

Development of a Life Cycle Impact Assessment procedure for Life Cycle Management in South Africa

Alan Colin Brent

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Philosophiae Doctor

in the

Department of Engineering and Technology Management
Faculty of Engineering, the Built Environment and Information Technology

University of Pretoria

Development of a LCIA procedure for LCM in South Africa

Declaration

I declare that the thesis, which I hereby submit for the degree Philosophiae Doctor (Engineering Management) at the University of Pretoria, is my own work and has not been previously submitted by me for a degree at another University.

Alan Colin Brent

Development of a LCIA procedure for LCM in South Africa

Research summary

Development of a Life Cycle Impact Assessment procedure for Life Cycle Management in South Africa

Alan Colin Brent

Promoter: Prof JK Visser

Department: Department of Engineering and Technology Management

University: University of Pretoria

Degree: Philosophiae Doctor (Engineering Management)

Competitive industries in the manufacturing sector have a holistic Life Cycle Management (LCM) view of business practices. Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), which forms part of the LCM approach, is increasingly used as a decision support tool in the South African manufacturing industry. The Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) phase of the LCA tool has been standardised within the ISO 14000 family and aims to quantify the environmental impacts of economic activities. A number of LCIA methodologies have been developed in Europe, which can be applied directly when life cycle systems are assessed. The LCIA procedures that are most commonly used in the South African manufacturing industry include the CML, Ecopoints, EPS and Eco-indicators 95 and 99 procedures.

The five European methods are evaluated based on the applicability of the respective classification, characterisation, normalisation and weighting elements for the South African situation. The evaluation and comparison is further based on a cradle-to-gate Screening Life Cycle Assessment (SLCA) case study of the production of dyed two-fold wool yarn in South Africa. Shortcomings are identified with the European methodologies in the South African context in terms of comprehensiveness and modelling approaches.

A LCIA framework and calculation procedure, termed the Resource Impact Indicator (RII) model, is subsequently proposed for South Africa, which is based on the

Development of a LCIA procedure for LCM in South Africa

protection of four natural resource groups: water, air, land, and mined abiotic resources. A distance-to-target approach is used for the normalisation of midpoint categories, which focuses on the ambient quality and quantity objectives for the four resource groups. The quality and quantity objectives are determined for defined South African Life Cycle Assessment (SALCA) regions and take into account endpoint or damage targets. Following the precautionary approach, RIIs are calculated for the resource groups from conventional Life Cycle Inventories (LCIs). The calculation of the RIIs ensures that all natural resources that are important from a South African perspective are duly considered in a LCIA. The results of a LCIA are consequently not reliant on detailed LCIs and the number of midpoint categories that converge on a single resource group.

The proposed model is evaluated with the SLCA wool case study. The case study establishes the importance of region-specificity, for LCIs and LCIAs. The proposed LCIA model further demonstrates reasonable ease of communication of LCIA results to decision-makers or managers.

Subjective weighting values for the resource groups are also proposed, based on survey results from manufacturing industry sectors in the South African automotive value chain, and the expenditure of the South African national government on environmental issues. The subjective weighting values are used to calculate overall Environmental Performance Resource Impact Indicators (EPRIIs) when comparing life cycle systems with each other. The EPRII approach is applied to a specific LCM problem in the South African context, i.e. evaluating and comparing environmental performance for supply chain management purposes in the developing country context. Thereby, RIIs are provided for key Cleaner Production process parameters in the South Africa context: water usage, energy usage, and waste produced per manufactured product.

Keywords

Life Cycle Management, Life Cycle Engineering, Life Cycle Assessment, Life cycle Impact Assessment, engineering management, environmental performance, environmental impacts, supply chain management, cleaner production, South Africa.

Development of a LCIA procedure for LCM in South Africa

Research project structure

The research project consists of the following three main parts:

- A qualitative (Chapter 2) and quantitative (Chapter 3) review of the current European LCIA procedures that are used in the South African manufacturing sector in order to identify any potential shortcomings (from a South African perspective) with respect to the emphasis that is placed on different environmental aspects.
- The development of a South African specific LCIA procedure, based on the
 existing European models, which addresses the potential shortcomings.
 Specifically, the required region-specificity is addressed (Chapter 4), before
 compiling and demonstrating the developed LCIA procedure with a case study
 (Chapter 5).
- The application of the developed model for a South African specific LCM problem, i.e. the evaluation of environmental performances of companies in supply chain management (Chapter 6).

