

SEPTUAGINT QUOTATIONS IN THE CONTEXT OF THE PETRINE AND PAULINE SPEECHES OF THE ACTA APOSTOLORUM

by Gert Jacobus Steyn

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor Divinitatis
in the Faculty of Theology
(Section B)
Department of New Testament
University of Pretoria
PRETORIA

DECEMBER 1993

Promoter: Prof.Dr. Cilliers Breytenbach

PREFACE

This thesis is the intertextual product of several people who have influenced and inspired me. Academical, theological or personal, all of them have, however, contributed to my own understanding and interpretation of, as well as my research and interest in "the Scriptures". To all these teachers, lecturers, colleques, friends and family I owe a lot of gratitude. Without their contibutions this work would not have been possible.

Especially the following persons were involved during the years in a supportive manner on an academic and personal level to shape my mind, to share their resources, and to support me emotionally. Without that expertise and support it would have been impossible to produce this specific study. Those who deserve a special word of thanks, are the following:

- (a) At the University of Pretoria, I am grateful to all the lecturers of not only the Department of Semitic Languages, but also both the Department of Greek and the Faculty of Theology under whom I was able to study from 1981 to 1987. Especially Proff.Drr. J.P. Louw and A.B. Du Toit who inspired me in the study of hellenistic Greek, and tried to teach me the ability to work responsibly with primary texts. The personal help, devotion and interest of the staff at the Faculty of Theology, is immeasurable.
- (b) At the University of South Africa I owe a lot of gratitude to all the lecturers and colleques of the Department of New Testament. Especially Proff.Drr. J.H. Roberts and I.J. Du Plessis, whom I assisted from 1987 to 1990, and who have contributed a great deal to my knowledge of the methodology and science of the New Testament. I am also thankful to Prof.Dr. F.E. Deist of the Department of Old Testament (now at the University of Stellenbosch) and Prof.Dr. C. Botha of the Department of Church History who helped a lot during the preliminary stages of this study, and their willingness to guide me during my doctoral examinations.
- (c) At the Department of Semitic Languages of the University of Stellenbosch, Prof. J. Cook was of immense help from 1987 onwards in finding myself within the study of the LXX. His personal interest and friendship was, and still is, a great inspiration.
- (d) Prof.Dr. D.-A. Koch of the "Neutestamentliches Seminar" at the "Evangelisch-Theologische Fakultät" must be thanked here as being an additional "Doktorvater" during the birth stages of the thesis and my research in Germany in the academic year 1990/91 at the Westfälische-Wilhelms-Universität in Münster. The realization of this thesis in its present form, would have been completely impossible without the willingness to accept me and guide me with his expertise, advice, help and experience. This support has streched far beyond the research itself. Also the help of Herr. Thomas Lehnhart of the "Institutum Judaicum Delitzianum", as well as that of Dr. P. Pilhofer, will be remembered.
- (e) For their willingness to discuss some aspects of the work, I want to thank especially Prof.Dr. Robert Hanhart (Göttingen), Prof.Dr. Ulrich Busse (Essen), Prof.Dr. Barbara Aland (Münster), Prof.Dr. Martin Rese (Münster), Prof.Dr. Hans Hübner (Göttingen), Prof.Dr. C.K. Barrett (Durham), and Dr. E. Plümacher (Berlin). Ich möchte mich wirklich ganz herzlich bei Ihnen alle bedanken für Ihre Zeit, Hilfe, Rat, Vorschläge, Interesse und freundliche Betreuung während unseres Aufenthaltes in Deutschland. Insufficient substantiation and weak argumentation should, however, not be taken as a reflection on them, but rather be attributed to the author.
- (f) The man who has helped with all the planning and co-ordination from 1987 onwards, and who was personally responsible for my further specialization in this field, is my 'Doktorvater' Prof.Dr. Cilliers Breytenbach extra-ordinary Professor at the University of Pretoria and Professor at the Humboldt Universität in Berlin. His inspiration, motivation, academic guidance and high standard through the years, combined with his intense interest in this subject and his loyalty as a friend, have contributed to my own devotion to this comparative study between Acts and 'the' LXX.
 - (g) Prof.Dr. H.F. Stander of the Department of Greek for the use of the Ibycus computer.
- (h) Without the help of Ms. Thea Heckroodt, subject librarian at the University of Pretoria, in order to attain lists of books and articles via the post, it would have taken me longer to complete this study.
- (i) Ms. Joan Sudworth of the Department of Classics at the University of Natal (Pietermaritzburg), and the Faculty of Theology at the University of Pretoria, for the use of their laser printers.



