

# **INDICATORS OF CORPORATE SOCIAL PERFORMANCE IN SOUTH AFRICA**

**DERICK DE JONGH**

SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

**DOCTOR COMMERCII  
(COMMUNICATION MANAGEMENT)**

IN THE

FACULTY OF ECONOMIC AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES

AT THE

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

PROMOTER: Prof R S Rensburg  
CO-PROMOTER: Prof J M de Wet

Pretoria, South Africa

June 2003

**Without transgression, without the red boundary,  
there is no danger, no risk, no frisson, no experiment,  
no discovery and no creativity.**

**Without extending some hidden or visible frontier  
of the possible, without disturbing something  
of the incomplete order of things,  
there is no challenge, no pleasure  
and certainly no joy.**

(Okri 1997:32)

My two professors, Prof Ronel Fourieburg and Prof Thinus de Wet. For your guidance and for sharing my passion.

The University of Pretoria : University Library, Henrieke Jansen van Vuuren and Meninde Botha for providing me with access e-mails and lots of literature.

Prof Mirek Sharif for your support and encouragement and Prof Michael Shain for your support and encouragement from the African Institute for Corporate Citizenship.

Sammy Boney for the data analysis and for helping the field study.

John Wright and Paul at the African Institute for Corporate Citizenship, Yourknowledge and enthusiasm in the field helped me to succeed to undertake this study.

Simon Zulu and David Govey, your contribution in this study meant the world to

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The following people deserve special acknowledgment. Words alone cannot express my appreciation for what you have meant to me.

- Marguerite, Maricke and Grethe. Without you it would not have been possible or worthwhile. You are the true anchors in my life.
- My parents. Your love, support and faith in me.
- My two brothers, Mynhardt and Chris. I know what it means to you to have a "Doctor" in the family - thanks guys for making me become one.
- Jaco and Lorinda. "You told me so" ....
- My two promoters, Prof Ronel Rensburg and Prof Thinus de Wet. For your guidance and for sharing my passion.
- University of Pretoria : Merensky Library, Henriette Jansen van Vuuren and Marinda Veldsman for providing me with endless e-mails and lots of literature.
- Unisa Faculty of Economic and Management Sciences. Prof Mrad Shahia for your support and financial contribution from the Unisa Centre for Corporate Citizenship.
- Terence Beney for the data analysis and support during the field study.
- Sean, Mokhethi and Paul at the African Institute of Corporate Citizenship. Your knowledge, interest and enthusiasm in the field ignited my desire to undertake this study.
- Dr Simon Zadek and David Grayson. Your participation in this study meant the world to me.

- Elize and Magda for the typing of the thesis. I just had to “write” my thesis .... Thanks for bridging the digital divide for me.

**ABSTRACT**

- Arlen Welman for editing the thesis.

- All my friends and family members who took a keen interest in my studies and who kept on inspiring me through their support , faith and encouragement.

Derrick de Jongh

- The Lord Jesus for showing me the way and giving me the strength to complete this study.

PROFESSIONAL SUMMARY

Profile Maria Wet

Marketing and Communication Management

Doctor Commerce

The last turbulent years of the 21<sup>st</sup> century have been characterised by globalisation, governance and the emerging issue of mainstreaming corporate social responsibility with core business practice and strategy. In South Africa (SA) corporate practices are increasingly being informed by the challenges of both social and economic transformation as well as a strong sense of ‘firm-centrism’. With the advent of the King 2 Report on Corporate Governance that was launched in 2002, the Mining Charter in 2002 and a possible Financial Charter in 2003, the SA landscape offers a challenging scenario whereby business leaders will have to embrace their role in social transformation. It is generally accepted that the business sector is a sustainable contributor to the social and economic progress of SA in ensuring the future of communities, individuals and the country as a whole.

Furthermore in the global market place companies are increasingly making choices based on, or taking into account the ethical and social dimensions of the performance of organisations. This has led companies to reassess their role in corporate social management, recognising that it is not only an area of moral responsibility, but also a business opportunity. Thus corporate identity and reputation are therefore the company's bottom line. By putting a proof of good performance in these areas,

Companies can disassociate themselves from their commercial image through social and environmental reporting.

