

**THE TRANSNATIONAL ROLE AND INVOLVEMENT OF INTEREST
GROUPS IN WATER POLITICS: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF
SELECTED SOUTHERN AFRICAN CASE STUDIES**

by

RICHARD MEISSNER

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Doctor Philosophiae (International Politics)

In the Faculty of Humanities

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

October 2004

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to give praise and thanks to God, who has bestowed upon me the talent as a researcher and a scientist. Throughout the research endeavour, I always turned to Him for guidance, inspiration, strength and advice.

Many persons played a central role in the preparation and subsequent presentation of the research results contained in this thesis. I shall be forever in their debt.

I would also like to thank my wife, Colleen, to whom this thesis is dedicated. Not only has she supported my endeavours every step of the way, but she has also been part of the work from beginning to end. She has had to put up with periods of frustration, but shared in my joy at the end. I will be forever in her debt for her loving support and on occasion her practical advice.

Professor Anton du Plessis, from the Department of Political Sciences at the University of Pretoria (UP), was always willing to contribute to the understanding of the different nuances contained in this study.

Professor Maxi Schoeman, head of the Department of Political Sciences at UP, had a central role in my academic 'up-bringing'.

To professor Deon Geldenhuys, professor at the Department of Politics and Governance at the Rand Afrikaans University (RAU), a special word of thanks, for his assistance in analysing political events.

A special word of thanks to Sarel Duvenhage for the translation of the abstract into Afrikaans, and to Alan Begg of SAIIA for proof reading the document.

The following persons were also instrumental in the gathering of information for the thesis: Estralita Weyers, former subject librarian for Political Sciences at the Academic Information Centre (AIC), University of Pretoria; Ester Ferreira, subject librarian for Politics and Governance at RAU's library and Tebogo Mahapa, Information and Media Project Co-ordinator at the Group for Environmental Monitoring (GEM).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1. Identification of the Research Theme	1
2. Significance of the Research Theme	2
3. Identification of the Research Problem	4
3.1. Research Question	4
3.2. Propositions	4
3.3. Aim and Objectives of the Study	4
3.3.1. Aim	4
3.3.2. Objectives	5
4. Demarcation of the Study	5
5. Literature Survey	7
5.1. Previous and Related Research	7
5.2. Data Sources	8
5.2.1. Documentary Sources	8
5.2.2. Field Sources	9
6. Methodological Aspects	9
6.1. Approach	9
6.2. Method	10
6.3. Levels of Analysis	11
7. Structure of the Study	12
8. Conclusion	13

PART I: THEORETICAL DIMENSIONS

CHAPTER 2: THEORETICAL DEVELOPMENTS AND THE WATER DISCOURSE: TOWARDS A CONSTRUCTIVIST SYNTHESIS

1. Introduction	15
2. The Water Discourse and International Relations Theory	16
3. The Development and Typology of International Relations Theory	17
3.1. The 'Great Debates'	18
3.2. Mainstream Theories	21
3.3. Tributary Theories	23
3.4. Reflectivist and Rationalist Theories and the Water Discourse	24
4. Theories and Water Politics	25
4.1. The Realist Perspective	25
4.1.1. Basic Assumptions	25
4.1.2. Realism and Water Politics	26
4.2. Liberal-pluralist Perspectives	27
4.2.1. Conventional Liberal-pluralism	27
4.2.1.1. Basic Assumptions	27
4.2.1.2. Liberal-pluralism and Water Politics	28
4.2.2. Interest Group Pluralism	28
4.2.2.1. Basic Assumptions	29
4.2.2.2. Interest Group Pluralism and Water Politics	29

4.2.3. Interest Group Corporatism	30
4.2.3.1. Basic Assumptions	30
4.2.3.2. Interest Group Corporatism and Water Politics	31
4.2.4. Modernity	31
4.2.4.1. Basic Assumptions	31
4.2.4.2. Modernity and Water Politics	33
4.2.5. The Hydrosocial Contract Theory	33
4.2.5.1. Basic Assumptions	33
4.2.5.2. The Hydrosocial Contract Theory and Water Politics	35
4.3. Risk Theories	36
4.3.1. Risk Society	36
4.3.1.1. Basic Assumptions	36
4.3.1.2. Risk Society and Water Politics	37
4.3.2. Political Ecology	38
4.3.2.1. Basic Assumptions	38
4.3.2.2. Political Ecology and Water Politics	39
4.4. Social Constructivism	40
4.4.1. Basic Assumptions	41
4.4.2. Social Constructivism and Water Politics	42
5. Conclusion	43

