Assessing the costs and benefits of water use for production and the potential of water demand management in the Crocodile Catchment of South Africa

Ву

Jackie Crafford

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Masters of Science

in the

Department of Agricultural Economics,
Faculty of Natural & Agricultural Sciences,
University of Pretoria,
Pretoria

January 2004

CHPR 333.916 217 096.327

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In a study of this nature, a number of people and institutions make important contributions and it is appropriate to thank them here.

- My promoter, Professor Rashid Hassan¹ who has been tremendously helpful in planning and supervising the work and providing constructive criticism.
- Dr Gerhard Backeberg ² for his support of water economics research in South Africa, the output of which to a large extent made this study possible.
- The CSIR, and particularly Mr Gerhard Smith, who funded this study, and my colleagues in the ERE (Environmental and Resource Economics) Group.
- The University of Pretoria for providing the academic infrastructure to make this study possible.
- And most of all, my family, who sacrificed so many evenings, weekends and holidays.

¹ Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

² Water Research Commission, South Africa

Assessing the costs and benefits of water use for production and the potential of water demand management in the Crocodile Catchment of South Africa

by

Jackie Crafford

Degree:

M.Sc. Agricultural Economics

Supervisor:

Professor Rashid Hassan

Department:

Agricultural Economics

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In South Africa, precipitation is extremely variable and water is scarce. South Africa is also a country with great welfare needs. Challenging economic development targets and plans therefore need to be implemented successfully within the constraints of limited water supply and unreliable water availability. These economic development plans are underpinned by the development and growth of economic activities such as agriculture, mining, energy production and many types of small, medium and micro enterprises, which are some of the largest water using sectors in the economy. Within these activities, increased competition places pressure on water users to keep supplying their markets with competitively priced goods, while rising costs of new water supplies puts pressure on water users to allocate sufficient water to their production processes. These market forces and the relative scarcity of water as an economic production factor, impact on financial viability and imply that the economic efficiency of water use becomes increasingly important.

The National Water Act of 1998 (NWA) is a legislative response to this situation, and promotes a radical shift towards efficiency and equity goals in water allocation. Water users who require water as an input to economic activities are consequently seriously revising their water use patterns in response to one of the major implications of the NWA and its related principal strategy: water demand

management. Water demand management strives to adhere to the principles of equity, social justice, economic efficiency and environmental sustainability, which are central to the NWA.

This study evaluates the costs and benefits of water use in order to simulate the effects of water demand management activities on a catchment economy. The results of a number of studies were combined to generate an economy-wide model: a Social Accounting Matrix (SAM), for the case study area and to simulate the direct and indirect effects of water demand management on the people, the economy and the natural environment in the area. Water demand management (WDM) is defined as consisting of two phases. In the first phase, goals of full cost recovery, improving water use efficiency and allocating water optimally are targeted. The second phase of WDM arrives when a situation of absolute water scarcity is reached within a catchment. In this phase water demand outweighs water supply and water has to be allocated according to its scarcity value. Water markets play a large role here.

The SAM was used to simulate the direct and indirect impacts on the economy and the environment of a number of WDM related scenarios. Water re-allocation decisions and the effects of various WDM policy instruments, such as reduction of water use subsidies and increases in water tariffs were simulated. Unintended consequences of other environmental policies on water use, in this case, carbon tax, were explored. Water scarcity predictions were done, and some of the transaction costs involved in water trading was quantified.

The study concludes with a discussion on the indirect effects on the economy, the environment and people of changes affecting the agricultural (including forestry) activities. The direct and indirect impacts of WDM policies on the economy and the environment, and the importance of environmental-economic models in water cost benefit modelling are also discussed. Implications for policy and management are highlighted.

