The use of word prediction as a tool to accelerate the typing speed and increase the spelling accuracy of primary school children with spelling difficulties.

Marina Herold

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Masters in Augmentative and Alternative Communication

in the Centre for Augmentative and Alternative Communication

Faculty of Humanities

University of Pretoria.

Pretoria June 2004

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge my gratitude to

Prof Erna Alant and Dr Juan Bornman of the University of Pretoria whose mental prowess always amazed me.

Thank you for your leadership.

Rina Owen of the University of Pretoria for the help with the statistics.

Thank you for your patience.

All at Forest Town School.

The headmaster, Mr Jackson, for allowing me the time and space to do the project in his school.

The teachers, who kindly accommodated all my requests and intrusion in their classes.

My OT colleagues, for all the support during the long haul that the project was.

Betsy Coville, for generously doing the language editing.

The children, who were so gracious and cooperative during all the testing.

A big thank you to all of you.

My very special husband for all the love, support, understanding and advice.

My three lovely daughters
who helped and supported,
in so many ways,
each in her own special way.
Thank you.

My heavenly Father, the reason for everything.

Table of contents

Acknow	ledgements	(1)
Table of contents		
List of ta	bles	(vii)
List of fi	gures	(ix)
List of ap	ppendices	(x)
Abstract	– English	(xii)
Abstract	– Afrikaans	(xiv)
Chapte	r 1 : Introduction	1.1
1.1	Introduction	1.1
1.2	Word prediction as a tool for spelling support	1.1
1.3	Outline of the chapters	1.5
1.4	Abbreviations	1.5
1.5	Definition of terms	1.6
1.6	Summary	1.7
Chapte	er 2 : Word prediction and spelling difficulties	2.1
2.1	Introduction	2.1
2.2	Word prediction described	2.1
2.3	Spelling accuracy support through word completion	2.1
2.4	The interaction between reading and writing skills	2.2
2.5	Speed enhancement through keystroke reduction	2.4
2.6	The cost of cognitive demands in word prediction usage	2.6
2.7	Additional spelling benefits resulting from word prediction usage	2.7
2.8	The value of instruction and practice in word prediction usage	2.9
2.9	Word prediction parameters	2.9
2.10	Summary	2.14

Cha	pter 3	: Resea	rch Methodology	3.1
3.1		Introduc	etion	3.1
3.2		Research question		
3.3		Research	h design	3.1
3.4	Pilot s	study		3.2
	3.4.1	The subj	jects used for the pilot study	3.2
	3.4.2	The dev	elopment of the wordlists	3.2
		3.4.2.1	Range of phonetic principles	3.3
		3.4.2.2	The number and length of the words	3.4
	3.4.3	The obje	ectives, findings and recommendations of the pilot study	3.5
		3.4.3.1	To develop two equivalent wordlists for use in the main study with respect to word difficulty	3.5
		3.4.3.2	To achieve a wide and well-distributed variance range in spelling results	3.7
		3.4.3.3	To test the Word Prediction subtest, with respect to spelling accuracy improvements and speed enhancements that could result from the use of word prediction, the equivalence of the wordlists and the length of the task.	3.8
		3.4.3.4	To test the keyboard and word prediction training programs	3.9
		3.4.3.5	To investigate the time aspects of the task	3.9
		3.4.3.6	To test the procedural aspects of the test administration	3.10
		3.4.3.7	To test word comprehension and familiarity	3.11
3.5	Main	Main study		
	3.5.1	Context		3.12
	3.5.2	Subjects	s' selection criteria	3.13
	3.5.3	Subjects	s' descriptive criteria	3.15
		3.5.3.1	Gender distribution	3.15
		3.5.3.2	Age distribution	3.16
	3.5.4	Material	s and equipment to be used in the study	3.16
		3.5.4.1	Computer	3.16
			i. On-screen keyboard	3.17
			ii. The word prediction software	3.17
		3.5.4.2	Graded Word Spelling Test	3.18
		3.5.4.3	Mouse control screening	3.20

