

**COMMUNITIES OF PRACTICE: AN ESSENTIAL ELEMENT IN THE
KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES OF AN ACADEMIC LIBRARY AS
LEARNING ORGANISATION**

by

BAREND JOHANNES VAN WYK

submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER IN INFORMATION SCIENCE

in the

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, BUILT ENVIRONMENT AND
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
PRETORIA

June 2005

“We are drowning in information but starved for knowledge”

- John Naisbitt

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to:

- Prof Retha Snyman for her invaluable advice, leadership, motivation and encouragement;
- Dr Heila Pienaar for motivating me to do this study;
- Prof Theo Bothma for the opportunity to study at the Department of Information Science;
- The Academic Information Service for allowing me to conduct this study;
- My loving wife Anna-Mart van Wyk for her encouragement, patience, support, prayers, and also her helping hand in editing and proofreading the thesis;
- All my friends and family for their support and prayers;
- My heavenly Father for giving me the necessary knowledge, insight and strength.

SUMMARY

COMMUNITIES OF PRACTICE: AN ESSENTIAL ELEMENT IN THE KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES OF AN ACADEMIC LIBRARY AS LEARNING ORGANISATION

By: Barend Johannes van Wyk

Study leader: Prof. M.M.M. Snyman

Department of Information Science

Master in Information Science

Communities of Practice have been utilized with great success by organisations in the business and manufacturing sectors to help in the management of their knowledge. Not much research have been done on their application in learning organisations such as academic libraries, however. The aim of this study was therefore to determine how knowledge can be managed through Communities of Practice in a learning organisation such as an academic library.

The investigation was build around the concepts knowledge management, learning organisations and Communities of Practice and the interrelationship between these concepts. The role Communities of Practice play in the management of knowledge in a learning organisation is investigated as well as the development stages in the implementation of Communities of Practice to support knowledge management. This is followed by an investigation of the factors critical to the success of Communities of Practice in a learning organisation.

The investigation consisted of a literature study to help define the key concepts and to lay a framework for the research design, and is followed by an empirical study where interviews were held with some of the staff members of the Academic Information Service (AIS) of the University of Pretoria, South Africa.

In conclusion it was found that the AIS was considered a learning organisation and that the AIS was in the beginning stages of knowledge management. A number of Communities of Practice in the AIS were identified that existed internally and externally, and the small number of internal Communities of Practice in the AIS were linked to

specific inhibiting factors. The study also showed that Communities of Practice can be found in learning organisations, and that learning organisations are characterised by knowledge management. Knowledge managed through Communities of Practice was also shown to help in the development of learning organisations. Communities of Practice in the AIS were shown to be in the beginning stages of development. The role of management, incentives and rewards for participation, information technology/tools, attention to newcomers, knowledge capturing/sharing techniques, trust and a proper knowledge management framework were shown to be essential for the success of Communities of Practice in the AIS.

Key terms

Academic library, Academic Information Service, Communities of Practice, knowledge management, learning organisation, tacit knowledge, explicit knowledge, cultural knowledge, organisational knowledge.

OPSOMMING

“Communities of Practice” is met groot welslae deur organisasies in die besigheids- en vervaardigingsektore gebruik om te help met die bestuur van hulle kennis. Daar is egter nog nie veel navorsing gedoen oor die toepassing daarvan in lerende organisasies soos akademiese biblioteke nie. Die doel van hierdie studie was dus om te bepaal hoe kennis bestuur kan word met behulp van “Communities of Practice” in ‘n lerende organisasie soos ‘n akademiese biblioteek. Die ondersoek het gewentel rondom die konsepte kennisbestuur, lerende organisasies en “Communities of Practice”, asook die interverwantskap tussen hierdie konsepte. Die rol wat “Communities of Practice” speel in die bestuur van kennis in ‘n lerende organisasie is ondersoek, sowel as die ontwikkelingsfases in die implementering van “Communities of Practice” ter ondersteuning van kennisbestuur. Dit is opgevolg deur ‘n ondersoek na die faktore wat krities is vir die sukses van “Communities of Practice” in ‘n lerende organisasie.

