

Handi-Capable

Albert Willem Olivier
21069396

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements of the degree
of Master of Architecture (Professional) in the Faculty of
Engineering, Built Environment and Information Technol-
ogy. University of Pretoria.

Mentor-Rudolf van Rensburg

I wish to thank my Lord God number one for being my guide and for extraordinary blessings , Rudolf my mentor,Gus Gerneke and all the other people in Boukunde,Srib,the office syndicate,Dorothy Howitson,the authors of the theses I read,Jan and Erna van Wijk for inspiration, “Die Dokter”, Marthinus Bekker and PW Hattingh,Tanja.

Special and loving thanks to Marilize for holding out with an aspiring architect . “Dankie Liza”

Another special thanks to my parents for giving me the opportunity to study,standing by me and not losing hope to bad results and near failures.

Handi-Capable

Index:

1. Design brief and introduction	
1.1 Introduction:	11
1.2 Terminology:	11
1.3 The real world problem and the background thereof:	12
1.4 Clients and stakeholders:	13
1.4.1 The site	13
1.4.2 Stakeholders	13
1.5 Keywords	14
1.5.1 Keyword identification:	14
1.6 Grouping keywords:	14
1.6.1 Social	14
1.6.2 Location	14
1.6.3 Design Baseline	14
1.7 Objectives and requirements for the study	15
1.7.1 Who?	15
1.7.2 Where?	15
1.7.3 What?	15
1.7.4 How?	15
1.8 Design investigation (Generators of the design)	16
1.8.1 Physical Design	16
1.8.2 Theory base	16
1.8.3 When?	16
1.8.4 Why?	17
1.9 The working of the facility	17
1.10 Research methods to be used	17
1.10.1 Data collection	17
1.10.2 Data analysis and interpretation	17
1.10.3 Correlation research	18
1.10.4 Simulation research	18
1.10.5 Logical argument	18
1.10.6 Literature	18
1.11 Validity of material and research	18
1.12 Accomodation	19
1.12.1 Training facilities	19
1.12.2 Counseling	19
1.12.3 Trial housing	19
1.12.4 Teaching	19
1.12.5 Therapy	19
1.12.6 recreational facilities	19
1.12.7 Details	19
1.13 Context	20
1.14 Precedents	20
1.15 Conclusion	20
2. Design philosophy	
2.1 Design philosophy	21
2.2 Response	21
2.3 Context	21
2.4 Physical translation	21
2.5 Context importance	22
2.6 Atypical physiques	22
2.7 A stranger's view	23

3. Normative position	
3.1 Context recognition.	24
3.2 The design problem statement	24
3.3 The problem with design	24
3.4 The focus of the problem in short	24
3.5 Further reference	24
4. Perceiving disabled people and acting for them	
4 Barriers to inclusion	25
4.1 The physical condition of disabled people	25
4.2 Social barriers	25
4.3 Two prominent models	25
4.3.1 Social model	25
4.3.2 Medical model	25
4.4 Bio-sociological approach	25
4.5 Conclusion	25
	26
5. Physical context	
5.1 History of the area	27
5.1.1 History of places	27
5.2 Preamble to the site	28
5.3 Sustainable or not	28
5.4 Approach to the site	28
5.5 Interpreting the city	29
5.6 Frameworks	28
5.7 Rationalising the choice of site	29
5.8 Spatial framework	30
5.8.1 Legibility	30
5.8.2 Accessibility	30
5.8.3 Vitality	31
5.8.4 Mass to space relation	31
5.8.5 Robustness	31
5.9 Geological information	32
5.9.1 Meintjieskop fault	32
5.9.2 Hekpoort Andesite	32
5.9.3 Groundwater	32
5.10 Climatic information	32
5.11 Expanded movement mapped	33
5.12 The nature of movement	35
5.13 Levels of perception	35
5.14 Trees	37
5.15 Conclusion	37
6. Mandela Development Corridor crit	
6.1 Introduction	39
6.2 Aims of the framework	39
6.2.1 Links	39
6.2.2 Historic elements and destinations	40
6.2.3 Current challenges	40
6.3 Architectural spatial vision	41
6.4 A balanced movement network	41
6.5 A local district network	42
6.6 Investment in the public realm	42
6.7 Connecting precincts	44

