

9. References

1. Jewkes RK. Quality improvement in health service – time for an appropriate managerial technology. *S Afr Med J* 1995; **85**: 985-986.
2. Omaswa F, Burnham G, Baingana G, Mwebesa H, Morrow R. Introducing quality management into primary health care services in Uganda. *Bull World Health Organization* 1997; **75**: 155-161.
3. Doyle V, Haran D. Making quality count. *Health Action* 1996; **15**: 4-5.
4. Donabedian A. The quality of care: how can it be assessed? *JAMA* 1988; **260**: 1743-1748.
5. Carr-Hill RA. The measurement of patient satisfaction. *J Public Health Med* 1992; **14**: 236-249.
6. Sitzia J, Wood N. Patient satisfaction: a review of issues and concepts. *Soc Sci Med* 1997; **45**: 1829-1843.
7. Fitzpatrick R. Surveys of patient satisfaction: I – Important general considerations. *BMJ* 1991; **302**: 887-889.
8. Larsen DE, Rootman R. Physicians' role performance and patient satisfaction. *Soc Sci Med* 1976; **10**: 29-32.
9. Kincey JA, Bradshaw PW, Ley P. Patient satisfaction and reported acceptance of advice in general practice. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1975; **25**: 558-566.
10. Baker R. Development of a questionnaire to assess patients' satisfaction with consultants in general practice. *Brit J Gen Pract* 1990; **40**: 487-490.
11. American Diabetes Association: Clinical Practice Recommendations 2000 ; **23**(Supplement 1): S1
12. Levitt NS, Bradshaw D, Zwarenstein MF, Bawa AA, Maphumolo S. Audit of public sector primary diabetes care in Cape Town, South

and hypertension. *Diabetic Medicine* 1997; **14**: 1073-1077.

13. Levitt NS, Zwarenstein MF, Doepfmer S, Bawa AA, Katzenellenbogen J, Bradshaw D. Public sector primary care of diabetics – a record review of quality of care in Cape Town. *S Afr Med J* 1996; **86**: 1013-1017.
14. Goodman GR, Zwarenstein MF, Robinson LI, Levitt NS. Staff knowledge, attitudes and practices in public sector primary care of diabetes in Cape Town. *S Afr Med J* 1997; **87**: 305-309.
15. Raal FJ, Taylor DR, Joffe BI, Seftel HC. Comprehensive management of non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus: a diabetes clinic revisited. *S Afr Med J* 1996; **86**: 1007-1013.
16. Anderson RM, Fitzgerald JT, Funnell MM, Gruppen LD. The third version of the diabetes attitude scale. *Diabetes Care* 1998; **21**: 1403-1407.
17. Nunnally JC. *Psychometric theory* 2nd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1978, 245.
18. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, McKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chron Dis* 1987; **40**: 373-383.
19. Stewart AL, Hays RD, Ware JE. The MOS Short-Form General Health Survey: reliability and validity in a patient population. *Med Care* 1988; **26**: 724-735.
20. Macran S, Clarke L, Joshi H. Women's health: dimensions and differentials. *Soc Sci Med* 1996; **42**: 1203-1216.
21. MacIntyre S, Hunt K, Sweeting H. Gender differences in health: are things really as simple as they seem? *Soc Sci Med* 1996; **42**: 617-624.

-  UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
UNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA
22. Gavard JA, Lustman PJ, Giuse RE. Prevalence of depression in adults with diabetes: an epidemiological evaluation. *Diabetes Care* 1993; **16**: 1167-1178
23. Van der Does FE, De Neeling JN, Snoek FJ, Grootenhuis PA, Kostense PJ, Bouter LM, Heine RJ. Randomized study of two different target levels of glycemic control within the acceptable range in type 2 diabetes. Effects on well-being at 1 year. *Diabetes Care* 1998; **21(12)**: 2085-93
24. Snoek FJ. Barriers to good glycaemic control: the patient's perspective. *International Journal of Obesity & Related Metabolic Disorders: Journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity*. 2000; **24(Suppl 3)**: S12-20
25. Holmstrom I, Jonsson AC, Rosenqvist U. Understanding the job in a new way—a basis for development of competence in diabetes care. *Upsala Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2000; **105(2)**: 161-9
26. Redekop WK, Koopmanschap MA, Stolk RP, Rutten GEHM, Wolffenbuttel BHR, Niessen LW. Health-Related Quality of Life and Treatment Satisfaction in Dutch Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2002; **25(3)**: 458-463
27. Parker M. Sample size and statistical power of randomised controlled trials in Orthopaedics. *Jnl of Bone and Joint Surgery*. 2001; **83(B-8)**: 1210
28. Slade M, Priebe S. Are randomised controlled trials the only gold that glitters? *British Journal of Psychiatry* 2001; **179**: 286
29. Ogundipe LO, Boardman AP, Masterson A. Randomisation in clinical trials. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 1999; **175**: 581-584

30. McKee M, Britton A, Black N, MacIntosh K, Canderson C, Bain C. Interpreting the evidence: choosing between randomised and non-randomised studies. *BMJ* 1999; **319**: 312-5
31. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. *Report of the National Commission on Diabetes to the Congress of the United States*. Volume 3, part 5. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office (NIH publication 76-1024), 1975, 5-13.

Drop Prof/Dra....., Tel:....., Email:....., Fax:.....

THE NATURE AND PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY

I understand that I am being asked to take part in a research study. The overall aim of this study is to investigate the effect of a medical educational intervention on attitudes, practice and patient satisfaction.

EXPLANATION OF PROCEDURES TO BE FOLLOWED

In this study we would like you to complete the Diabetes Attitude Scale (DAS-3) and the Diabetes Practice Scale (DPS).

3. RISKS AND DISCOMFORT INVOLVED

There is no risk and discomfort involved in this study.

4. POSSIBLE BENEFITS OF THIS STUDY

The study will provide a better understanding of the concerns and problems faced by health personnel and diabetic patients and guidance for clinicians and policymakers for improving the quality of health care.

5. INFORMATION

If you have any questions concerning this study, you should contact Dr Helen Coetzee (Tel: (012) 324 2354 of the Department Internal Medicine Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria.