

"COME OUT AFTER SAUL AND AFTER SAMUEL!": A CASE FOR TEXUAL ANALYSIS OF 1 SAMUEL 11:1-11

BY

JEONG BONG KIM

SUBMITTED AS PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

OLD TESTAMENT STUDIES

FACULTY OF THEOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

PRETORIA

APRIL 2008

PROMOTER: PROFESSOR D J HUMAN



Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	vi
ABBREVIATIONS	viii
ABSTRACT	x
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research problem	5
1.3 Aims and objectives	9
1.4 Methodology	10
1.5 Hypothesis	17
1.6 Outline of chapters	17
1.7 Terminology and orthography	19
CHAPTER 2 HISTORICAL REVIEW	22
2.1 Introduction	22
2.2 Royal ideology	23
2.2.1 Egypt	25
2.2.2 Mesopotamia	31
2.2.3 Canaan	34
2.2.4 Royal ideology of ancient Israel in the context of the ANE	37
2.3 Biblical perspectives on the origin of kingship	41
2.3.1 Source approaches	42
2.3.2 Redactional approaches	43
2.3.3 Tradition-critical approaches	47
2.3.4 New literary approaches	50
2.3.5 Synthesis	54
2.4 Aspects of leadership in ancient Israel	55
2.4.1 Introduction	55
2.4.2 The Judges	57
2.4.2.1 Introduction	57
2.4.2.2 Role of the judge	58

2.4.2.3 Amphictyonic theory	. 60
2.4.3 Chieftaincy	62
2.5 Synthesis	. 66
CHAPTER 3 SOCIO-RELIGIOUS CONTEXT	. 68
3.1 Introduction	. 69
3.2 Dynamics shaping traditions of the emergence of Saul's kingship	78
3.2.1 Introduction	. 78
3.2.2 Prophetic groups	. 80
3.2.2.1 <i>Nabi</i>	. 82
3.2.2.2 The political guild	. 88
3.2.2.2.1 Nathan	. 89
3.2.2.2.2 Ahijah	90
3.2.2.2.3 Elisha	90
3.2.2.2.4 Samuel	
3.2.2.2.5 <i>Bamot</i> (local sanctuaries)	. 93
3.2.2.3 <i>Bamah</i> as prophetic group's religious and political base	
3.2.2.3.1 The role of <i>bamah</i>	
3.2.2.3.2 Relationship between Samuel and prophetic group of the bamah	
3.2.2.3.3 Personnel	
3.2.2.3.4 A cultic sanctuary for Yahweh	
3.2.2.3.5 Israelite kings' relation with the <i>bamot</i>	
3.2.3 People's role in appointment of kingship	
3.3 Process of traditionalization	
3.3.1 Introduction	
3.3.2 Historical claims are compatable	108
3.3.3 Incompatibility of religious dynamics	109
3.3.4 Synthesis	116
CHAPTER 4 EXPOSITION OF 1 SAMUEL 11:1-11	119
4.1 Introduction	119
4.1.1 Literary issues	123
4.1.2 Historical issues	127
4.1.3 Literary setting	129
4.1.4 Historical setting	133

4.2 Narrative as macro structure	134
4.2.1 Introduction	134
4.2.2 Necessity of kingship (Jdg 17-21)	135
4.2.3 Political perspective on kingship (1 Sm 1-7)	139
4.2.4 Evil origin of the kingship of Saul (1 Sm 8-12)	140
4.2.5 Necessity for renewal of kingship in David (1 Sm 13-2 Sm 1)	141
4.2.6 Synthesis	146
4.3 Text and translation	148
4.3.1 Textual criticism	149
4.3.2 Excursus: <i>Melek</i> and <i>Nagid</i>	152
4.4 Detailed textual exposition	156
4.4.1 Introduction	156
4.4.2 Deliverance or shame (vv 1-3)	157
4.4.2.1 Nahash versus the people of Jabesh-Gilead (v 1)	158
4.4.2.2 A treaty. It is shame on you (v 2)	162
4.4.2.3 An opportunity to find a deliverer (v 3)	165
4.4.3 Appearance of Saul as deliverer (vv 4-9)	169
4.4.3.1 Introduction	169
4.4.3.2 Gibeah of Saul (v 4)	169
4.4.3.3 Divinely leadership (vv 5-7)	173
4.4.3.3.1 Introduction	173
4.4.3.3.2 Arrival of Saul (v 5)	174
4.4.3.3.3 Empowerment by the 'spirit of God' (v 6)	
4.4.3.3.4 Mustering all the Israelites (v 7)	
4.4.3.4 Successful mustering: Israel together with Judah (v 8)	
4.4.3.5 Promise to save Jabesh (v 9)	
4.4.4 No shame but victory (vv 10-11)	
4.4.4.1 Introduction	
4.4.4.2 Shame on Nahash (v 10)	
4.4.4.3 Victory for Jabesh (v 11)	
4.4.5 Summary	
4.5 Synthesis	189
CHAPTER 5 SYNTHESIS	193