Die ondersoek het bestaan uit literatuurstudie om die sleutelkonsepte te help definieer en om ‘n raamwerk daar te stel vir die navorsing, wat weer opgevolg is deur ‘n empiriese studie waar onderhoude met enkele personeellede van die Akademiese Inligtingsdiens (AI) van die Universiteit van Pretoria, Suid-Afrika gevoer is.

In die slotsom tot hierdie studie is bevind dat die AI beskou word as ‘n lerende organisasie en dat die AI hom in die beginfases van kennisbestuur bevind. ‘n Aantal “Communities of Practice” in die AI wat intern en ekstern bestaan is geïdentifiseer, en die klein getal interne “Communities of Practice” in die AI is toegeskryf aan sekere remmende faktore soos afwesige bestuur, probleme met inligtingstechnologie ensomeer. Die studie het ook gewys dat “Communities of Practice” gevind kan word in lerende organisasies, en dat lerende organisasies gekenmerk word deur kennisbestuur. Die studie het verder getoon dat kennis wat met behulp van “Communities of Practice” bestuur word kan lei tot die ontwikkeling van lerende organisasies. Daar is ook bevind dat die “Communities of Practice” in die AI in die beginstadiums van ontwikkeling is. Die rol van bestuur, insentiewe en belonings vir deelname, inligtingstechnologie/-gereedskap, aandag aan nuwelinge, kennisvaslegging/-delingtegnieke, vertroue en ‘n behoorlike kennisbestuursraamwerk is uitgewys as essensieel vir die sukses van “Communities of Practice” in die AI.

Steutelterm

Akademiese biblioteek, Akademiese Inligtingsdiens, Communities of Practice, kennisbestuur, lerende organisasie, versweë kennis, eksplisiete kennis, kulturele kennis, organisatoriese kennis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	page
Acknowledgements	ii
Editing proclamation	iii
Summary	iv
Opsomming	vi
List of Figures	xvi
List of Tables	xvi
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Context of the Research Problem	1
1.2 Problem statement	2
1.3 Demarcation of the study	3
1.4 Research method	3
1.4.1 Literature study	4
1.4.2 Case study	5
1.5 Foreseen benefits of the study	6
1.6 Terminology	7
1.6.1 Explanation of concepts	7
1.6.2 Abbreviations	9
1.7 Exposition of chapters	10
1.8 Summary	12
CHAPTER 2: KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT	
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 Knowledge	14
2.2.1 Perspectives on knowledge	14
2.2.1.1 Knowledge as an object	14
2.2.1.2 A representationalistic view on knowledge	15
2.2.1.3 Knowledge embedded in individuals	16
2.2.1.4 Knowledge embedded in Communities	17
2.2.2 Definition of knowledge	18
2.2.3 Knowledge work	19
2.2.4 Types of knowledge	20
	vii

2.2.4.1	Tacit knowledge	20
2.2.4.2	Explicit knowledge	21
2.2.4.3	Organisational knowledge	21
2.2.4.4	Cultural knowledge	22
2.2.5	Knowledge processes	23
2.2.5.1	Knowledge creation	23
2.2.5.2	Knowledge flow/transfer	24
2.2.5.3	Knowledge capture	25
2.3	Definition of knowledge management	27
2.4	Knowledge management as a discipline	29
2.5	The purpose and value of knowledge management	30
2.6	Knowledge management models	31
2.6.1	The SECI Model	32
2.6.1.1	Socialisation: Tacit-to-Tacit	32
2.6.1.2	Externalisation: Tacit to Explicit	34
2.6.1.3	Combination: Explicit-to-Explicit	34
2.6.1.4	Internalisation: Explicit to Tacit	34
2.6.2	The Cynefin Model	35
2.7	The background to knowledge management	39
2.7.1	Different perspectives on knowledge management	39
2.7.2	The drivers of knowledge management	40
2.7.2.1	Globalisation of business	40
2.7.2.2	Sophisticated customers	40
2.7.2.3	Sophisticated competitors	40
2.7.2.4	Sophisticated suppliers	41
2.7.2.5	Ubiquitous computing and increased technological capabilities	41
2.7.2.6	Knowledge centric view of the organisation	41
2.7.2.7	Bottlenecks in enterprise effectiveness	42
2.7.2.8	Understanding of human cognitive functions	42
2.7.3	The knowledge management stages	42
2.7.3.1	First stage: Information for decision support	42
2.7.3.2	Second stage: Nonaka’s SECI Model	43
2.7.3.3	Third stage: Complicated-complex and chaotic	44
2.7.4	Interdisciplinary character of knowledge management	45

