

Zulu-English Cross-Language Information  
Retrieval: an analysis of errors

By

Johannes Gerhardus Nel

*Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of*

*Magister Informationis Scientia*

At the

Department of Information Science  
*Faculty of Engineering, Built Environment and Information Technology*

University of Pretoria, Pretoria

*Supervisor: Dr Erica Cosijn*

*November 2003*

©

## Abstract

This study is an analysis of the published results of Zulu to English Cross Language Information Retrieval experiments. In these studies, approximate string matching was used to match the running text of the natural language queries to the base forms of the dictionary entries and this aspect was found to be reasonably successful. However, on a conceptual level, Zulu-English CLIR was very poor, in part due to the lack of technical terminology in Zulu. These studies have shown that unique translation problems are encountered if the language pairs used contain disparate vocabularies and increase the complexity of CLIR.

In support of the analysis in this study, a detailed overview of the Zulu language and of the indigenous languages of South Africa is provided, together with a discussion on the

---

*Who knows for what we live, struggle, and die? Wise men write many books, in words too hard to understand. But this, the purpose of our lives, the end of our entire struggle, is beyond all human wisdom...*

problems can be identified, namely dictionary problems and translation problems. The dictionary problems are further subdivided into issues relating to orthography

**Alan Paton**

---

paraphrasing, word inflection, homonyms, suffixes and mismatched word forms. These two primary problems have further been categorised into problems related either to word form issues, or to culture-related issues.

It is envisioned that interactive CLIR, which will enable a user to select the best translated keys and add his/her own source keys, might be an ideal solution for Cross Cultural Information Retrieval. It is certainly worth investigating the following proposed solutions: query expansion, applying metadata to describe the content, applying normalisation, and improving dictionary coverage to manage untranslatable terms.

## Abstract

I declare that

This study is an analysis of the published results of Zulu to English Cross Language Information Retrieval experiments. In these studies, approximate string matching was used to match the running text of the natural language queries to the base forms of the dictionary entries and this aspect was found to be reasonably successful. However, on a conceptual level, Zulu-English CLIR was very poor, in part due to the lack of technical terminology in Zulu. These studies have shown that unique translation problems are to be encountered if the language pairs used contain disparate vocabularies and increases the complexity of CLIR.

In support of the analysis in this study, a detailed overview of the Zulu language as one of the indigenous languages of South Africa is provided, together with a discussion on the linguistic structure of Zulu. Furthermore, the rationale for Zulu-English CLIR is given within the context of the digitisation of indigenous knowledge.

The manual analysis of the query set used in the original experiments shows that two main problems can be identified, namely dictionary problems and translation problems. The dictionary problems are further subdivided into issues relating to orthography, borrowed words and proper names while the translation problems consist of issues relating to paraphrasing, word inflection, homonyms, affixes and mismatched word forms. These two primary problems have further been categorised into problems related either to matching issues, or to culture-related issues.

It is envisioned that interactive CLIR, which will enable a user to select the best-translated keys and add his/her own source keys, might be an ideal solution for Cross-Cultural Information Retrieval. It is certainly worth investigating the following proposed solutions: query expansion, applying metadata to describe the content, applying normalisation, and improving dictionary coverage to manage untranslatable terms.

I declare that

**Zulu-English Cross Language Information Retrieval: an analysis of errors**

is my own work, and that all sources applied or quoted have been indicated and acknowledged by means of complete references.

JG Nel

*What you put on paper and how you put it there reveal your standards of excellence, your knowledge, and the quality of your thinking more eloquently than anything else about you.*

Leeds

## Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to:

- Dr Erika Cozijn, for her invaluable advice, encouragement, motivation, exceptional leadership and insight;
- Prof. Theo Bothma, for the opportunity to study at the Department of Information Science;
- Prof. Henries Britz (for everything);
- Dr Magdaleen Nel, for her motivation and setting an example to follow;
- My parents, for their love, their faith in me, their support and financial assistance;
- My friends, for their prayers and support.

---

*What you put on paper and how you put it there reveal your standards of excellence, your knowledge, and the quality of your thinking more eloquently than anything else about you.*

**Leedy**

---

Dedicated to my grandfather

JG Nel, sen.

