

ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF MACROALGAE FROM KWAZULU-NATAL, SOUTH AFRICA, AND THE ISOLATION OF A BIOACTIVE COMPOUND FROM OSMUNDARIA SERRATA (RHODOPHYTA)

by Michael Barreto

Promoter: Prof. J.J.M. Meyer

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of Natural & Agricultural Science University of Pretoria

Pretoria

March 2003



Abstract

The rhodophytes or red seaweeds are an ancient group of organisms that are related to plants. Like terrestrial plants, they use secondary compounds to protect themselves from microbial infection and grazing by herbivores. However, unlike terrestrial plants, they produce mostly halogenated secondary compounds and rarely alkaloids. Osmundaria serrata (Rhodophyta) is found along the eastern South African coast and the Maldive Islands. Its descriptive common name is "red spirals" and the species is adapted to live in habitats with high wave action. Extracts from this seaweed had previously shown to have antimicrobial activity, but ecologically irrelevant microbes were used to test the extracts. In this study, ten bacteria were isolated from the surface of O. serrata and its habitat, and identified. Mostly aerobic and Gramnegative bacteria were isolated (Halomonas and Pseudomonas species) along with facultatively anaerobic forms (Vibrio spp.) and a Gram-positive (Marinococcus sp.). These were used in bioassays to compare the activity of extracts made from O. serrata and other seaweeds that occur in the same habitat. Marine bacteria are the initial colonisers in biofilm formation and subsequent fouling of surfaces in marine environments. The study of these bacteria in relation to their macroalgal hosts may help to control biofouling of surfaces that cause economic losses worldwide.

A comparison was made between using agar dilution and microtitre methods for testing the antibacterial activity of an *O. serrata* extract. The microtitre method was found to be more sensitive than the agar dilution method. Possibly because some of the bacteria on the petri plates (in the agar dilution method) were not in direct contact with the toxicant in the growth medium, but were in direct contact in the liquid medium of the wells in the microtitre plates.



The extract from *O. serrata* was the most active of the thirteen species of macroalgae collected from the same habitat and tested for antibacterial activity. Deformities in bacteria were observed in response to the *O. serrata* extract. Increased capsule production and blebbing of the outer membranes were observed by transmission electron microscopy (negative staining).

Lanosol diethyl ether was isolated from O. serrata and tested for antibacterial activity. Lanosol is produced mainly by the rhodophytes, but it is also found in other macroalgae and fungi in lower concentrations. The compound inhibited the test bacteria with average MIC's of 0.27 ± 0.07 mg.ml⁻¹ (bacteriostatic) and 0.69 ± 0.15 mg.ml⁻¹ (bactericidal).

Different forms of biofilm were observed by scanning electron microscopy on the thirteen species of macroalgae. These ranged from a very little biofilm covering on the calcified reds to complex communities on the other macroalgae. The treatment with OsO₄ vapour before fixation in glutaraldehyde preserved the biofilm structure better than no treatment and indicated that lipids are important in maintaining biofilm structure.

Since a complex biofilm community was seen on the surface of *O. serrata*, it is unlikely that lanosol functions as an antifouling agent. This chemical seems to multifunctional with antimicrobial and feeding deterrent activities.



Acknowledgments

I would like to thank:

- The philanthropist, Russell Norton, for his support.
- My parents, Rhoda and Jorge, and sister, Cindy, for their love.
- Prof. J.J.M. Meyer for his patience and understanding.
- Prof. Richard Pienaar and Dr. Alan Critchley for their help and encouragement.
- My friends, especially Kamal Bhagwandas Jogibhai, were most supportive and indulging during the project.
- René Swart (the Botany department's most efficient secretary) for her encouragement and always being willing to help.
- The staff at the library (especially Hannetjie Bosboff!) for their helpfulness and for obtaining all of the interlibrary loans for me.
- The staff and students of the Botany department for their help.
- Mrs. Cathy Barnard of the Faculty office for her help.
- Lindsay Norton and Edward Duff for their editing skills!





Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to Mrs. Swanepoel-Engelbrecht, my high school biology teacher, who instilled a love for the study of life in me.



Tab	le	of	Co	nte	nts
-----	----	----	----	-----	-----

rable of Contents	
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgments	iv
Dedication	v
Table of Contents	vi
Publications	ix
List of Figures	X
List of Tables	xvi
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 SEAWEED NATURAL PRODUCTS	2
1.2 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF OSMUNDARIA SERRATA	4
1.3 ECOLOGY OF O. SERRATA	7
1.4 OBJECTIVES	8
1.5 AIMS	10
1.6 REFERENCES	10
(RHODOPHYTA) AND ITS HABITAT	ROM THE SERRATA
2.1 ABSTRACT	15
2.2 INTRODUCTION	15
2.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	17
2.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	18
2.4.1 Generic identification	18
2.4.2 Specific identification	21
2.4.3 General discussion	24
2.5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	27
2.6 REFERENCES	27
CHAPTER 3. COMPARISON BETWEEN AGAR DILUTION MICROTITRE METHODS OF TESTING FOR THE ANTIBA ACTIVITY OF AN EXTRACT FROM OSMUNDARIA SERRATA	ON AND CTERIAL
3.1 ABSTRACT	31
3.2 INTRODUCTION	32
3.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	32
3.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	34
3.5 REFERENCES	39
CHAPTER 4. ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF EXTRACT SELECTED MACROALGAE FROM KWAZULU-NATAL, SOUTH A	
4.1 ABSTRACT	41
4.2 INTRODUCTION	41
4.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	42
4.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	46
4.5 REFERENCES	53



CHAPTER 5. DEFORMITIES INDUCED IN BAC	CTERIA BY
MACROALGAL EXTRACTS	
5.1 ABSTRACT	57
5.2 INTRODUCTION	58
5.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	58
5.4 RESULTS	59
5.5 DISCUSSION	62
5.6 REFERENCES	63
CHAPTER 6. ISOLATION AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVE ETHYL ETHER DERIVATIVE OF LANOSOL, FROM OF SERRATA (RHODOPHYTA)	<i>SMUNDARIA</i>
6.1 ABSTRACT	66
6.2 INTRODUCTION	67
6.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	69
6.3.1 Isolation of active compound from Osmundaria serrata	69
6.3.2 Bioassays of active compound from O. serrata	70
6.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	71
6.4.1 Isolation of lanosol ethyl ether from O. serrata	71
6.4.2 Bioassays of lanosol ethyl ether	78
6.5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	86
6.6 REFERENCES	86
CHAPTER 7. A SEAWEED IS MORE THAN THE SUM OF SEM VISUALISATION OF BIOFILMS ON SOME SEAWIKWAZULU-NATAL, SOUTH AFRICA	ITS PARTS: EEDS FROM
7.1 ABSTRACT	92
7.2 INTRODUCTION	93
7.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	96
7.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	98
7.4.1 General Observations	98
7.4.2 Biofilm on Nitzchia martiana -	99
Bacillariophyceae	
7.4.3 Well Developed Biofilms on the	102
Chlorophyceae and the Remaining Rhodophyta	
7.4.4 Poorly Developed Biofilms on the	118
Corallinaceae (Calcified Rhodophyta) 7.4.5 General Discussion	110
7.4.5 General Discussion 7.5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	118
7.5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS 7.6 REFERENCES	124
1.0 REFERENCES	124



CHAPTER 8. GENERAL DISCUSSION	
8.1 DEFENCE OF OSMUNDARIA SERRATA	130
8.2 IMPORTANT RESULTS	132
8.2.1 Identities of some bacteria isolated from the	132
surface of the macroalga Osmundaria serrata	
(Rhodophyta) and its habitat	
8.2.2 Comparison between agar dilution and	132
microtitre methods of testing for the antibacterial	
activity of an extract from O. serrata	
8.2.3 Antibacterial activity of extracts from selected	133
macroalgae from KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa	
8.2.4 Deformities induced in bacteria by macroalgal	133
extracts	
8.2.5 Isolation and antimicrobial activity of the	134
ethyl ether derivative of lanosol, isolated from	
Osmundaria serrata (Rhodophyta)	
8.2.6 A seaweed is more than the sum of its parts:	135
SEM visualisation of biofilms on some seaweeds	
from KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa	
8.3 REFERENCES	136
APPENDIX	
PRESENTATIONS ON CD-ROM	138
CD-ROM	
DISK	Back cover



