

Students' readiness for university education

by

JUAN-CLAUDE LEMMENS

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

PHILOSOPHIAE DOCTOR (PSYCHOLOGY)

in the

FACULTY OF HUMANITIES

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

PRETORIA

SUPERVISOR: DOCTOR GI DU PLESSIS

CO-SUPERVISOR: PROFESSOR DJF MAREE

(AUGUST 2010)

© University of Pretoria



"WE ARE OF DIFFERENT RACES

NOT FOR STRIVE BUT TO WORK

TOGETHER FOR THE COMMON WELFARE"

Cartier, 1865



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To Corné, Juané and Tiaan for being special people in my life. To my wife Corné, thank you for your love and support. It has not gone unnoticed.

To my parents, Heine and Gerrida and Rudie and Itha, thank you for your encouragement and support.

Department of Statistics, specifically Jaqui Sommerville and Gretel Crafford for your continuous guidance with the statistical analyses.

The Merenski library, specifically Hannetjie Boshoff and Bettie de Kock for their support with all my library needs.

The Dean of Economic and Management Sciences for allowing me access to the firstyear students and providing the resources and people to make this research successful.

The Department for Education Innovation for support in administering the questionnaire during the orientation week and providing continuous resources and people to make this research successful.

Doctor du Plessis and Professor Maree for guidance with the research.

In memory of Professor Schoeman who started this journey with us, but could not see it through.

In memory of Oupa Roets who was a motivator and pillar of strength in my life.

The editor, Veronique Meyerowitz, for making the deadlines and providing superb service.

My colleagues Gerhard, Jeanette and Lee for their motivation and support.

The Lord for giving me the strength and guidance to continue with my work.

23 August, 2010



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUM	MARY	xi
CHAF	PTER 1	
INTRO	ODUCTION	1
1.1.	BACKGROUND	2
1.2.	MOTIVATION FOR THE RESEARCH	
1.3.	SCOPE OF THE STUDY	
1.4.	AIM OF THE STUDY	
1.5.	RESEARCH DESIGN	
1.6.	LEVEL OF ANALYSIS	20
1.7.	STUDENT LIFE CYCLE	
1.8.	LAYOUT OF THE STUDY	

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1.		_23
2.2.	KEY CONCEPTS CLARIFIED	25
2.2.1	Retention	
2.2.2	Withdrawal	
2.2.3	Non-cognitive Variables	25
2.2.4	Persistence	
2.3.	LITERATURE DISCUSSION	
2.3.1.	Readiness Theory	26
2.3.2.	Transition Theory	30
2.3.3.	Tinto's Longitudinal Model of Institutional Departure	35
2.3.4.	Theory of Student Departure for Residential and Commuter	
	Colleges	41
2.3.5.	Psychological Model of Student Retention	46
2.3.6.	Evaluation of Bean and Eaton's Model	
2.4.	ENTRY CHARACTERISTICS, WITHDRAWAL AND ACADEMIC	
		55
2.4.1.	Non-Cognitive Predictors	
2.4.2.	Personal and Demographic Characteristics	
2.4.3.	Cognitive Predictors	84
2.5.	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WITHDRAWAL AND ACADEMIC	
2.6.	DEVELOPMENT OF A READINESS AND RETENTION MODEL	98
2.7.	CONCLUSION	103



CHAPTER 3

QUESTIONNAIRE DEVELOPMENT

3.1.	INTRODUCTION	104
3.2.	QUESTIONNAIRE REVIEW	104
3.2.1.	Non-Cognitive Questionnaire (NCQ)	
3.2.2.	Survey of Academic Orientations (SAO)	
3.2.3.	Trait Self-Regulation Inventory (TSRI)	
3.2.4.	Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ)	
3.2.5.	Institutional Integration Scale (IIS)	119
3.2.6.	Vocational Identity Scale (VIS)	
3.2.7.	Metacognitive Awareness Inventory (MAI)	
3.2.8.	Locus of Control Inventory (LCI)	
3.2.9.	International Personality Item Pool (IPIP)	
3.2.10.	Alpha Baseline Questionnaire (ABQ)	123
3.3.	ACADEMIC READINESS QUESTIONNAIRE DEVELOPMENT	124
3.3.1.	Planning Phase	125
3.3.2.	Item Selection	
3.3.3.	Construct and Pilot Testing of the Questionnaire	130
3.3.4.	Item Analysis	131
3.3.5.	Revising and Standardising the Questionnaire	132
3.3.6.	Technical Evaluation and Establishing Norms	
3.4.	THE ACADEMIC READINESS QUESTIONNAIRE	
3.5.	CONCLUSION	140

