

# DEVELOPMENT OF A WEAR MONITORING SYSTEM FOR TURNING TOOLS USING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

CORNELIUS SCHEFFER

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree *Philosophiae Doctor* (Ph.D.) in the Faculty of Engineering, Built-Environment and Information Technology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.

2002

Supervisor: Prof. P. S. Heyns

Co-supervisor: Prof. Z. Katz

028109810  
028109810  
028109810

Development of a wear monitoring system for turning tools using artificial intelligence

Cornelius Scheffer

Supervisor: Prof. P. S. Heyns

Co-supervisor: Prof. Z. Katz

Department: Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering

Degree: Ph.D.

## SUMMARY

Tool wear during metal cutting operations is a continuous source of economic loss for the manufacturing industry. Complete tool failure after a certain degree of wear has occurred, can also have catastrophic consequences. One problem with monitoring tool wear is that the rate of wear and its geometric growth is always unique. This in effect means that a statistical approach for optimising the use of tool inserts cannot be realised, because too much losses will still occur together with the possibility of catastrophic failure. An alternative approach to achieve optimal tool use and prevent costly failures is on-line sensor-based monitoring of the tool inserts. In this research, a method is proposed for cost-effective on-line monitoring for turning tool inserts, based on Artificial Intelligence (AI) modelling, with the focus on turning operations.

To establish Tool Condition Monitoring (TCM) methods in industry, a generic method is required that can be applied to different types of operations. In this study, hard turning and interrupted cutting of Aluminium are investigated. A method is proposed that can effectively monitor the wear of the tool inserts used in these operations. It was shown that more than one wear mode can be monitored in this way. Cutting conditions (*e.g.* speed, feed rate and depth of cut) can be included to ensure that the accuracy of the system is not affected if these conditions vary or change. A sensor-integrated tool holder was developed during the course of this work and it was shown how this tool can be used to reconstruct the cutting forces of a machining operation. A calibration procedure for the sensor-integrated tool was also developed.

The specific AI methods used in this research is Neural Networks (NNs). It was shown that using a novel formulation of NNs, accurate monitoring can be achieved under shop floor conditions. This is achieved by training a combination of static and dynamic NNs. The new method is compared with other formulations and methods for further improvement are also investigated. Furthermore, an innovative training algorithm for on-line training of the NNs is also presented, after investigating many conventional and new optimisation algorithms. Achieving reliable and accurate wear monitoring under shop floor conditions is very significant since this has never been achieved to satisfaction up to date. In this case, using cost-effective custom developed hardware, advanced signal processing techniques and a novel formulation of NNs, the degree and rate of wear can be predicted at any given time. Comprehensive reviews of metal cutting, tool wear, modelling techniques, TCM and commercial TCM systems form part of this study as relevant background information.

**Keywords:** *condition monitoring, wear, neural networks, machine tool, vibration, machining, lathe, artificial intelligence.*

**Ontwikkeling van 'n slytasiemoniteringstelsel vir draaibaitels deur gebruik te maak van  
kunsmatige intelligensie**

Cornelius Scheffer

Promotor: Prof. P. S. Heyns

Mede-promotor: Prof. Z. Katz

Departement: Meganiese en Lugvaartkundige Ingenieurswese

Graad: Ph.D.

## **OPSOMMING**

Slytasie van baitels wat gebruik word vir metaal sny operasies is 'n voortuderende bron van ekonomiese verliese in die vervaardigingsbedryf. 'n Katastrofiese faling van die baitel as gevolg van slytasie het ook ernstige gevolge. Die feit dat die geometrie en die tempo van die slytasie altyd uniek is, maak 'n statistiese benadering om die gebruik van die baitels te optimeer problematies. So 'n metode sal nie die moontlikheid van 'n katastrofiese faling uitskakel nie en sal steeds ekonomiese verliese meebring. 'n Alternatiewe metode om optimale gebruik van snybaitels aan te help en falings te voorkom is sensorgebaseerde monitering. Hierdie navorsing stel 'n koste-effektiewe metode voor vir intydse monitering van draaibaitels deur die gebruik van kunsmatige intelligensie. Om Masjiengereedskap ToestandsMonitering (MTM) metodes in die bedryf te vestig, word 'n generiese metode benodig wat op verskillende operasies toegepas kan word. In hierdie werk is die draai van verharde staal en die onderbroke draai van Aluminium ondersoek. 'n Metode word voorgestel wat die slytasie van baitels gedurende hierdie operasies betroubaar kan monitor. Daar word ook aangetoon hoe meer as een slytasiemodus op 'n baitel gemonitor kan word. Die masjineringsparameters kan ook in ag geneem word om te verseker dat die stelsel nie deur snytoestand variasies beïnvloed word nie.