Handi-Capable

7. The South African context	
7.1 Social exclusion during the property development process	46
7.2 Determinants of A developer's reaction	47
7.3 Defining disability	48
7.4 Human rights	48
7.5 The nature of spinal cord injuries	49
7.6 Employment equity	50
8. Universal design	
8.1 The popularisation of Universal design	52
9. Inclusive design	
9.1 Comparing non-inclusive to inclusive design	54
10. Design discussion	
10.1 Specific design measures	56
10.2 Physical context	62
10.2.1 Views to influence the design	62
10.2.2 Movement routes	63
10.2.3 Site scale and hierarchy	64
10.2.4 Climatic context	66
10.2.5 Inter-site connectedness	68
10.2.6 Conclusion	69
10.3 . Handi-capable factors	70
11. Precedents	
11.1 Physical precedents	72
11.2 Non-physical precedents	72
11.2.1 Systems	72
11.2.2 Psychological	72
11.3 North Toronto community centre	75
11.4 Newcastle town hall	75
11.5 Jerusalem city hall	77
11.6 York University student centre	77
11.7 Goggenheim museum	78
11.8 The modular	78
11.9 Symbolistic art	78
11.10 Personal interviews	80
11.10.1 Therapists interviewed	80
11.10.2 Dorothy-Ann Howitson (president of The National Association for The Disabled) interviewed	81
11.11 Wheelchair experience (an experiment)	81
12. Technical investigation	
12.1 Movement,access and security	85
12.2 Materials used	87
12.3 The working of the facades	88
12.4 Lighting	89
12.5 Acoustics between segments	93
12.5.1 Classroom acoustics	93
12.6 Airconditioning	94
12.7 Solar panels and heating	97
12.8 Water movement	98

13. Technical documentation	100-114
14. Appendices	
Chapter 12 appendix:	
14.1 Materials	115
14.1.1 Pretoria regionalism	115
14.1.2 Materials of the vernacular	115
14.1.3 Economic context	116
14.1.4 Climatic context	116
14.1.5 Physical context	117
Chapter 3 appendix:	
14.2.1 Who am I?	118
14.2.2 Why inclusive design?	118
14.2.3 Dualistic mindset	118
14.2.4 Influence on normative position	119
14.2.5 Modern philosophy	119
14.2.6 Influences on normative position	119
14.2.7 Modern movement	120
14.2.8 Nietzsche	120
14.2.9 Influence on normative position	120
14.2.10 Design culture	121
14.3 Pragmatic constraints	123
14.3.1 Occupation	123
14.3.2 Influence on design	123
14.3.3 Sanitary	123
14.3.4 Facilities for disabled people	123
14.3.5 Fire regulations	125
15. References and conclusion	127-128

- P 21-23** Fig.1 The drawingboard .The startingplace of any project.
 Fig.2 Disabled person in Kimberley
 Fig.3 Divided access at the Big Hole in Kimberley-a positive experience for the person using the scenic ramp
 Fig.4 Disabled person in Kimberley struggling to move
 Fig.5 Disabled access in Kimberley
 Fig.6 Disabled person in Kimberley in a negative context
 Fig.7 Disabled access in Kimberley -a negative experience.
 Fig.8 A stumbling block
 Fig.9 Uneven pavement
 Fig.10 Historic building with poor access
 Fig. 11 First concept model.
- P 24** Fig.12 Previous project by the author
 Salvokop shed-context responsive
 Fig.13 Previous project by the author
 Parking garage-Movement context
 Fig.14 Permeable design goes hand-in-hand with providing for movement in a building. (a contextual response)
- P 25-26** Fig.15 The main barrier to inclusion is movement.Movement thus formed the base from which barriers were adressed in the design of the centre.
 Fig.16 Showing a site that was designed with a singular function, parking.
- P 27-38** Fig. 17 Ramp configurations- Probably the main concern in terms of physical context due to the parameters set by SABS and the connection needs of the site itself.
 Fig. 18 Marthinus Pretorius, founder of Pretoria. from <http://www.museumpark.co.za/burgerspark.htm>
 Fig.19 Church square.from <http://www.museumpark.co.za/burgerspark.htm>
 Fig. 20 both from <http://www.museumpark.co.za/burgerspark.htm>
 Fig.21 Site description
 Fig. 22 Sustainable technology Dubai
 Fig. 23 Precinct Pretoria CBD (Government archive)
- Fig. 24 Ground-figure
 Fig.25 Figure-ground
 Fig. 26 Movement along the central axis next to the Apies River
 Fig. 27 Bridge crossing the Apies River culvert
 Fig.28 Movement towards the CBD
 Fig. 29 Movement along the Apies River
 Fig.30 Hospital Precinct Defined
 Fig. 31 Movement towards the Union Buildings
 Fig. 32 Moving towards the Psychosocial Adjustment Centre
 Fig.33 Relations to the MDC in movement terms
 Fig. 34 Movement into the MDC
 Fig. 35 Movement precedent: wooden pathways to provide easy access. The right balance of focus upon disability.
 Fig. 36 Building mass to create a certain feeling when crossing bewteen.
 Fig. 37 Perception levels
 Fig. 38 Perception levels
 Fig. 39 Trees (original picture gathered from National Archive)
 Fig.40 names
 Fig. 41 Access and squares
 Fig.42 Building importance and Links
 Fig. 45 Proposed development
 Fig. 43 transport routes
 Fig. 44 400m radius
 Fig. 46 Pedestrian movement
 Fig. 47 Local districts
 Fig. 48 Zones
 Fig. 49 Important social areas
 Fig. 50 Views
 Fig. 51 Building levels
 Fig. 52 Edge relation
 Fig. 53 Transport nodes
 Fig.54 Role in the city
 Fig. 55 Precinct relation
 Fig. 56 South Africans tend to disregard the needs of disabled people and cut them from society.The centre reacts by creating opportunity for interaction like the area infront of the workshops.
- P 39-45**
- P 46-51**