2.7.4.1	Economics	46
2.7.4.2	Business theory	46
2.7.4.3	The rationalization of work (Taylorism)	46
2.7.4.4	Total Quality Management (TQM)	46
2.7.4.5	The cognitive sciences	46
2.7.4.6	Artificial intelligence (AI)	47
2.7.4.7	Cybrary and Information Sciences	47
2.7.4.8	Knowledge engineering	47
2.7.4.9	Ergonomics	47
2.7.4.10	Sociology	47
2.7.4.11	Philosophy and religion	48
2.7.4.12	Psychology	48
2.8	Summary	48
CHAPTER 3: LEARNING ORGANISATIONS		
3.1	Introduction	51
3.2	Learning	52
3.2.1	Definition of learning	52
3.2.2	Types of learning and its application in organisational settings	53
3.2.2.1	Single-loop learning	53
3.2.2.2	Double-loop learning	54
3.2.2.3	Deutero-learning (Second-order learning)	54
3.2.3	Learning in the context of lived experience and participation in the world	55
3.2.3.1	Learning is inherent in human nature	56
3.2.3.2	Learning is fundamentally social	56
3.2.3.3	Learning changes who we are	56
3.2.3.4	Learning is a matter of engagement in practice	57
3.2.3.5	Learning reflects our participation in Communities of Practice	57
3.2.3.6	Learning means dealing with boundaries	57
3.2.3.7	Learning is an interplay between the local and the global	58
3.3	The learning organisation concept	58
3.3.1	Definition of the learning organisation concept	58

3.3.2	The background to the learning organisation	59
3.3.3	Disciplines and learning organisations	61
3.3.3.1	Personal mastery	62
3.3.3.2	Building shared visions	63
3.3.3.3	Mental models	64
3.3.3.4	Team learning	65
3.3.3.5	Systems thinking	66
3.3.4	Characteristics of a learning organisation	66
3.3.4.1	Learning dynamics	67
3.3.4.2	Organisation transformation	67
3.3.4.3	People empowerment	68
3.3.4.4	Knowledge management	69
3.3.4.5	Technology enhancement	70
3.4	Developing a learning organisation	70
3.4.1	Processes that result in a learning organisation	70
3.4.1.1	Acquisition of knowledge and insight	71
3.4.1.2	Information distribution	71
3.4.1.3	Information interpretation	73
3.4.1.4	Development of organisational memory	73
3.4.2	Stages in the development of a learning organisation	74
3.4.2.1	Stage 1 – Honeymoon Stage	74
3.4.2.2	Stage 2 – The Conflict Stage	76
3.4.2.3	Stage 3 – The Confusion Stage	77
3.4.2.4	Stage 4 – The Messy Stage	77
3.4.2.5	Stage 5 – The Scary Stage	78
3.4.2.6	Stage 6 – The Mature Group Stage	79
3.5	Academic libraries as learning organisations	80
3.6	The relationship between knowledge management and learning organisations	81
3.7	Summary	84
CHAPTER 4: COMMUNITIES OF PRACTICE		
4.1	Introduction	86
4.2	The origin of Communities of Practice	87
4.3	Definitions and characteristics of Communities of Practice	90