'n Sensor-geïntegreerde baitelhouer is ook ontwikkel en daar word aangetoon hoe die kragkomponente van 'n draai operasie daarmee bepaal kan word. Kalibrasieprosedures vir die sensor-geïntegreerde baitelhouer is ook ontwikkel. Die spesifieke kunsmatige intelligensie metode wat in hierdie navorsing aangewend word, is Neurale Netwerke (NNe). Daar word aangetoon hoe akkurate monitering van baitelslytasie op die fabrieksvloer moontlik is deur middel van 'n unieke formulering van NNe. 'n Kombinasie van statiese en dinamiese NNe word opgelei om die slytasie intyds te voorspel. Die nuwe metode word vergelyk met ander formulering, en metodes vir verdere verbetering word ook ondersoek. 'n Innoverende opleidingsalgoritme vir intydse opleiding van die dinamiese NNe word ook voorgestel na ondersoek van verskeie konvensionele en nuwe optimeringsalgoritmes. Die feit dat betroubare en akkurate slytasiemonitering op die fabrieksvloer berwerkstellig is, is 'n belangrike bydrae van hierdie werk omdat so iets nie voorheen geïmplementeer is nie. In hierdie werk is koste-effektiewe hardeware ontwikkel en gebruik saam met gevorderde seinprosessering en 'n unieke NN formulering om die waarde en tempo van die slytasie op engige gegewe tydstop te voorspel. Omvattende studies in metaalsny, baitelslytasie, modelleringstegnieke, MTM en kommersiële MTM stelsels word as relevante agtergrondmateriaal vir hierdie navorsing ingesluit.

**Slutelwoorde:** *toestandsmonitering, slytasie, neurale netwerke, masjiengereedskap, vibrasie, masjinerie, draaibank, kunsmatige intelligensie.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to extend his gratitude towards the following people for their valuable contribution to this research:

- Prof. Stephan Heyns at the University of Pretoria (UP) for his advice, support and encouragement. His personal interest in the work was the driving force for a successful research collaboration and it was an honour to work closely with such a dedicated researcher.
- Prof. Z. Katz from the Rand Afrikaans University (RAU) in Johannesburg for his special interest in the work, his advice, support and technical supervision that contributed to the success of the project.
- Mr. Hubert Kratz from the Werkzeugmaschinenlabor (WZL) at RWTH-Aachen, Germany for his all his inputs and assistance during the various visits to Aachen.
- Friends, staff and colleagues at the UP including Marietjie Calder, Frans Windell, Francois du Plooy, Corné Stander, Willie Smit, Derik van Staden, Bernhard Meister, Leon Staphorst, Jan Gutter, Prof. Albert Groenwold & Prof. Jan Snyman for their encouragement support, help and advice.
- Dr. D.E. Dimla from the DeMontfort University in Leicester for his interest in the work and advice during visits to Leicester, England.
- Dr. Bernhard Sick from the University of Passau, Germany for all his inputs through e-mail and also for a very fruitful visit to Passau.
- Various other colleagues and friends at WZL in the group of Prof. Klocke including Willi Weiss, Andrey da Silva, Ludwig Minten, Drazen Veselovac, Karsten Vormann, Sebastian Mader, Janli Ji, Martin Reuber & Rene Schmitz.
- Prof. Jun Ni from the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, U.S.A. for a very interesting visit to his lab and his technical inputs.
- Prof. I.S. Jawahir for his special personal interest in the research and technical inputs, and also for a very fruitful two-day visit to his labs in Lexington, Kentucky, U.S.A.
- Prof. R.E. DeVor and Prof. S. Kapoor from the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Illinois, U.S.A. for their inputs and advice on mechanistic modelling.
- Mr. Bill Kline from Montronix Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan, U.S.A. for the very interesting visit and practical inputs.
- Mr. Mike Yaremchuck from Artis Systems in Detroit, Michigan, U.S.A. for his advice and very interesting visits to the Ford manufacturing and development facilities in Detroit.
- Mr. Mario Gioia from UV Water Systems in Pretoria for his encouragement and understanding.
- Mr. Ty Dawson and Prof. Tom Kurfess from the Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, U.S.A. for their assistance and various discussions in solving the modelling problem in hard turning.
- Gerrie Pieterse, Chris van der Merwe, Justin Moyes, Karl Wenk and various others at KOLBENCO (PTY) LTD for their assistance in setting up a TCMS in their plant.
- Mr. Johan van Staden from Inher SA for arranging a visit to De Beers industrial diamonds and