CHAPTER 3: INTEREST GROUPS AS TRANSNATIONAL AGENTS

1. Introduction	45
2. Conceptualisation of Interest Groups	45
2.1. Preference for a Particular Concept	46
2.2. Combination of Concepts	48
2.3. The Concept Interest Group	49
3. Interest Group Typology	51
4. Interest Groups as Transnational Actors	54
4.1. Transnational Dimensions	54
4.2. Transnational Approaches	56
4.2.1. The Power Approach	56
4.2.2. The Technocratic Approach	57
4.2.3. The Coalition-building Approach	58
4.2.4. Grass-roots Mobilisation	59
5. Interest Group Roles	60
5.1. Discursive Roles	61
5.1.1. Opinion Generation Agent	61
5.1.2. Standard Creation Agent	61
5.1.3. Norm and Rule Creation Agent	62
5.1.4. Epistemic Agent	63
5.1.5. Agenda Construction Agent	63
5.2. Participation Roles	63
5.2.1. Interactive Agent	63
5.2.2. Representation Agent	64
5.2.3. Transnational Agent	64
5.2.4. Policy Shaping Agent	65
5.2.5. Institution Creation Agent	66
5.2.6. Watchdog Agent	66

5.2.7. Oppositional Agent	66
5.2.8. Empowerment Agent	67
5.3. Philanthropic Roles	67
5.3.1. Guardian Agent	67
5.3.2. Assistant Agent	67
5.3.3. Safety Provider Agent	68
6. Interest Group Success	69
6.1. Influencing Factors	70
6.2. Methodological Difficulties	72
7. Conclusion	74

CHAPTER 4: INTEREST GROUP INVOLVEMENT IN WATER POLITICS: A FRAMEWORK FOR ANALYSIS

1. Introduction	76
2. Key Components of International River Basins	76
2.1. Geographic Area	76
2.2. Actors	78
2.3. Hydropolitical History	79
3. Agential Power	79
3.1. Conceptual Clarification	79
3.2. Theoretical Dimensions	81
3.3. Sources of Agential Power	84
3.3.1. Ideological Power	85
3.3.2. Economic Power	90
3.3.3. Military Power	93
3.3.4. Political Power	94
3.4. Actor Interaction	99
4. Criteria for Comparison	103
4.1. Processes	103
4.1.1. Micro-macro Interactions	103
4.1.2. Organisational Explosion	104
4.1.3. Bifurcation of Global Structures	105
4.1.4. Weakening of States and Territoriality	107
4.1.5. Authority Crises	108
4.1.6. Subgroupism	108
4.2. Institutions	109
4.2.1. Authority Structures	109
4.2.2. Authority Types	110
4.2.3. Transnationalism	111
5. Conclusion	112

