Correctional Services. From the statistics provided in tables 3 - 18 it shows that there are differing levels of stress present among Heads of Prison. It is also evident that stress is caused from both outside as well as within the work situation. Further analysis indicated that statistically significant associations for specific biographic and demographic variables were prevalent for both the levels of stress and causes of stress within and outside the work situation.

Language, occupation and qualification as well as provinces are significantly related to the respondents' stress and stressor scores. A comparison of all the independent variables indicates that the occupational categories of the respondents had a major effect on their perception of the levels of stress within or outside the work situation. The remaining variables, although not indicating statistically significant

differences, may nevertheless, have significant implications. For example the descriptive statistics reflect that the mean scores and percentages for all the independent variables were notably high. In all cases the mean percentages in the high and very high categories are larger than the guideline of 15 to 20 percent as stated for a population by the American Psychiatric Association (1994).

The following chapter will provide a review of sport and recreation within the DCS.