also Dr. Martin Suckling for a very interesting visit to their research facilities at De Beers.

- Prof. Ahmad Ghasempoor from the Ryerson Polytechnic University, Ontario, Canada, for his inputs on the formulation of NNs.
- Mr. V. Sansoni and Mr. K. F. Von Langermann at Tranconsa SA for their assistance in identifying an industrial need.
- Prof. Marco Santochi from the University of Pisa, Italy for advice on developing a sensor-integrated tool.
- Prof. Dong-Woo Cho from the Pohang University of Science and Technology, South Korea for his interesting inputs.
- Prof. John W. Sutherland from the Michigan Technological University, Houghton, Michigan, U.S.A. for his helpful advice.
- Prof. Nidal Abu-Zahra from the University of Wisconsin Milwaukee for his comments on the ultrasonic approach to TCM.

The author would like to thank the following institutions for their financial contributions:

- National Research Foundation of South Africa
- University of Pretoria
- Mellon Foundation
- Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD)

On a personal note, I would also like to thank my friends and family for their encouragement, and the most important person, my wife Zonia, for her everlasting understanding and support in being married to an eternal student: Her encouragement played a vital role in completing this huge project that was a central part of our life for so many years.

<b>CHAPTER 1</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 PREFACE .....	1
1.2 INDUSTRIAL NEED .....	3
1.3 ECONOMIC ASPECTS .....	3
1.4 ENGINEERING ASPECTS .....	4
1.5 SCOPE OF RESEARCH .....	5
1.6 STRUCTURE.....	7
<b>CHAPTER 2</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>2. BACKGROUND TO MACHINING AND TOOL WEAR</b> .....	<b>8</b>
2.1 INTRODUCTION .....	8
2.2 MECHANICS OF THE CUTTING PROCESS .....	8
2.3 TURNING.....	11
2.3 TOOL WEAR.....	15
2.4 TOOL WEAR MAPPING .....	22
2.5 MATHEMATICAL MODELLING.....	24
2.6 OPTIMISATION OF MACHINING OPERATIONS .....	28
2.6 CONCLUSION.....	30
<b>CHAPTER 3</b> .....	<b>31</b>
<b>3. TOOL CONDITION MONITORING</b> .....	<b>31</b>
3.1 INTRODUCTION .....	31
3.2 SENSORS FOR GENERAL PROCESS MONITORING .....	32
3.3 SENSOR-BASED TOOL WEAR MONITORING.....	33
3.4 DECISION MAKING IN SENSOR-ASSISTED TCM.....	41
3.5 CONCLUSION.....	59
<b>CHAPTER 4</b> .....	<b>60</b>
<b>4. USING AI FOR TOOL WEAR MONITORING DURING HARD TURNING</b> .....	<b>60</b>
4.1 PREFACE .....	60
4.2 EXPERIMENTAL SETUPS.....	61
4.3 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS - DISTURBANCES .....	67
4.4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS - GENERAL .....	75
4.5 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS - CORRELATION ANALYSIS WITH SOMS .....	83
4.6 AI APPROACH FOR WEAR MONITORING .....	85
4.7 CONCLUSION.....	96
<b>CHAPTER 5</b> .....	<b>97</b>