## ABSTRACT

### INDICATORS OF CORPORATE SOCIAL PERFORMANCE IN SOUTH AFRICA

This study aims to identify and analyse the current corporate social performance reporting practices in South Africa. Although an international trend numerous indexes have been developed of which the SA Corporate Social Reporting Initiative is regarded as one of the leading providers of indicators and reporting guidelines. The study involved in developing indicators within the unique SA context because the key objective of the study was to develop a range of social and environmental indicators. By Derick de Jongh, also known as sustainability reports, has become a major phenomenon in the past three years. In order to integrate and align the variety of reporting on their social performance, the decision was taken to develop a common approach methodology.

Promoters: Prof R S Rensburg  
Prof J M de Wet

Department: Marketing and Communication Management

Degree: Doctor Commercial Law. The approach entails the making part of the research report to the following chapters: Abstract, Acknowledgements, Introduction, Methodology, Results and Conclusions.

The first turbulent years of the 21<sup>st</sup> century have been characterised by globalisation, governance and the emerging issue of mainstreaming corporate social responsibility with core business practice and strategy. In South Africa (SA) corporate practices are increasingly being informed by the challenges of both social and economic transformation as well as a strong sense of "afro-centrism". With the advent of the King 2 Report on Corporate Governance that was launched in 2002 , the Mining Charter in 2002 and a possible Financial Charter in 2003, the SA landscape offers a challenging scenario whereby business leaders will have to embrace their role in social transformation. It is generally accepted in SA that the business sector is a sustainable contributor to the social and economic progress of SA by shaping the future of communities, individuals and the country as a whole.

Furthermore in the global marketplace consumers are increasingly making choices based on, or taking into account the environmental, social and ethical performance of organisations. This has led companies to reassess their social and environmental management, recognising that it is not only an area of moral responsibility, but also one that affects corporate identity and reputation and therefore the company's bottom line. By providing proof of good performance in these areas,

companies can differentiate themselves from their competition, manage their reputational risk and boost their corporate identity.

The need for indicators of corporate social performance in SA therefore emerged as the main reason for this study. Although on international front numerous indexes have been developed of which the Global Reporting Initiative is regarded as the leading provider of indicators and reporting guidelines, the need to develop indicators within the unique SA context became the key objective. There has been a surge of social and environmental reports, also known as sustainability reports, by the SA business sector the past three years. In order to integrate and align the variety of indicators used by these organisations in reporting on their social performance, the decision was made to conduct exploratory research on this phenomenon which is supported by relevant literature study as well as qualitative research methodology.

The literature reviews the current social development landscape in SA focusing on the uniqueness of the social construction of the business sector. This approach presents the starting point of the dissertation leading to the following chapters describing corporate social responsibility and presenting current definitions of corporate social performance and corporate citizenship. The literature thereafter reviews stakeholder engagement and partnerships as a fundamental element of corporate social performance. This section of the literature specifically states the importance of stakeholder engagement in social measurement and reporting. Finally the literature reviews the local and global frameworks and indexes in practice. This section of the literature review creates a theoretical basis for presenting the results of the research, namely the indicators of corporate social performance in SA. The literature section concludes with an exploration of the changing nature of business in SA and globally.

The research part of the study consisted of interviewing fifteen prominent persons in the public, private and civil society sectors who conduct their daily activities at the epicentre of the cultural space in which the corporate citizenship debate is playing itself out. The objective of these structured in-depth interviews was to determine what, in the informed opinion of these respondents, the key indicators might be that would accurately reflect a corporation's social performance in a SA context. The ultimate objective of analysing the interview data is to form coherent groupings of meaningful data that relate to the indicators of corporate social performance .

The indicators that are presented do not however suggest easy measurement of corporate social performance. They merely reflect a set of ten dimensions with associated indicators, a total of which twenty-seven were identified by the participants. These dimensions included compliance with accepted legislative voluntary norms and standards, corporate governance, stakeholder engagement, social development contributions, the integration and embedding of good corporate citizenship practices throughout the organisation, employee welfare, reputation, sustainability with specific focus on triple-bottom line reporting, contextual reality and finally the ability of an organisation to distinguish itself as a leader in corporate citizenship which others could regard as a benchmark.