This study shows specifically how, through modelling various scenarios, policy decisions aimed at managing specific variables (e.g. water use, carbon emissions) have an economic and environmental impact much wider than the sector in which the

policy was targeted for. Each scenario shows how a water transaction, or a change in subsidy in the agricultural (including forestry) sector, could impact on the output of other economic sectors, and therefore the economy as a whole. It is therefore evident that policy decisions, which are implemented at a macro level, and could have a major direct impact on a wider range of economic sectors, should be carefully considered as they could have large, undesirable, unintended consequences.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	V
LIST OF FIGURES	IX
LIST OF TABLES	
Chapter 1 - Introduction	
	11
1.2 Objectives of the study	3
1.3 Approach and methodology	4
1.3.1 Background	4
1.3.2 Approach	6
1.3.3 Methodology	
1.4 Organisation of the study	8
Chapter 2 – Water resource use and allocation	
2.1 Water resources in SA	()
2.1.1 Water availability in South Africa	9
2.1.2 Water supply interventions in Sou	uth Africa12
	h Africa12
2.1.4 Water Pathways Analysis	14
	vater using sectors17
2.2.1 Water supply management	19
	20
2.2.3 Property rights	21
	22
2.2.5 Water tariffs and subsidies	24
	27
2.2.7 Environmental sustainability	
2.3 Water resource use and allocation in	n the study area: the Crocodile Rive
Catchment	28

Chapter	3 –	A survey of the relevant literature on water demand management	and
measure	emer	t of the costs and benefits of water use for production	. 33
3.1	Bac	kground	. 33
3.2	Wat	er demand management (WDM)	. 33
3.2	.1	Water Trading and Water Markets	. 34
3.2	.2	WDM defined in SA	. 36
3.3	Mea	asuring the benefits and costs of water use	. 40
3.3	.1	Economy-wide models	. 43
3.3	.2	Environmental impacts and indicators	. 45
3.3	.3	Economy-wide models and environmental impacts: Recent work	(of
rele	evano	e in SA	. 47
Chapter	4 - 4	Approach and methodology	. 50
4.1	Intro	oduction	. 50
4.2	Арр	roach	. 50
4.3	The	SAM Framework	. 52
4.4	The	empirical SAM for the Crocodile River Catchment	. 53
4.4	.1	Step 1: The direct input requirements matrix A _i	. 54
4.4	.2	Step 2: The environmental module	. 61
4.4	.3	Step 3: Policy simulation analyses	. 63
Chapter	5: F	Results & Discussion	. 65
5.1	Sce	nario 1: Reallocation of water between major users	. 65
5.1	.1	Economic impacts of water reallocation	. 66
5.1	.2	Environmental indicators and impacts	. 71
5.2	Sce	nario 2: Impacts of Pricing Policies	75
5.2	.1	Reduction of water subsidies	75
5.2	.2	Introduction of a catchment management charge (CMC)	77
5.2	.3	Changes in Industrial water tariffs	78
5.2	.4	Comparative Analysis of changes in water tariffs on forestry, irriga	itior
and	d indu	ıstry	79
5.3	Sce	nario 3: The potential impact of environmental externalities on water	use
	80		
5.4	Sce	nario 4: Projecting Absolute Water Scarcity	83
5.5	Sce	nario 5: The role of water metering in water trade	85
Chapter	6: (Conclusions, Implications and Limitations of the Study	. 88