After the final conclusions of the research project, the LCIA procedure is compiled in a Java software format for further application purposes in the manufacturing industry of South Africa (Chapter 7 and Appendices G and H).

With respect to the development and application of the LCIA procedure, the strategy of the research project is summarised in the following figure:

Data gathering and analyses

Compile ambient environmental data for the SALCA Regions, applicable for the proposed LCIA model

Evaluate and compare the proposed RII LCIA model with available models with a suitable life cycle system

Determine subjective weighting values for the four resource groups based on industry and government perceptions

Apply the EPRII approach to a LCM problem in the South African industry, specifically the automotive sector

Compile a software application

Acknowledgements

The author hereby acknowledges the extensive contributions of the following individuals, organisations and institutions:

- The Environmental Process Solutions group of the Process Technology Centre (PTC), and the Centre for Textiles and Clothing (CTC) in the Division of Manufacturing and Materials Technology (M&Mtek) of the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), and especially Drs Sibbele Hietkamp and Francois Barkhuysen, with whom the author collaborated in order to compile the wool case study, specifically the Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) of the case study.
- The Division of Water, Forestry and the Environment (Environmentek) of the CSIR, which provided background ambient information on the water catchments of South Africa for the LCIA procedure.
- The Centre of Environmental Studies (CFES) of the University of Pretoria, and especially Prof Albert van Jaarsveld and Dr Belinda Reyers, who assisted with mapping the primary water catchments with the national land cover database of South Africa in order to identify the current land usage in the defined SALCA Regions of the LCIA procedure.
- The Institute for Soil, Climate and Water of the Agricultural Research Council (ARC), which provided background ambient information in terms of pollutants in soils in different regions of South Africa.
- The national Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEAT), which was an important source of information, predominantly through the published National State of the Environment reports.
- The Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zurich (ETH), and especially Mr Renat Heuberger, with whom the author collaborated to apply the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) in order to establish subjective weighting values for the four natural resource groups in the LCIA procedure.
- The BMW group of South Africa, and particularly Me Dalene Viljoen and Mr Sanjay Premraj, who, through personal communications, provided valuable data on the first-tier suppliers in the automotive sector of South Africa.

Table of Contents

Decla	ration	1
Resea	arch summary	2
Ackno	owledgements	6
List of	Acronyms	. 15
Chapt	ter 1: Introduction to LCIA and LCM in the South African context	. 16
1.1	Sustainable development in the South African context	. 16
1.1.1	The economic pillar of sustainability in the South African context	18
1.1.2	The social pillar of sustainability in the South African context	20
1.1.3	The environmental pillar of sustainability in the South African context	22
1.2	ISO 14000 as a decision support mechanism for sustainable development .	. 26
1.3	Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) and decision-making	. 29
1.3.1	The Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) procedure	30
1.4	Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) and Life Cycle Management (LCM)	. 31
1.4.1	Project Life Cycle Management	34
1.4.2	Asset Life Cycle Management	37
1.4.3	Product Life Cycle Management	38
1.5	Conclusion: LCIA and LCM in the South African context	. 41
Chapt	ter 2: Literature review of the LCIA phase of LCM	. 44
2.1	Overview of the LCIA phase of LCM	. 44
2.2	CML from the University of Leiden, the Netherlands	. 51
2.2.1	Description of the CML methodology	51
2.2.2	Analysis of the CML methodology	54
2.3	Ecopoints from BUWAL, Switzerland	. 55
2.3.1	Description of the Ecopoints methodology	55
2.3.2	Analysis of the Ecopoints methodology	57
2.4	Eco-indicators 95 from Pré Consultants, the Netherlands	. 58
2.4.1	Description of the Ecopoints methodology	58
2.4.2	Analysis of the Eco-indicators 95 methodology	62
2.5	Eco-indicators 99 from Pré Consultants, the Netherlands	. 63
2.5.1	Description of the Eco-indicators 99 methodology	63
2.5.2	Analysis of the Eco-indicators 99 methodology	66