**Through a process of contextualisation within the South African landscape, the following indicators had significance:**

- **Compliance with regulatory practices**
- **Stakeholder engagement performance**
- **Fulfilling stakeholder obligations**
- **Demonstrated stakeholder loyalty**
- **Reputation with stakeholders**
- **Level of cooperation with tri-sector (public, private and civil society) partners**
- **Integration of “triple bottom line” imperatives in management practices**
- **Sector and locality delimited indicators (e.g. Black Economic Empowerment)**
- **Achievements as a change agent for social transformation in South Africa**

The design of appropriate measurement protocols for each of the indicators associated with these dimensions will have to be developed and is regarded as a key recommendation of the study. A second recommendation is to integrate these indicators with global reporting frameworks and thus contribute to the global social measurement and reporting debate. The value of the study therefore results in local and international application with the major contribution towards the SA corporate social performance arena. The timing of the study is endorsed by the current significant strides in SA through recognising the importance of social performance by enshrining it in legislation and coming up with new initiatives to showcase its importance. The ultimate goal of business and communities is strengthened through these indicators which create a suitable avenue for foreign direct investment in SA.

1.10	Outline of the chapter	33
1.11	Conclusion	35

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### CHAPTER 1

#### INTRODUCTION

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Rationale for the study	6
1.3	Defining corporate social performance challenges	7
1.3.1	Strategic business imperatives	8
1.3.2	Corporate citizenship	8
1.3.3	Landscaping society / communities	11
1.3.4	Stakeholder engagement	14
1.3.5	A “new wave” of consumerism	15
1.3.6	Strategic marketing	17
1.3.7	Influencing macropolicy	19
1.4	Definition of the research problem	22
1.5	Purpose of the study	24
1.6	Objectives of the study	25
1.7	Research design	25
1.7.1	Nature of the study	25
1.7.2	Research methodology	26
1.8	Benefits of the study	28
1.8.1	Benefits for the business sector	29
1.8.2	Benefits for the civil society sector	30
1.8.3	Benefits for the public sector	31
1.8.4	Benefits for the country	31

1.9	Limitations of the study .....	32
1.10	Outline of the chapters .....	33
1.11	Conclusion .....	35

~~2.1.1 The historical context of human rights ..... 33~~

~~2.1.2 The historical context of human rights ..... 34~~

~~2.1.3 Human rights in South Africa ..... 37~~

## CHAPTER 2

### CONTEXTUALISING THE SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT LANDSCAPE

2.1	Introduction .....	38
2.2	South African perspectives on social development .....	40
2.2.1	Development update : 1994 - 2000 .....	40
2.2.2	Corporate governance .....	46
2.2.2.1	The King 2 Report on Corporate Governance .....	47
2.2.2.2	The Johannesburg Securities Exchange : Socially Responsible Investment Index (JSE: SRI INDEX) .....	50
2.2.2.3	Socially Responsible Investment (SRI) in South Africa .....	51
2.2.2.4	Sustainable banking in Africa .....	52
2.2.2.5	The corporate citizenship benchmarking initiative for South Africa ..	54
2.2.3	The role of the South African government .....	55
2.2.3.1	Department of Social Development .....	55
2.2.3.2	The National Development Agency (NDA) .....	58
2.3	Global trends in social development .....	59
2.3.1	The World Summit on Sustainable Development (WSSD) .....	59
2.3.2	The Global Compact .....	63
2.3.3	The Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) .....	66
2.4	Social development challenges .....	69
2.4.1	Defining the developing world .....	70
2.4.2	Defining sustainable development .....	71
2.4.3	Social dimensions of business practice .....	74
2.4.4	Defining social values .....	76
2.4.5	Performance indicators for peaceful and progressive societies .....	78

2.4.6	The donor environment . . . . .	80
2.5	Human Rights and social development . . . . .	82
2.5.1	Defining human rights . . . . .	83
2.5.2	Business and social benefits of human rights . . . . .	83
2.5.3	The business case for human rights . . . . .	84
2.5.4	Human rights in practice . . . . .	87
2.6	Conclusion . . . . .	88

3.1	Introduction . . . . .	102
3.2	Global forces of change . . . . .	104
3.2.1	The revolution of technology . . . . .	107
3.2.2	The revolution of markets . . . . .	107
3.2.3	The revolution of demographics and development . . . . .	108
3.2.4	The revolution of values . . . . .	109
3.3	Management imperatives . . . . .	110
3.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	111
3.3.2	Leadership vs management . . . . .	112
3.3.3	Developmental update and implications for the business strategy . . . . .	113
3.3.4	Principles of strategy . . . . .	114
3.3.5	Summary . . . . .	115
3.4	Business value proposition . . . . .	116
3.4.1	Value to the customer . . . . .	117
3.5	Corporate governance . . . . .	118
3.6	Strategic business challenges . . . . .	121
3.6.1	Organisational culture . . . . .	122
3.6.2	The “Digital Divide” . . . . .	122