PART II: CASE STUDIES

CHAPTER 5: THE KUNENE RIVER BASIN: THE CASE OF THE PROPOSED EPUPA DAM

1. Introduction	115
2. The River Basin	116
2.1. Utilisation of the Kunene River: The Proposed Epupa	

Dam Project	117
2.2. The Rationale behind Epupa	118
3. The Actors	119
4. The Hydropolitical History of the Kunene River	123
4.1. Early Plans	124
4.2. Cooperation between Portugal and South Africa: 1926-1975	125
4.2.1. Preliminary Cooperation	125
4.2.2. The Rationale of Economic Development	127
4.3. The Border War: 1975-1988	130
4.4. Peace and Renewed Cooperation: 1989-2000	133
4.5. The Civil War in Angola: 1990-2003	135
5. Interest Group Involvement: 1990-2003	138
5.1. Issue Areas	138
5.1.1. The Himba	138
5.1.2. The Environment	140
5.1.3. Water Loss	140
5.2. The Role and Involvement of Interest Groups	140
6. Actor Agential Power	147
6.1. Ideological Power	147
6.1.1. Angola	148
6.1.2. Namibia	151
6.1.2.1. South African Dominance	151
6.1.2.2. The Ruling Elite	152
6.1.2.3. How Democratic is Namibia?	154
6.1.2.4. Level of National Unity	157
6.1.3. The Core Interest Group	157
6.1.4. Peripheral Interest Groups	160
6.1.4.1. Earthlife Africa (Namibia Branch) (ELA)	160
6.1.4.2. The Legal Assistance Centre (LAC)	162
6.1.4.3. The National Society for Human Rights (NSHR)	163
6.1.5. Outer Peripheral Interest Groups	164
6.1.5.1. The Environmental Monitoring Group (EMG)	164
6.1.5.2. The Association for International Water and Forest Studies (FIVAS)	165
6.1.5.3. The International Rivers Network (IRN)	165
6.2. Economic Power	167
6.2.1. Angola	167
6.2.2. Namibia	169
6.3. Military Power	169
6.4. Political Power	170
7. Interaction between the Actors	174
8. Analysis	176
9. Conclusion	188

CHAPTER 6: THE ORANGE RIVER BASIN: THE CASE OF THE LESOTHO HIGHLANDS WATER PROJECT

1. Introduction	190
2. The River Basin	191
2.1. Utilisation of the Orange River	191

2.1.1. Importance of Inter-basin Transfers	191
2.1.2. The LHWP	192
2.2. The Rationale behind the LHWP	193
3. The Actors	195
4. The Hydropolitical History of the Orange River	198
4.1. The Start of WRMPs: 1867-1956	198
4.2. The LHWP: 1956-1986	199
4.2.1. A New Idea and Political Issues	199
4.2.2. Independence and Cooperation	201
4.2.3. Worsening Relations	202
4.2.4. The Feasibility Study	203
4.2.5. Macro Conflict and Micro Cooperation	204
4.2.6. <i>Coup d'etat</i> and the LHWP Treaty	206
4.3. Improved Relations and Implementation: 1986-2003	207
4.3.1. Operation Boleas	208
4.3.2. The World Bank Threatens to Withdraw Financial Support	209
4.3.3. The River Commission	209
4.3.4. Corruption	210
4.3.5. Completion of Mohale Dam	210
5. Interest Group Involvement: 1985-2003	211
5.1. Issue Areas	212
5.2. The Role and Involvement of Interest Groups	213
6. Actor Agential Power	225
6.1. Ideological Power	225
6.1.1. Lesotho	226
6.1.1.1. Independence from South Africa	226
6.1.1.2. Socio-economic Development	228
6.1.1.3. Level of National Loyalty	229
6.1.2. South Africa	230
6.1.2.1. <i>Apartheid</i>	231
6.1.2.2. The ANC	232
6.1.2.3. Nation-building	236
6.1.2.4. Economic Growth	237
6.1.2.5. Level of National Loyalty	238
6.1.3. Core Interest Groups	239
6.1.3.1. The Highlands Church Action Group (HCAG)	239
6.1.3.2. The Lesotho Council of NGOs (LCN)	239
6.1.3.3. The Transformation Resource Centre (TRC)	240
6.1.4. Inner Peripheral Interest Groups	241
6.1.4.1. The Alexandra Civics Organisation (ACO)	241
6.1.4.2. The Group for Environmental Monitoring (GEM)	242
6.1.5. Outer Peripheral Interest Groups	243
6.1.5.1. Christian Aid	243
6.1.5.2. Environmental Defence (ED)	244
6.1.5.3. The Mennonite Central Committee (MCC)	245
6.1.5.4. Oxfam	246
6.2. Economic Power	247
6.2.1. Lesotho	247
6.2.2. South Africa	249
6.3. Military Power	250

6.4. Political Power	251
7. Interaction between the Actors	256
8. Analysis	262
9. Conclusion	272

PART III: ASSESSMENT

CHAPTER 7: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE TRANSNATIONAL ROLE OF INTEREST GROUPS IN THE KUNENE AND ORANGE RIVER BASINS