2.6	EPS from Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden	68
2.6.1	Description of the EPS methodology	68
2.6.2	Analysis of the EPS methodology	71
2.7	LCIA procedures in the South African context	72
2.8	Conclusions and research rationale	76
Chapt	ter 3: Quantitative comparison of the current LCIA procedures	78
3.1	Introduction to the wool industry in South Africa	78
3.2	Goal and scope of the wool life cycle case study	79
3.2.1	Allocation of environmental impacts within the SA wool industry	79
3.2.2	The purpose of the wool case study	80
3.2.3	The functional unit of the wool case study	80
3.2.4	Boundaries setting of the wool case study	81
3.3	Inventory of the wool life cycle case study	82
3.3.1	Process diagram	82
3.3.2	Data gathering	83
3.3.3	Data quality	83
3.3.4	Inventory data	92
3.3.5	Omitted data	92
3.4	Life Cycle Impact Analyses (LCIA) results of the wool life cycle case study	96
3.4.1	CML procedure results	97
3.4.2	Ecopoints procedure results	99
3.4.3	Eco-indicator 95 procedure results	102
3.4.4	Eco-indicator 99 procedure results	105
3.4.5	EPS procedure results	108
3.4.6	Interpretation of the results and comparison of LCIA procedures	110
3.5	Conclusions	. 115
Chapt	ter 4: South African LCA Regions for LCIA development	. 117
4.1	Introduction to resource groups as Areas of Protection (AoP)	. 117
4.1.1	Water resources in the South African context	119
4.1.2	Land resources in the South African context	119
4.2	Assessment of environmental impacts on specific regions	. 120
4.3	Defining regions for a South African specific LCIA methodology	. 121
4.4	Implications of the SALCA region approach	. 129
4.4.1	Applying the SALCA regions to the South African metals industry	129
4.4.2	Applying the SALCA regions to the South African leather industry	132

4.4.3	Conclusions of the case studies	. 136
4.5	Conclusions	136
Chapt	er 5: Conceptual LCIA model for South Africa	137
5.1	Available South African environmental data for the LCIA procedure	137
5.2	Proposed framework for a South African LCIA procedure	138
5.2.1	Defined Land Use Types (LUTs) for a South Africa LCIA procedure	. 142
5.3	South African Resource Impact Indicators based on the LCIA framework	143
5.4	Research methodology to compile the ambient environmental data	145
5.5	Water quantity state and target objectives for the SALCA regions	146
5.6	Water quality state and target objectives for the SALCA Regions	147
5.7	Regional air quality state and target objectives for the SALCA regions	149
5.8	Global air quality state and target objectives for the SALCA regions	151
5.9	Land quantity state and target objectives for the SALCA regions	151
5.10	Land quality state and target objectives for the SALCA regions	152
5.11	Mined reserves state and target objectives for the SALCA regions	154
5.12	Current and target data used for the classified midpoint categories	155
5.13	Application of the RII procedure to the wool case study	155
5.14	Conclusions	158
Chapt	er 6: Environmental Performance Resource Impact Indicators	159
6.1	Introduction to the Environmental Performance Indicator (EPI) approach	159
6.2	Methodology to determine weighting values for the resource groups	160
6.2.1	The AHP methodology	. 161
6.2.2	Advantages and disadvantages of applying the AHP methodology	. 162
6.2.3	Application of the AHP approach to establish weighting values from the perspectives of the	е
	South African manufacturing industry	
6.2.4	Evaluating government expenditure to determine weighting values	
6.3	Weighting value results for the resource groups	
6.3.1	Established subjective weighting values for South Africa	
6.4	Applying the EPRII methodology for supply chain management	
6.4.1	Solid waste produced (per kg)	
6.4.2 6.4.3	Electricity usage (per MJ)	
6.4.4	Steam usage (per kg)	
6.4.5	Water usage (per kg)	
-	O (1 O)	-

