

University of Pretoria etd - Koeppel, KN (2004)

# **THE USE OF A PROBIOTIC IN CAPTIVE CHEETAHS (*Acinonyx jubatus*)**

by

**Katja Natalie Koeppel**



Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science (MSc) in the Department of Veterinary Tropical Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria, South Africa

Date submitted: 12 January 2004

**Abstract**

**THE USE OF A PROBIOTIC IN CAPTIVE CHEETAHS**  
*(Acinonyx jubatus)*

by

**Katja Natalie Koeppel**

Supervisor : Professor Moritz van Vuuren  
Co-supervisor : Professor Henk Bertschinger  
Co-supervisor : Dr Jackie Picard

Department of Veterinary Tropical Diseases  
Faculty of Veterinary Science  
University of Pretoria

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree  
**MSc (Veterinary Science)**

## University of Pretoria etd - Koeppel, KN (2004)

The project was undertaken to establish the normal intestinal flora of healthy cheetahs and to produce a species-specific probiotic for use in juvenile cheetahs in captivity to improve weight gain and reduce diarrhoea.

The normal intestinal flora of healthy cheetahs was established using non-selective and selective media. High numbers of anaerobic bacteria and aerobic bacteria were isolated from the faeces of cheetahs in this study. Eight percent of isolates were *Enterococcus* spp. Both *Enterococcus faecium* and *Lactobacillus* Group 1 were selected for use in the probiotic.

Twenty-seven juvenile cheetahs between eight and thirteen months of age were included in the probiotic trial (Median: 12 months). The probiotic was fed for 28 days to the Probiotic Group. Both the Probiotic and Control groups were monitored for 70 days prior to the administration of the probiotic and 14 days after administration.

The feeding of the cheetah-specific probiotic resulted in an increase of weight in the treatment group ( $p=0.026$ , ANOVA,  $p<0.05$ ) in comparison to the Control Group. There was a relative improvement in the faecal quality in the Probiotic Group in comparison to the Control Group. This was accompanied by an absence of blood and mucus in the faeces, which had been present prior to the start of the 28-day administration of the probiotic.

The feeding of a cheetah-specific probiotic resulted in an improved weight gain and food conversion in the Probiotic Group in comparison to the Control Group as well as in a reduction of diarrhoea in the Probiotic Group. More research is needed on the effect of the probiotic on different age groups and animals suffering from specific diseases such as liver disease and gastritis.

**Opsomming**

**DIE GEBRUIK VAN 'n PROBIOTIKUM IN JAGLUIPERDS (*Acinonyx jubatus*) IN GEVANGENESKAP**

**Deur**

**Katja Natalie Koeppel**

Studieleier : Professor Moritz van Vuuren  
Mede-studieleier : Professor Henk Bertschinger  
Mede-studieleier : Dr. Jackie Picard

Departement Veterinêre Tropiese Siektes  
Fakulteit Veeartsenykunde  
Universiteit van Pretoria

Voorgelê as gedeeltelike vereistes vir die graad  
**MSc (Veeartsenykundige Wetenskappe)**

## University of Pretoria etd - Koeppel, KN (2004)

Die projek was onderneem om die normale dermflora van gesonde jagluiperds te bepaal en 'n spesies-spesifieke probiotikum to produseer vir gebruik in jong jagluipers in gevangeneskap om gewigstoename te verbeter en diarree te verminder.

Die normale dermflora van gesonde jagluiperds was bepaal deur die gebruik van nie-selektiewe and selektiewe groei media. Hoë getalle anaerobe en aerobe bakterieë was geïsoleer vanuit die faeces van jagluiperds gedurende hierdie studie. Agt persent van die isolate was *Enterococcus* spp.. Beide *Enterococcus faecium* en *Lactobacillus* Groep 1 was geselekteer vir gebruik in die probiotikum.

Sewe en twintig jong jagluiperds tussen die ouderdomme van agt en dertien maande was ingesluit in die probiotikum proef (Gemiddeld: 12 maande). Die probiotikum was gevoer vir 28 dae aan die probiotikum groep. Beide die probiotikum en kontrole groepe was waargeneem vir 70 dae voor toediening van die probiotikum en 14 dae daarna.

Die inname van die jagluiperd-spesifieke probiotikum het 'n toename in gewig teweeggebring in die behandelde groep ( $p=0.026$ , ANOVA,  $p<0.05$ ) in vergelyking met die kontrole groep. Daar was 'n relatiewe verbetering in die kwaliteit van faeces in die probiotikum groep in vergelyking met die kontrole groep. Dit het gepaard gegaan met 'n afwesigheid van bloed en slym in die faeces wat wel teenwoordig was voor die 28 dae toedieningsperiode van die probiotikum.