(j) The librarian of the Federal Theological Seminary of Southern Africa, Ms. Lynn Jacob, for help in reading through the whole manuscript and improving on my language and style.

(k) Elite Printers who has generously offered their services to duplicate and bind the first set of

copies.

The support of my parents and family, and all the sacrifices they have made to live with a son, husband and father who could spend only his spare moments with them, as well as their willingness to live and travel with much inconvenience between the northern and southern hemispheres of this planet, has contributed to the completion of this work.

Johannesburg December, 1993

Acknowledgement is hereby given to the Human Sciences Research Coucil for a research grant for overseas study. The opinions and results in this study is, however, that of the author and not necessarily shared by the grantee.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION I: INTRODUCTION CHAPTER 1	
1. INTRODUCTION	
2. THE FOCUS OF THIS STUDY	
3. RESEARCH HISTORY	
3.1 Identification of quotations	
3.2 Introductory formulae	
3.3 The origin and Textrorage of the quotations	
3.3.1 The character of the Textvorlage which was used	8
3.3.2 Text-theories about the availability of OT	
material	13
(a) Testimonics and/or Florilegia	13
(b) Bible of the apostles / of the early church	15
(c) Targumim	15
(d) Jewish-Hellenistic and Liturgical-homilies	
(c) Semitic sources translated	
(f) Quotation from memory	19
3.4 Categorization, classification and modes of	
quotation	19
3.5 Function and interpretation of the quotations.	
CHAPTER 2	
IDENTIFICATION AND DISTRIBUTION OF EXPLICIT QUOTATIONS	
1. LUKE'S USE OF SOURCES	27
2. THE SPEECHES	
3. IDENTIFICATION OF EXPLICIT LXX QUOTATIONS IN ACTS	
3.1 Identification	
3.1.1 Introductory formulae	
3.1.2 Explicit quotations	33
4. OCCURRENCES AND DISTRIBUTION OF EXPLICIT LXX QUOTATIONS	
IN ACTS	
5. DIRECT PHRASES WITHOUT INTRODUCTORY FORMULAE	
6. THE PROBLEM, THESES AND PURPOSE OF THIS INVESTIGATION	
6.1 The text-historical aspect of the problem	
6.1.1 The peculiar and problematic nature of "the" LXX	37

Contents



(a) A Text theory of a "Diversity of texts" (b) Other prominent characteristics	37
	39
SECTION II: ANALYSIS. THE PETRINE SPEECHES	
CHAPTER 3 PETER'S FIRST SPEECH (Acts 1:16-22)	
1. THE BROADER CONTEXT AND BACKGROUND	41
2. OVERVIEW OF THE TEXT OF THE SPEECH	43
3. SECTION I. ACTS 1:16-20c	
The death of Judas as fulfilment of the Scripture	
& the quotation from Ps 68(69):26.	46
3.1 The composition of the section	46
3.1.1 Subsection 1: The Scripture had to be fulfilled	
— The manner of the announcement — (Ac 1:16+20)	
3.1.2 Subsection 2: The death of Judas (Ac 1:16-19)	
3.1.3 Subsection 3: Contents of the Scripture (Ac 1:20)	
3.2 The quoted text of Ps 68(69):26 in Ac 1:20b-c	
3.2.1 Other occurrences of Ps (8(69)	
3.2.2 The introductory formula (Ac 1:20a)	50
3.2.3 Determining and explaining the textual differences	
Textual differences between Ac and LXX	51
(a) Addition: ἐν αὐτῆ (Ac 1:20c)	52
(b) Phrase omission:	
έν τοῖς σκηνώμασιν αὐτῶν (Ps 68(69):26)	52
(c) Pronoun change: αὐτῶν —> αὐτοῦ (Ac 1:20b)	52
(d) Participle changed to an adjective:	
ήρημωμένη —> ἔρημος (Ac 1:20b)	
3.2.4 Method of quotation	
3.2.5 Interpretation of the quoted text by Luke	54
3.3 Possible broader knowledge of Ps 68 (LXX) in the rest	
of the section (Language, Style and OT-motifs)	56
4. SECTION II. ACTS 1:20d-22. Command to choose another	
witness in the place of Judas	
4.1 The composition of the section	56
4.2 The quoted text of Ps 108(109):8 in Ac 1:20d	
4.2.1 Pre-Lukan NT-usage of Ps 108(109)	
4.2.2 The introductory formula (Ac 1:20a)	
4.2.3 Determining and explaining the textual differences	58
(a) Textual differences between Ac and LXX	59



