

Malted and fermented sorghum as ingredients in composite bread

by

Leda Florinda Hugo

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

PhD Food Science

in the

Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences

(Department of Food Science)

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

Pretoria

2002



I declare that the thesis herewith submitted for the degree of PhD Food Science at the University of Pretoria, has not previously been submitted by me for a degree at any other university or institution of higher education.



Dedication

This dissertation is dedicated to my parents Herminio Hugo and Arrucina Faquirá for their unconditional love and encouragement.



Acknowledgements

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Professor John R.N. Taylor, for guidance and constant support during the execution of this work. I am also grateful to my co-supervisor Professor Lloyd W. Rooney of Texas A&M University, for his assistance with the experimental design, constant encouragement and contribution to the final presentation of this work.

I am indebted to the European Union for sponsoring most of the work under the project "Improvement of the protein quality of sorghum and its introduction into staple food products for Southern and Eastern Africa", the EU Contract No IC18-CT196-0051(DG12 MUYS). I am also deeply indebted to the Deutscher Akademischer Austaschdienst (DAAD) for the awarded scholarship.

My sincere thanks goes also to the Eduardo Mondlane University, Faculty of Agronomy and Forestry Engineering, for giving me the study leave and the opportunity to carry out this work.

Finally, I am also grateful to my brother Zé, my sister Helena, my cousins Nuro and Fatinha, my godmother Cristina, my niece Lizete and my daughter Ana Marcia, for their kind support and understanding.



Malted and Fermented Sorghum as Ingredient in Composite Breads

by

Leda Florinda Hugo

Promoter: Professor J.R.N. Taylor

Co-promoter: Professor L.W. Rooney

Department: Food Science, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0002

Degree. PhD Food Science

ABSTRACT

The possibility of using the simple technologies of malting and fermentation to modify endogenously the sorghum grain components, to alleviate the grittiness, dryness and high crumb firmness caused by the inclusion of sorghum flour in composite bread, was investigated. The most suitable grain and the optimal malting time for sorghum for breadmaking, were selected by malting five sorghum cultivars, up to 8 days, and evaluating them for the highest protein modification and lowest dry matter losses. On that basis, a 6days malt of Local White, a relatively high protein sorghum (10.7%), was selected.

Sorghum malt flour potentially suitable for bread-making was produced by boiling the selected malt, rather than drying it at high temperatures, stewing or steaming. Boiling was most effective in inactivating the amylases and in increasing the pasting viscosity of sorghum malt. The bread made with boiled malt flour (30%) had an improved crumb



structure and water-holding capacity, a softer crumb and increased resistance to staling, compared to bread made with sorghum grain flour (30%).

Bread-making with reconstituted flours from flour and bran fractions of whole sorghum grain and whole boiled sorghum malt indicated that the bread improving effect of malting and boiling was due to dextrinization and gelatinization of starch, and to the increase of total and water-soluble pentosans, and crude fiber. Dextrinization and gelatinization of starch decreased the gelatinization temperature and the rate of starch retrogradation, thus decreasing the crumb firmness and firming rate of sorghum and wheat composite bread. However, high levels of gelatinized starch decreased dough strength and bread volume. The increase of total pentosans and crude fiber of sorghum malts, caused by germinating grains roots and shoots growth, and the increase of water-soluble pentosans, due to hydrolysis of the non-starch polyssacharides during malting, significantly increased flour and dough water-holding capacity. Thus, crumb structure was improved and crumb firmness and crumb-firming rate decreased.

Treatment of sorghum flour with endo-(1-4)- β -xylanase to determine whether endoxylanases could solubilize sorghum pentosans, increased the water-soluble pentosans slightly, indicating the potential of endoxylanases to improve the bread-making quality of sorghum flour. However, heating the endoxylanase treated flour to inactivate the enzyme, so as to determine its specific effect, gelatinized the starch and decreased the bread volume.