5.1 Introduction	193
5.2 Saul, the divinely sanctioned king (the prophetic tradition)	194
5.3 Saul divinely rejected king (a redactional perspective)	195
5.3.1 Introduction	195
5.3.2 Saul, a leader lacking knowledge	196
5.3.3 People-oriented characterization of Saul	199
5.4 Synthesis	200
BIBLIOGRAPHY	204



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Halleluyah! I praise the Lord who has helped me to complete this dissertation. The only hope that I have never given up during my writing this dissertation is that I am in God's plan for His name sake. I am very thankful for His blessings. He is an awesome God!

Above all, my deep thankfulness goes to my family: Mr. Jin Kuk Kim (father), Mrs. Kum Yeo Kim (mother), Rev. Ho Nam (father-in-law), and Mrs. Jung Ja Lee (mother-in-law). Without their love and support this work could not have happened.

This work is the result of many, many people's contributions. Some of those whom I would especially like to thank include: Rev. Soon Heung Jang, a godly couple: Mr Kae Soo Kang and Mrs Soon Ok Han, faithful friends: M. D. Joong Sup Kwak, Mrs. Young Jin Oh, Dr. In-Cheol Shin, and Rev. Jae Soon Kim.

I am also deeply indebted to those churches that provided me with spiritual or financial help: Dae Myong Baptist Church (Daegu, Korea), Shintajin Baptist Church (Daejeon, Korea).

I am especially thankful to my supervisor Prof. D J Human. Wow! He was amazing to guide me to complete this dissertation. His scholarly love and guidance were more profound and insightful than I am able to show in this dissertation.

I am also deeply appreciative of the guidance and encouragements for my professors, Dr. Heung Won Lee (Korea Baptist Theological University), Dr. Gary A Long (Jerusalem University College, Israel), Dr. G Klein (Southwestern Baptist Theological Seminary, Texas). Also I cannot forget to express my thankfulness to the late Prof. Martin J Selman (Spurgeon's



college, London) who gave me insightful thoughts and comments about my study.

Help in correcting my English was enormous in making this work turn out in good shape. I would like to thank retired Prof. Francois S Malan (Pretoria) and associate dean of the Libraries of Southwestern Baptist Theological Seminary, Dr. Robert L Phillips.

Lastly, I must express that I am such a blessed man to have a wonderful family: my beloved wife, Dong Mi Nam, and my adored children, David, Deborah, and Elizabeth. Thank you all! I know this work is a result of your love, patience, and support. I love you all!



ABBREVIATIONS

AB Anchor Bible

ABD Freedman, D N (ed), Anchor Bible Dictionary. 6 vols. New York:

Doubleday, 1992

ANET Pritchard, J B (ed), Ancient Near Eastern Texts Relating to the

Old Testament

AOAT Alter Orient und Altes Testament (Kevelaer and Neukirchen-

Vluyn)

ASOR Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research

BDB Brown, F & Driver, S R & Briggs, C A (eds). A Hebrew and

English lexicon of the Old Testament. Oxford: Clarendon, 1907

BI Biblical Interpretation

BZAW Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für die Alltestamentliche Wissenschaft

CBQ Catholic Biblical Quarterly

CBOTS Coniectanea Biblica: Old Testament Series

CBQMS The Catholic Biblical Quarterly Monograph Series CHANE Culture and history of the ancient Near East

DH Deuteronomistic History

Dtr Deuteronomist
dtr Deuteronomistic
DtrG A history writer
DtrN A nomistic redactor

FAT Forschungen zum Alten Testament

FRLANT Forschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen

Testaments

GKC Kautzsch, E (ed). Gesenius' Hebrew Grammar. Translated by

Cowley, A E. 2nd English ed. Oxford: Clarendon, 1910

HSM Harvard Semitic Monograph Series

IEJ Israel Exploration Journal

JAOS Journal of the American Oriental Society

JBL Journal of Biblical Literature
JCS Journal of Cuneiform Studies
JNES Journal of Near Eastern Studies

JNSL Journal of Northwest Semitic Languages
JSOT Journal for the Study of the Old Testament

JSOTSup Journal for the Study of the Old Testament Supplement Series KAI Donner, H & Röllig, W 1962-1964. *Kanaanäische und*

aramäische Inschriften. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

KB Köhler, L & Baumgartner, L W & Stamm, J J 1966. The Hebrew

and Aramaic lexicon of the Old Testament. 4 vols. Richardson,

M E J (ed). Leiden: Brill.