Publications

The following articles are in preparation for publication based on the research derived from this study:

- 1. Barreto, M. and J.J.M. Meyer, 2003. The antibacterial activity of extracts from selected macroalgae from KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. [Incorporating Chapters 2, 4 and 5.]
- 2. Barreto, M. and J.J.M. Meyer, 2003. Isolation and antimicrobial activity of the ethyl ether derivative of lanosol, from Osmundaria serrata (Rhodophyta) [Chapter 6]
- 3. Barreto, M. and J.J.M. Meyer, 2003. A seaweed is more than the sum of its parts: SEM visualisation of biofilms on some seaweeds from KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa [Chapter 7]



List of Figures

Chapter 1	
Figure 1.1 The structures of some compounds produced by	3
macroalgae with growth inhibitory activities (Fenical, 1975; Hay,	
1992; Paul, 1992b; Steinberg, 1992). A = isolaurinterol from	
Laurencia intermedia (Rhodophyta); B = Udoteal from <i>Udotea</i> spp.	
(Chlorophyta); C = tetrafucol B from Fucus vesiculosus	
(Pheophyceae); D = avrainvilleol from Avrainvillea longicaulis	
(Chlorophyta).	
Figure 1.2 Complete specimen of Osmundaria serrata.	4
Figure 1.3 The spiralled and serrated blade of Osmundaria serrata.	5
The tip is to the bottom left.	
Figure 1.4 Scanning electron micrograph of an old segment of	6
Osmundaria serrata blade with no serrations and thickened thallus.	
Figure 1.5 Scanning electron micrograph of Osmundaria serrata	6
showing the tetrospores producing stichidia found along the edge of	
the blade.	
Figure 1.6 Outgoing wave briefly exposes seaweed community at	7
Palm Beach, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.	
Figure 1.7 Seaweed community growing on the rocky shores of	8
Palm Beach, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. A = Hypnea spicifera,	
B = Corallines; Amphiroa bowerbankii, A. ephedraea and	
Cheilosporum multifidum, C = Caulerpa filiformis, D =	
Osmundaria serrata.	
Chapter 2	
Figure 2.1 Aerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria sarrata	22
Figure 2.1 Aerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata	23
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron	23
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = $1 \mu m$.	
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 μm. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from	23
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 µm. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained	
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 µm. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron mmicroscope. Bars = 1	
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 µm. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron mmicroscope. Bars = 1 µm.	
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 µm. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron mmicroscope. Bars = 1 µm. Chapter 4	24
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 µm. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron mmicroscope. Bars = 1 µm. Chapter 4 Figure 4.1 Biological activity of macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄	
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 µm. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron mmicroscope. Bars = 1 µm. Chapter 4 Figure 4.1 Biological activity of macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses	24
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 μm. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron mmicroscope. Bars = 1 μm. Chapter 4 Figure 4.1 Biological activity of macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters indicate significant (α ≤	24
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron mmicroscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Chapter 4 Figure 4.1 Biological activity of macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters indicate significant ($\alpha \le 0.01$) differences between bacteria. Bars = SE, $n = 23$ different	24
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron mmicroscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Chapter 4 Figure 4.1 Biological activity of macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters indicate significant ($\alpha \le 0.01$) differences between bacteria. Bars = SE, $n = 23$ different bacteria, see table 5.1 for full species names.	24
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron mmicroscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Chapter 4 Figure 4.1 Biological activity of macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters indicate significant ($\alpha \le 0.01$) differences between bacteria. Bars = SE, $n = 23$ different bacteria, see table 5.1 for full species names. Figure 4.2 Average response of test bacteria to all macroalgal	24