CHAPTER 4

METHODOLOGY

4.2.AIM OF THE STUDY1424.3.SAMPLING DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY1434.4.DATA COLLECTION1454.4.1.Questionnaire1454.4.2.Database Mining1464.4.3.Exit Interviews1464.5.DATA ANALYSIS1474.5.1.Assumptions1474.5.2.Descriptive Statistics1484.5.3.Factor Analysis1484.5.4.Internal Consistency Reliability1504.5.5.Predictive Validity151	4.1.		142
4.3.SAMPLING DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY1434.4.DATA COLLECTION1454.4.1.Questionnaire1454.4.2.Database Mining1464.4.3.Exit Interviews1464.5.DATA ANALYSIS1474.5.1.Assumptions1474.5.2.Descriptive Statistics1484.5.3.Factor Analysis1484.5.4.Internal Consistency Reliability1504.5.5.Predictive Validity151	4.2.		140
4.4.DATA COLLECTION1454.4.1.Questionnaire1454.4.2.Database Mining1464.4.3.Exit Interviews1464.5.DATA ANALYSIS1474.5.1.Assumptions1474.5.2.Descriptive Statistics1484.5.3.Factor Analysis1484.5.4.Internal Consistency Reliability1504.5.5.Predictive Validity151	4.3.	SAMPLING DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY	143
4.4.1.Questionnaire1454.4.2.Database Mining1464.4.3.Exit Interviews1464.5.DATA ANALYSIS1474.5.1.Assumptions1474.5.2.Descriptive Statistics1484.5.3.Factor Analysis1484.5.4.Internal Consistency Reliability1504.5.5.Predictive Validity151	4.4.		
4.4.2.Database Mining1464.4.3.Exit Interviews1464.5.DATA ANALYSIS1474.5.1.Assumptions1474.5.2.Descriptive Statistics1484.5.3.Factor Analysis1484.5.4.Internal Consistency Reliability1504.5.5.Predictive Validity151	4.4.1.		4 4 5
4.4.3.Exit Interviews1464.5.DATA ANALYSIS1474.5.1.Assumptions1474.5.2.Descriptive Statistics1484.5.3.Factor Analysis1484.5.4.Internal Consistency Reliability1504.5.5.Predictive Validity151	4.4.2.		
4.5.DATA ANALYSIS1474.5.1.Assumptions1474.5.2.Descriptive Statistics1484.5.3.Factor Analysis1484.5.4.Internal Consistency Reliability1504.5.5.Predictive Validity151	4.4.3.	Evit Interviewe	116
4.5.1.Assumptions1474.5.2.Descriptive Statistics1484.5.3.Factor Analysis1484.5.4.Internal Consistency Reliability1504.5.5.Predictive Validity151	4.5.		
4.5.3.Factor Analysis1484.5.4.Internal Consistency Reliability1504.5.5.Predictive Validity151	4.5.1.	Accumuticus	4 4 7
4.5.3.Factor Analysis1484.5.4.Internal Consistency Reliability1504.5.5.Predictive Validity151	4.5.2.	Descriptive Statistics	148
4.5.4. Internal Consistency Reliability 150 4.5.5. Predictive Validity 151	4.5.3.		
4.5.5. Predictive Validity151	4.5.4.		
	4.5.5.		
	4.6.		



4.7.	DEFINITION OF KEY VARIABLES	
	Retention	
4.7.2.	Withdrawal	
4.7.3.	Academic Success	159
4.7.4.	Non-Cognitive Variables	160
4.8.	LIMITATIONS	
4.9.	CONCLUSION	<u>163</u>