		3.5.4.4	The	wordlists	3.	21
			i.	The final wordlists	3.	21
			ii.	Minimum keystrokes required	3.	22
			iii.	First appearance of words in prediction list	3.	23
			iv.	Familiarity of the words	3.	24
			v.	Unpredicted words	3.	24
			vi.	The contextual phrase for each word	3.	25
		3.5.4.5	Vid	eo camera	3.	26
		3.5.4.6	Adı	ministration equipment	3.	26
	3.5.5	Data co	llectio	n procedures	3.	26
		3.5.5.1	Intr	oduction	3.	26
		3.5.5.2	Gra	ded Word Spelling Test	3.	27
		3.5.5.3	Mo	use Control Screening	3.	29
		3.5.5.4	The	research task	3.	29
			i.	Location of research task	3.	29
			ii.	Sequence and timing of events	3.	30
			iii.	Initial preparations	3.	30
			iv.	Welcoming and orientation	3.	31
			v.	On-screen keyboard training	3.	31
			vi.	Word prediction training	3.	31
			vii.	The two subtests	3.	.33
			viii.	The rest	3.	34
			ix.	The closing	3.	34
		3.5.5.5	The	video recording	3.	34
		3.5.5.6	The	output document	3.	35
		3.5.5.7	Rec	ord of all the raw data	3.	35
		3.5.5.8	Tes	ter reliability assessment	3.	36
	3.5.6	Data an	alysis		3.	36
3.6	Summ	nary			3.:	38
Cha	pter 4	: Resul	lts an	d Discussion	4.	1
4.1	Introd	uction			4.	1

4.2	Addre	essing the	research sub-questions	4.1	
	4.2.1	Research question 1 : Does typing with the use of word prediction improve spelling scores compared to typing without the use of word prediction?			
	4.2.2	Research question 2 : Does the use of word prediction increase text entry time?			
	4.2.3		n question 3: Is there a relationship between spelling ability and ence of word prediction on spelling accuracy and typing speed?	4.6	
	4.2.4	An addit	tional cost to consider in word prediction usage - Approximations	4.9	
		4.2.4.1	Approximations described	4.9	
		4.2.4.2	Which subjects tended to use approximations?	4.9	
		4.2.4.3	The words that most frequently elicited approximate words	4.11	
4.3	Analy	sis of vari	ance between subject characteristics	4.11	
	4.3.1	Grade		4.12	
	4.3.2	Gender		4.12	
	4.3.3	Chronological age			
4.4	The re	esearch de	sign analysis	4.14	
	4.4.1	The equivalence of the four groupings of subjects			
		4.4.1.1	Number	4.15	
		4.4.1.2	Age	4.15	
		4.4.1.3	GWST scores and spelling age	4.15	
		4.4.1.4	Grade	4.16	
		4.4.1.5	Gender	4.16	
	4.4.2	Variation	ns in the results of the four sample groups	4.16	
	4.4.3 Wordlists		ts	4.18	
		4.4.3.1	Accuracy scores	4.18	
			i. Factors impacting on TO scores	4.19	
			ii. Factors impacting on WP scores	4.21	
		4.4.3.2	Time scores	4.23	
	4.4.4	Presenta	tion order	4.23	
		4.4.4.1	Accuracy scores	4.23	
		4.4.4.2	Time scores	4.24	
	4.4.5	Variatio	ns in the results of the four sample groups revisited	4.25	
4.5	Summ	nary		4.26	

Cha	pter 5	: Critical evaluation of study	5.1
5.1	Introd	luction	5.1
5.2	Summary of the results		
	5.2.1	Spelling accuracy improvements	5.1
	5.2.2	Typing speed enhancements	5.2
	5.2.3	'Predictive' value of a spelling test	5.2
	5.2.4	Approximations	5.3
	5.2.5	Influence of subject characteristics	5.3
	5.2.6	Influence of the research design	5.4
5.3	Critic	5.5	
	5.3.1	Strengths of the study	5.5
	5.3.2	Factors negatively and positively influencing results	5.6
	5.3.4	Limitations to the study	5.7
5.4	Recor	mmendations for further research	5.8
5.5	Sumn	nary	5.9