Die inname van 'n jagluiperd-spesifieke probiotikum het gewigstoename en verbeterde voeromset teweeggebring in die probiotikum groep asook 'n vermindering van diarree, in vergelyking met die kontrole groep. Meer navorsing word benodig om die effek waar te neem van die probiotikum op verskillende ouderdomsgroepe van jagluiperds wat lei aan spesifieke siektes soos lewersaking en gastritis.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank:

1. Professor Henk Bertschinger for his co-supervision and providing me with the opportunity to study for a Masters degree at the Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria, South Africa.
2. My co-supervisor Dr Jackie Picard for all the help in the bacteriology laboratory even late at night, without which I would not have been able to complete the work.
3. My supervisor Professor Moritz van Vuuren for the support in writing the project.
4. Dr Jörg Steiner and Dr Justin Caldwell (GIT Laboratory, Texas A & M University, USA) for performing the plasma lactulose and rhamnase quantification free of charge, and for co-authoring the publication stemming from this research.
5. Ann van Dyk and the staff at the De Wildt Cheetah and Wildlife Centre for their help in handling the cheetahs and support with the clinical trial.
6. Mr Craig Murdoch (Pharmacist, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Onderstepoort) for organising and ordering materials and drugs used in the project.
7. Professor Remo Lobetti for his expertise and help with the endoscopic sampling of the cheetahs.
8. Most of all my parents for supporting me during the time I worked on this project.

## Abbreviations

BHI	brain heart infusion
BiT	total bilirubin
CO <sub>2</sub>	carbon dioxide gas
CFU	colony forming unit
CG	Control Group
CPV	canine parvovirus
°C	degrees Celsius
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
FCoV	feline enteric coronavirus
FeLV	feline leukaemia virus
FIP	feline infectious peritonitis
FIV	feline immunodeficiency virus
FPLV	feline panleukopenia virus
g	gram
GI	gastrointestinal
Hb	haemoglobin
Ht	haematocrit
KNP	Kruger National Park
l	litre
L	lactulose
MCHC	mean cell haemoglobin concentration
MCV	mean cell volume
min	minutes
ml	millilitre
mg	milligram
MRS	De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PG	Probiotic Group
R	rhamnose
RBCC	red blood cell count

## University of Pretoria etd - Koeppel, KN (2004)

RLB	reverse line blot
RNA	ribonucleic acid
SD	standard deviation
SIBO	small intestinal bacterial overgrowth
Spp.	species
ThrC	thrombocyte count
TSP	total serum protein
WG	weight gain
XLD	xylose lysine deoxychocolate
µg	microgram
µl	microlitre



## Table of Contents

Abstract.....	ii
Acknowledgements .....	vi
Abbreviations .....	vii
Table of Contents .....	ix
List of Figures .....	xi
List of Tables.....	xii
CHAPTER 1 .....	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.2 LITERATURE REVIEW .....	3
1.2.1 Global status of cheetahs .....	3
1.2.2 Diseases of captive and free-ranging cheetahs .....	3
1.2.3 The gastrointestinal tract and its interaction with the microflora .....	6
1.2.4 Properties of probiotics .....	9
1.2.5 Action of probiotics .....	10
1.2.6 Specific action of <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains .....	11
1.2.7 Specific action of <i>Bifidobacterium</i> strains .....	13
1.2.8 Specific action of <i>Enterococcus</i> strains .....	14
1.2.9 Probiotics and antibiotics .....	15
1.2.10 Examples of how probiotics have benefited the health of animals.....	16
1.2.11 Bacterial flora of cheetahs .....	17
1.2.12 Selection of bacteria suitable as probiotics.....	17
1.2.13 Intestinal permeability in gastrointestinal disease .....	18
CHAPTER 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	22
2.1 ANIMALS .....	22
2.2 ESTABLISHMENT OF NORMAL INTESTINAL FLORA .....	23
2.3 SELECTION OF BACTERIA SUITABLE FOR USE IN A PROBIOTIC.....	24
2.4 FEEDING OF PROBIOTIC BACTERIA .....	25
2.5 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN .....	27

2.6	FAECAL SCORING AND OBSERVATIONS .....	27
2.7	ANALYSIS OF FAECAL WATER.....	28
2.8	DIARRHOEIC FAECES .....	29
2.9	CHANGES IN BODY MASS .....	29
2.10	CLINICAL PATHOLOGY.....	30
2.11	POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION (PCR).....	30
2.12	EVALUATION OF INTESTINAL PERMEABILITY .....	31
CHAPTER 3	RESULTS .....	32
3.1	NORMAL INTESTINAL FLORA.....	32
3.2	BACTERIA FOR THE PROBIOTIC.....	35
3.3	HEALTH OF JUVENILE CHEETAHS.....	37
3.4	FAECAL SCORING.....	37
3.5	FAECAL WATER CONTENT .....	41
3.6	PATHOGENIC BACTERIA IN FAECES .....	41
3.7	BODY MASS .....	42
3.8	SERUM BIOCHEMISTRY AND HAEMATOLOGY .....	45
3.9	PATHOGEN IDENTIFICATION .....	47
3.10	INTESTINAL PERMEABILITY .....	47
CHAPTER 4	DISCUSSION .....	52
4.1	THE INTESTINAL FLORA OF HEALTHY CHEETAHS.....	52
4.2	COMPOSITION OF THE CHEETAH PROBIOTIC.....	54
4.3	EFFECT OF THE PROBIOTIC ON FAECAL QUALITY.....	55
4.4	EFFECT OF PROBIOTIC TREATMENT ON WEIGHT GAIN .....	56
4.5	ROLE OF INFECTIOUS AGENTS AND PARASITES ON WEIGHT GAIN AND DIARRHOEA .....	57
4.6	SERUM BIOCHEMISTRY AND HAEMATOLOGY .....	58
4.7	PATHOGEN ISOLATION.....	59
4.8	EFFECT OF PROBIOTIC TREATMENT ON INTESTINAL PERMEABILITY AND TRANSIT TIME .....	59
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSIONS .....	62
APPENDIX I	TABLES .....	I
APPENDIX II	CULTURE MEDIA.....	XII
REFERENCES.....		XV