CHAPTER 5

RESULTS

5.1.	INTRODUCTION	164
5.2.	DESCRIPTION OF THE SAMPLE	165
5.3.	FACTOR ANALYSIS	
5.4.	SCALE RELIABILITY	
5.4.1.	Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha	
5.4.2.	Spearman Brown Formula	172
5.5.	DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF THE FACTORS	174
5.6.	TEST OF NORMALITY	179
5.7.	SPEARMAN CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS	180
5.8.	CROSS-TABULATIONS	
5.9.	RISK FOR FAILURE PREDICTION MODEL	
5.9.1.	Multiway Frequency Analysis	
5.9.2.	Multiple Regression Analysis	
5.9.3.	Tree-analysis of the Academic Readiness Questionnaire Factors	203
5.9.4.	Tree-analysis of the Academic Readiness Questionnaire Items	205
5.10.	RISK FOR WITHDRAWAL PREDICTION MODEL	208
5.10.1.	Multiway Frequency Analysis	
5.10.2.	Binary Logistic Regression Analysis	
5.10.3.	Tree-analysis (CHAID)	215
5.11.		216
5.11.1.		
	Withdrawal	218
5.11.2.	Major Influences on Studies	223
5.12.	CONCLUSION	

CHAPTER 6

INTERPRETATION

6.1.	INTRODUCTION	225
6.2.	PREDICTING RISK FOR FAILURE	225
6.2.1.	The Influence of Racial Background and Language	227



6.2.2.	The Influence of High School Achievement	_230
6.2.3.	The Influence of Credits Registered	232
6.2.4.	The Influence of Goal Orientation	235
6.2.5.	The Influence of Learning-efficacy	242
6.2.6.	The Influence of Gender	246
6.2.7.	The Influence of Distance of High School	247
6.2.8.	The Influence of Parental Education at the University of Pretoria	247
6.2.9.	The Influence of Reading Behaviour	248
6.3.	PREDICTING RISK FOR WITHDRAWAL	249
6.3.1.	The Influence of Racial Background and Language	250
6.3.2.	The Influence of High School Achievement	253
6.3.3.	The Influence of Credit Registered	253
6.3.4.	The Influence of Reading Behaviour	254
6.4.		255
6.4.1.	Scope of Withdrawal	256
6.5.	STANDARDISATION OF THE ACADEMIC READINESS	
	QUESTIONNAIRE	<u>263</u>
6.5.1.	Reliability	264
6.5.2.	Validity	266
6.5.3.	Bias in Predictive Validity	269
6.6.	CONCLUSION	270

CHAPTER 7

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1.	TESTING THE HYPOTHESES	271
7.2.	SUMMARY OF A READINESS AND RETENTION MODEL	
7.3.	CONCLUDING REMARKS	280
7.4.	CONTRIBUTION OF THE STUDY	
7.5.	RECOMMENDATIONS	284

REFERENCES	28	8

APPENDIX A	
------------	--

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C



LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1. Gross participation rates (1993, 2000, 2005 and 2007 cohorts)	3
Table 1.2. Throughput rates of the 2000 intake cohort across SA contact	
Universities	5
Table 1.3. Graduation rates for general academic Bachelor degrees	6
Table 1.4. Graduation after five years in general academic Bachelor degrees	7
Table 1.5. Enrolment by race of the 2000 and 2008 intake cohort at the Faculty of Economic and Management Sciences	_11
Table 1.6. Throughput rates for general academic Bachelor degrees at the Faculty of Economic and Management Sciences Schools	12
Table 2.1. Summary of the student readiness characteristics	56
Table 3.1. Internal consistencies of the Non-Cognitive Questionnaire constructs by sample	.109
Table 3.2. Internal consistencies of the Survey of Academic Orientation constructs by sample	113
Table 3.3. Internal consistencies of the Trait Self-regulatory Inventory constructs by sample	116
Table 3.4. Internal consistencies of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire constructs by sample	_119
Table 3.5. Internal consistencies of the Institutional Integration Scale constructs by sample	<u>120</u>
Table 3.6. Internal consistencies of the Metacognitive Awareness Inventory constructs by sample	
Table 3.7. Internal consistencies of the Locus of Control Inventory constructs by sample	122
Table 3.8. Internal consistencies of the International Personality Item Pool constructs by sample	123
Table 3.9. Construct definitions	128
Table 3.10. Data Collection during the pilot study in three faculties	130