A natural lactic acid fermentation of sorghum flour, followed by drying at 60°C, decreased the pH of sorghum flour from 6.2 to 3.4 and slightly increased the gelatinized starch and the pasting viscosity of sorghum flour. Apparently, the low pH caused higher loaf volume and improved crumb structure and softness by suppressing the amylases and by increasing the viscosity of dough, and hence increasing its gas-holding capacity. Adding wet fermented sorghum flour directly to wheat flour (sourdough process), as an alternative to drying, further increased the volume and decreased the crumb firmness. Fermentation and drying also improved the protein digestibility of sorghum composite bread.

Consumer panel members liked the bread made with boiled sorghum malt flour most, apparently because it was softer, more moist and had a fine malt flavor. They liked the bread made with fermented and dried sorghum flour less, apparently because it had a pronounced sour taste.

Malting and fermentation can be successfully used to produce acceptable sorghum and wheat composite bread. Fermentation is probably the most suitable technology for poor developing countries because it is simple and effective. Steaming the malt and adding the endoxylanases directly when mixing the dough, to eliminate the flour drying step and to reduce starch gelatinization, should be looked at further.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRELIM	MINARY PAGES	Page
1.	Title page	ii
2.	Declaration page	iii
3.	Dedication page	iv
4.	Acknowledgments	\mathbf{v}
5.	Abstract	vi
6.	Table of contents	xi
7.	List of tables	xiii
8.	List of figures	XV
TEXT		
1.	General Introduction	1,
2.	Objectives	6
3.	General Literature Review	7
3.1.	Sorghum structure and composition	7
3.1.1.	Structure of sorghum and wheat grains	7
3.1.2.	Composition of sorghum and wheat grains	10
3.1.2.1.	Starch	10
3.1.2.2.	Proteins	11
3.1.2.3.	Non-starch polysaccharides	13
3.2.	Milling of sorghum for bread-making	16
3.3.	Use of sorghum in traditional bread-making	19



3.4.	Improving the bread-making quality of sorghum	20
3.4.1.	Compositing of sorghum with wheat flour	20
3.4.2.	Addition of chemical additives	22
3.4.2.1.	Oxidizing agents	22
3.4.2.2.	Surfactants	23
3.4.2.3.	Hydrolytic enzymes	24
3.4.3.	Creating a viscous, cohesive dough	26
3.4.4.	Problems with these approaches	28
3.5.	Modification of sorghum grain structure and composition by simple	
	technologies	29
3.5.1.	Malting	29
3.5.1.1.	Development of hydrolytic enzymes	30
3.5.1.2.	Modification of starch, proteins and non-starch polysaccharides	30
3.5.1.3.	Use of malt in bread-making	31
3.5.2.	Fermentation	32
3.5.2.1.	Development of hydrolytic enzymes	33
3.5.2.2.	Modification of starch, proteins and non-starch polysaccharides	33
3.5.2.3.	Use of fermentation in bread-making	35
3.6.	Conclusions	36
4.	Chapters	39
I)	Effect of malting on the modification of sorghum protein	39
	Abstract	39
	Introduction	40
	Materials and Methods	42



	Results and Discussion	47
	Conclusions	59
	Literature Cited	60
II)	Effect of malting on composite sorghum and wheat bread-making quality	65
	Abstract	65
	Introduction	66
	Materials and Methods	67
	Results and Discussion	71
	Conclusions	83
	Literature Cited	85
III)	Effect of fermentation of sorghum flour on composite sorghum and	
	wheat bread-making quality	89
	Abstract	89
	Introduction	90
	Materials and Methods	91
	Results and Discussion	95
	Conclusions	107
	Literature Cited	109
IV)	Functionality of sorghum non-starch polysaccharides in composite	
	bread-making	113
	Abstract	113
	Introduction	114
	Materials and Methods	115
	Results and Discussion	121



	Conclusions	134
	Literature Cited	136
5.	General Discussion	140
6.	General Conclusions and Recommendations	148
7.	References	151
8.	Publications from this work	171