References

Appendices

List of tables

Table 2.1	Word prediction parameters	2.10
Table 3.1	The four sample groups	3.2
Table 3.2	Number and length of the words – pilot study	3.5
Table 3.3	Subjects' selection criteria	3.13
Table 3.4	Summary of subjects qualifying for the research sample group	3.15
Table 3.5	Number of boys and girls	3.15
Table 3.6	The ages of the subjects	3.16
Table 3.7	The final wordlists	3.22
Table 3.8	Predicted words' first appearance	3.23
Table 3.9	Unpredicted words	3.24
Table 3.10	Contextual phrases for each word	3.25
Table 3.11	Procedure overview	3.30
Table 3.12	Data analysis procedures	3.37
Table 4.1	Correlations between dependent variables	4.2
Table 4.2	Summary of TO and WP scores in terms of spelling accuracy	4.4
Table 4.3	Summary of TO and WP text entry times	4.5
Table 4.4	Comparison of GWST and TO accuracy scores	4.7
Table 4.5a	Approximations and chronological age and grade	4.10
Table 4.5b	Correlating approximations and other variables	4.10
Table 4.6	Analysis of variance for grade, gender and chronological age	4.12
Table 4.7	The distribution of the subjects in the four sample groups with respect to number of subjects, age, GWST scores, grade and gender	4.15

Table 4.8	Test results across the four sample groups	4.17
Table 4.9	Analysis of variance – Wordlist used and order of presentation	4.17

List of figures

Figure 3.1	Frequency graph of correctly spelled words - pilot study	3.7
Figure 3.2	Frequency graph of correctly spelled words - reworked	3.7
Figure 3.3	Mouse control screening scores	3.29
Figure 4.1	The relationship between TO and WP accuracy scores	4.3
Figure 4.2	The relationship between TO and WP times	4.5
Figure 4.3	The relationship between GWST and TO accuracy scores	4.7
Figure 4.4	TO and WP accuracy scores on Wordlists A and B	4.19
Figure 4.5	TO accuracy scores on Wordlists A and B	4.20
Figure 4.6	WP accuracy scores on Wordlists A and B	4.22
Figure 4.7	Number of approximations used in Wordlists A and B	4.22
Figure 4.8	The relationship between TO accuracy scores and presentation order	4.23
Figure 4.9	The relationship between WP accuracy scores and presentation order	4.23

List of Appendices

Appendix A	Master information chart
Appendix B	Headmaster's letter of permission letter for the pilot study
Appendix C	Parent's letter of permission letter for the pilot study
Appendix D	Vocabulary list in word prediction lexicon
Appendix E	Specific Outcomes of the Foundation Phase according to the Gauteng Department of Education
Appendix F	Grade 3 phonics
Appendix G	Raw data – Pilot study
Appendix H	Data tables – Pilot study
Appendix I	Unmatched pairs – Pilot study
Appendix J	Record form – Pilot study
Appendix K	Difficulty rating of words – Pilot study
Appendix L	Problematic issues with the wordlists - Pilot study
Appendix M	Mouse control screening
Appendix N	Screen format for TO and WP subtests
Appendix O	Graded Word Spelling Test – List of words
Appendix P	Procedure and record sheet
Appendix Q	Gauteng Education Department's letter of permission for the main study
Appendix R	Headmaster's letter of permission for the main study
Appendix S	Parent's letter of permission for the main study
Appendix T	Instructions
Appendix U	Master data chart

Appendix V Summary of assessor's findings

Appendix W Approximate words chosen

Abstract

The use of word prediction as a tool to accelerate the typing speed and increase the spelling accuracy of primary school children with spelling difficulties.