### CHAPTER 3

#### **STRATEGIC PERSPECTIVES ON BUSINESS PHILOSOPHIES**

#### CHAPTER 4

3.1	Introduction . . . . .	91
3.2	Global forces of change . . . . .	94
3.2.1	The revolution of technology . . . . .	96
3.2.2	The revolution of markets . . . . .	98
3.2.3	The revolution of demographics and development . . . . .	100
3.2.4	The revolution of values . . . . .	102
3.3	Management imperatives . . . . .	105
3.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	106
3.3.2	Leadership vs management . . . . .	108
3.3.3	Developmental update and implications for the business strategy . . . . .	109
3.3.4	Principles of strategy . . . . .	112
3.3.5	Summary . . . . .	113
3.4	Business value proposition . . . . .	114
3.4.1	Value to the customer . . . . .	117
3.5	Corporate governance . . . . .	118
3.6	Strategic business challenges . . . . .	121
3.6.1	Organisational culture . . . . .	122
3.6.2	The “Digital Divide” . . . . .	122

3.6.3	The “New Economy” . . . . .	124
3.6.4	Globalisation and developing countries . . . . .	125
3.6.5	Business and the environment . . . . .	126
3.6.6	Business and poverty . . . . .	127
3.6.7	Business and public trust . . . . .	128
3.6.8	Business and social and environmental risk . . . . .	131
3.6.9	Business and the World Summit on Sustainable Development : Johannesburg 2002 . . . . .	132
3.6.10	Business commitment to corporate citizenship and sustainable development	134
3.7	Conclusion . . . . .	137

## CHAPTER 4

### EXISTING BODY OF KNOWLEDGE OF CORPORATE CITIZENSHIP

4.1	Introduction . . . . .	141
4.2	Historic overview of corporate citizenship . . . . .	144
4.3	Defining corporate citizenship . . . . .	147
4.3.1	Corporate social responsibility vs corporate citizenship . . . . .	148
4.3.2	Principles of corporate citizenship . . . . .	150
4.3.3	Models of corporate citizenship . . . . .	153
4.3.4	Foundations of corporate citizenship . . . . .	155
4.4	Corporate citizenship and business ethics . . . . .	158
4.5	The civil corporation . . . . .	161
4.5.1	Civil regulation . . . . .	162
4.5.2	Civil learning . . . . .	164
4.5.3	Building the civil corporation . . . . .	166
4.6	Benefits of corporate citizenship . . . . .	169
4.7	Challenges of corporate citizenship . . . . .	172
4.7.1	Stakeholder value and societal value . . . . .	172
4.7.2	Corporate citizenship and executive development . . . . .	173

4.8 Conclusion .....	175
----------------------	-----

## CHAPTER 5

### CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT AND CROSS-SECTOR PARTNERSHIPS

5.1 Introduction .....	179
5.2 Defining stakeholders .....	182
5.2.1 The civil society .....	184
5.3 Building partnerships .....	188
5.3.1 Principles of partnership building .....	190
5.3.2 Transitions in stakeholder engagement .....	192
5.3.3 Critical factors for stakeholder integrity .....	193
5.3.4 Internalising partnerships .....	195
5.3.5 Checklist for stakeholder management .....	196
5.4 South African perspectives on public/private partnerships .....	199
5.5 Multistakeholder partnerships .....	201
5.5.1 Partnerships between international NGOs and business .....	202
5.5.2 Partnerships between international agencies and business .....	203
5.5.3 National business partnerships for development .....	204
5.6 Partnerships and the environment .....	205
5.6.1 Causal factors of environmental business group partnerships .....	207
5.7 Measuring stakeholder relationships .....	208
5.8 Benefits of partnerships .....	211
5.9 Challenges in cross-sector partnerships .....	213
5.9.1 Partnership and the “triple bottom line” .....	214
5.9.2 Forming partnerships is about dialogue, openness and transparency .....	215
5.9.3 Corporate voluntarism .....	216
5.10 Partnerships in practice .....	218
5.10.1 International example (European): The Copenhagen Centre .....	218

5.10.2 "Heartbeat" Centre for Community Development (South African example) . . . . .	219
5.11 Conclusion . . . . .	226