1. Introduction	275
2. A Comparison of Interest Groups as Transnational Agents	275
2.1. Interest Group Typology	275
2.2. Approaches	276
2.3. Interest Group Roles	276
2.4. The Success of the Interest Groups	278
3. A Comparative Map of the Case Studies	279
4. Reading and Interpreting the Comparative Map	280
4.1. Processes	280
4.1.1. Micro-macro Interactions	281
4.1.2. Organisational Explosion	282
4.1.3. Bifurcation of Global Structures	284
4.1.4. Weakening of States and Territoriality	285
4.1.5. Authority Crises	286
4.1.6. Subgroupism	287
4.2. Institutions	288
4.2.1. States	288
4.2.1.1. Structures of Authority	288
4.2.1.2. Types of Authority	289
4.2.1.3. Nature of Transnationalism	292
4.2.2. Interest Groups	292
4.2.2.1. Structures of Authority	292
4.2.2.2. Types of Authority	293
4.2.2.3. Nature of Transnationalism	295
5. Conclusion	296

CHAPTER 8: EVALUATION

1. Introduction	300
2. The Problem Statements	300
3. Findings	301
4. Critique	307
5. Recommendations	307
5.1. Theoretical Challenges	307
5.2. Towards a Research Agenda	311
5.3. Policy Recommendations	312
6. Conclusion	313

APPENDICES	316
Appendix 1. Map of the Kunene River basin.	317
Appendix 2. The base countries of the interest groups involved in the water politics of the proposed Epupa Dam project.	318
Appendix 3. Map of interest group transnationalism regarding the proposed Epupa Dam.	319
Appendix 4. Map of the Orange River basin.	320
Appendix 5. The base countries of the interest groups involved in the water politics of the LHWP.	321
Appendix 6. Map of interest group transnationalism regarding the LHWP.	322
BIBLIOGRAPHY	323
SUMMARY	367
SAMEVATTING	369

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Preference for the concept 'pressure group'.	46
Table 2. Preference for the concept 'interest group'.	46
Table 3. Preference for the concept 'lobby'.	48
Table 4. Combination of the concepts.	48
Table 5. Actors involved in the water politics of the proposed Epupa Dam project.	119
Table 6. Interest group involvement in the proposed Epupa Dam.	146
Table 7. The agential roles of non-state agents in the debate on the proposed Epupa Dam.	184
Table 8. Provinces' GGP supported by IBTs.	192
Table 9. LHWP purposes and beneficiaries.	194
Table 10. Actors involved in the water politics of the LHWP on the Orange/Senqu River.	195
Table 11. Issues and related aspects articulated by the interest groups.	212
Table 12. WCD guidelines and the IRN's criticism.	221
Table 13. Agential roles, strategies and tactics used by the interest groups.	223
Table 14. A concise history of Lesotho's political instability.	230
Table 15. Financial institutions lending money for construction of the LHWP.	247
Table 16. A comparison of the Gross Geographic Product (GGP) of South Africa's nine provinces.	250
Table 17. Individuals consulted during the Christian Aid, Oxfam, the HCAG, and Christian Council of Lesotho's visit to the LHWP in November 1996.	258
Table 18. The approaches used by the interest groups.	276
Table 19. The roles played by the interest groups and the frequency of these roles over time.	277
Table 20. Factors influencing the success of the interest groups.	278
Table 21. A comparative map of the transnational role and involvement of interest groups in the Kunene and Orange River basins.	297
Table 22. A comparative map indicating the degree of dissimilarities and similarities of the transnational role and involvement of interest groups in the Kunene and Orange River basins.	299

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. The focus of the study.	12
Figure 2. International Relations theory in the fourth debate.	22
Figure 3. Actors involved in international river basin WRMPs.	78
Figure 4. Hobson's agential power matrix.	82