Word prediction has been offered as support for children with spelling difficulties. The literature however has shown wide-ranging results, as the use of word prediction is at the cost of time and fatigue due to increased visual-cognitive demands. Spelling support with word prediction is through word completion, keystroke reduction and the interactive process between spelling and reading.

The research project was a cross-over within-subject design using 80 Grade 4-6 children with spelling difficulties in a school for special needs. The research task took the form of entering 30 words through an on-screen keyboard, with and without the use of word prediction software. The subjects were divided into four groups, who completed the research task in combinations of one of two equivalent wordlists and the presentation order of the typing method used. The Graded Word Spelling Test, administered before the study began, served to investigate whether there was a relationship between the children's current spelling knowledge and word prediction efficacy.

The results indicated an increase in spelling accuracy with the use of word prediction, but at the cost of time and the tendency to use word approximations, which decreased as grade and age increased. Children's current spelling knowledge could not serve as an indicator of who would be most likely to benefit from word prediction use. The cross-over design counter-balanced the effects of the inequalities in the two wordlists and the effects of practice and fatigue noted in the presentation order.

Further research into the impact that more extensive training and practice would have on word prediction efficacy and the usefulness of word prediction in more functional writing is necessary.

Key words: functional writing; Graded Word Spelling Test; keystroke reduction; on-screen keyboard; spelling accuracy; spelling difficulties; word approximations; word completion; word prediction; writing support

Opsomming

Die gebruik van woord voorspelling as 'n werktuig om tikspoed te verhoog en spel akkuraatheid van laerskool kinders met spelprobleme te verbeter.

Woordvoorspelling is al voorgestel as ondersteuning vir kinders met spelprobleme. Die literatuur het egter op 'n wye reeks resultate gedui, aangesien die gebruik van woordvoorspelling ten koste van tyd en moegheid is, as gevolg van verhoogde visueel-kognitiewe eise. Spelling ondersteuning met woordvoorspelling is deur woordvoltooiing, die vermindering van sleutelslae en die interaktiewe proses tussen spelling en lees.

Die navorsingsprojek was 'n oorkruis tussen-proefpersoon ontwerp wat 80 Graad 4 - 6 kinders met spelprobleme in 'n skool vir spesiale behoeftes, gebruik het. Die navorsingstaak het die form aangeneem van die insleutel van 30 woorde deur 'n sleutelbord op die rekenaarskerm, met en sonder woordvoorspelling sagteware. Die proefpersone is in 4 verskillende groepe verdeel, wat die navorsingstaak in kombinasies van een van twee ekwivalente woordelyste en die volgorde van aanbieding van die tikmetode, voltooi het. Die "Graded Word Spelling Test" wat uitgevoer is voordat die studie 'n aanvang geneem het, het ten doel gehad om te ondersoek of daar 'n verband was tussen die kinders se huidige spelkennis en die effektiwiteit van die woordvoorspelling.

Resultate het aangetoon dat daar 'n verbetering in die akkuraatheid van spelling met die gebruik van woordvoorspelling was, maar ten koste van tyd en die tendens om woordbenaderings te gebruik, wat minder geword het soos wat die graad en ouderdom verhoog het. Kinders se huidige spel kennis kon nie dien as 'n indikator van wie mees waarskynlik by die gebruik van woordvoorspelling sou baat nie. Die oorkruis ontwerp het die effek van die ongelykhede in die

twee woordelyste uitgebalanseer asook die effek van oefening en moegheid van in die volgorde van aanbieding gesien is.

Verdere navorsing met betrekking tot die impak wat meer omvattende opleiding en oefening op die effektiwiteit van woordvoorspelling sal hê, en die bruikbaarheid van woordvoorspelling in meer funksionele skryf, is nodig.

Sleutelwoorde:

funksionele skrif; "Graded Word Spelling Test"; sleutelbord op die rekenaarskerm; spelakkuraatheid; spelprobleme; skryf ondersteuning; vermindering van sleutelslae; woordvoltooiing; woordbenaderings; woordvoorspelling;