6.4.6	Raw energy material usage (per kg)	179
6.4.7	Environmental performances comparison of first-tier suppliers	179
6.5	Conclusions	. 183
Chapt	ter 7: Conclusions and recommendations	. 185
7.1	An LCIA procedure from compiled South African environmental data	. 190
7.2	Comparison of the different LCIA procedures	. 192
7.3	Subjective weighting values for the four natural resource groups	. 197
7.4	Application of the EPRII procedure to a South African LCM problem	. 198
7.4.1	Software application to assist with RII evaluations as part of the EPRII procedure	200
Refer	ences	202
Apper	ndix A: Water quality data for the SALCA Regions	. 221
Apper	ndix B: South African land cover data	. 222
Apper	ndix C: South African conserved vegetation data	. 223
Apper	ndix D: Current and target values for the RII calculations	. 225
Apper	ndix E: Landfill site calculations for average waste treatment	. 227
Apper	ndix F: Life Cycle Inventories of key process parameters	. 228
Apper	ndix G: Screen shots of the LCIA software application	250
Apper	ndix H: LCIA software application and Java code	256

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: The three pillars (and interactions) of sustainable development	17
Figure 1.2: Exchange rate of the South African Rand against the British Pound	19
Figure 1.3: Increased movement from rural to urban areas in South Africa	21
Figure 1.4: Primary water catchments and eco-regions of South Africa	23
Figure 1.5: Focus areas of TC207 environmental tools and standards	27
Figure 1.6: Framework for a typical environmental management system	28
Figure 1.7: Standardised phases of the LCA	30
Figure 1.8: Procedure for the inventory analysis phase of a LCA study	32
Figure 1.9: The decision support mechanism of Life Cycle Engineering	33
Figure 1.10: The life cycle approach for "cradle-to-grave" analyses	33
Figure 1.11: Integration of typical project, asset and product life cycles	35
Figure 1.12: Methodologies to incorporate environmental aspects in decisions	36
Figure 1.13: Life cycle phases of process asset systems	37
Figure 1.14: Accumulated burdens (economic and environmental) of a product	40
Figure 1.15: Assessing environmental performances from limited parameters	41
Figure 1.16: A framework to classify environmental impacts of projects	42
Figure 2.1: Cause-effect chain of environmental impacts	45
Figure 2.2: Life Cycle Impact Analysis (LCIA) according to ISO 14042	47
Figure 2.3: Diagram of the steps of classification and characterisation	47
Figure 2.4: Using midpoints and endpoints in a single scoring mechanism	49
Figure 2.5: Scientific basis for the target values of the Ecopoints procedure	57
Figure 2.6: Schematic representation of the Eco-indicators 95 procedure	62
Figure 2.7: Schematic representation of the Eco-indicators 99 procedure	67
Figure 2.8: Schematic representation of the EPS procedure	70
Figure 3.1: The evaluated wool life cycle system in South Africa	82
Figure 3.2: Wool production by region in South Africa	84
Figure 3.3: Area assumed where wool farming takes place	85
Figure 3.4: System tree to produce 1 kg of dyed two-fold yarn to be evaluated	95
Figure 3.5: Characterisation results of the CML procedure	98
Figure 3.6: Normalisation results of the CML procedure	99
Figure 3.7: Characterisation results of the Ecopoints procedure	100
Figure 3.8: Normalisation results of the Ecopoints procedure	101
Figure 3.9: Weighting results of the Ecopoints procedure	102
Figure 3.10: Characterisation results of the Eco-indicator 95 procedure	103
Figure 3.11: Normalisation results of the Eco-indicator 95 procedure	104
Figure 3.12: Weighting results of the Eco-indicator 95 procedure	105
Figure 3.13: Characterisation results of the Eco-indicator 99 procedure	106