## List of Figures

Figure 1: Model of tight junction difference between intestinal villi and crypts (Hollander, 1992).....	18
Figure 2: Cheetah camp layout (Meltzer, 1999) .....	22
Figure 3: Camp layout for juvenile cheetahs at the De Wildt Centre .....	26
Figure 4: Bacterial numbers (CFU) isolated from cheetah faecal samples.....	34
Figure 5: Smears and culture of bacteria used as probiotic.....	36
Figure 6: Comparison of percentage diarrhoea in Probiotic and Control groups during trial .	39
Figure 7: Percentage diarrhoea in the Probiotic Group during the trial .....	39
Figure 8: Percentage diarrhoea of the Control Group during the trial .....	40
Figure 9: Prevalence of bloody/mucoid faecal samples in Probiotic Group and Control Group during trial .....	40
Figure 10: Percentage of faecal water in Probiotic Group and Control Group during trial.....	41
Figure 11: <i>E. coli</i> smear- Gram's stain .....	42
Figure 12: Comparison of percentage body mass increase in Probiotic Group and Control Group.....	44
Figure 13: Box plot comparison of percentage weight gain of Probiotic Group and Control Group.....	44
Figure 14: Comparison of lactulose/rhamnose ratios in PG and CG at the start and end of treatment period.....	49

## List of Tables

Table 1: Experimental design and treatments of probiotic trial .....	27
Table 2: Example of faecal score data collection table .....	28
Table 3: Bacteria isolated from duodenal fluid (CFU) .....	32
Table 4: Bacterial counts (CFU) of cheetah faecal samples .....	33
Table 5: Bacterial counts (CFU) of faeces and diets of adult cheetahs on two diets .....	34
Table 6: Bacteria and yeasts isolated from cheetah faeces .....	35
Table 8: Comparison of diarrhoeic scores of Probiotic and Control groups in the pre-treatment period.....	38
Table 9: Percentage diarrhoea in Probiotic and Control groups during probiotic trial.....	38
Table 9: Bacteria isolated from diarrhoeic faeces.....	42
Table 10: Body mass changes of cheetah in Probiotic Group.....	43
Table 11: Body mass changes of cheetah in Control Group .....	43
Table 12: Biochemistry and haematology values of Probiotic and Control groups at the start (day 0) and end of treatment (day 28).....	46
Table 13: Faecal flotation of faeces (no of eggs/gram of faeces) .....	47
Table 14: Sugar concentration of Control Group at the start of treatment period .....	48
Table 15: Sugar concentration of Control Group at the end of treatment period.....	48
Table 16: Sugar concentration of Probiotic Group at the start of treatment period.....	48
Table 17: Sugar concentration Probiotic group at the end of treatment period .....	49
Table 18: Differences in rhamnose (R) and lactulose (L) ratios in Control Group .....	50
Table 20: Differences in rhamnose (R) and lactulose (L) ratios in Probiotic Group.....	50
Table 20: Year of birth, sex, place of birth and diets of adult cheetahs used for faecal culturingI	
Table 21: Ages, sex and camps of cheetahs in Probiotic Group .....	I
Table 22: Ages, sex and camps of cheetahs in Control Group .....	II
Table 23: Bacteria (CFU) isolated per gram of faeces.....	II
Table 25: Number of bloody/mucoid faecal samples in individual camps .....	III
Table 25: Serum biochemistry and haematology results Control Group on day 0 .....	IV
Table 26: Serum biochemistry and haematology values Control Group on day 28 .....	V
Table 27: Serum biochemistry and haematology values Probiotic Group on day 0 .....	VI
Table 28: Serum biochemistry and haematology values Probiotic Group on day 28.....	VII
Table 29: Timing of blood collection for intestinal permeability- day 0.....	VIII
Table 30: Timing of blood collection for intestinal permeability- day 28.....	IX
Table 31: Sugar concentrations on day 0 of the Control Group.....	X

## University of Pretoria etd - Koeppel, KN (2004)

Table 32: Sugar concentrations on day 0 of the Probiotic Group .....	X
Table 33: Sugar concentrations on day 28 of the Control Group.....	XI
Table 34: Sugar concentrations on day 28 of the Probiotic Group .....	XI