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron mmicroscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Chapter 4 Figure 4.1 Biological activity of macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters indicate significant ($\alpha \le 0.01$) differences between bacteria. Bars = SE, $n = 23$ different bacteria, see table 5.1 for full species names. Figure 4.2 Average response of test bacteria to all macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high	48
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 μm. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron mmicroscope. Bars = 1 μm. Chapter 4 Figure 4.1 Biological activity of macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters indicate significant (α ≤ 0.01) differences between bacteria. Bars = SE, n = 23 different bacteria, see table 5.1 for full species names. Figure 4.2 Average response of test bacteria to all macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters	48
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron mmicroscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Chapter 4 Figure 4.1 Biological activity of macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters indicate significant ($\alpha \le 0.01$) differences between bacteria. Bars = SE, $n = 23$ different bacteria, see table 5.1 for full species names. Figure 4.2 Average response of test bacteria to all macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters indicate significant ($\alpha \le 0.01$) differences between bacteria. Bars =	48
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron mmicroscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Chapter 4 Figure 4.1 Biological activity of macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters indicate significant ($\alpha \le 0.01$) differences between bacteria. Bars = SE, $n = 23$ different bacteria, see table 5.1 for full species names. Figure 4.2 Average response of test bacteria to all macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters indicate significant ($\alpha \le 0.01$) differences between bacteria. Bars = SE, $n = 13$ test substances, brown bars = terrestrial bacteria, blue	48
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron mmicroscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Chapter 4 Figure 4.1 Biological activity of macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters indicate significant ($\alpha \le 0.01$) differences between bacteria. Bars = SE, $n = 23$ different bacteria, see table 5.1 for full species names. Figure 4.2 Average response of test bacteria to all macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters indicate significant ($\alpha \le 0.01$) differences between bacteria. Bars = SE, $n = 13$ test substances, brown bars = terrestrial bacteria, blue bars = marine bacteria, see table 5.2 for full species names.	48
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron mmicroscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Chapter 4 Figure 4.1 Biological activity of macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters indicate significant ($\alpha \le 0.01$) differences between bacteria. Bars = SE, $n = 23$ different bacteria, see table 5.1 for full species names. Figure 4.2 Average response of test bacteria to all macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters indicate significant ($\alpha \le 0.01$) differences between bacteria. Bars = SE, $n = 13$ test substances, brown bars = terrestrial bacteria, blue bars = marine bacteria, see table 5.2 for full species names. Figure 4.3 Biological activities of the groups of test substances	48
negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron microscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Figure 2.2 Facultatively anaerobic bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat. The cells were negative stained and viewed under a transmission electron mmicroscope. Bars = 1 μ m. Chapter 4 Figure 4.1 Biological activity of macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters indicate significant ($\alpha \le 0.01$) differences between bacteria. Bars = SE, $n = 23$ different bacteria, see table 5.1 for full species names. Figure 4.2 Average response of test bacteria to all macroalgal extracts and CuSO ₄ (positive control). High values indicate high inhibitory responses from the test bacteria. Different letters indicate significant ($\alpha \le 0.01$) differences between bacteria. Bars = SE, $n = 13$ test substances, brown bars = terrestrial bacteria, blue	48