Fig. 57 Scenario 1-pure commercial construction. Mostly disregards context

Fig. 58 Scenario 2- Rural or constrained construction. A context responsive approach

Fig.59 discriminatory regulations

Fig. 60 access being denied to people in wheelchairs to the use of steps as the only means of rising

Fig. 61 The actions one does may one day lead to injuries that can cause disability

Fig. 62 The actions one does may one day lead to injuries that can cause disability

Fig. 63 Unequal employment leaves some people without the basics needed in order to survive

Fig.64 People might surprise their employers upon a mere opportunity to work

Fig. 65 Blind people especially need a sense of safety,hence the enclosed areas for ex.

Fig. 66 Avoiding stark contrasts between outside and inside benefits all users.

Fig.67 Proper access is universally necessary

Fig.68 Design elements like lifts can be used by all of the users of the centre irrespective of their physical state.

Fig.69 Differing needs for different disabilities

Fig. 70 Imrie, Hall (2001:15) *The basics of universal design.*

Fig.71 Physical states differs from person to person

Fig.72 Imrie,Hall(2001:19)

Fig. 73 Certain "unknown" needs of disabled people like the strategic use of natural lighting can only be realised if they are directly included in the design process.

Fig.74 Working in a groups has the advantage of a wide variety of inputs being given.

Fig. 75 Final computer model

Fig.76 Detail 1 shading zones

Fig. 77 Detail 2 movement texture

Fig. 78 Detail 3 ramp detail

Fig. 79 Detail 4 bump rail and texture strip

Fig. 80 Detail 5 ramp movement

Fig. 81 Detail 6 door detail

Fig. 82 Detail 7 window cleaning and louvre movement

Fig. 83 Detail 9 chair and desk detail

Fig. 84 Detail 8 ramp shielded from glare

Fig.85 Detail 10 retractable shading

Fig. 86 Viewconnection to Nursing College

P 52-53

P54-55

P 56-84

Fig. 87 Viewconnection to bypass

Fig. 88 Viewconnection to PTA central

Fig.89 Viewconnection to Apies River

Fig. 90 Movement patterns to form primary design generator

Fig. 91 Movement working as design generator

Fig.92 View and scale connection to PTA central

Fig. 93 Important buildings in terms of scale

Fig. 94 Views from the Psychosocial Adjustment Centre

Fig. 95 Moedersbond scale

Fig. 96 Trial housing scale

Fig. 97 Heatstack working

Fig. 98 Trees on site

Fig.99 Trees on site

Fig. 100 Northern exposure

Fig. 102 Trees on site

Fig. 103 Trees on site

Fig. 104 Trees on site

Fig. 105 TUKS occupational therapy dept. north of site

Fig. 106 TUT ladies residence to south-west of site

Fig. 107 SA Womens Organisation to south-east

Fig. 109 derelict pathway to union bldgs.

Fig. 101 Northern exposure

Fig. 110 Connection to prospective trial housing block

Fig. 111 Connection over Theodore Hove Street

Fig.112 north-south connection with hospital precinct

Fig. 113 north-south connection with hospital precinct

Fig. 115 Building chairs
Werner, D., 1998:195

Fig. 116 Adapting a chair
Werner, D., 1998:205

Fig. 117 Social interaction
Werner, D., 1998:283

Fig. 118 Accepting yourself
Werner, D., 1998:306

Fig. 119 Measuring pressure
Werner, D., 1998:161

Fig. 120 Accepting yourself
Werner, D., 1998:26

Fig. 121 Painting
Werner, D., 1998:314

Fig.122 Accepting yourself
Werner, D., 1998:236

Fig. 123 Karate
Werner, D., 1998:262

Fig. 124 The manner in which spaces are treated during design can be deducted from the end product. Precedents aids in this regard by providing an example as to space treatment.