<b>5. USING AI FOR TOOL WEAR MONITORING DURING INTERRUPTED TURNING OF ALUMINIUM.....</b>	<b>97</b>
5.1 INTRODUCTION .....	97
5.2 MEASUREMENT SYSTEM .....	98
5.3 COST BREAKDOWN.....	109
5.4 EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS.....	111
5.5 TOOL WEAR.....	113
5.6 SIGNAL PROCESSING .....	115
5.7 AI METHOD FOR TCM.....	121
5.8 TOOL WEAR ESTIMATION RESULTS .....	124
5.9 CONCLUSION.....	129
<b>CHAPTER 6.....</b>	<b>130</b>
<b>6. FURTHER INVESTIGATIONS TOWARDS IMPROVEMENT OF AI METHOD .....</b>	<b>130</b>
6.1 INTRODUCTION .....	130
6.2 SIGNAL PROCESSING .....	130
6.3 ALTERNATIVE MODELLING TECHNIQUES.....	140
6.3 AI APPROACH IMPROVEMENT .....	143
6.4 CONCLUSION.....	149
<b>CHAPTER 7.....</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>151</b>
7.1 PREFACE .....	151
7.2 CONCLUSIONS .....	151
7.3 RECOMMENDATIONS.....	155
7.4 CONTRIBUTION .....	156
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>157</b>
<b>APPENDIX A.....</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>A. AN OVERVIEW OF COMMERCIAL SENSORS FOR TCM.....</b>	<b>169</b>
A.1 INTRODUCTION.....	169
A.2 FORCE-BASED MONITORING .....	171
A.3 MEASUREMENT OF MOTOR CURRENT .....	177
A.4 ACCELERATION MEASUREMENT .....	178
A.5 ACOUSTIC EMISSION (AE) MONITORING.....	181
A.6 OTHER METHODS .....	183
A.7 LATEST DEVELOPMENTS .....	183
<b>APPENDIX B.....</b>	<b>186</b>
<b>B. OVERVIEW OF COMMERCIAL TOOL CONDITION MONITORING SYSTEMS .....</b>	<b>186</b>
B.1 INTRODUCTION.....	186

B.2 U.S.A. PATENTS.....	186
B.3 COMMERCIAL TCMS .....	187
B.4 TCM SOFTWARE .....	192
B.5 MONITORING STRATEGY .....	193
B.6 CONCLUSION.....	196
<b>APPENDIX C .....</b>	<b>197</b>
<b>C. THE ELECTRICAL RESISTANCE STRAIN GAUGE .....</b>	<b>197</b>
C.1 INTRODUCTION.....	197
C.2 FREQUENCY RESOLUTION.....	198
C.3 SPATIAL RESOLUTION .....	198
C.4 RISE TIME OF STRAIN GAUGE .....	201
C.5 CONCLUSION .....	201
<b>APPENDIX D .....</b>	<b>202</b>
<b>D. MATHEMATICAL OPTIMISATION.....</b>	<b>202</b>
D.1 GENERAL FORMULATION .....	202
D.2 ALGORITHMS .....	202
<b>APPENDIX E .....</b>	<b>206</b>
<b>E. CUSTOM HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS .....</b>	<b>206</b>
E.1 INTRODUCTION .....	206
E.2 PC-30U .....	207
E.3 OVERALL CONFIGURATION .....	207
E.4 TEMPERATURE DRIFT OF COMPLETE SYSTEM .....	210
E.5 LOW-PASS FILTER .....	211
<b>APPENDIX F .....</b>	<b>213</b>
<b>F. WAVELET ANALYSIS .....</b>	<b>213</b>
F.1 INTRODUCTION .....	213
F.2 WAVELET ANALYSIS BACKGROUND.....	213
F.3 SCALING AND SHIFTING .....	214
F.4 THE DISCRETE WAVELET TRANSFORM (DWT).....	214
F.5 MULTIPLE LEVEL DECOMPOSITION AND RECONSTRUCTION .....	215
F.6 WAVELET PACKET ANALYSIS.....	216
<b>APPENDIX G.....</b>	<b>218</b>
<b>G. MECHANISTIC MODEL .....</b>	<b>218</b>
G.1 INTRODUCTION.....	218
G.2 PROCEDURE FOR MODELLING THE SHARP TOOL FORCES IN TURNING.....	218
G.3 BASIC PROCEDURE FOR MODELLING THE WORN TOOL FORCES .....	220
G.4 OBTAINING THE TOTAL CUTTING FORCES .....	225



G.5 CONCLUSION.....	NOMENCLATURE.....	225
APPENDIX H.....		226
H. THE SELF-ORGANISING MAP (SOM).....		226
H.1 INTRODUCTION.....		226
H.2 COMPUTATION OF THE SOM.....		226
H.3 EXAMPLES OF SOMs.....		229
APPENDIX I.....		232
I. SURFACE ROUGHNESS ANALYSIS.....		232
I.1 SURFACE TEXTURE.....		232
I.2 ASSESSMENT OF SURFACE ROUGHNESS.....		232

$C_c$	covariance
$D$	workpiece diameter, details (wavelet analysis), NN initialisation space
$E$	modulus of elasticity, signal entropy (wavelet analysis)
$F_c$	cutting force due to tool flank wear (mechanistic model)
$F_f$	frictional cutting force (mechanistic model)
$F_t$	feed force (orthogonal and oblique cutting)
$F_r$	radial force (oblique cutting)
$F_n$	normal cutting force (mechanistic model)
$F_s$	sampling rate
$F_t$	tangential force (orthogonal and oblique cutting)
$F_{x,z}$	thrust force due to tool flank wear (mechanistic model)
$F_{x,y,z}$	directional static forces (turning)
$f_{nd}$	natural frequency of tool holder feature (hard turning)
$G_c$	gauge length (strain gauges)
$I$	second moment of area
$I$	identity matrix
$K$	turning
$K_a$	depth of the crater wear (hard turning)
$K_c$	specific feed pressure constant (mechanistic models)
$K_f$	frictional cutting pressure (mechanistic model)
$K_g$	approximate length of the crater wear (hard turning)
$K_r$	crater wear centre from edge
$K_n$	normal cutting pressure (mechanistic model)
$K_s$	specific cutting pressure constant (mechanistic models)
$K_T$	crater wear depth
$K_T$	depth of groove backwall wear
$K_v$	volume of crater wear (hard turning)

## UPPERCASE

$A$	constant (SOM), approximations (wavelet analysis), pulse magnitude (strain gauges)
$\mathbf{A}$	calibration matrix
$A_c$	area of chip load (mechanistic model)
$B$	constant (SOM), pulse magnitude (strain gauges)
$BL$	length of groove backwall wear
$BW$	width of groove backwall wear
$C$	constant (Taylor equations)
$C_o$	wave velocity (strain gauges)
$C_x$	covariance
$D$	workpiece diameter, details (wavelet analysis), NN initialisation space
$E$	modulus of elasticity, signal entropy (wavelet analysis)
$F_{cw}$	cutting force due to tool flank wear (mechanistic model)
$F_f$	frictional cutting force (mechanistic model)
$F_f$	feed force (orthogonal and oblique cutting)
$F_r$	radial force (oblique cutting)
$F_n$	normal cutting force (mechanistic model)
$F_s$	sampling rate
$F_t$	tangential force (orthogonal and oblique cutting)
$F_{tw}$	thrust force due to tool flank wear (mechanistic model)
$F_{x,y,z}$	directional static forces (turning)
$F_{xd}$	natural frequency of tool holder feature (hard turning)
$G_L$	gauge length (strain gauges)
$I$	second moment of area
$\mathbf{I}$	identity matrix
$K$	kurtosis
$K_D$	depth of the crater wear (hard turning)
$K_f$	specific feed pressure constant (mechanistic models)
$K_f$	frictional cutting pressure (mechanistic model)
$K_L$	approximate length of the crater wear (hard turning)
$K_M$	crater wear centre from edge
$K_n$	normal cutting pressure (mechanistic model)
$K_t$	specific cutting pressure constant (mechanistic models)
$K_T$	crater wear depth
$KT$	depth of groove backwall wear
$K_v$	volume of crater wear (hard turning)