Chapter 5	
Chapter 5	
Figure 5.1 Transmission electron micrographs of the terrestrial	60
group of bacteria, all potential human pathogens, in response to an	
extractive from Osmundaria serrata. Scale bars = 1 μm.	
Figure 5.2 Transmission electron micrographs of species of marine	61
bacteria Halomonas isolated from the surface of Osmundaria	
serrata in response to an extractive from the alga.	
Chapter 6	
Figure 6.1 Sporulation of Alternaria alternata on thin layer silica	72
gel chromatography plate to visualise the active compound from	
Osmundaria serrata. A = chlorophylls close to solvent front	
(hexane : ethyl acetate, 1:1); $B = \text{inhibition zone}$, where A .	
alternata did not sporulate; C = origin with spot of polar	
compounds.	
Figure 6.2 The active compound from O. serrata, lanosol ethyl	72
ether, after five days storage at room temperature in the dark. Note	, –
the extra spot indicating the breakdown of the active compound.	
Hex and ethyl acetate (1:1) mixture was used to develop the TLC	
plate.	
Figure 6.3 Proton NMR of the active compound, lanosol ethyl	75
ether, isolated from Osmundaria serrata.	, 0
Figure 6.4 Carbon 13 NMR of the active compound, lanosol ethyl	76
ether, isolated from Osmundaria serrata.	70
Figure 6.5 Mass spectrograph of lanosol diethyl ether isolated from	77
Osmundaria serrata	,,
Figure 6.6 Chemical structures of lanosol and derivatives. A =	78
Lanosol; $\mathbf{B} = \text{lanosol-1'-methyl ether}$, $\mathbf{C} = \text{lanosol-1'-ethyl ether}$; \mathbf{D}	. •
= lanosol 1,4-disulfate ester.	
Figure 6.7 Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of lanosol	81
ethyl ether against the growth of marine bacteria isolated from the	5.
habitat of Osmundaria serrata. Bars = SE, $n = 3$.	
Figure 6.8 Minimum inhibitory concentration of lanosol ethyl ether	83
against the growth of terrestrial bacteria and fungi. Bars = SE , $n =$	0.5
3.	



Chapter 7	
Figure 7.1 Processing procedure of seaweed samples for scanning	97
electron microscope viewing.	71
Figure 7.2.A. Epibiota on the colonial diatom Nitzchia martiana.	100
Note the absence of cocci.	
B. Diversity of bacterial cells growing on Codium duthieae.	
Microcolony of rod-shaped cells (left arrow) with mostly	
filamentous cells (right arrow).	
C. Diatom cells growing on <i>Spyridia cuppressina</i> . The frustules of	
these <i>Thalassiosira</i> sp. cells are remarkable clear of epibiota	
compared with the macroalga.	
D. Yeast-like cells growing on Caulerpa filiformis. Extracellular	
polymeric substance found around the cells that anchored them to the seaweed (arrows).	
E. Surface of Amphiroa ephedraea with almost no epibiota.	
F. Epibiota living in the joints between the segments of A.	
bowerbankii.	
Figure 7.3.A. Microcolony of C-shaped bacteria, possibly	101
Cyclobacterium marinum, on Gelidium abbottiorum.	101
B. Very small cocci (arrow) growing on Codium duthieae.	
C. Encapsulated cocci on Osmundaria serrata. Note the thickened	
glycocalyx surrounding the cells and holding them in place.	
D. Remnants of slime layer on O. serrata. Bacteria are visible	
where the slime had peeled away.	
E. The ends of Nitzchia martiana cells (arrow) protruding from the	
sheaths that form the colonies of this unusual diatom.	
F. Rod-shaped bacteria on naked frustules of the colonial diatom N.	
martiana.	
Figure 7.4.A Surface of <i>Caulerpa filiformis</i> showing slime layer,	103
but removal of cocoid cells during processing of the sample. The	
tissue was treated with OsO ₄ vapour. B. Surface of C filiformis not treated before fixing in	
glutaraldehyde. No slime layer, but hexagonal epithelial cell outlines visible.	
C. Close-up of epithelial cell outline of C. filiformis. Note the	
absence of epibiota around the algal cells, but their presence within	
the outline. Some cocci were removed during processing of the	
sample.	
Figure 7.5.A. Complex epibiotic community living on Codium	105
duthieae.	
B. Remnants of slime layer over utricles (arrow) of C. duthieae	
treated with OsO ₄ before glutaraldehyde fixation.	
C. Outline of Halimeda cuneata epithelial cell. No bacteria were	
living in the margins of the algal cells.	