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
I-1.	Kernel characteristics, protein content and Germinative Energy of	
	sorghum grains.	43
I-2.	Correlation analysis between the properties of 6-days malts.	51
II-1.	Starch and amylase properties of sorghum grain, sorghum malt,	
	and heat-treated sorghum malt flours.	72
II-2.	Volume and specific volume of composite breads containing	
	sorghum grain, sorghum malt and heat-treated sorghum malt	75
	flours.	
II-3	Protein quality of sorghum grain, sorghum malt, boiled sorghum	
	malt flour, wheat flour and sorghum and wheat composite breads.	82
II-4.	Sensory evaluation of sorghum and wheat composite breads.	84
III-1.	Effect of fermentation and drying on the properties of sorghum	96
	flour.	
III-	Water requirements and pH of dough and volume, weight and	
2a.	specific volume of composite breads containing sorghum and	
	fermented and dried grain sorghum.	100
III-	Volume, weight and specific volume of composite breads	
2b.	containing sorghum, fermented and dried grain sorghum and	
	fermented sorghum (sourdough process).	100
III-3.	Protein quality of wheat flour and fermented and dried sorghum	
	flour and non-fermented sorghum and wheat composite breads.	106



III-4.	Sensory evaluation of fermented and dried and non-fermented	
	sorghum and wheat composite breads.	108
IV-1.	Composition of wheat flour and sorghum grain and sorghum malt	
	flours boiled, fractionated and reconstituted (dry basis).	122
IV-2.	Volume, weight, specific volume and moisture content of wheat	
	flour bread and breads containing wheat flour and reconstituted	
	sorghum grain, sorghum malt flour, and endoxylanase treated	
	whole sorghum grain flour	125
5-1.	Merits, drawbacks and recommendations concerning malting and	
	boiling, and endoxylanase-treatment on the bread-making	
	properties of sorghum flour	141
5-2.	Merits, drawbacks and recommendations concerning the	
	fermentation and drying treatment on the bread-making properties	
	of sorghum flour	145



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	Longitudinal section of wheat grain: Source: Rooney and Miller (1982).	8
2.	The wheat grain. a) Longitudinal section. b) Cross section showing the	
	crease region. Modified from Hoseney (1994).	9
I-1.	Effect of malting time on the diastatic power (DP) of sorghum malts: (�)	
	NK283, (■) PAN8564, (*) KAT369, (▲) Local White, and (●) Shaka.	49
I-2.	Effect of malting time on the free amino nitrogen (FAN) of whole malts	
	(T) and roots and shoots (R-S) of sorghum malts: —(◆)NK283 (T), —	
	(■) PAN8564 (T), —(*) KAT369 (T), —(▲) Local White (T), —(●)	
	Shaka (T),(♦) NK283 (R-S),(■) PAN8564 (R-S),(*) KAT369	
	$(R-S)$,(\blacktriangle) Local White $(R-S)$, and(\blacksquare) Shaka $(R-S)$.	50
I-3.	Effect of malting time on the total protein (T) and water-soluble protein	
	(S) of sorghum malts: —(♠) NK283 (T), —(■) PAN8564 (T), —(★)	
	KAT369 (T), —(▲) Local White (T), —(●) Shaka (T),(◆) NK283	
	(S),(■) PAN8564 (S),(*) KAT369 (S),(▲) Local White (S),	
	and(●) Shaka (S).	53
I-4.	Effect of malting time on the in vitro protein digestibility of sorghum	
	malts: (♠) NK283, (■) PAN8564, (*) KAT369, (▲) Local White, and	
	(Shaka.	55
I-5.	Effect of malting time on the dry matter losses due to respiration (R) and	
	roots and shoots (R-S) of sorghum malts: —(◆) NK283 (R), —(■)	
	$PAN8564(R) \longrightarrow (*) KAT369(R) \longrightarrow (A) Local White (R) \longrightarrow (B) Shaka$	