$K_{Vfr}$	full range of crater wear volume
$K_{Vmd}$	maximum deviation from true crater wear volume
$K_{Vrms}$	rms deviation from true crater wear volume
$K_{VTCMS}$	crater wear volume predicted by the monitoring system
$K_{Vtrue}$	measured crater wear volume
$K_W$	width of the crater wear (hard turning)
$L$	length of cut along shaft (turning), surface profile sample length, gauge length (strain
$M$	number of files to compress
$N$	nose wear
$NL_1$	notch wear length on main cutting edge
$NL_2$	notch wear length on secondary cutting edge
$NW_1$	notch wear width on main cutting edge
$NW_2$	notch wear width on secondary cutting edge
$P_L$	pulse length (strain gauges)
$R_a$	roughness average
$P_{xx}$	power spectral density of X
$P_{xy}$	cross spectral density of X and Y
$R_t$	theoretical surface roughness (turning)
$R_z$	rms surface roughness
$S$	signal, skewness
$SD$	depth of secondary face wear
$SW$	width of secondary face wear
$T$	tool life (taylor equation), pulse period (strain gauges), time interval, sampling time
$T_R$	reference tool-life
$V$	cutting speed (orthogonal and oblique cutting)
$V_c$	chip speed (orthogonal and oblique cutting)
$V_0$	Wheatstone bridge excitation voltage
$V_R$	reference cutting speed
$VB$	flank wear
$VB(i)$	on-line wear estimation at step $i$
$VB_A$	area of flank wear (hard turning)
$VB_{Afr}$	full range of flank wear area
$VB_{Amd}$	maximum deviation from the true flank wear area
$VB_{ATCMS}$	flank wear area predicted by the monitoring system
$VB_{Atrue}$	measured flank wear area
$VB_{Arms}$	rms deviation from the true flank wear area
$VB_{avg}$	average flank wear (hard turning)
$VB_L$	approximate length of the flank wear (hard turning)

$VB_{max}$	maximum flank wear
$VB_{notch}$	notch wear (SOM)
$VB_p$	width of the plastic flow region (mechanistic model)
$W_C$	coating effect factor
$W_g$	chip-groove effect factor (SOM)
$X_{rms}$	rms of $X$ at chip thickness (mechanistic model)
LOWERCASE	
$a$	neuron output, wavelet scale function
$a_1, a_2 \dots a_p$	AR parameters (width of cut (mechanistic model))
$b$	chip width (orthogonal and oblique cutting), length of flank wear, neuron bias
$b_1, b_2 \dots b_q$	MA parameters (dynamic NN, sample vector (SOM))
$c$	index of BMU, trigger channel (model)
$c_0, c_1$	linear coefficients (mechanistic model)
$doc$	depth of cut
$e_i$	eigenvectors (PCA)
$f$	feed rate, neuron activation function
$f$	frequency of interest (strain gauges)
$fh$	upper frequency boundary (mechanistic model)
$fl$	lower frequency boundary
$f_o$	natural frequency (dynamometer)
$f_r$	resonant frequency (dynamometer)
$h$	depth of cut (orthogonal and oblique cutting)
$h$	height of surface profile
$h_{c(x),i}$	neighbourhood function (SOM)
$i$	cutting edge inclination angle (oblique cutting), neuron index (SOM), monitoring outer step, trigger threshold
$i_e$	effective inclination angle (mechanistic model)
$k_{ij}$	calibration matrix coefficients (to the full range of wear, chip-flow angle (oblique cutting))
$l$	tool overhang length (mechanistic model)
$lm$	cutting length per minute (turning)
$m$	input vectors (SOM) (PCA)
$m$	weight vector (SOM) (older material, correlation coefficient)
$n$	rotational speed of spindle (turning), constant (Taylor equation), dimension of input vectors (SOM), number of weight and bias values in the dynamic NN, total number of tool wear measurements, number of trigger events, index of ARMA models, mode of vibration
$p$	neuron input, order of AR model
$q$	order of MA model

$r$	tool nose radius
$r_i$	locality index (SOM)
$r_n$	tool nose radius (mechanistic model)
$s$	signal
$t$	index of learning step (SOM)
$t_c$	is the uncut chip thickness (mechanistic model)
$tol$	convergence tolerance
$u$	sequence for MA model
$v$	voltage (calibration)
$w$	neuron weight, width of cut (mechanistic model)
$x$	distance from tool tip (mechanistic model)
$\mathbf{x}$	weight vector of dynamic NN, sample vector (SOM)
$\Delta w$	width of element (mechanistic model)
$\bar{x}$	mean value