Marketing and sales . . . . . 251

Innovations that expand . . . . . 252

Frameworks : Additional examples . . . . . 252

## THEORETICAL OVERVIEW OF SOCIAL MEASUREMENT, AUDITING

### AND REPORTING

The Business Council for Sustainable Development : South Africa . . . . . 255

The FTSE/JSE Listings Manual : Securities Exchange (JSE) Socially Responsible

6.1 Introduction . . . . .	229
6.1.1 Social reporting and stakeholder engagement . . . . .	232
6.2 The "triple bottom line" (TBL) . . . . .	233
6.2.1 "Economic bottom line" . . . . .	234
6.2.2 "Environmental bottom line" . . . . .	234
6.2.3 "Social bottom line" . . . . .	235
6.3 AccountAbility standards . . . . .	236
6.3.1 "AccountAbility 1000 Standards" (AA 1000) . . . . .	237
6.3.2 The AA1000 Assurance Standard (AA 1000S) . . . . .	242
6.3.3 SA 8000 . . . . .	243
6.3.4 The International Standards Organisation (ISO) . . . . .	243
6.4 The Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) . . . . .	244
6.4.1 Reporting principles . . . . .	244
6.4.2 Reporting content . . . . .	245
6.4.3 GRI and accountability frameworks . . . . .	247
6.5 Social risk management . . . . .	248
6.5.1 Social risk framework . . . . .	250
6.6 Challenges facing social measurement, auditing and reporting . . . . .	254
6.6.1 Business case for social measurement . . . . .	254
6.6.2 Civil governance . . . . .	255
6.6.3 Independent assurance of corporate responsibility reports . . . . .	257
6.6.4 Measuring social return on investment . . . . .	258
6.7 Measuring corporate social performance . . . . .	259
6.7.1 Stock price and financial performance . . . . .	260

6.7.2	Reputation and risk management . . . . .	260
6.7.3	Human resources . . . . .	260
6.7.4	Marketing and sales . . . . .	261
6.7.5	Innovations that expand markets . . . . .	262
6.8	Reporting frameworks : Additional examples . . . . .	262
6.8.1	The African Institute of Corporate Citizenship (AICC) . . . . .	262
6.8.2	The Business Council for Sustainable Development : South Africa . . . . .	265
6.8.3	The FTSE/Johannesburg Securities Exchange (JSE) Socially Responsible Investment (SRI) Index . . . . .	265
6.8.4	Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu . . . . .	267
6.8.5	Novo Nordisk Triple Bottom Line (2001) . . . . .	269
6.8.6	Business in the community . . . . .	271
6.8.7	The Index of Sustainable Economic Welfare (ISEW) . . . . .	272
6.8.8	KPMG criteria for stakeholder engagement reporting . . . . .	273
6.8.9	BP (Australia) framework for corporate citizenship . . . . .	273
6.9	Differences in reporting styles . . . . .	274
6.10	Integrating audits . . . . .	276
6.11	Conclusion . . . . .	277
6.12	Applying theory to practice: A bridging framework . . . . .	279

## CHAPTER 7

### CRITICAL PERSPECTIVES ON A CHANGING BUSINESS WORLD

7.1	Introduction . . . . .	286
7.2	Applying theory to practice: A synopsis . . . . .	287
7.3	European perspectives on global business . . . . .	290
7.3.1	Is there a new power-sharing in international affairs? . . . . .	291
7.3.2	Change, society and enterprise . . . . .	291
7.3.3	Transmodern dialogues of society . . . . .	292
7.3.4	Evolution management . . . . .	292
7.3.5	Humanism and enterprise . . . . .	293

7.3.6	The new economy – opportunity or threat? .....	294
7.3.7	Building a knowledge society .....	295
7.3.8	Activating stakeholders .....	296
7.3.9	The sustainability agenda .....	298
7.3.10	The European Enterprise Summit .....	299
7.4	Future trends in business .....	304
7.4.1	Corporate governance .....	305
7.4.2	South Africa as an emerging economy/market .....	305
7.4.3	Business beyond SA borders .....	306
7.4.4	Black Economic Empowerment .....	306
7.4.5	HIV/AIDS .....	306
7.4.6	Aligning business strategies .....	307
7.4.7	Reputation .....	307
7.4.8	Marketing and branding .....	307
7.4.9	Business as the change agent .....	308
7.4.10	Business Schools .....	308
7.4.11	Socially responsible investment (SRI) funds .....	308
7.4.12	Financial analysts .....	308
7.4.13	Accounting practice .....	309
7.4.14	Management science .....	309
7.4.15	Leadership .....	309
7.4.16	The learning organisation .....	309
7.4.17	Opportunity creation .....	310
7.4.18	Business as a community .....	310
7.4.19	Mutual gain .....	310
7.4.20	Global agenda of business .....	311
7.4.21	Activism .....	311
7.4.22	Business intelligence .....	311
7.5	Conclusion .....	312