Figure 7.6.A. Epibiotic community on <i>Gelidium abbottiorum</i> . The biofilm would have been covered by the EPS, the remnants of	106
which are visible near the top of the micrograph.	
B. Micro-community living in a depression on the surface of the	
red alga G. abbottiorum. Note the remnants of the EPS (arrow).	
C. Surface of G. abbottiorum. The thick, carpet-like layer is the	
cuticle (right arrow), while the thinner layer is the remnants of the	
EPS (left arrow) that covered the biofilm. Note no bacterial cell on	
the cuticle.	
Figure 7.7.A. Surface of Hypnea spicifera not treated with OsO ₄	108
vapour before glutaraldehyde fixation.	
B. Surface of H. spicifera treated with OsO ₄ vapour before	
glutaraldehyde fixation.	
C. Sperm cells (arrows) found on the surface of the red alga H.	
spicifera. This represents an important nutrient import into the	
biofilm system on the seaweed.	
Figure 7.8.A. Tip of <i>Hypnea rosea</i> with patchy cuticle (light areas).	109
B. Close-up of cuticle patches on <i>H. rosea</i> . No bacteria were	10)
growing on the cuticles, whereas next to them there is a biofilm.	
C. Surface of <i>H. rosea</i> with track made through biofilm. The	
grazing of the biofilm indicates a complex community.	
Figure 7.9.A. Micro-colony of rod-shaped bacteria found under	111
well preserved EPS layer on the surface of Osmundaria serrata.	111
The layer had folded back in the front exposing the bacteria. This	
tissue was treated with OsO ₄ before glutaraldehyde fixation.	
B. Community of bacteria found on O. serrata. The slime layer	
was not preserved in this tissue because it was not treated with	
OsO ₄ vapour before to glutaraldehyde fixation.	
C. Damaged surface of O. serrata showing the layers of the outer	
cell wall or cuticle (arrows).	
Figure 7.10.A. Surface of Osmundaria serrata showing areas	112
where the cuticle had peeled off (arrows).	112
B. Surface of O. serrata showing relatively large patches (dark	
areas) free of epibiota. The lighter areas are part of a biofilm	
covering the alga. The tracks are where the biofilm was grazed by	
an animal.	
C. Complex epibiotic community on O. serrata. Note the aggregations of filamentous cells that growing with bacteria of	
various forms. These cells were exposed because the slime layer	
that usually covers them was lost during processing of the discussion	
that usually covers them was lost during processing of the tissue for SEM viewing.	
obit tioning.	***



Figure 7.11.A. Lawn of bacteria growing on young Osmundaria	113
serrata tissue. The slime layer was removed (remnant – arrow) and	
exposed the bacteria. The C-shaped bacteria in the upper portion of	
the micrograph resemble Cyclobacterium marinum.	
B. Part of the complex biofilm on O. serrata. Micro-colonies of	
the diatom <i>Plagiogramma</i> sp. growing with the remains of what	
resembles fungal hyphae (arrows).	
C. Cocci bacteria living in a wound on O. serrata. This may be an	
early infection where only the outer cell walls are affected. The	
cells occur in a depression, which may have been a weak area on the alga.	
	44.7
Figure 7.12.A. Relatively well preserved slime layer covering	115
some biofilm on Spyridia cuppressina tissue treated with OsO ₄ .	
B. Micro-colony of the diatom <i>Campyloneis</i> sp. (arrow) embedded in the biofilm on <i>S. cuppressina</i> .	
C Round distance of the games The least a sing form 1 and 1 at 1	
C. Round diatoms of the genus <i>Thalassiosira</i> found on near the tips	
of <i>S. cuppressina</i> . Note that the surface of the diatom appear rough, indicating a biofilm covering of their own.	
T' 7 10 1	116
cuppressina. Its hairy appearance is due to filamentous bacteria	116
growing on its surface.	
B. Filamentous bacteria growing on the surface of a filter-feeding	
animal that was found on S. cuppressina.	
C. Close-up view of the surface of the filter-feeding animal found	
on S. cuppressina. The nano-rough surface is evident and prevents	
most bacteria from attaching themselves. Only the ends of	
filamentous bacteria were able to attach to the nano-rough surface.	
Figure 7.14.A. Surface of the tips of Spyridia hypnoides treated	117
with OsO ₄ before fixation in glutaraldehyde. A very thick biofilm	117
nearly covering the structure. The slime layer had dried in places to	
form "fluffy" objects.	
B. Surface of S. hypnoides not treated with OsO ₄ before	
glutaraldehyde fixation. Much of the biofilm had been lost during	
processing of the sample and no remnants of the slime layer	
remained.	
C. Surface of the tips of Spyridia hypnoides treated with OsO ₄	
before fixation in glutaraldehyde. A very thick biofilm nearly	
covering the structure. The slime layer had dried in places to form	
"fluffy" objects.	
D. Surface of S. hypnoides not treated with OsO ₄ before	
glutaraldehyde fixation. Much of the biofilm had been lost during	
processing of the sample and no remnants of the slime layer	
remained.	



