

BIBLIOGRAFIE

- Albert, K 1987. Das Sein ist Gott: Zur philosophischen Mystik Meister Eckharts, in Zu dir hin: Über mystische Lebenserfahrung Von Meister Eckhart bis Paul Celan. Frankfurt: Insel Verlag
- Bakker, R 1964. De Geschiedenis van het fenomenologisch denken. Utrecht: Uitgewery Het Spectrum.
- Beyer, C 1996. Von Bolzano zu Husserl Eine Untersuchung über den Ursprung der phänomenologischen Bedeutungslehre. Dortrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Bernet, R, Kern, I & Marbach, E 1989. *An Introduction to Husserlian Phenomenology*. Eveston, Illinois: Northwestern University Press.
- Caputo, JD 1986. *The Mystical element in Heidegger's thought*. NewYork: Fordham University Press.
- Cooper-Wiele, J K 1989. *The Totalizing Act: Key to Husserl's Early Philosophy*. Dortrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- De Boer, T 1978. *The Development of Husserl's Thought*. (vert Plantinga T.) Den Haag: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Descartes, R 1911. The principles of philosophy, in *The philosophical works of Descartes (vol 1)*, tr by E S Haldane and G R T Ross. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Dilthey, W 1992. The Understanding of Other Persons and Their Life-Expressions, in *The Hermeneutics Reader*, 152-164. Mueller-Vollmer, K (red) NewYork: Continuum.
- Eckhart 1956. Dominica Prima Post Trinitatem, übersetzt von Benz E, Decker B
 - & Koch J. Stuttgart: W. Kohlhammer Verlag.
 - 1956. Dominica Septima Post Trinitatem, übersetzt von Benz E, Decker
 - B & Koch J. Stuttgart: W. Kohlhammer Verlag.
 - 1956. In Festo s. Trinitatis, übersetzt von Benz E, Decker B
 - & Koch J. Stuttgart: W. Kohlhammer Verlag.
 - 1956. In Sollemnitate Corporis Christi, übersetzt von Benz E, Decker B
 - & Koch J. Stuttgart: W. Kohlhammer Verlag.
- Føllesdal, D 1972. Husserl's notion of noema, in Journal of Philosophy, 680-687. vol 66.
- Farber, M 1966. *The aims of Phenomenology The motives, methods, and impact of Husserl's thought.*New York: Harper Torchbooks.
- Gadamer, H 2003. Truth and Method. Weinsheimer, J & Marshall, D G (vert). New York: Continuum.
- 1976a. The Phenomenological Movement, in *Philosophical Hermeneutics*, 130-181. Linge, D E



- (red). Berkley: University of Clafornia Press.
- 1976b. The Science of the Life-World, in *Philosophical Hermeneutics*, 182-197. Linge, D E
 (red). Berkley: University of Clafornia Press.
- Gurwich, A 1967. Husserl's Theory of the Intentionality of Consciousness in Historical Perspective, in *Phenomenology and Existentialism*, 25-57. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins Press.

Heidegger, M 1998. Zijn en Tijd. Wildschut, M (vert). Nijmegen: SUN.

- 2001. *Identität und Differenz*, tweetalige editie. S. Ijsseling. Amsterdam: Boom.
- 1962. *Being and Time*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- 1969. Discourse on Thinking, (vert Anderson J M & Freud E H) New York: Harper & Row.
- Husserl, E 1891. *Philosophie der Arithmetik. Psychologiese und logische Untersuchungen, Band I.*Halle-Saale
- 1894. Psychologische Studien zur elementaren Logik, in *Philosophische Monatshefte*, 159-191.
 vol 30.
- 1901. Logische Untersuchungen, Zweiter Theil. Untersuchungen zur Phänomenologie und Theorie der Erkenntnis. Halle: Max Niemeyer.
- 1976. Ideen zu einer reinen Phänomenologie und Phänomenologischen Pholosophie, Erstes
 Buch. Allgemeine Einführung in die reine Phänomenologie. Den Haag: Martinus Nijhoff.

Jaspers 1983. Plato. Munchen: Piper.

Jones, G 1991. Bultmann – Towards a critical theology. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Kockelmans, A 1963. Edmund Husserl – een inleiding tot zijn fenomenologie. Tielt: Lannoo.