6.1 Con	clusions and Implications
6.1.1	The direct and indirect impacts of various WDM policies on the
economy	and the environment
6.1.2	The importance of environmental-economic models in water cost
benefit m	nodelling92
6.2 Limi	tations of the study93
References:	95
Appendix 1:	Table A1.1: Crocodile SAM – Total Input Requirements Matrix (1-A ₁)
1	100
Table A1.2:	Crocodile SAM – Environmental Impacts Matrix (E) 102
Appendix 2:	Table 5.4.1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1:	A simplified framework for modeling the economic and environmental
linkages	under study5
Figure 2.1:	Precipitation for South Africa (1922-1999)
Figure 2.2:	Simplified water pathways analysis for South Africa
Figure 2.3:	Annual inflow of water depends on the annual precipitation received . 16
Figure 2.4:	Strategic and industrial water use in South Africa
Figure 2.5:	The ratio of GDP to water use in South Africa (1991-1998)
Figure 2.6:	Nominal water tariffs for South Africa (c/m³)27
Figure 2.7:	Water Management Areas of South Africa
Figure 2.8:	Land use in the Crocodile River catchment
Figure 4.1:	A framework showing the approach followed by the study 51
Figure 4.2:	The structure of the SAM model for the rural areas of the Crocodile
River Ca	tchment
Figure 5.1:	Land use patterns in the Crocodile River Catchment 65
LIST OF	TABLES
Table 2.1:	Comparison of three SA rivers with major international rivers 11
Table 2.2:	Relative water use in South Africa in 1998 14
Table 2.3:	Total GDP per water use in South Africa (Rands / Incremental water
use) for	1998
Table 2.4:	Water Trading Accounts for SA (1997/98 to 2000/01): R million 26
Table 2.5:	
	Runoff availability and water use in South Africa, Mpumalanga, and the
Crocodile	Runoff availability and water use in South Africa, Mpumalanga, and the e Catchment29
Crocodile Table 2.6:	
Table 2.6:	e Catchment29
Table 2.6:	e Catchment
Table 2.6: chains ir Table 2.7:	e Catchment
Table 2.6: chains ir Table 2.7:	A comparison of major water using sectors and their associated value Mpumalanga (R 'Million) for 1998 (unless otherwise indicated)
Table 2.6: chains in Table 2.7: economy Table 3.1:	e Catchment
Table 2.6: chains in Table 2.7: economy Table 3.1:	A comparison of major water using sectors and their associated value of Mpumalanga (R 'Million) for 1998 (unless otherwise indicated)
Table 2.6: chains in Table 2.7: economy Table 3.1: demand	A comparison of major water using sectors and their associated value Mpumalanga (R 'Million) for 1998 (unless otherwise indicated)
Table 2.6: chains in Table 2.7: economy Table 3.1: demand Table 3.2:	A comparison of major water using sectors and their associated value Mpumalanga (R 'Million) for 1998 (unless otherwise indicated)

Table 5.1.1:	The economy-wide effect (ΔX) of water reallocation (1,000,000m ³)
between for	estry and sugar67
Table 5.1.2:	The economy-wide effect of water reallocation (1,000,000m ³)
	restry and sugar68
Table 5.1.3:	The economy-wide effect of water reallocation (100,000m ³) between
sugar and c	oranges; and oranges and bananas69
Table 5.1.4:	Environmental impacts of substituting pine and eucalypt with sugar
cane.	73
Table 5.1.5:	Environmental impacts of substituting sugar cane and bananas with
oranges.	75
Table 5.2.1:	Economic impacts (ΔX) of reductions in water subsidies to irrigation
agriculture	76
Table 5.2.1E:	Water use impacts of reductions in water subsidies to irrigation
agriculture	77
Table 5.2.2:	Economic impacts (ΔX) of introducing a catchment management
charge (CM	1C) on pine and eucalypt forestry78
Table 5.2.2E:	Water use impacts of an introduction of a catchment management
charge (CN	IC) to forestry
Table 5.2.3:	Economic impacts (ΔX) of changes in Industrial water tariffs
(Elasticity =	= -0.6)
Table 5.2.3E:	Water use impacts of an increase in Industrial Water Tariffs 79
Table 5.2.4:	The comparative impact on water use sectors of a 10% increase in
water tariffs	s across all sectors
Table 5.3.1:	Selected environmental indicators and impacts in the Crocodile River
Catchment	(1998)
Table 5.3.2:	The economic impact (ΔX) of a carbon tax on industries in the
Crocodile F	River catchment
Table 5.3.3:	The environmental impact (ΔE) of a carbon tax on the Crocodile River
catchment	83
Table 5.4.1:	Projecting absolute water scarcity in the Crocodile River Catchment
(2.5% grow	th and no change in efficiency of water use)85

ACRONYMS and ABBREVIATIONS

CMA Catchment Management Agency

CMC Catchment Management Charge

DWAF Department of Water Affairs and Forestry

GDP Gross domestic product

GGP Gross geographic product

I-O Input-Output

MAI Mean Annual Increment

MAR Mean Annual Runoff

MAP Mean Annual Precipitation

NWA National Water Act (No 36 of 1998)

NWPS National Water Pricing Strategy

RANESA Resource Accounting Network of Eastern and Southern Africa

RSA Republic of South Africa

SAAU SA Agricultural Union

SAM Social Accounting Matrix

SIC Standard Industrial Classification

VAD Value Added

WC/DM Water Conservation & Demand Management

WDM Water Demand Management

WMA Water Management Area

WUA Water User Association