a.1 Time of the verb changed:	
λάβοι (Ps 108:8) —- > λαβέτω (Ac 1:20d)	59
4.2,4 Method of quotation	
4.2.4 Interpretation of the quoted text by Luke	
5. THE ROLE OF THE LXX IN THE COMPOSITION OF THE SPEECH	6
	6
CHAPTER 4	
PETER'S SECOND SPEECH (Acts 2:14-41)	
1, THE BROADER CONTEXT AND BACKGROUND	9
2. OVERVIEW OF THE TEXT OF THE SPEECH	60
2.1 Division of the First Petrine Speech	6
3. SECTION I, ACTS 2:14b-21. Beginning of the last days & the	
quotation from Jl 2:28-32(3:1-5)	70
3.1 Composition of the section	70
3.2 The quoted text from J1 2:28-32(3:1-5) (Ac 2:17-21)	7
3.2.1 Pre-Lukan occurrence of Jl 2:32 (3:5) in Rm 10:13	7
3.2.2 The introductory formula (Ac 2:16)	
3.2.3 Determining and explaining the textual differences	74
(a) Textual differences between MT and LXX	7
(b) Textual differences between Ac and LXX	
b.1 Additions	
[1] λέγει ὁ θεός (Αc 2:17)	7
[2] (KOI) ye (Ac 2:18)	78
[3] and [4] μου (2X in Ac 2:18)	80
[5] καὶ προφητεύσουσιν (Ac 2:18)	81
[6] and [7] ἄνω(κότω) (Ac 2:19)	82
[8] σημεῖα (Ας 2:19)	83
b.2 Substitution: [9] μετὰ ταῦτα (LXX)>	
ἐν ταῖς ἐσχάταις ἡμέραις (Ac 2:17)	84
b.3 Transposition	
[10] καὶ οἱ ςεανίσκοι ὑμῶν ὀράσεις ὄψονται (Ας 2:17)	86
b.4 Case change	
[11] ἐνυπν <u>ία</u> —> ἐνυπν <u>ίοις</u> (Ac 2:17)	
b.5 Quotation-ending	
3.2.4 Method of quotation	
3.2.5 Interpretation of the quotation by Luke	89
3.3 Possible broader knowledge of JI (and LXX) in the rest of	
the section (Language, Style and OT-motifs)	
3.4 Conclusions	95
4. SECTION II. ACTS 2:22-28	
Jesus' resurrection & the quotation from Ps 15(16):8-11.	97