1929–1997

*"Keep your face to the sunshine and you cannot see the shadows."*

Helen Keller

## Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to:

- Dr Erica Cosijn, for her invaluable advice, encouragement, motivation, exceptional leadership and insight;
- Prof. Theo Bothma, for the opportunity to study at the Department of Information Science;
- Prof. Hannes Britz (for everything);
- Dr Magdalena Nel, for her motivation and setting an example to follow;
- My parents, for their love, their faith in me, their support and financial assistance;
- My friends, for their prayers and support;
- Clare Hassett, for her wonderful encouragement and faith in me;
- Hilda Kriel, mentor and dear friend;
- Mareli Esterhuysen, for editing and proofreading of the thesis;
- Audrey, Mzangwa, Pansy, Violet and others for their assistance in the translation of the texts; and
- The Lord, for the talent He has given me.

**Dedicated to my grandfather**

**JG Nel, sen.**

**1929–1997**

**“Keep your face to the sunshine and you cannot see the shadows.”**

**Helen Keller**



## Table of Contents

<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 CONTEXTUALISING THE STUDY	2
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT	3
1.3 RELEVANCY OF THE PROBLEM TO THE SUBJECT FIELD	4
1.4 RESEARCH PLAN	4
1.4.1 Research methodology	4
1.4.1.1 Literature study	4
1.4.1.2 Qualitative analysis of empirical results	4
1.5 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY	5
1.6 TERMINOLOGY	5
1.6.1 Clarification of terms	5
1.6.2 Abbreviations	8
1.7 OUTLINE OF CHAPTERS FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE THESIS	8
<b>2 CROSS-LANGUAGE INFORMATION RETRIEVAL: AN OVERVIEW</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1 INTRODUCTION	11
2.2 PREVIOUS RESEARCH – THE ORIGINS OF CLIR	11
2.3 APPROACHES AND STRATEGIES	13
2.3.1 Dictionary-based methods	14
2.3.1.1 Proper names and spelling variants	15
2.3.1.2 Special terms, technical terms and domain specific terms	16
2.3.1.3 Word inflection	16
2.3.1.4 Phrase translation	18
2.3.1.5 Compound words	19
2.3.1.6 Lexical ambiguity	20
2.3.2 Corpus-based methods	21
2.3.3 Machine translation	23
2.4 CHAPTER SYNOPSIS	25
<b>3 ZULU: A LINGUISTIC OVERVIEW</b>	<b>27</b>
3.1 INTRODUCTION	27
3.1.1 South African languages after 1994	27
3.2 A PROFILE ON THE ZULU LANGUAGE	29
3.2.1 General introduction	29

3.2.2	Linguistic affiliation	29
3.3	THE LINGUISTIC STRUCTURE OF ZULU	30
3.3.1	The system of noun classes	30
3.3.2	The system of concords	32
3.3.3	Other grammatical structures	32
3.3.4	Term creation in Zulu	33
3.3.4.1	Term creation by means of semantic shift	34
3.3.4.2	Term creation by means of compounding	34
3.3.4.3	Term creation by means of deideophonisation	35
3.3.4.4	Term creation by means of adoptives	35
3.3.4.5	Term creation by means of deverbalisation	36
3.3.4.6	Term creation by means of loan-translation	37
3.4	DICTIONARIES	37
3.5	CHAPTER SYNOPSIS	38
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS OF PREVIOUS EMPIRICAL STUDIES</b>	<b>40</b>
4.1	INTRODUCTION	40
4.2	A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF CLEF	41
4.3	METHODS AND DATA USED IN QUERYING THE DATABASE	42
4.4	THE RETRIEVAL SYSTEM AND TEST QUERIES	43
4.5	ZULU TO ENGLISH TRANSLATION	50
4.6	CHAPTER SYNOPSIS	51
<b>5</b>	<b>PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION AND ANALYSIS</b>	<b>54</b>
5.1	INTRODUCTION	54
5.2	AN ANALYSIS OF ERRORS	54
5.3	DICTIONARY PROBLEMS	58
5.3.1	Orthography	58
5.3.2	Borrowed words	60
5.3.3	Proper names	63
5.4	ERROR ANALYSIS OF THE TRANSLATION PROCESS	65
5.4.1	Paraphrasing	65
5.4.2	Word inflection	68
5.4.2.1	Palatalisation	69
5.4.2.2	Pre-nasalisation	70
5.4.2.3	Vowel coalescence	71
5.4.2.4	Vowel elision	71