Table 3.11. Academic Readiness Questionnaire items, constructs and reference scale	134
Table 4.1. Defining the independent and dependent variables	161
Table 5.1. Enrolment by race of the 2008 cohort of first-time entrants	165
Table 5.2. Racial differentiation of the sample	165
Table 5.3. Gender differentiation of the sample	166
Table 5.4. Differentiation of the sample by matriculation score	166
Table 5.5. Differentiation of the sample by home language	167
Table 5.6. Differentiation of the sample by enrolment status	167
Table 5.7. Academic Readiness Questionnaire factors and item numbers	<u>171</u>
Table 5.8. Cronbach's coefficient alpha of the factors	172
Table 5.9. Spearman Brown formula, the Cronbach's alpha and the Guttman split-half coefficient	
Table 5.10. Descriptive statistics of the Academic Readiness Questionnaire factors	<u>174</u>
Table 5.11. Tests for normality of the factors	179
Table 5.12. Spearman correlation coefficients between the five factors	181
Table 5.13. Cross-tabulation of enrolment status and academic success	182
Table 5.14. Cross-tabulations of the independent variables with risk for failure	<u>184</u>
Table 5.15. Cross-tabulation of risk for failure and race language	185
Table 5.16. Cross-tabulation of risk for failure and M-score	186
Table 5.17. Cross-tabulations of the independent variables with risk for withdrawal	<u>187</u>
Table 5.18. Cross-tabulation of risk for withdrawal and race language	188
Table 5.19. Cross-tabulation of risk for withdrawal and M-score	189
Table 5.20. Cross-tabulation of risk for withdrawal and credits registered	<u>190</u>
Table 5.21. Multiway frequency analysis odds index for risk for failure	192



Table 5.22. Multiway frequency analysis odds index for risk for withdrawal	209
Table 5.23. Logistic regression predicting likelihood of risk for withdrawal	214
Table 5.24. Summary of institution-wide first-year student discontinuation (2008 cohort)	217
Table 5.25. Main reason for withdrawal	218
Table 5.26. Sub-reasons for withdrawal	222
Table 5.27. The major influences on studies	224



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Astin's model of student development	16
Figure 2.1. Elements of college readiness	27
Figure 2.2. A longitudinal model of institutional departure	39
Figure 2.3. Tinto's theory revised for student departure in residential colleges and universities	42
Figure 2.4. Theory of student departure in commuter colleges and universities	43
Figure 2.5. A psychological model of college student retention	47
Figure 2.6. Expectancy-value theory of motivation	
Figure 2.7. Ryan and Deci's taxonomy of human motivation	61
Figure 2.8. Model of student readiness and retention for university education	102
Figure 5.1. Tree-analysis (CRT) of Academic Readiness Questionnaire Factors	205
Figure 5.2. Tree-analysis (CRT) of Academic Readiness Questionnaire Items	207
Figure 7.1. Model of readiness for university education	279



SUMMARY

The aim of the thesis is to investigate the readiness characteristics that determine risk for either failure or withdrawal before students enter university. These relationships are investigated and explained with a literature discussion that includes readiness for university education, student transition, retention and withdrawal theory. The motivation for this research emanates against the challenges that the South African Higher Education in general faces as well as the demands placed on the Faculty of Economic and Management Sciences to supply for the high demand for well equipped financial service professionals.

The research project was completed in three phases. In the first phase a structured questionnaire was developed to measure the non-cognitive factors relating to readiness for university education. The purpose of the 'Academic Readiness Questionnaire' is to function as a screening test for first-year students that enter university. The Academic Readiness Questionnaire went through a scientific process of test development and standardisation. The overall Cronbach's alpha for the questionnaire is 0.87, which indicates good internal consistency reliability for the scale with this sample. In the second phase the Academic Readiness Questionnaire was administered to the 2008 cohort of first-time entering students from the faculty of Economic and Management Sciences during the first-year orientation week. The total number of students in the sample is 829 students. In the third phase the students who withdrew from their studies were interviewed telephonically. A total of 42 students were interviewed to determine the salient reasons for withdrawal. Quantitative data were analysed using various descriptive and inferential statistical methods. These include factor analysis, regression analysis and multiway frequency analysis. The telephonic interviews were analysed with content analysis.

The main findings reveal that the readiness characteristics show a direct relationship with academic success and intention to withdraw. The number of variables able to predict risk for either failure or withdrawal differ. More variables show a significant relationship with risk for failure than for withdrawal. Furthermore, the research results show that African students have higher academic achievement and are less likely to



withdraw, when compared to white students. African students also tend to have higher academic success, compared to white students. The differences in academic success and withdrawal rates among African and white students are due to high school achievement and the number of credits the students register for. White students are also more likely to withdraw voluntarily, mostly within the first couple of weeks or months mainly due to choosing an incorrect study choice.

KEYWORDS

Achievement motivation Academic success First-year student Goal orientation Non-cognitive Readiness for university Retention Learning-efficacy Transition Withdrawal