4.1 Composition of the section	
4.2 The quoted text from Ps 15(16):8-11 (Ac 2:25-28)	
4.2.1 Intra-textual occurrence in Ac 13:35	
4.2.2 The introductory formula (Ac 2:25)	
4.2.3 Determining and explaining the textual differences	
(a) Textual differences between MT and LXX	
(b) Textual differences between Ac and LXX	
4.25 Interpretation of the quotation by Luke	105
4.3 Possible broader knowledge of Ps 15(16) in the rest of	
the section (Language, Style and OT-motifs)	107
5. SECTION III. ACTS 2:29-36	
Jesus' exaltation & the quotation from Ps 109(110):1	
5.1 Composition of the section	
5.2 The quotation from Ps 109(110):1 (Ac 2:34-35)	
5.2.1 Other occurrences	
5.2.2 The introductory formula (Ac 2:34)	113
5.2.3 Determining and explaining the textual differences	
(a) Textual differences between the MT and LXX	
(b) Textual differences between Ac and the LXX	
5.2.4 Method of quotation	
5.2.5 Interpretation of the quoted text by Luke	115
5.3 Possible broader knowledge of Ps 109(110) in the rest of	
the section (Language, Style and OT-motifs)	119
6. SECTION IV. ACTS 2:37-41. Reaction of the hearers & appeal to	
conversion and baptism by way of reference to JI 2:32(LXX)	
6.1 Composition of the section	
6.2 Reference to Jl 2:32 (3:5)	
7. THE ROLE OF THE LXX IN THE COMPOSITION OF THE SPEECH	
8. A SUMMARY OF THE MESSAGE OF THE SECOND PETRINE SPEECH	121
CHAPTER 5	
PETER'S THIRD SPEECH (Ads 3:12-26)	
1. BROADER CONTEXT AND BACKGROUND	123
2. OVERVIEW OF THE TEXT OF THE SPEECH	124
3. SECTION 1. ACTS 3:12-16	
Explanation of the healing rooted in Jesus' name	125
4. SECTION II. ACTS 3:17-26	
The fulfillment of Scripture and the appeal to repent	129
4.1 The composition of the section	
4.2 The phrases from Dt 18:15-20 and Lv 23:29 (Ac 3:22-23)	133
(21 Other programmes of these tests	124

Contents



4.2.2 The introductory formula (Ac 3:22a)	135
4.23 Determining and explaining the textual differences	
(a) Textual differences: Ac 3:22 and Dt 18:15-16,19(LXX)	137
a.1 Transposition: ἐκ τῶν ἀδελφῶν (ὑμῶν) ὡς ἐμέ	137
a.2 Pronoun changes and a.3 the transposition of ບໍ່µໃນ	138
a.4 Addition: πρὸς ὑμῶς	139
a.5 Omission of Dt 18:16-19	139
(b) Textual differences: Ac 3:23 and Dt 18:19(LXX)	139
b.1 Addition: ĕσται δέ	140
b.2 Substitution and transposition: τοῦ προφήτου ἐκείνου	140
b.3 Transposition: Δω /έων μη ἀκούση	140
(c) Textual differences: Ac 3:23 and Lv 23:29(LXX)	140
4.2.4 Method of quotation	141
4.2.5 Interpretation of the quotation by Luke	142
4.3 The quoted text from Gn 22:18/26:4 (Ac 3:25)	
4.3.1 Pre-Lukan NT usage of the quotation	145
4.3.2 The introductory formula (Ac 3:25)	145
4.3.3 Determining and explaining the textual differences	146
(a) Textual differences: Ac 3:25 and Gn 22:18/26:4	146
a.1 Transposition: ἐνευλογηθήσονται	146
a.2 Substitution:	
πάντα τὰ ἔθνη (LXX)> πᾶσαι αἰ πατριαί (Ac)	147
4.3.4 Method of quotation	147
4.3.5 Interpretation of the quotation by Luke	148
5. SUMMARY	149
CHAPTER 6	
PAUL'S FIRST SPEECH (Ads 13:16-41/48)	
1. THE BROADER CONTEXT AND BACKGROUND	150
2. OVERVIEW OF THE TEXT OF THE SPEECH	
2.1 Division of the speech	
3. SECTION I. ACTS 13:16-25. Summary of the salvation history of Israel	
and emphasis on God's promise to them	154
4. SECTION II. ACTS 13:26-31. Interpretation of the life of Jesus until	
his resurrection, i.e the message of salvation (ὁ λόγος τῆς σωτηρίος)	157
5. SECTION III. ACTS 13:32-37. Contents of the message (gospel) and	
the three quoted texts	159
5.1 Composition of the section	
5.2 Three quoted texts (Ac 13:33-35): Ps 2:7 - Is 55:3 - Ps 15(16):10	
5.2.1 God has fulfilled his promise: The raising of Jesus and the	
quoted text from Ps 2:7 in Ac 13:34	160
(a) Pre-Lukan occurrences of the quotation.	
for a manage and an	