4.3.1	Definitions of Communities of Practice	90
4.3.2	Characteristics of Communities of Practice	92
4.3.3	The relationship between teams, Communities of Interest and Communities of Practice	95
4.4	The value of Communities of Practice	97
4.5	Developing a Community of Practice	99
4.5.1	Techniques to inspire participation in Communities of Practice ..	99
4.5.2	Life cycle of a Community of Practice	99
4.6	Interactions within a Community of Practice	105
4.7	Critical success factors	106
4.7.1	Management challenges	107
4.7.1.1	Focus on knowledge important to both the business and the community	107
4.7.1.2	Find a well-respected community member to coordinate the community	107
4.7.1.3	Make sure people have time and encouragement to participate	108
4.7.1.4	Build on the core values of the organization	108
4.7.2	Community challenges	108
4.7.2.1	Get the key thought leaders involved	108
4.7.2.2	Create forums for thinking	109
4.7.2.3	Maintain personal contact among community members	109
4.7.2.4	Develop an active, passionate core group	109
4.7.3	Technical challenge	109
4.7.4	Personal challenge	110
4.8	Sharing/transferring knowledge in Communities of Practice	110
4.8.1	Techniques used within Communities of Practice for sharing or capturing of knowledge	110
4.8.1.1	Role-play/Simulations	111
4.8.1.2	Knowledge mapping	112
4.8.1.3	Storytelling	113
4.8.2	Factors influencing knowledge transfer in Communities of Practice	114
4.8.2.1	Trust	115

4.8.2.2	Space and time to connect	115
4.8.2.3	People who make the Communities of Practice work	115
4.9	Communities of Practice and the management of knowledge in learning organisations	117
4.9.1	The relationship between Communities of Practice and learning organisations	117
4.9.2	Managing knowledge through Communities of Practice	119
4.9.3	Managing knowledge through Communities of Practice in academic libraries as learning organisations	121
4.9.4	Role of the information professional in a Community of Practice	122
4.9.4.1	The information professional and organisational knowledge	122
4.9.4.2	The role of the information professional in the different stages of the life cycle of a Community of Practice	123
4.9.4.3	The role of the information professional in internal and external Communities of Practice in academic libraries	126
4.10	Summary	127

CHAPTER 5: CASE STUDY: COMMUNITIES OF PRACTICE AS A TECHNIQUE FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF KNOWLEDGE IN THE ACADEMIC INFORMATION SERVICE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

5.1	Introduction	130
5.2	Research methodology used to acquire findings	130
5.3	An overview of questions dealt with during the interviews	131
5.4	Background information on the Academic Information Service, University of Pretoria	132
5.5	Profiles of respondents	135
5.6	Findings	137
5.6.1	What would you describe as knowledge management?	137
5.6.2	Would you say the AIS practices knowledge management?	138
5.6.3	Would you describe the AIS as a learning organisation? If so, why?	140

5.6.4	Do you belong to a Community of Practice and which of the Communities you belong to are cross-organisational?	141
5.6.5	How did these Communities of Practice start and develop?	143
5.6.6	How many members do each of the Communities of Practice mentioned by the respondents have?	144
5.6.7	Who leads these Communities of Practice?	145
5.6.8	How long have these Communities of Practice been in existence?	147
5.6.9	How many times do the Communities of Practice meet?	148
5.6.10	In which stages of development would you say these Communities of Practice find themselves?	149
5.6.11	What would you say is the purpose/value of the Communities of Practice to which you belong?	150
5.6.12	What types of knowledge are shared in these Communities of Practice?	153
5.6.13	What techniques and tools (technology) are utilised by the Communities of Practice for the capturing, organisation and Sharing/transfer of knowledge created in them?	154
5.6.14	How is ongoing participation in these Communities of Practice ensured?	156
5.6.15	How long would you say these Communities of Practice are still going to last?	158
5.6.16	Are you rewarded for belonging to Communities of Practice? If yes, how?	160
5.6.17	Does top management support Communities of Practice?	162
5.6.18	How do you as information/knowledge professional win the trust of the other members of Communities of Practice?	163
5.6.19	What do you do when a newcomer joins the Community of Practice?	165
5.6.20	What do you regard as critical/important factors for the success of the Communities of Practice to which you belong? ..	166
5.6.21	What will you do if these Communities of Practice are no longer viable?	167
5.6.22	Do you envisage new Communities of Practice that are in the process of emerging?	168

5.7	Summary	169
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
6.1	Introduction	173
6.2	What is meant with the concepts knowledge management, learning organisation and Communities of Practice?	173
6.2.1	The concept of knowledge management	173
6.2.2	The concept of the learning organisation	174
6.2.3	The concept of Communities of Practice	175
6.3	What interrelationship exists between the knowledge management, learning organisation and Communities of Practice concepts?	176
6.4	What role does Communities of Practice play in the management of knowledge in a learning organisation?	177
6.5	What are the development stages in the implementation of Communities of Practice to support knowledge management?	178
6.6	What are the critical factors for the success of Communities of Practice in the management of knowledge in a learning organisation?	179
6.7	Recommendations	180
6.8	Suggestions for further research	181
6.9	Concluding remarks	181
	Bibliography	183