	(R),(◆) NK283 (R-S),(■) PAN8564 (R-S),(*) KAT369 (R-S),	
	(A) Local White (R-S), and(O) Shaka (R-S).	57
II-1.	Effect of sorghum and sorghum malt flour on the volume and crumb	
	structure of sorghum and wheat composite breads. From left to right,	
	breads made with wheat flour (100%), sorghum flour (30%), sorghum mal	
	(30%), sorghum flour (50%), and sorghum malt (50%).	77
П-2.	Crumb firmness of wheat and sorghum composite breads as a function of	
	storage time and level of sorghum ingredient. () Wheat flour (100%);	
	(\blacktriangle), sorghum malt (30%); (\blacksquare) sorghum grain flour (30%); (\triangle), sorghum	
	grain flour (50%); and (\square) sorghum malt flour (50%).	80
III-1.	Pasting properties of sorghum grain, fermented and dried sorghum grain	
	flour and wheat flour.	98
III-2.	Effect of different treatments on the volume, crumb structure and color of	
	sorghum and wheat composite breads: (left to right) breads made with	
	wheat flour (100%); sorghum grain flour (30%); boiled sorghum malt	
	flour (30%) (see Chapter 4-II); and fermented and dried sorghum flour	101
	(30%).	
III-3.	Crumb firmness of sorghum and wheat composite breads as a function of	
	storage time. (�) Wheat flour (100%); (♠) fermented and dried sorghum	
	flour (30%); (O) sorghum grain flour (30%).	103
III-4.	Effect of fermented sorghum flour (sourdough process) on the volume,	
	crumb structure and color of sorghum and wheat composite breads: (left to	
	right) WW, bread made with wheat flour (100%); DFS, bread made with	
	fermented and dried sorghum flour (30%); and SFS, bread made with	



	fermented sorghum flour (sourdough process) (30%)	105
IV-1.	Procedure for fractionation and milling of sorghum grain and boiled	
	sorghum malt.	117
IV-2.	The experimental design for reconstitution and evaluation of bread-	
	making properties of sorghum flours, composited with wheat flour	119
ΓV-3.	Volume and crumb structure of sorghum and wheat composite breads.	
	From left to right: WG, whole grain flour; GeGb, grain flour and grain	
	bran; GeMb, grain flour and malt bran; MeGb, malt flour and grain bran;	
	MeMb, malt flour and malt bran; and WM, whole boiled sorghum malt.	127
IV-4.	Effect of endoxylanase treatment on the volume and crumb structure of	
	sorghum and wheat composite bread: WG, bread made with whole	
	sorghum grain flour and 1.0%, bread made with whole sorghum grain	
	flour treated with endo-(1-4)-β-xylanase, 2500 fungal xylanase units per	129
	kg of flour.	
IV-5.		
	Crumb firmness of breads made with wheat flour and wheat flour	
	composited with whole sorghum grain flour, WG; whole boiled sorghum	
	grain flour, WBG; whole boiled sorghum malt flour, WM; grain flour and	
	grain bran, GeGb; grain flour and boiled malt bran, GeMb; boiled malt	
	flour and grain bran, MeGb; boiled malt flour and boiled malt bran,	
	MeMb; and endoxylanase treated whole sorghum grain flour, ET, where:	
	■ day 0, ■ day 1, ■ day 2, ■ day 3.	131
IV-6.	Sensory evaluation of composite breads made with whole sorghum grain	
	flour, WG; whole boiled sorghum malt flour, WM; and the reconstituted	



grain flour and grain bran, GeGb; grain flour and boiled malt bran, GeMb; boiled malt flour and grain bran, MeGb; and boiled malt flour and boiled malt bran, MeMb; and endoxylanase treated whole sorghum grain flour, ET, where 1=dislike extremely, and 9= like extremely and ■ appearance,

texture, and taste of bread.

133