Figure 7.15.A. Surface of <i>Amphiroa bowerbankii</i> with very little biofilm. Some bacterial cells were growing in a protected pocket at the edge of the conceptacle (arrow). B. Surface of <i>A. ephedraea</i> with almost no biofilm. Some bacterial cells are seen near the pore of the conceptacle (arrow). C. Macro-view of <i>A. bowerbankii</i> showing the relatively poorly	
calcified joints (arrows) of the segmented thallus. Most of the	
biofilm on the calcified red algae were found on these joints.	
Chapter 8	
Figure 8.1 Hypothetical lanosol concentration zones of	131
Osmundaria serrata. a = bactericidal concentrations of lanosol in	
seaweed cells; \mathbf{b} = bacteristatic or tolerant concentrations of lanosol	
in 'phycosphere' surrounding seaweed; $c = very low concentrations$	
of lanosol further away from seaweed thallus (see text for details).	
or landsor further away from scaweed manus (see text for details).	



List of Tables

List of Tables	
Chapter 2	20
Table 2.1 Characteristics of the strictly aerobic bacteria isolated from	20
Osmundaria serrata (Rhodophyta).	22
Table 2.2 Characteristics of the facultatively anaerobic and Gram-	22
positive bacteria isolated from Osmundaria serrata and its habitat.	
Chapter 3	26
Table 3.1 MIC values of the bacteria used to test the biological activity	36
of Osmundaria serrata extract using the two methods method grown on	
or in standard nutrient broth or agar. The extra values are the results	
from the follow up experiment and represent that replication (- = no	
growth inhibition at 25 mg.ml ⁻¹ ; ND = not determined). Table 3.2 MIC values of the bacteria used to test the biological activity	37
of Osmundaria serrata extract using the two methods method grown on	
or in seawater nutrient broth or agar. The extra values are the results	
from the follow up experiment and represent that replication.	
Table 3.3 Summary of comparison between the microplate and petri	39
dish bioassay methods.	
Chapter 4	
Table 4.1 Macroalgal species collected from the KwaZulu-Natal,	43-44
South Africa coast. (Names and authorities after Silva et al., 1986.)	
Table 4.2 Bacterial isolates used in the bioassays to determine the	45
MIC's of the macroalgal extracts.	
Chapter 6	
Table 6.1 Bacterial isolates used in the bioassays to determine the MIC	68-69
values of CuSO ₄ and lanosol ethyl ether isolated from Osmundaria	
garrata (Krieg and Holt 1984: Holt et al., 1994).	70
Table 6.2 Student t-test results of comparing CuSO ₄ and lanosol ⁴	79
within the different groups of data.	85
Table 6.3 Comparing the bacteriostatic and bactericidal results of	0.5
lanosol ethyl ether against the growth of the test marine and terrestrial	
bacteria (± SE). The fungistatic and fungicidal results for Alternaria	
alternata and Candida albicans are also shown.	
Chapter 7	06
Table 7.1 Species of colonial diatom and macroalgae collected and	96
their surfaces viewed using a scanning electron microscope.	