GREEK SYMBOLS

$\alpha(t)$	learning rate function (SOM)
$\alpha_{en}$	effective normal rake angle (mechanistic model)
$\alpha_n$	normal rake angle (mechanistic model)
$\alpha_r$	rake angle (oblique cutting)
$\beta$	clearance angle
$\beta_n$	end condition parameter
$\varepsilon_{out}$	reported peak strain (strain gauges)
$\varepsilon_R$	peak strain (strain gauges)
$\phi_c$	shear angle (oblique cutting)
$\gamma^2$	coherence function
$\gamma_{eL}$	effective lead angle (mechanistic model)
$\eta$	average accuracy with respect to the full range of wear, chip-flow angle (oblique cutting)
$\eta_c$	chip-flow angle (mechanistic model)
$\lambda_i$	eigenvalues (PCA)
$\mu_x$	mean of vector population (PCA)
$\rho$	mass density of tool holder material, correlation coefficient
$\sigma$	minimum accuracy with respect to the full range of wear
$\sigma^2$	variance
$\sigma_{eff}$	effective stress (mechanistic model)
$\sigma_o$	tool tip normal stress (mechanistic model)

$\sigma_w$	normal tool flank stress (mechanistic model)
$\tau_o$	tool tip shear stress (mechanistic model)
$\tau_w$	shearing tool flank stress (mechanistic model)
$\omega$	frequency
$\Delta\xi$	sections on tool (mechanistic model)
$\psi$	wavelet function
$\psi_s^2$	frequency band energy from PSD function

#### ABBREVIATIONS

3-D	Three Dimensional
A/D	Analogue to Digital
AC	Adaptive Control
ACC	Adaptive Control Constraint
ACO	Adaptive Control Optimisation
ADELIN	Adaptive Linear Neuron Network
AE	Acoustic Emission
AI	Artificial Intelligence
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
AR	Auto-Regressive
ARD	Automatic Relevance Determination
ARMA	Auto-Regressive Moving Average
ART	Adaptive Resonance Theory
ASPS	Automated Sensors and Signal Processing Selection
BHN	Brinell Hardness Number
BMU	Best-Matching Unit (SOM)
BW	Bandwidth
CAD	Computer Aided Design
CBN	Cubic Boron Nitride
CF	Crest Factor
CNC	Computer Numerical Control
CWT	Continuous Wavelet Transform
DN	Dynamic Network
DWT	Discrete Wavelet Transform
ECT	Equivalent Chip Thickness
ETOP	Energy Trajectory Optimisation Program
EUR	Euro
EWMA	Exponentially Weighted Moving Average
FEM	Finite Element Method
FF	Feedforward
FFT	Fast Fourier Transform

FRF	Frequency Response Function
GA	Genetic Algorithm
GEOMC	Global Economical Optimal Machining Conditions
IDD	Independent Identically Distributed
IDWT	Inverse Discrete Wavelet Transform
KBES	Knowledge-Based Expert Systems
KFM	Kohonen Feature Map
LFOP	Leap-Frog Optimisation Program
MLP	Multilayer Perceptron
MRR	Metal Removal Rate
NC	Numerical Control
NFS-S	Supervised Neuro-Fuzzy System
NN	Neural Network
OMC	Optimal Machining Conditions
OR	Operations Research
PC	Personal Computer
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
PLS	Partial Least Squares
PSD	Power Spectral Density
PSOA	Particle Swarming Optimisation Algorithm
RAMV	Ratio of Absolute Mean Value
RAN	Resource Allocation Network
RBF	Radial Basis Function
RMC	Recommended Machining Conditions
RNN	Recurrent Neural Network
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SeTAC	Sequoia Triaxial Acceleration Computer
SLP	Single Layer Perceptron
SN	Static Network
SOF	Statistical Overlap Factor
SOM	Self-Organising Map
SPC	Statistical Process Control
SQSD	Spherical-Quadratic Steepest Descent
SS	Stainless Steel
SURE	Stein's Unbiased Risk Estimate
TCM	Tool Condition Monitoring
TCMS	Tool Condition Monitoring System
TDL	Tapped Delay Line
TDNN	Time Delay Neural Network
TMC	Technical Machining Conditions