Figure 3.14: Normalisation results of the Eco-indicator 99 procedure	107
Figure 3.15: Weighting results of the Eco-indicator 99 procedure	108
Figure 3.16: Characterisation results of the EPS procedure	109
Figure 3.17: Weighting results of the EPS procedure	110
Figure 4.1: Typical environmental concerns at global, regional and local level	118
Figure 4.2: Eco-regions of South Africa	122
Figure 4.3: Surface runoff of South Africa	122
Figure 4.4: SALCA regions grouped from the primary water catchments	124
Figure 4.5: Representation of the eco-regions in the SALCA regions	128
Figure 4.6: Representation of the vegetation types in the SALCA regions	128
Figure 4.7: Life cycle system of an automotive lightweight brake calliper	130
Figure 4.8: Life cycle system of an automotive leather seat	133
Figure 5.1: Process for South African environmental information collection	138
Figure 5.2: Proposed framework for a South African LCIA procedure	140
Figure 5.3: Calculated RII values for the SALCA regions and for the overall South African environment of the SALCA regions and for the overall South African environment of the SALCA regions and for the overall South African environment of the SALCA regions and for the overall South African environment of the SALCA regions and for the overall South African environment of the SALCA regions and for the overall South African environment of the SALCA regions and for the overall South African environment of the SALCA regions and for the overall South African environment of the SALCA regions and for the overall South African environment of the SALCA regions and the SALCA regions and the SALCA regions and the SALCA regions are supplied to the SALCA regions and the SALCA regions are supplied to the SALCA regions and the SALCA regions are supplied to the SALCA regions and the SALCA regions are supplied to the SALCA regions and the SALCA regions are supplied to the SALCA regions and the SALCA regions are supplied to the SALCA regions and the SALCA regions are supplied to the SALCA regions and the SALCA regions are supplied to the SALCA regions and the SALCA regions are supplied to the SALCA regions and the SALCA regions are supplied to the SALCA regions a	nent
compared to the SALCA Region 1 baseline	157
Figure 6.1: Integer values indicate the preference between two resource groups	164
Figure 6.2: AHP survey and national expenditure results for the resource groups (Managing Director)	ors
in the automotive supply chain)	. 172
Figure 6.3: AHP survey and national expenditure results for the resource groups (Financial Director	rs
in the process manufacturing industry)	172
Figure 6.4: Framework to calculate the RIIs from obtainable process parameters	175
Figure 6.5: Source of LCI data for the South African electricity generation mix	. 177
Figure 6.6: Calculated EPRII value per supplied product cost for an OEM	181
Figure 6.7: RII values for the three supplied components per supplied cost	181
Figure 6.8: Sensitivity of the Water RII with the costs of the components	183
Figure 7.1: Research process to solve the research problem	189
Figure 7.2: Elements of the proposed South African LCIA procedure	191
Figure 7.3: Determining South African weighting values for the resource groups	198
Figure 7.4: Environmental and economic considerations for supplier evaluation	199
Figure 7.5: Components of the compiled Java application	201

List of Tables

Table 1.1: Contribution of industry sectors to the South African GDP	18
Table 1.2: Energy sources for cooking, heating and lighting in SA households	20
Table 1.3: Projected increase in water demand from different sectors in SA	24
Table 1.4: Environmental pressures and expected future trends in South Africa	25
Table 2.1: Different effects of greenhouse gas release impacts	45
Table 2.2: List of possible impact categories for LCIA procedures	48
Table 2.3: Characteristics of the CML LCIA methodology	51
Table 2.4: Annualised factors for normalisation for different reference regions	54
Table 2.5: Compliance of the CML methodology to the analysis criteria	54
Table 2.6: Characteristics of the Ecopoints LCIA methodology	56
Table 2.7: Compliance of the Ecopoints methodology to the analysis criteria	58
Table 2.8: Characteristics of the Eco-indicator 95 LCIA methodology	59
Table 2.9: Relationship between effects and damage types	61
Table 2.10: Compliance of the Eco-indicators 95 methodology to the analysis criteria	63
Table 2.11: Characteristics of the Eco-indicator 99 LCIA methodology	64
Table 2.12: Estimate of rounded weighting factors per cultural perspective	66
Table 2.13: Compliance of the Eco-indicators 99 methodology to the analysis criteria	68
Table 2.14: Characteristics of the EPS LCIA methodology	69
Table 2.15: Compliance of the EPS methodology to the analysis criteria	71
Table 2.16: Summary of the approaches of the LCIA procedures	73
Table 2.17: Summary of environmental criteria considered by LCIA procedures	74
Table 3.1: Wool sheep population in South Africa	79
Table 3.2: Large Stock Unit (LSU) equivalence for wool sheep	85
Table 3.3: Weekly nutritional supplement for a 50 kg wether sheep (dry periods)	86
Table 3.4: Measurement data of a typical chain grate boiler in South Africa	88
Table 3.5: Life cycle inventory constituents considered in the case study	93
Table 3.6: Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) profile of the dyed two-fold yarn system	97
Table 3.7: Influence of the LCI data on the results of the existing LCIA procedures	111
Table 3.8: Relative importance of LCI constituents for the LCIA procedures	113
Table 3.9: Prioritised categories of the LCIA (excluding water and land)	115
Table 3.10: Summary of environmental criteria considered by LCIA procedures	115
Table 4.1: Primary water catchments represented by the SALCA regions	123
Table 4.2: Hectares and percentage of vegetation types included in the regions	125
Table 4.3: Hectares and percentage of eco-regions included in the regions	127
Table 4.4: Representation of the eco-regions and vegetation types	127
Table 4.5: South African impacts of the brake calliper system	
Table 4.6: South African impacts of the leather seat system	133