5.4.3	Homonyms	72
5.4.3.1	Matching the exact forms of the individual words, as they appear in the running text	77
5.4.3.2	Matching the limited normalised word form	78
5.4.3.2	Matching the normalised inverted index to the dictionary entries	78
5.4.4	Prefixing and suffixing	80
5.4.4.1	Forming of locatives	80
5.4.4.2	Forming of conjunctives	80
5.4.4.3	Verbal extensions	81
5.4.5	Mismatching	82
5.4.5.1	The enclitic	82
5.4.5.2	The interrogative	82
5.5	CONCLUSIONS AND RESEARCH FINDINGS	82
5.6	CHAPTER SYNOPSIS	83
<b>6</b>	<b>TOWARDS CROSS-CULTURAL INFORMATION RETRIEVAL</b>	<b>85</b>
6.1	INTRODUCTION	85
6.2	CATEGORISING THE PROBLEMS IDENTIFIED IN THE ERROR ANALYSIS	85
6.2.1	Issues based on the matching process	88
6.2.2	Issues based on cultural differences	90
6.3	CHAPTER SYNOPSIS	93
<b>7</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>96</b>
7.1	MQ: WHAT ARE THE MAIN PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE DICTIONARY APPROACH TO ZULU-ENGLISH CLIR?	96
7.2	SQ1: HOW SUCCESSFUL WERE THESE PARTICULAR EXPERIMENTS IN ZULU-ENGLISH CLIR?	97
7.3	SQ2: WHAT RELIABLE SOLUTIONS CAN BE IMPLEMENTED TO ADDRESS THESE SPECIFIC PROBLEMS?	98
7.3.1	Query expansion	98
7.3.2	Applying metadata to describe the content	100
7.3.3	Applying normalisation	101
7.3.4	Improving dictionary coverage to manage untranslatable terms	102
7.4	SQ3: WHAT CAN BE DONE TO CONTEXTUALISE LANGUAGE AND CULTURE IN TERMS OF CLIR?	104

7.5 THE ROAD AHEAD	105
<b>8 BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>118</b>
Appendix A	119
Appendix B	220
Appendix C	225

## List of figures

Figure 2.1 Query translations via a bilingual dictionary (Pirkola et al., 2001)	14
Figure 2.2 Unrecognized words in morphological analysis (Pirkola et al., 2001)	17
Figure 2.3 Translation ambiguity (Pirkola et al., 2001)	20
Figure 2.4 Parallel corpora in CLIR (Pirkola et al., 2001)	22
Figure 2.5 CLIR based on machine translation (Pirkola et al., 2001)	24
Figure 3.1 Mother tongue speakers in South Africa (Statistics South Africa, 2003)	28
Figure 3.2 The language family tree for Bantu languages	29
Figure 4.1 A comparison between the dictionary problems and translation problems	32
Figure 5.1 A comparison of the types of errors encountered in the translation	34
Figure 6.1 A schematic representation of the types of errors	35
Figure 6.2 A comparison of culture-related issues and matching issues	42