Lauer, Q 1965. *Phenomenology and the crises of philosophy*. Inleiding, 1-70. New York: Harper & Row

- Mueller-Vollmer, K 1992. Introduction, in *The Hermeneutics Reader*, 1-53. Mueller-Vollmer, K (red). NewYork: Continuum.
- Musa, W 1987. Logos und Mysterium, in Zu dir hin: Über mystische Lebenserfahrung Von Meister Eckhart bis Paul Celan. Frankfurt: Insel Verlag
- Overgaard, S 2004. *Husserl and Heidegger on Being In The World*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Philo 1993. *The works of Philo Complete and unabridged*. (vert C D Yonge). Massachusetts:Hendrickson Publishers.
- Plato 1971. Phaedo. Loeb Classical Library.
 - 1953. *Republic*. Loeb Classical Library.



- Ricoeur, P 1985. *Time and narrative (vol 3)*, vert Blamey, K & Pellauer, D. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- 1967. Husserl An Analysis of his Philosophy. Ballerd, E G & Embree, L E (vert). Evaston:
 Northwestern University Press.
- Rodemeyer, L M 2006. Intersubjective temporality It's about time. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Schleiermacher, F D E 1992. General Hermeneutics, in *The Hermeneutics Reader*, 73-85. Mueller-Vollmer, K (red). NewYork: Continuum.
- Smith, D W & McIntyre, R 1982. *Husserl and Intentionality. A Study of Mind, Meaning and Language*. Dordrect: D. Reidel Publishing Company.
- Spiegelberg, H 1969. The Phenomenological movement (vol 1). Den Haag: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Van Peursen, C A 1967. Fenomenologie en werklijkheid. Utrecht: Aula-Boeken.



Summary

Meister Eckhart understands that human beings are thrown into meaning, that we live out our lives in meaning and that the source of this meaning is beyond our understanding. We always have an understanding of the world in which we live but we do not determine this understanding and we do not have the ability to understand the source of this understanding. This is the basic principal of philosophical hermeneutics which we also find in the work of Heidegger and Gadamer. However, there did come a time when human beings became arrogant enough to view the world as 'n collection of objects which can be fully and finally known by manking. During the age of enlightenment man understood himself as the source of meaning and asserted his power over understanding. This arrogance would not last long. During the nineteenth century scalars like Dilthey recognized the fundamental historicity of human being. Dilthey understood that man is bound to the meaning of his age. However, he could not reject the arrogance of the scientific worldview which staked a claim on the possibility of absolute knowledge. Thus he chose to carry this prejudice into the sphere of the human sciences and constructed a new foundation for man's power over meaning.

We who study hermeneutics enjoy praising Heidegger for his insight and contribution towards hermeneutics. It is said that Heidegger discovered the absolute finitude and historicity of human being. This may well be true, but it is a shame that scholars mostly ignore the contribution of Edmund Husserl. In this document I claim that it was Husserl who laid the foundation for the new movement in hermeneutics in Germany of which Heidegger and Gadamer has been the major exponents. In the words of Gadamer, this movement may be called "Philosophical Hermeneutics" since it does not only include a method for understanding but also encompasses a way of thinking about human being in general. In my view the current discourse on the origin and development of philosophical hermeneutics represents a great injustice since the philosophy of Husserl is neglected in this discourse.

In this writing I shall try to rectify this injustice by illuminating the contribution that Husserl has made to philosophical hermeneutics. Firstly I will show that Husserl's philosophy is fundamentally about meaning. In his early distributive psychology he struggles with the question of the origin of concepts. With his concept of intentionally he rejects the traditional ontology of the object in order to make place for the ontological integrity of meaning. Unlike his predecessors he claim that objects are determined by meaning instead of the other way around. In his transcendental phenomenology he goes a step further by proclaiming that the Ego is nothing but pure existence and that consciousness in nothing but he existence of meaning. These insights are easy to overlook due to Husserl's obsession with epistemology. His philosophy is all but consistent. But it is especially by means of this inconsistency that Husserl makes his contribution to philosophical hermeneutics. We may compare Husserl with Moses. Like Moses he reaches the top of the mountain Sinai where he can look upon the Promised Land. But, unlike Moses, he turns his back on this new land and stares back at the desert of epistemology.