CHAPTER 8RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

8.1	Introduction .....	314
8.2	Qualitative research design .....	315
8.2.1	Defining qualitative research .....	315
8.2.2	Rationale for qualitative research .....	318
8.3	Content analysis .....	320
8.3.1	Definition .....	320
8.3.2	Purpose of content analysis .....	320
8.3.3	Advantages .....	321
8.3.4	Reliability .....	321
8.3.5	Validity .....	322
8.3.6	Steps in content analysis .....	323
8.4	Research methodology .....	325
8.4.1	Introduction .....	325
8.4.2	Role of the researcher .....	325
8.4.3	Description of the participants .....	326
8.4.4	In-depth interviews .....	328
8.4.5	Deciding on the research questions .....	330
8.4.6	General assumptions .....	333
8.5	Analysis of data .....	335
8.5.1	Grounding analysis in the data .....	335
8.5.2	Systematic coding .....	336
8.6	Conclusions .....	337

CHAPTER 9RESEARCH FINDINGS

9.1	Introduction .....	339
-----	--------------------	-----

9.2	Method of analysis . . . . .	341
9.2.1	Content analysis . . . . .	341
9.2.2	Grounded theory . . . . .	342
9.2.3	Plausible constructionism . . . . .	342
9.3	Description of data . . . . .	343
9.4	Description of approach . . . . .	343
9.5	Thematic discussion . . . . .	344
9.5.1	Question 1: Integrating social development and business imperatives . . . . .	346
9.5.2	Question 2: Stakeholder engagement . . . . .	351
9.5.3	Question 4: The future landscape of corporate citizenship . . . . .	356
9.5.4	Question 5: Shaping the corporate citizenship agenda . . . . .	359
9.6	Indicators . . . . .	361
9.6.1	General commentary . . . . .	361
9.6.2	“Indicator set” and notes . . . . .	362
9.7	Comparative “indicator set”: Application compared to theory . . . . .	367
9.8	Concluding remarks on the indicators . . . . .	370
9.9	Final conclusions . . . . .	372

~~10.5.2 Social responsibility: building and mapping~~

~~10.5.3 Stakeholder mapping~~

~~10.5.4 Stakeholder management~~ **CHAPTER 10**

~~10.6 Summary~~

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

10.1	Introduction . . . . .	374
10.2	Future trends in corporate citizenship: Literature overview . . . . .	376
10.2.1	The push for integrated citizenship . . . . .	376
10.2.2	Operationalising corporate social responsibility (CSR) . . . . .	377
10.2.3	Can the “Triple Bottom Line” be satisfied? . . . . .	379
10.2.4	The development challenge . . . . .	380
10.2.5	Corporate responsibility: Post Worldcom and Enron et al. . . . .	380
10.2.6	Business is called to account . . . . .	382
10.2.7	September 11th and beyond: Global corporate response . . . . .	385

10.2.8 The World Summit on Sustainable Development (WSSD) and corporate social performance . . . . .	387
10.2.9 The new economy of corporate citizenship . . . . .	388
10.3 Research results . . . . .	391
10.3.1 Compliance . . . . .	391
10.3.2 Corporate governance . . . . .	391
10.3.3 Stakeholder engagement . . . . .	391
10.3.4 Social development contributions . . . . .	392
10.3.5 Integration . . . . .	392
10.3.6 Employee welfare . . . . .	392
10.3.7 Reputation . . . . .	392
10.3.8 Sustainability . . . . .	392
10.3.9 Contextual . . . . .	393
10.3.10 Standard setting . . . . .	393
10.4 Recommendations . . . . .	393
10.5 Possible future research topics . . . . .	394
10.5.1 Corporate social responsibility . . . . .	394
10.5.2 Social measurement, auditing and reporting . . . . .	395
10.5.3 Strategic marketing . . . . .	395
10.5.4 Stakeholder management . . . . .	395
10.6 Summary . . . . .	396

LIST OF FIGURES

Chapter 4:

1. Figure 4.1 : Brand loyalty and societal/economic value ..... 163

Chapter 5

2. Figure 5.1 : The strategic importance of stakeholders ..... 182  
3. Figure 5.2 : Adjusted balanced scorecard ..... 189  
4. Figure 5.3 : The core competency strategic model ..... 193  
5. Figure 5.4 : The three waves of environmental group thinking and action ..... 206  
6. Figure 5.5 : Causal factors of business environmental group partnerships ..... 207  
7. Figure 5.6 : Support networks and partnerships ..... 224  
8. Figure 5.7 : The community child care forum ..... 225

Table 4.1 : Corporate social responsibility measures

Chapter 6

9. Figure 6.1 : Accounting process ..... 229  
10. Figure 6.2 : Classifying organisations ..... 233  
11. Figure 6.3 : The AA1000 principles ..... 240  
12. Figure 6.4 : Theoretical application ..... 280

Table 5.1 : The four P's of stakeholder analysis building

Chapter 7

13. Figure 7.1 : The "Moving Escalator" ..... 298

Table 5.2 : International NGO and business partnership

Chapter 9

14. Figure 9.1 : The Content Analysis Procedure ..... 344  
15. Table 6.1 : AA1000 process flow ..... 241  
16. Table 6.2 : Indicators in the GRI framework ..... 247  
17. Table 6.3 : Main sources of risk ..... 251  
18. Table 6.4 : Social risk management matrix ..... 253  
17. Table 6.5 : Deloitte, Touche, Tohmatsu reporting criteria ..... 258  
18. Table 6.6 : Nova Nordisk "Triple Bottom Line" indicators ..... 270

19. Table 6.7 : Reporting vs reporting ..... 275

LIST OF TABLESChapter 1

1. Table 1.1: Key issues in corporate social responsibility ..... 37

Chapter 2

2. Table 2.1 : Performance indicators for peaceful and progressive societies ..... 79  
 3. Table 2.2 : Business and social benefits of human rights ..... 84

Chapter 3

4. Table 3.1 : Categories of basic business in South Africa ..... 107

Chapter 4

5. Table 4.1 : Corporate social responsibility hierarchy ..... 146  
 6. Table 4.2 : The continuum towards full citizenship ..... 147  
 7. Table 4.3 : Comparison of corporate social responsibility with corporate citizenship . 148  
 8. Table 4.4 : Four states of citizenship ..... 149  
 9. Table 4.5 : Civil learning cycle ..... 165

Chapter 5

10. Table 5.1 : The four P's of stakeholder partnership building ..... 190  
 11. Table 5.2 : Engaging stakeholders - 10 transitions ..... 192  
 12. Table 5.3 : International NGO and business partnership ..... 202

Chapter 6

13. Table 6.1 : AA1000 process flow ..... 241  
 14. Table 6.2 : Indicators in the GRI framework ..... 247  
 15. Table 6.3 : Main sources of risk ..... 251  
 16. Table 6.4 : Social risk management matrix ..... 253  
 17. Table 6.5 : Deloitte, Touche Tohmatsu reporting criteria ..... 268  
 18. Table 6.6 : Novo Nordisk "Triple Bottom Line" indicators ..... 270

19. Table 6.7 : Reporting vs reporting . . . . .	275
--	-----

Chapter 8

20. Table 8.1 : Qualitative vs quantitative research . . . . .	318
21. Table 8.2 : Data analysis process flow . . . . .	337

of the Unilever South Africa Global Compact Learning Network in South Africa (Santos, 2002).

Chapter 9

22. Table 9.1 : Theoretical constructs in corporate social performance . . . . .	339
23. Table 9.2 : Question 1: Themes . . . . .	350
24. Table 9.3 : Question 2: Themes . . . . .	355
25. Table 9.4 : Question 4: Themes . . . . .	358
26. Table 9.5 : Question 5: Themes . . . . .	360
27. Table 9.6 : Suggested social performance indicators . . . . .	363
28. Table 9.7 : Comparative "indicator set": Theoretical application . . . . .	368
29. Table 9.8 : Contextual "drivers" . . . . .	369
30. Table 9.9 : Unique SA indicators . . . . .	369

Chapter 10

31. Table 10.1 :Progress report on the Business Summit: 24 - 25 October 2002 . . . . .	385
--	-----

<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b> . . . . .	400
-------------------------------	-----

<b>WEBSITES</b> . . . . .	431
---------------------------	-----