Fig. 125 Work context -being able to perform everyday tasks

Fig. 126 Outdoor context-being able to perform extraordinary tasks

Fig. 127 Home context-basic difficulties removed

Fig. 128 Toronto Community Centre section

Diamond, Schmitt (1996:67)
Building designed by
A.J.Diamond,D.Schmitt
and company 1968-1995

Fig. 129 Toronto Community Centre aerial view

Diamond, Schmitt (1996:64)
Building designed by
A.J.Diamond,D.Schmitt
and company 1968-1995

Fig. 130 Toronto Community Centre ramp

Diamond, Schmitt (1996:64)

Fig. 131 Newcastle Town Hall entry
Diamond, Schmitt (1996:61)

Fig. 132 Newcastle Town Hall facade
Diamond, Schmitt (1996:61) Building designed by
A.J.Diamond,D.Schmitt
and company 1968-1995

Fig. 133 Jerusalem city hall

Diamond, Schmitt (1996:73)

Fig. 134 Jerusalem City Hall portico
Diamond, Schmitt (1996:78)

Fig. 135 Jerusalem City Hall visual link
Diamond, Schmitt (1996:78)

Fig. 136 Jerusalem City Hall social
Diamond, Schmitt (1996:68)

Fig. 137 York Univ. section
Diamond, Schmitt (1996:82)

Fig. 138 Newcastle Town Hall
Diamond, Schmitt (1996:58)

Fig. 139 York Univ. linking colonnades
Diamond, Schmitt (1996:82)

Fig. 140 York University-natural lighting
Diamond, Schmitt (1996:82)

Fig. 141 Guggenheim Museum New York-York movement central. Fleming, W (2001:655) Building by Frank LLOYD Wright

Fig. 142 Guggenheim Museum New York-movement central. Fleming, W (2001:656) Building by Frank LLOYD Wright

Fig. 143 Notre-Dame-du-Haut, Ronchamp, France. Modular used. Fleming, W (2001:656) Building by le Corbusier

Fig. 144 Pompidou National Centre for Arts and Culture-movement clear. Fleming, W (2001:660) Building by Renzo Piano and Richard Rogers

Fig. 145 Mont Ste.-Victoire-sensory experience. Fleming, W (2001:574) Painting by Paul Cezanne

Fig. 146 Pompidou National Centre for Arts and Culture-movement clear. Fleming, W (2001:661) Building by Renzo Piano and Richard Rogers

Fig. 147 Final concept model

Fig. 148 Final concept model

Fig. 149 First floor movement

Fig. 150 Sublevel movement

Fig. 151 Materials-gravel

Fig. 152 Materials-glass

Fig. 153 Groundfloor movement

Fig. 154 Vehicular movement

Fig. 155 Materials-galvanised steel

Fig. 156 Materials-brick

Fig. 157 Materials-concrete

Fig. 158 There is a definite limits to the capabilities of passive design. These limits needs to be realised and acted on by artificial means

Fig. 159 Final concept model

Fig. 160 Final concept model

Fig. 161 Counseling block thermal activity

Fig. 162 Final concept model

Fig. 163 Final concept model

Fig. 164 Final concept model

Fig. 165 + 166 Northern facades working

Fig. 167 Lighting of workshops and admin

Fig. 168 Key section for aircon discussion

- Fig. 169 Aircon
- Fig.170 Hot air movement
- Fig. 171 Hot air movement
- Fig. 172 Aircon working
- Fig. 173 Solar panels working
- Fig.174 Solar incidence upon counseling block
- Fig.175 Water flow from sport segment roof
- Fig.176 Hot air movement

***Influence on design:**

- Fig. 177 Permeable design goes hand-in-hand with providing for movement in a building.(a contextual response)
- Fig. 178 Concept model no.1 western elevation
- Fig. 179 Concept model no.1 southern elevation
- Fig. 180 Concept model no.1 main entrance
- Fig. 181 Concept model no.1 plan
- Fig. 182 Previous project by the author
- Fig.183 Concept model no.1 plan
- Fig. 184 Concept model no.1 bridge
- Fig..185 Previous project by the author sustainability
- Fig. 186 Previous project by the author.housing
- Fig. 187 Previous project by the author PIA
- Fig. 188 Previous project by the author Des Baker
- Fig. 189 Previous project by the author exhibition
- Fig. 191 Final computer model

P 100-122

P 123