Figure 5. The prevalence of despotic and infrastructural power within political systems.	98
Figure 6. Control techniques and responses to control techniques.	100
Figure 7. The flow of micro-macro inputs.	106
Figure 8. Despotic and infrastructural power in the Namibian political system regarding the proposed Epupa Dam.	175
Figure 9. The control techniques used by the interest groups and responses to them.	177
Figure 10. The control techniques used by the Namibian government and responses to them.	177
Figure 11. Interest groups cluster: the 'alternative to dam' norm.	182
Figure 12. Interest groups cluster: the 'protection of the Himba's minority rights' norm.	183
Figure 13. The agential power of Namibia and the proposed Epupa Dam.	188
Figure 14. The agential power of interest groups and the proposed Epupa Dam.	189
Figure 15. The institutional arrangements concerning the LHWP.	194
Figure 16. The prevalence of despotic and infrastructural power within the South African and LHDA political system regarding the LHWP.	252
Figure 17. The prevalence of despotic and infrastructural power within the Lesotho political system regarding the LHWP.	253
Figure 18. The control techniques used by the interest groups and responses to them.	262
Figure 19. The control techniques used by the South African government and responses to them.	263
Figure 20. Interest groups cluster: the 'alternative to dams' norm.	265
Figure 21. Interest groups cluster: the 'protection of the poor' norm.	266
Figure 22. The process of normative commensalism.	267
Figure 23. The ANC's ideological and norm shift.	267
Figure 24. The agential power of South Africa and Lesotho regarding the LHWP.	271
Figure 25. The agential power of the interest groups involved in the debate over the LHWP.	272
Figure 26. The process of circumvention.	305
Figure 27. Hydro-normative commensalism.	315

ABBREVIATIONS

AA: Automobile Association.
AAC: All-African Convention.
AAC: Alexandra Action Committee.
ABSA: Amalgamated Banks of South Africa.
ACO: Alexandra Civics Organisation.
AIDS: Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome.
ANC: African National Congress.
AWIRU: African Water Issues Research Unit.
BCP: Basotho Congress Party.
BDS: British Dam Society.
BNP: Basotho National Party.
BRIB: Blyde River Irrigation Board.
CAAA: Comprehensive Anti-apartheid Act.
CEO: Chief Executive Officer.
CMA: Catchment Management Agency.
CoD: Congress of Democrats.
COSATU: Congress of South African Trade Unions.
DBSA: Development Bank of Southern Africa.
DDT: *Dichlorodiphenyl trichloroethane*.
DRFN: Desert Research Foundation of Namibia.
DPE: Development for Peace Education.
DRC: Democratic Republic of Congo.
DTA: Democratic Turnhalle Alliance.
EAC: Epupa Action Committee.
EIA: Environmental Impact Assessment.
EIB: European Investment Bank.
EJNF: Environmental Justice Networking Forum.
ELA: Earthlife Africa.
EMG: Environmental Monitoring Group.
ENWC: Eastern National Water Carrier.
ESCOM: Electricity Supply Commission (South Africa).
EU: European Union.
FAA: *Forças Armadas Angolanas*.
FIVAS: Association for International Water and Forest Studies.
FNB: First National Bank.
FNLA: *Frente Nacional de Libertação de Angola*.
GCI: Green Cross International.
GEAR: Growth, Employment and Redistribution.
GEM: Group for Environmental Monitoring.
GGP: Gross Geographic Product.
HCAG: Highlands Church Action Group.
HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus.
HPH: Hydropolitical History.
HSCT: Hydrosocial Contract Theory.
IBT: Inter-basin transfer.
IIBTS: International Inter-basin Transfer Scheme.
ICJ: International Court of Justice.
IFR: Instream Flow Requirement.