KTU Dietrich, M, Loretz, O & Sanmartín, J 1976. Die

Keilalphabetischen Texte aus Ugarit, 1: Transkription (AOAT

24/1). Kevelaer and Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag

KTU² Dietrich, M. Loretz, O & Sanmartín, J 1995. *The cuneiform*

alphabetic texts from Ugarit, Ras Ibn Hani and other places

(KTU). 2nd ed. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag



LHB/OTS Library of Hebrew Bible/ Old Testament studies MMA Monographs in Mediterranean Archaeology

NAC The New American Commentary

NICOT The New International Commentary on the Old Testament

OBO Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis

Or Orientalia

OTE Old Testament Essays
OTL Old Testament Library
OTS Oudtestamentische Studiën
NRS New Revised Standard Version

SAOC Studies in Ancient Oriental Civilization

SBL Society of Biblical Literature Dissertation (or Monograph) Series

SBEC Studies in the Bible and Early Christianity

SEÅ Svensk exegetisk årsbok

SHANE Studies in the History of the Ancient Near East

SOTS The Society for Old Testament Study

SSN Studia Semitica Neerlandica

UCOP University of Cambridge Oriental Publication

UF Ugarit-Forschungen (Kevelaer and Neukirchen-Vluyn)

UMI University Microfilm International

VE Verbum et Ecclesia VT Vetus Testamentum

VTSup Supplements to Vetus testamentum

WBC Word Bible Commentary

WTJ Westminster Theological Journal

ZAW Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft



ABSTRACT

1 Samuel 11:1-11 is royal ideology for the kingship of Saul. The biblical text informs that Saul was divinely sanctioned as leader of Israel. The heroic leadership of Saul was prominent to rescue his people from the imposed national shame by Nahash the Ammonite. The leadership of Saul was endorsed by the spirit of Yahweh. The spirit of Yahweh pinpoints the prophetic connection of Saul with a group of ecstatic prophets from the high place (1 Sm 9). An original textual context for the royal ideology is referred to 1 Samuel 9:1-10:16 that provided a prophetic connection with the royal ideology.

1 Samuel 11:1-11 was involved in various textual and historical processes to form the present text and context. Through delicate redactional intentions the biblical text was incorporated in the macro-context of the royal ideology of David. In 1 Samuel 9:1-10:16 Saul was anointed as *nagid* by Samuel as the answer for the crying of the people (1 Sm 9:16). The anointing guaranteed a divine sanction for the leadership of Saul (1 Sm 11:1-11). The tradition of Saul (1 Sm 9:1-10:16; 11:1-11) idealized the leadership of Saul as a divinely sanctioned kingship after the defeat of the Ammonites (cf 1 Sm 11:15). However, Saul was judged as the rejected and unfaithful king of Israel throughout the Deuteronomistic History (DH). Strikingly, Saul was connected with the evil origin of the kingship in Israel.

The kingship of Saul can be perceived in the background of the ancient Near East (ANE) in terms of royal ideology. A prominent characteristic of the royal ideology in the ANE is to emphasize a divine sanction of the kingship in the ANE. In the ANE the king had to prove his divine sanction for the kingship. The tradition of Saul tells how the kingship of Saul was divinely sanctioned in the perspective of the ANE. On the other hand, the Deuteronomist emphasized the divine sanction of Saul was illegitimate in connection with his



prophetic connection with a group of ecstatic prophets from the high place. Further Saul was characterized as lacking of divine knowledge in the DH.

The research shows that 1 Samuel 11:1-11 is the royal ideology for Saul. The appearance of the kingship of Saul was inevitable in the critical period of the Israelite history. The leadership of Saul was divinely sanctioned in the prophetic manner. Such a prophetic characteristic of Saul was highly welcomed by the people.

It is a comprehensive approach resulting from synthesizing various approaches such as historical critical approaches, new literary approaches, and social scientific approaches. The methodology distinguished embedded historical information in the text from a final redactional intention, that is, theological purpose of the redactor.

Key Words

Royal ideology

The ancient Near East (ANE)

Kingship

The high place (Bamah)

Deuteronomist(s)

A group of ecstatic prophets

Redaction

Tradition

Nagid

Saul

Samuel