(b) The introductory formula (Ac 13:33)	160
(c) Determining and explaining the textual differences	
(d) Method of quotation	161
(e) Interpretation of the quotation by Luke	162
(f) Possible broader knowledge of Ps 2 (LXX) in the rest of the	
section (Language, Style and OT-motifs)	166
5.2.2 God has resurrected Jesus from death and the quoted text from	
Is 55:3 (LXX) in Ac 13:34	160
(a) Pre-Lukan occurrences of the quotation	160
(b) The introductory formula (Ac 13:34)	
(c) Determining and explaining the textual differences	
Textual differences between LXX and Ac	168
(i) Addition: δώσω	
(ii) Omission: διαθήσομαιδιαθήκην αϊώνιον	168
(d) Method of quotation	
(c) Interpretation of the quotation by Luke	
5.2.3 Jesus' body would never decay and the quotation from Ps 15:10(LXX)	
in Ac 13:35	171
(a) Other NT occurrences of the quotation	171
(b) The introductory formula (Ac 13:35)	171
(c) Determining and explaining the textual differences	171
Textual differences between Ac and LXX	
(d) Method of quotation	172
(c) Interpretation of the quotation by Luke	
6. SECTION IV. ACTS 13:38-41. Interpretation of the contents of the	
message & admonition. The quoted text from Hab 1:5	174
6.1 Composition of the section.	174
6.2 The quoted text from Hab 1:5 (LXX) in Ac 13:41	175
6.2.1 Other NT occurrences of the quotation	175
6.2.2 The introductory formula (Ac 13:40)	175
6.2.3 Determining and explaining the textual differences	176
(a) Textual differences between MT and LXX	177
(b) Textual differences: Ac 13:41 and Hab 1:5 (LXX)	177
b.1 Additions: [1] ἔργον; [2] ὑμῖν	178
b.2 Omissions: [1] καὶ ἐπιβλέψατε: [2] θαυμάσια	179
b.3 Substitution: διότι (LXX) —> ὅτι (Ac)	179
b.4 Transposition: ἐγὼ ἐργάζομαι (LXX)> ἐργάζομαι ἐγω (Ac)	179
6.2.4 Method of quotation	180
6.2.5 Interpretation of the quoted text by Luke	181
7. SECTION V. ACTS 13:42-45. Reaction of the hearers	182
8. SECTION VI. ACTS 13:46-48. The message is not only for Jews but also	
for the Gentiles (non-Jews). The quoted text from Is 49:6	
8.1 Composition of the section	
8.2 The quoted text from Is 49:6 (LXX) in Ac 13:47	
8.2.1 Other NT occurrences of the quoted text	184

Contents	-vii
8.2.2 The introductory formula (Ac 13:47)	184
8.23 Determining and explaining the textual differences	185
(a) Textual differences between MT and LXX	
(b) Textual differences: Ac 13:47 and Is 49:6 (LXX)	186
b.1 Omission: ίδού	
8.2.4 Method of quotation	186
8.2.5 Interpretation of the quoted text by Luke	187
9. SOME CONCLUSIONS ON THE USE OF THE EXPLICIT QUOTATIONS IN THE FIRST	•
PAULINE SPEECH.	188
CHAPTER 7 PAUL'S INTERRUPTED SIXTH SPEECH (Acts 22:30-23:9)	
1. THE BROADER CONTEXT AND BACKGROUND	190
2 OVERVIEW OF THE TEXT OF THE SPEECH	
3. SECTION I. ACTS 22:30. Introduction	
4. SECTION I. ACTS 23:1-5	
The irony of Paul's trial and the quotation from Er 22:27	192
4.1 Composition of the section.	
4.2 The quotation from Ex 22:27 (LXX) in Ac 23:5	
4.2.1 Other NT occurrences of the quotation	
4.2.2 The introductory formula (Ac 23:5)	
4.2.3 Determining and explaining the textual differences	
(a) Textual differences between MT and LXX	
(b) Textual differences between LXX and Ac	
b.1 Change in number: ἄρχοντος (LXX) —> ἄρχοντα (Ac)	
b.2 Change in word order:	
οὐ κοκῶς ἐρεῖς (ŁΧΧ) —> οὐκ ἐρεῖς κοκῶς (Ας)	196
4.2.4 Method of quotation	
4.25 Interpretation of the quotation by Luke	
5. SECTION III. ACTS 23:6-9. The resurrection as a controversial issue	
6. SOME CONCLUSIONS ON THE USE OF SCRIPTURE IN THE SIXTH PAULINE	
SPEECH	198
CHAPTER 8 THE NINTH SPEECH OF PAUL (Ads 28:16-28)	
1. THE BROADER CONTEXT AND BACKGROUND	200
2. OVERVIEW OF THE TEXT OF THE SPEECH	
3. SECTION L ACTS 28:16-20	
Paul's conversation with the Jewish leaders.	202

