

Finger millet grain phenolics and their impact on malt and cookie quality

by

Muthulisi Siwela

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

PhD

Food Science

in the

Department of Food Science
Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences
University of Pretoria
Pretoria
South Africa

February 2009



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis submitted at the University of Pretoria for the award of PhD degree is my work and has not been submitted by me for a degree at any other university or institution of higher learning.

Muthulisi Siwela

February 2009

ii



ABSTRACT

Finger millet grain phenolics and their impact on malt and cookie quality

by

Muthulisi Siwela

Supervisor: Dr. K.G. Duodu

Co-Supervisors: Prof. J.R.N. Taylor

Prof. W.A.J. de Milliano

Department: Food Science

Degree: PhD (Food Science)

Phenolics in finger millet (FM) grain, including tannins, may impact significantly on its antimicrobial properties, functionality and health-promoting potential. Unfortunately, the location of tannins in the grain is unknown and there is limited information on the influence of variety on grain phenolic composition and antioxidant activity (AA). The effect of phenolics in FM grain on its malt fungal load and on the functional quality of its food products, including baked goods, is barely known.

Twenty two FM grain types of varied visual kernel colour were analysed to determine the influence of grain type on phenolic composition, AA, and tannin localisation in the grain. Condensed tannins, anthocyanins and flavan-4-ols were detected. Light coloured grain types had no tannins and had much lower total phenolics (TP) relative to the pigmented types, and types that stained black with the Bleach test had much higher tannin content and much higher AA. The grains that stained black with the Bleach test and had high tannin content (0.60 to 2.08 mg catechin eqivalents/100 mg, db) had a dark coloured testa layer, indicating that the tannins were located in that layer. The results indicate that occurrence of tannins in FM is a varietal property and the tannins are predominantly responsible for the AA of the grain.



Germinative energy (GE), enzymic activity, and total fungal count [TFC], and infection levels of 12 FM grain types of varied phenolic content were measured to determine the impact of phenolics in FM grain on its malt quality. The malt quality of high-phenol FM types was much higher than that of the low-phenol types, with respect to enzymic activity. TFC was negatively correlated with grain total phenolics (TP) and amount of phenolic type (APT) and there were some negative correlations between fungal species infection levels and TP and APT (p<0.05). GE and enzymic activity were positively correlated with TP and APT (p<0.05) and negatively correlated with TFC (p<0.01). The data indicate that phenolics in FM grain impact positively on its malt quality by contributing to its antifungal activity.

Cookies in which wheat cake flour was substituted with 15, 35 and 55% (w/w) of either a non-tannin or a high-tannin FM flour were analysed to assess the impact of FM phenolics on cookie quality and AA (health-promoting potential). FM-substituted cookies, particularly those with high levels of the high-tannin FM, were inferior to cake flour cookies (control), with respect to spread, texture and integrity and their dark colour decreased their acceptance by a consumer panel. However, the acceptability of cookies containing up to 35% of either FM type was similar to that of control cookies. Cookies containing the high-tannin FM had antioxidant activities that were similar to or higher than the antioxidant activities of several plant products on the market. Thus, potentially health-promoting cookies can be made by substituting up to approximately 35% wheat with a high-tannin FM.

The study indicates that high-phenol FM grain types have good malt quality, which is partly due to the antifungal activity of their phenolics. Although FM phenolics, particularly tannins, seem to affect cookie quality negatively, they contribute significantly to their health-promoting potential.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very indebted to my supervisors Dr. Duodu, Prof. Taylor and Prof. de Milliano for their guidance, support and sustained patience.

I am grateful to several colleagues and friends who I cannot all mention by name for their support during my studies. The following individuals and organisations are particularly acknowledged:

- -The Agricultural Research Council (ARC)-Grain Crops Institute, Potchefstroom, South Africa, for kindly allowing me to use their malting facilities, and Ms Constance Chiremba for her guidance in the malting work.
- -Dr Gert Marais of the Centre for Applied Mycological Studies (CAMS), FABI, University of Pretoria/CSIR, for his guidance in the mycological work.
- -Ruto Mills, Pretoria, for kindly providing the facilities for the rheological analyses of flours, and Ms Cynthia Chauke for her guidance in the analyses.
- -The University of KwaZulu-Natal (UKZN) Centre for Electron Microscopy for assistance with microscopic analysis.
- -Mr Chrispus Oduori of KARI for supplying the Kenyan finger millet types; and Prof Walter de Milliano, Prof Mark Laing, and the African Centre for Crop Improvement (ACCI) at UKZN for producing and supplying special accessions of finger millet seed, in particular the two derivative accessions developed from the Genetic Male Sterile (GMS) accession, that was originally received from the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Hyderabad, India.
- -My colleagues at the School of Agricultural Sciences and Agribusiness, UKZN, especially staff at the Discipline of Dietetics and Human Nutrition, my mentors, Prof. Eleni Maunder and Prof. Ignatius Nsahlai, and the Head of School, Prof. Sheryl Hendriks for their support.
- -The National Research Foundation (South Africa) and the UKZN Research Office are acknowledged for financial support, and the UKZN for the "LEAP Lecture Relief", awarded in 2008.
- -My family and a cousin Jabu Mangena who made huge sacrifices to support me during my studies.

I thank God for the blessings.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARAT	ΓΙΟΝ	. ii
ABSTRACT	Γ	iii
ACKNOWL	EDGEMENTS	V
TABLE OF	CONTENTS	.vi
LIST OF TA	ABLES	.ix
LIST OF FIG	GURES	.xi
1. INTRO	DUCTION	1
1.1. State	ment of the Problem	1
1.2. Litera	ature Review	3
1.2.1.	Finger millet grain structure and composition	3
1.2.1	.1. Structure of the finger millet grain	3
1.2.1	.2. Composition of the finger millet grain	6
1.2.2.	The chemical nature, occurrence and classification of plant phenolics and their	
	significance in food quality	11
1.2.3.	Antioxidant activity of plant phenolics	15
1.2.4.	Finger millet grain phenolics and their localisation, and their contribution to the	
	antioxidant properties of the grain	17
1.2.5.	Potential health-promoting effects of plant phenolics with particular reference to	,
	sorghum and finger millet phenolics	20
1.2.6.	Contamination of sorghum and finger millet grains and malts by fungi and	
	mycotoxins and the potential contribution of grain phenolic compounds to funga	.1
	resistance	21
1.2.6	.1. Contamination of sorghum and finger millet grains by fungi and mycotoxin	S.
		21
1.2.6	.2. Production of sorghum and finger millet malts and their contamination by	
fungi	i and mycotoxins	23
1.2.6	.3. Effect of malting on the phenolic content of sorghum and finger millet	27
1.2.6	.4. Relationship between phenolic content and amount of phenolic type and	
resist	tance of sorghum and finger millet grains to fungal infection	30
1.2.6	.5. Proposed mechanisms of antimicrobial activity of phenolic compounds	33

	1.2.6.6	6. Other potential fungi resistance factors of sorghum and finger millet gra	iins 34
	1.2.7.	Effects of thermal processing on phenolic content and antioxidant activity of	
		sorghum and finger millet grain foods	34
	1.2.8.	Conclusions	35
1	1.3. Hypot	heses	36
1	1.4. Objec	tives	37
2.	RESEA	RCH	38
2	2.1. Occur	rence and location of tannins in finger millet grain and antioxidant activity of	
	differe	ent grain types	39
	2.1.1.	Abstract	39
	2.1.2.	Introduction	39
	2.1.3.	Materials and Methods	41
	2.1.3.	1. Finger millet grain	41
	2.1.3.2	2. Analyses	43
	2.1.3.3	3. Microscopy	45
	2.1.3.4	4. Statistical analysis	45
	2.1.4.	Results and Discussion	46
	2.1.5.	Conclusions	54
	2.1.6.	References	54
2	2.2. Influe	nce of phenolics in finger millet on its malt quality	59
	2.2.1.	Abstract	59
	2.2.2.	Introduction	59
	2.2.3.	Materials and Methods	61
	2.2.3.	1. Finger millet grain, sorghum grain standards and control barley malts	61
	2.2.3.2	2. Fungal infection of finger millet grain	61
	2.2.3.3	3. Malting	62
	2.2.3.4	4. Total fungal count	63
	2.2.3.5	5. Germinative energy and malt quality	63
	2.2.3.6	6. Nutritional analyses	65
	2.2.4.	Results and Discussion	66
	2.2.5.	Conclusions	88

	2.2.6.	Re	eferences	88
2	2.3. Effect	ofp	partial substitution with finger millet on the nutritional and fu	inctional quality of
	cookie	es, w	vith particular reference to phenolics	99
	2.3.1.	Ab	ostract	99
	2.3.2.	Int	troduction	99
	2.3.3.	Ma	aterials and Methods	101
	2.3.3.	1.	Wheat and finger millet flours	101
	2.3.3.2	2.	Rheological analysis	101
	2.3.3.	3.	Baking	102
	2.3.3.4	4.	Nutritional analyses	102
	2.3.3.:	5.	Spread and thickness	102
	2.3.3.	6.	Texture	103
	2.3.3.	7.	Colour	103
	2.3.3.	8.	Sensory evaluation	103
	2.3.3.9	9.	Chemical analysis	104
	2.3.3.	10.	Statistical analysis	104
	2.3.4.	Re	esults and Discussion	105
	2.3.5.	Co	onclusions	125
	2.3.6.	Re	ferences	125
3.	GENER	AL 1	DISCUSSION	132
3	3.1. Metho	odolo	ogies	132
3	3.2. Resea	rch t	findings	144
3	3.3. Finge	r mil	llet is a premium cereal grain for human food?	150
4.	CONCL	USI	ONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	155
5.	REFERI	ENC	ES	157
6.	APPENI	DIX		189



LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.2.1.	Chemical composition of finger millet grain	8
Table 1.2.2.	The major classes of plant phenolics	12
Table 2.1.1.	Finger millet types and their origin	42
Table 2.1.2.	Kernel characteristics, phenolic content and antioxidant activity of different finger	<u>.</u>
	millet grain types	47
Table 2.1.3.	Pearson's correlation coefficients between kernel characteristics, phenol content, a	nd
	antioxidant activity of finger millet grain types	53
Table 2.2.1.	Kernel characteristics, total phenolics and amount of phenolic type and fungal	
	infection of finger millet grain	67
Table 2.2.2.	Pearson's correlation coefficients between kernel characteristics, total phenolics a	nd
	amount of phenolic type and fungal infection of finger millet grain	70
Table 2.2. 3.	Fungal load and fungal types on finger millet grain types and their malts	74
Table 2.2.4.	Pearson's correlation coefficients between finger millet grain total phenolics and	
	amount of phenolic type and fungal load of finger millet malt	75
Table 2.2.5.	Germinative energy (GE) and malt quality of finger millet grain types	81
Table 2.2.6.	Pearson's correlation coefficients between finger millet grain total phenolics and	
	amount of phenolic type and germinative energy and malt quality	82
Table 2.2.7.	Effect of malting on the nutrient content of finger millet grain types (g/100 g, db).	
	Effect of malting on the amino acid composition of finger millet grain types	
	Rheological properties of composite wheat-finger millet doughs	
Table 2.3.2.	Proximate composition (g/100 g) of cake and finger millet (FM) flours, and	
	composite wheat-FM cookies	10
Table 2.3.3a	. Amino acid composition of cake and finger millet (FM) flours, and composite	
	wheat-FM cookies (g/100 g, db)	11
Table 2.3.3b	Comparison of essential amino acid concentration in composite wheat-finger mil	let
	(FM) cookies with the pattern of essential amino acid requirements	12
Table 2.3.4.	Physical characteristics of composite wheat-finger millet cookies	16
	Sensory acceptability of composite wheat-finger millet cookies	



Table 2.3.5b. Effect of finger millet type and finger millet substitution level on sensory	
acceptability of composite wheat-finger millet cookies1	17
Table 2.3.6. Effect of baking on the assayable phenolic content and antioxidant activity of	
composite wheat-finger millet cookie doughs	18
Table 2.3.7. Comparison of the antioxidant activity of composite wheat-finger millet cookies	
with that of some food products in the market1	21
Table 2.3.8. Pearson correlation coefficients between phenolic content, antioxidant activity,	
texture, colour and sensory acceptability of composite wheat-finger millet cookie	S
1	24
Table 3.1. Merits and demerits of finger millet grain as a human food	54



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.2.1.	Structure of anatomical parts of the finger millet grain	4
Figure 1.2.2.	Phenolic acids. A, p-Hydroxybenzoic acid; B, Hydroxycinnamic acid (caffeic ac	cid).
		13
Figure 1.2.3.	Basic structure and numbering system of flavonoids	13
Figure 1.2.4.	General structure for proanthocyanidin higher oligomers and polymers	13
Figure 2.1.1.	Light micrographs of finger millet kernels.	49
Figure 2.1.2.	SEM of testa area of finger millet kernels.	50
Figure 2.3.1.	Effect of finger millet substitution level on the rheological properties of compos	ite
	wheat-finger millet (FM) doughs.	107