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1:	SECI Model	32
Figure 2.2:	The Cynefin Model	37
Figure 4.1:	Life cycle of a Community of Practice	101
Figure 4.2:	Ecology of interactions in a Community of Practice	106
Figure 5.1:	Academic Information Service, University of Pretoria	134

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1:	Difference between the traditional library and library as learning organisation	81
Table 4.1:	Characteristics of teams, Communities of Interest and Communities of Practice	96
Table 4.2:	Stage 1, Potential	102
Table 4.3:	Stage 2, Formation	103
Table 4.4:	Stage 3, Commitment	103
Table 4.5:	Stage 4, Active	104
Table 4.6:	Stage 5, Scenario 1 – Adaptive	104
Table 4.7:	Stage 5, Scenario 2 – Disengage and disperse	105
Table 4.8:	Development Stages	119
Table 4.9:	Actions of information specialist to represent and codify organisational knowledge	123
Table 4.10:	Stage 1, Potential	124
Table 4.11:	Stage 2, Formation	124
Table 4.12:	Stage 3, Commitment	124
Table 4.13:	Stage 4, Active	125
Table 4.14:	Stage 5, Scenario 1 – Adaptive	125
Table 4.15:	Stage 5, Scenario 2 – Disengage and Disperse	125
Table 5.1:	Respondent’s answers to question ‘what would you describe as knowledge management?’	137
Table 5.2:	Respondent’s answers to question ‘would you say the AIS Practices knowledge management?’	139

Table 5.3:	Respondent’s answers to question ‘would you describe the AIS as a learning organisation, and, if so, why?’	140
Table 5.4:	Respondent’s answers to question ‘do you belong to a Community of Practice and which of the Communities you belong to are cross-organisational?’	142
Table 5.5:	Respondent’s answers to question ‘how did these Communities of Practice start and develop?’	143
Table 5.6:	Respondent’s answers to question ‘how many members do each of the Communities of Practice mentioned by the respondents have?’	145
Table 5.7:	Respondent’s answers to question ‘who leads these Communities of Practice?’	146
Table 5.8:	Respondent’s answers to question ‘how long have these Communities of Practice been in existence?’	147
Table 5.9:	Respondent’s answers to question ‘how many times does the Communities of Practice meet?’	148
Table 5.10:	Respondent’s answers to question ‘in which stages of development would you say these Communities find themselves?’	150
Table 5.11:	Respondent’s answers to question ‘what would you say is the Purpose/value of the Communities of Practice to which you belong?’	151
Table 5.12:	Respondent’s answers to question ‘what types of knowledge are shared in these Communities of Practice?’	153
Table 5.13:	Respondent’s answers to question ‘what techniques and tools (technology) are utilised by the Communities of Practice for capturing, organisation and sharing/transfer of knowledge created in them?’	155
Table 5.14:	Respondent’s answers to question ‘how is ongoing participation in these Communities of Practice ensured?’	157
Table 5.15:	Respondent’s answers to question ‘how long would you say these Communities of Practice are still going to last?’	159
Table 5.16:	Respondent’s answers to question ‘are you rewarded for belonging to Communities of Practice, and, if yes, how?’	161

Table 5.17: Respondent's answers to question 'are Communities of Practice supported by Top Management?'	162
Table 5.18: Respondent's answers to question 'how do you as information/knowledge professional win the trust of the other members of Communities of Practice?'	164
Table 5.19: Respondent's answers to question 'what do you do when a newcomer joins the Community of Practice?'	165
Table 5.20: Respondent's answers to question 'what do you regard as critical/important factors for the success of the Community of Practice to which you belong?'	166
Table 5.21: Respondent's answers to question 'what will you do if these Communities of Practice are no longer viable?'	167
Table 5.22: Respondent's answers to question 'do you envisage new Communities of Practice that are in the process of emerging?'	168