---

*We have to do the best we can. This is our sacred human responsibility.*

**Albert Einstein**

---

## List of figures

Figure 2.1 Query translations via a bilingual dictionary (Pirkola et al., 2001)	14
Figure 2.2 Unrecognized words in morphological analysis (Pirkola et al., 2001)	17
Figure 2.3 Translation ambiguity (Pirkola et al., 2001)	20
Figure 2.4 Parallel corpora in CLIR (Pirkola et al., 2001)	22
Figure 2.5 CLIR based on machine translation (Pirkola et al., 2001)	24
Figure 3.1 Mothertongue speakers in South Africa (Statistics South Africa, 2003)	24
Figure 3.2 The language family tree for Bantu languages	29
Figure 5.1 A comparison between the dictionary problems and translation problems	56
Figure 5.2 A comparison of the types of errors encountered in the translation process	57
Figure 6.1 A schematic representation of the analysis of errors	86
Figure 6.2 A comparison of culture-related issues and matching issues	92
Table 4.1 A roadmap of CLEF	40
Table 4.2 Matching results for 65 Zulu source words in CLEF Topics Q041 to Q045 (Cosijn et al., 2002b)	46
Table 4.3 The performance of CLIR queries (Cosijn et al., 2002b)	50
Table 4.4 The effects of untranslated English words on the performance of the test text queries (syn1) (Cosijn et al., 2002b)	50
Table 5.1 The different writing rules related to the sound system of Zulu	59
Table 5.2 Zuluised borrowed words	61
Table 5.3 Borrowed words with a class prefix added	61
Table 5.4 Proper names in Zulu	64
Table 5.5 Paraphrasing in Zulu	69
Table 5.6 The effects of palatalisation	69
Table 5.7 The effects of pre-nasalisation	70
Table 5.8 The three principal cases of vowel coalescence	71
Table 5.9 The occurrence of vowel elision in the different classes	72
Table 5.10 Examples of homonyms	73
Table 5.11 Mistranslated words as found in several queries	74
Table 5.12 Comparing the dictionary and mother tongue translation of 'inauguration'	76
Table 5.13 The Zuluised amakhemibeli and the (incorrect) resulting matches in the text	76

## List of tables

Table 3.1	Number of speakers per language in South Africa (1980, 1991, 1996, 1998 and 2001), (Statistics South Africa, 2003)	28
Table 3.2	Classifying nouns into singular and plural	30
Table 3.3	Different nouns derived from a stem	31
Table 3.4	The different noun classes	31
Table 3.5	Formation of pronouns	32
Table 3.6	Adding verbal extensions (suffixes) to a root give the verb different meanings (Bosch and Taljaard, 1988)	33
Table 3.7	Creating new terms by modifying/expanding the meaning	34
Table 3.8	Creating new terms by combining different words	34
Table 3.9	Creating new terms by adding a prefix to an associated sound	35
Table 3.10	Loanwords in Zulu	36
Table 3.11	Creating new terms by deriving verbs from nouns	36
Table 3.12	Creating new terms by literally translating the word's meaning	37
Table 4.1	A roadmap of CLEF	41
Table 4.2	Matching results for 65 Zulu source words in CLEF Topics Co41 to Co45 (Cosijn et al., 2002b)	46
Table 4.3	The performance of CLIR queries (Cosijn et al., 2002b)	50
Table 4.4	The effects of untranslated English words on the performance of the best test queries (syn1) (Cosijn et al., 2002b)	50
Table 5.1	The different writing rules related to the sound system of Zulu	59
Table 5.2	Zululised borrowed words	62
Table 5.3	Borrowed words with a class prefix added	63
Table 5.4	Proper names in Zulu	63
Table 5.5	Paraphrasing in Zulu	66
Table 5.6	The effects of palatalisation	69
Table 5.7	The effects of pre-nasalisation	70
Table 5.8	The three principal cases of vowel coalescence	71
Table 5.9	The occurrence of vowel elision in the different classes	72
Table 5.10	Examples of homonyms	73
Table 5.11	Mistranslated words as found in several queries	74
Table 5.12	Comparing the dictionary and mother tongue translation of 'inauguration'	76
Table 5.13	The Zululised amakhemikheli and the (incorrect) resulting matches in the text	76

Table 5.14 Proposed dictionary matches without a morphological analyser	77
Table 5.15 Matching inflected verbs in different forms	78
Table 5.16 The effect of normalisation	79
Table 5.17 Normalisation and ambiguity	79
Table 5.18 The different verbal extensions occurring in Zulu	81
Table 6.1 Comparing matching problems and cultural problems	87
Table 7.1 Untranslatable words in Zulu	102

---

*If you can dream it, you can do it.*

Walt Disney

---