Table 5.1: Applied LUT degradation severities as characterisation factors	. 141
Table 5.2: Grouping of the 31 land cover classes and conservation areas	. 142
Table 5.3: Approach to compile the required environmental data	. 145
Table 5.4: Current and projected water availability for the SALCA regions	. 146
Table 5.5: Measured and target water quality parameters for the regions	. 148
Table 5.6: State and targets of regional and global quality parameters	. 150
Table 5.7: Current and target land states for the SALCA regions	. 152
Table 5.8: Measured and target soil trace elements for South Africa	. 154
Table 5.9: RII values calculated for the wool LCI in SALCA Region 1	. 156
Table 6.1: Assigned ranked values for the wool systems in different regions	. 160
Table 6.2: Hypothetical inconsistency from indicated preferences	. 164
Table 6.3: AHP survey design for the determining of weighting values	. 166
Table 6.4: Description of the natural resource groups in the circulated survey	. 166
Table 6.5: Government directorates and programmes allocated to environmental issues for the	
2002/2003 financial year	. 169
Table 6.6: The Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test for normality	. 171
Table 6.7: Geometric mean values of the AIP combination methods of the relative weighting values	S
obtained from two manufacturing industry sectors	. 173
Table 6.8: South African subjective weighting values for the resource groups	. 173
Table 6.9: Calculated RII values per kg of solid waste treated	. 176
Table 6.10: Calculated RII values per kg of steam generated on-site	. 179
Table 6.11: Calculated RII values per kg of water used	. 179
Table 6.12: Process parameters obtained from the OEM's first-tier suppliers	. 180
Table 6.13: RII values calculated for the three manufactured components	. 180
Table 7.1: Main contributions of this research project	. 185
Table 7.2: Normalisation and weighting values for the RII procedure	. 186
Table 7.3: Results of the analysis of the different LCIA procedures	. 187
Table 7.4: RII values for selected process parameters	. 188
Table 7.5: Environmental impact categories prioritised by the LCIA procedures	106

List of Acronyms

AHP Analytical Hierarchy Process

AIJ Aggregation of Individual Judgments
AIP Aggregation of Individual Priorities
ALCM Asset Life Cycle Management

AoP Areas of Protection

CDM Clean Development Mechanism

CML Centre for Environmental Studies, Leiden University, the Netherlands

DfE Design for Environment
DfS Design for Sustainability

EEM Environmental Evaluation Matrix
EIA Environmental Impact Assessment

ELU Environmental Load Unit

EMS Environmental Management System
EPI Environmental Performance Indicator

EPRII Environmental Performance Resource Impact Indicator

EPS Environmental Priorities Strategies

GDP Gross Domestic Product IRD Initial Rate of Deposition

ISO International Organization for Standardization

LCA Life Cycle Assessment
LCC Life Cycle Costing
LCE Life Cycle Engineering
LCI Life Cycle Inventory

LCIA Life Cycle Impact Assessment

LCM Life Cycle Management

LSU Large Stock Unit LUT Land Use Type

MCDA Multi Criteria Decision Analysis

MIDP Motor Industry Development Programme

MRD Maximum Rate of Deposition

OEM Original Equipment Manufacturer

PLCM Product or Project Life Cycle Management

RII Resource Impact Indicator

RMEE Relative Mass-Energy-Economic method
SALCA South African Life Cycle Assessment Regions
SLCA Streamlined or Screening Life Cycle Assessment

Appendix A: Water quality data for the SALCA Regions

Appendix B: South African land cover data

Appendix C: South African conserved vegetation data

Appendix D: Current and target values for the RII calculations

Appendix E: Landfill site calculations for average waste treatment

Appendix F: Life Cycle Inventories of key process parameters

Appendix G: Screen shots of the LCIA software application

Appendix H: LCIA software application and Java code