-viii-

Contents

4. SECTION II. ACTS 28:21-22. The reaction of Paul's hearers..... 5. SECTION III. ACTS 28:23-25a, Paul's last effort ________204 6. SECTION IV. ACTS 28:25b-28. The quotation from Is 6:9-10 and the stubbornness of the Jews. Conclusion: God's salvation is sent to the Gentiles _______205 6.1 The quoted text from Is 6:9-10 (LXX) in Ac 28:25-28..... (a) Textual differences between MT and LXX 209 b.2 Substitution and Transposition καὶ εἶπου τῷ λαῶ τούτω (LXX) ---> πρὸς τὸυ λαὸν τοῦτου καὶ εἰπόν (Ας)......211 6.1.4 Method of quotation _______211 7. SOME CONCLUSIONS ON THE USE OF SCRIPTURE IN PAUL'S TENTH SPEECH.............214 SECTION III. SYNTHESIS CHAPTER 9 SYNTHESIS AND CONCLUSION 1. EXPLICIT QUOTATIONS WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE SPEECHES.......216 2. THE TEXT-HISTORICAL ASPECT OF THE PROBLEM......216 3. THE METHODOLOGICAL ASPECT OF THE PROBLEM.......218 4. THE HERMENEUTICAL ASPECT OF THE PROBLEM 222 4.1 Some aspects of Lukan theology within a salvation-historical approach _______224 (a) Regarding the pre-Christian era ______228 (b) Regarding the early Christian era 229 4.1.4 Some eschatological dimension 232 APPENDIX A: TEXTVORLAGE OF THE LXX IN THE ACTA APOSTOLORUM I. DUODECIM PROPHETAE ..233

Contents	-(1
APPENDIX B: BIBLIOGRAPHY	241
SUMMARY	267
OPSOMMING	268

According to Luke, Jesus of Nazareth once said:

...τοῦτο τὸ γεγραμμένον δεῖ τελεσθῆναι ἐν ἐμοί (Lk 22:37)

SUMMARY

Luke's use and interpretation of his "Scriptures" in Acts was investigated on the explicit quotations to be found within the Petrine and Pauline speeches. It became clear that this reflects a threefold problem which could best be described as texthistorical, methodological and hermeneutical in nature.

It was established under the textcritical aspect of the problem, that Luke made use of the scrolls of the Torah, Isaiah, the Twelve Prophets and the Psalms. The reconstructed textreading of the Septuagint Textvorlage which he used for his explicit quotations in the Petrine and Pauline speeches, seldom differed from existing LXX texts. Those specific differences seem to be closer to the existing Hebrew versions. Pre-Lukan knowledge of some of the quotations could be found in other literature from early Judaism (especially in the Dead Sea Scrolls) and early Christianity (Paul, Mark and Hebrews), but convincing evidence was also found that Luke himself may have checked several of these quotations again and changed them when applying them in their new context. Two things pointed in this direction: (a) he sometimes quoted longer passages than those known from former traditions, as was the case in the quoted texts from Joel 2(3) and Psalm 15(16) in the second Petrine speech, and (b) he reflected knowledge of the broader context from which those quoted texts were taken. The origin of the remaining quoted texts from the six speeches which were investigated, do not show sufficient proof to assume knowledge from existing written sources, and could therefore be ascribed to Luke himself.

The investigation on the methodological aspect of the problem has confirmed that Luke's explicit quotations are to be found, almost exclusively, in the speeches. His usage of his Scriptural quotations functioned on two levels: (a) an informative level, which focused on events from the past and which (especially) substantiated the events described in the Jesus-kerygma. They have a strong christological tendency, especially in the missionary speeches. But also (b) a normative level, which focused on the present and future and which is presented in a compelling manner to the current hearers (readers). It has a strong prophetic tendency.