IGO: Inter-governmental Organisation.
ILA: International Law Association.
ILC: International Law Commission.
International Monetary Fund: IMF.
INGO: International Non-governmental Organisation.
IPE: International Political Economy.
IRN: International Rivers Network.
ISS: Institute for Security Studies.
IUCN: International Union for the Conservation of Nature (World Conservation Union).
IWGIA: International Work Group for Indigenous Affairs.
IWRM: Integrated Water Resources Management.
JCC: Joint Commission of Cooperation.
JPTC: Joint Permanent Technical Commission (Orange River).
JTC: Joint Technical Committee (Kunene and Orange River).
JTC: Joint Technical Commission (Kunene River).
KDL: Kaoko Development League.
LCD: Lesotho Congress for Democracy.
LHWC: Lesotho Highlands Water Commission.
LHWP: Lesotho Highlands Water Project.
LHWRF: Lesotho Highlands Water Revenue Fund.
LNLA: Lesotho National Liberation Army.
MAR: Mean Annual Run-off.
MCC: Mennonite Central Committee.
MEC: Member of the Executive Council.
MK: *Umkhonto we Sizwe*.
MNC: Multinational Corporation.
MOU: Memorandum of Understanding.
MP: Member of Parliament.
MPLA: *Movimento Popular de Libertação de Angola*.
NAMANG: Namibia and Angola (Consortium of Norwegian, Swedish, Namibian, and Angolan companies).
NamPower: Namibian Electricity Utility (formerly known as SWAWEK).
NANGOF: Namibian Non-governmental Organisations' Forum.
NGO: Non-governmental Organisation.
NORAD: Norwegian Agency for Development Co-operation.
NPC: National Planning Commission.
NP: National Party.
NRC: Natives Representative Council.
NUM: National Union of Mineworkers.
NVE: Norwegian Water and Energy Administration Directorate.
NWA: National Water Act.
OAU: Organisation of African Unity (now the African Union).
OFS: Orange Free State.
OKACOM: Permanent Okavango River Basin Water Commission.
OPC: Ovamboland People's Congress.
OPO: Ovamboland People's Organisation.
ORP: Orange River Project.
PCA: Angolan Communist Party (*Partido Comunista de Angola*).
PJTC: Permanent Joint Technical Commission (Kunene River).

PLAN: People's Liberation Army of Namibia.
PLUA: *Partido da Luta dos Africanos de Angola*.
PRS: Political Risk Services.
RAU: Rand Afrikaans University.
R&D: Research and Development.
RDP: Reconstruction and Development Programme.
RISA: Reservoir Induced Seismic Activity.
SABC: South African Broadcast Corporation.
SACP: South African Communist Party.
SADC: Southern African Development Community.
SADF: South African Defence Force.
SANCO: South African National Civics Organisation.
SANDF: South African National Defence Force.
SAPS: South African Police Services.
SARA: Southern African Rivers Association.
SCA: Soweto Civics Association.
SCFS: Supervision Committee for the Feasibility Study.
SIDA: Swedish International Development Agency.
SMCB: Standard Merchant and Corporate Bank.
SOIWDP: Southern Okavango Integrated Water Development Project.
SWA: South West Africa.
SWANU: South West African National Union.
SWAPO: South West Africa People's Organisation.
SWAWEK: South West Africa Water and Electric Corporation.
SWS: Stockholm Water Symposium.
TAC: Treatment Action Campaign.
TRC: Transformation Resource Centre.
TRC: Truth and Reconciliation Commission.
UDF: United Democratic Front.
UK: United Kingdom.
UN: United Nations.
UNAM: University of Namibia.
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme.
UNITA: *União Nacional para a Independência Total de Angola*.
UNSCR: United Nations Security Council Resolution.
UP: University of Pretoria.
USAID: United States Agency for International Development.
WCD: World Commission on Dams.
WDM: Water Demand Management.
WRM: Water Resource Management.
WRMP: Water Resources Management Project.
WSA: Water Services Act.
WSM: Water Supply Management.
YMCA: Young Women's Christian Association.
ZAR: *Zuid-Afrikaanse Republiek*.

UNITS OF MEASURE

b/d: Barrels per day (oil production).

bcm/y: Billion cubic metres per year (river run-off).

bn: Billion.

c/m³: Cent per cubic metre.

GWh: Giga-watt hour.

ha: Hectare(s).

km: Kilometre(s).

km²: Square kilometre(s).

km³/yr: Cubic kilometres per year.

kW: Kilowatt.

m: Metre(s).

M: Maloti (Lesotho currency—on par with the South African Rand).

MCM: Million cubic metre(s).

mm: Millimetre(s).

mm/yr: Millimetre(s) per year (rainfall).

MW: Mega-watt.

m³/ca: Cubic metre(s) *per capita* (volume of water).

m³/s: Cubic metre(s) per second.

N\$: Namibian dollar.

oz: Ounce.

R: Rand (South African currency).

US\$: United States Dollar.