The hermeneutical aspect of the problem made it clear that Luke's understanding of his Scriptures is to be placed within the broader frame of his presentation of the salvation-history. This is done from the perspective of a Theocentric approach, in which God always remains the Subject, while it is being mediated in a pneumatological-prophetical manner by the prophets and fathers of old, and the apostles (here Peter and Paul) of the new age. They are capable and authoritative witnesses who could interpret those Scriptures. The content of the prophecy itself is presented in a kerygmatic form. It deals with specific themes which are coming from their real historical context and which are then related to God's general and universal plan of salvation via the name of the "kurios". The quotations function then within the aspects of the Lukan eschatology, christology and soteriology.



OPSOMMING

Lukas se gebruik en interpretasie van sy "Skrifte" is ondersoek aan die hand van die eksplisiete sitate binne die Petrus- en Paulusredes in Handelinge. Dit het duidelik geword dat hier te make is met 'n drieledige probleem, wat getipeer sou kon word as tekshistories, metodologies en hermeneuties van aard.

Onder die tekskistoriese aspek van die probleem, is vasgestel dat Lukas gebruik gemaak het van die rolle van die Tora, Jesaja, die Twaalf Profete en die Psalms. Die gerekonstrueerde tekslesing van die Septuagint Textvorlage waarvan hy gebruik sou kon gemaak het vir die eksplisiete sitate in die Petrus- en Paulusredes, verskil op weinig plekke van die bestaande LXX tekste. Sommige van die betrokke verskille blyk egter soms wel nader aan die Hebreeuse lesings te wees. Pre-Lukaanse kennis van sommige aanhalings word kon ook gevind word in literatuur van die vroeë Judaïsme (heelwat hiervan in die Dooie See Rolle) asook in literatuur van die vroeë Christendom (Paulus, Markus en Hebreërs). Nogtans kan oortuigende bewyse egter tog aangevoer word dat Lukas sommige van hierdie aanhalings weer gekontroleer en verander het in sy proses van aanpassing vir die nuwe konteks. Twee sake dui in hierdie rigting: (a) hy siteer soms langer passasies as dié wat bekend is uit vroeëre tekstradisies, soos die geval is met die aanhalings van Joel 2(3) en Psalm 15(16) in die tweede Petrusrede, en (b) hy weerspieël kennis van die breër konteks waaruit hierdie gesiteerde tekste geneem is. Die oorsprong van die oorblywende gesiteerde tekste uit die ses redes wat ondersoek is, toon geen oortuigende bewys dat hulle bekend was vanuit bestaande geskrewe bronne nie, en sou daarom aan die hand van Lukas toegeskryf kon word.

Die ondersoek op die metodologiese aspek van die probleem het bevestig dat Lukas se eksplisiete sitate feitlik eksklusief in die redes te vind is. Die gebruik van sy Skrifaanhalings funksioneer op twee vlakke: (a) 'n informatiewe vlak, wat die aandag vestig op gebeure van die verlede en veral die gebeure van die Jesuskerugma beskryf. Hierdie aanhalings het 'n sterk christologiese neiging, veral in die sendingredes. Maar daar is ook 'n (b) normatiewe vlak te onderskei, wat veral fokus op die teenwoordige en toekomstige tyd met 'n sterk appellerende impak op die huidige hoorders (lesers). Dit het 'n sterk profetiese tendens.

Die hermeneutiese aspek van die probleem het dit duidelik gemaak dat Lukas se verstaan van sy Skrifte geplaas behoort te word binne die breër raamwerk van sy aanbieding van die heilsgeskiedenis. Dit word gedoen vanuit die perspektief van 'n Teo-sentriese benadering, waarin God altyd die Subjek bly, terwyl dit bemiddel word op 'n pneumatologies-profetiese wyse deur die profete en vaders van destyds, en die apostels (hier Petrus en Paulus) in die huidige tyd. Hulle is waardige autoritêre getuies wat die Skrifte kan interpreteer. Die inhoud van die profesie self word aangebied in 'n kerugmatiese vorm. Dit handel oor spesifieke temas wat kom uit die reëele konteks en dan verbind word met God se universele heilsplan deur middel van die naam van die "kurios". Die aanhalings funksioneer dus binne die aspekte van die Lukaanse eskatologie, christologie en soteriologie.