

## GROWTH ANALYSES AND SOIL WATER BALANCE OF SELECTED VEGETABLE CROPS

by

#### NTUTHUZELO COLUMBUS MHLAULI

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Magister Institutionis Agrariae : Horticultural Science in the Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences University of Pretoria PRETORIA

**JUNE 2000** 

© University of Pretoria



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

-

v

- 6	ACK	NOWLE	DGEM	MENTS	iv	
	Abstr	act			v	
	1.	INTRODUCTION				
	2	LITERATURE REVIEW				
		2.1	The fie	eld water balance	3	
		2.2	Irrigati	ation and vegetable crop production		
			2,2,1	Vegetable crop growth and development	5	
			2.2.2	Irrigation management for specific vegetable crops	6	
				2.2.2.1 Greens	6	
				2.2.2.2 Salad crops	6	
				2.2.2.3 Crucifers	7	
				2.2.2.4 Root crops	7	
				2.2.2.5 Bulbous crops	8	
				2.2.2.6 Sweet corn	8	
				2.2.2.7 Beans	9	
				2.2.2.8 Solanaceous crops	9	
	3.	OVERVIEW OF THE SWB MODEL				
		3.1 Mc	12			
			3.1.1	The weather unit	12	
				3.1.1.1 Extraterrestrial radiation	12	
				3.1.1.2 Vapour pressure deficit	13	
				3.1.1.3 Net radiation	14	
				3.1.1.4 FAO reference evapotranspiration	15	
				3.1.1.5 Potential evapotranspiration	17	
				3.1.1.6 The Weather day step	17	
			3.1.2	The soil unit	18	
				3.1.2.1 Interception	20	
				3.1.2.2 Runoff	20	
				3.1.2.3 Infiltration and redistribution	21	

-----



		3.1.2.4 Evaporation	22		
		3.1.2.5 Transpiration	22		
		3.1.2.6 Soil water storage	25		
		3.1.2.6 Allowable depletion	25		
		3.1.3 The crop unit	26		
		3.1.3.1 Growing day degrees	27		
		3.1.3.2 Fractional interception of radiation	28		
		3,1.3,3 Crop height	28		
		3.1.3.4 Daily dry matter production increment	29		
		3.1.3.5 Daily harvestable dry matter increment	30		
		3.1.3.6 Partitioning of dry matter into other plant organs	31		
		3.1.3.7 Partitioning of dry matter under conditions of water stress	32		
		3.1.3.8 Leaf area index	32		
		3.1.3.9 Rooting depth	33		
4.	MATERIALS AND METHODS				
	4.1	Purpose of the study	34		
	4.2	Location and environmental characteristics	34		
	4.3	Experimental set up	34		
	4.4	Field measurements			
5.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION				
	5.1	Growth analysis	38		
	5.2	Crop growth parameters	39		
		5.2.1 Canopy radiation extinction coefficient	39		
		5 2.2 Dry matter-transpiration ratio (DWR) corrected for vapour pressure	47		
		deficit			
		5.2.3 Radiation conversion efficiency	49		
		5.2.4 Specific leaf area and stem-leaf partition parameter	51		
		5.2.5 Rooting depth and thermal time requirements	51		
	5.3	Yield and soil water balance			
	5.4 Model simulations				
6.	CON	CLUSIONS	57		

-----

. .

τ.



## iii

<ol> <li>SUMMARY</li> <li>REFERENCES</li> <li>APPENDICES</li> <li>APPENDIX A : Canopy radiation extinction coefficient</li> <li>APPENDIX B : Radiation conversion efficiency</li> <li>APPENDIX C : Model simulations and statistical analysis</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>9. APPENDICES</li> <li>APPENDIX A : Canopy radiation extinction coefficient</li> <li>APPENDIX B : Radiation conversion efficiency</li> <li>APPENDIX C : Model simulations and statistical analysis</li> </ul>
APPENDIX A : Canopy radiation extinction coefficient APPENDIX B : Radiation conversion efficiency APPENDIX C : Model simulations and statistical analysis
APPENDIX B : Radiation conversion efficiency APPENDIX C : Model simulations and statistical analysis
APPENDIX C : Model simulations and statistical analysis
ADDENIDLY D. Climatic data
APPENDIX D : Climatic data
APPENDIX E Growth analyses data



iv

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am greatly indebted to my supervisors, Dr. N. Z. Jovanovic, Prof. J. G. Annandale and Prof. D. I. Ferreira for their guidance and support, Mr W. van Wyk (Department of Agriculture) who made available his field trial at Roodeplaat, and was responsible for crop management, to the Water Research Commission for funding the project, and to all who have contributed in many ways to this work and to the compilation of this manuscript. My appreciation also goes to Dr. J. M. Steyn of the Agricultural Research Council – Vegetable and Ornamental Crop Institute, Roodeplaat, for providing the weather data.



V.

# GROWTH ANALYSES AND SOIL WATER BALANCE OF SELECTED VEGETABLE CROPS

by

#### NTUTHUZELO COLUMBUS MHLAULI

SUPERVISOR		Dr N. Z. Jovanovic
CO-SUPERVISOR	4	Dr J. G. Annandale
DEPARTMENT	:	Plant Production and Soil Science
DEGREE	:	M.Inst.Agrar. (Horticultural Science)

#### ABSTRACT

Two trials were set up at Roodeplaat (Gauteng Province, South Africa) on a clay loam soil, during the 1996 and 1996/97 growing seasons. The 1996 dry winter trial involved six winter vegetable species (Allium cepa, Brassica oleracea, Beta vulgaris, Daucus carota, Beta vulgaris spp. cicia, and Lactuca sativa), whilst the 1996/97 summer trial involved 19 cultivars covering 9 crop species (Lycopersicon esculentum, Zea mays saccharata, Cucurbita pepo, Cucurbita maxima, Cucurbita moschata, Phaseolus vulgaris, Phaseolus multiflorus, Solamun melongena, and Capsicum annuum). The objective was to determine crop water requirements and create a database of specific crop growth coefficients to be included in the Soil Water Balance (SWB) generic crop, irrigation scheduling model. The crops were irrigated with overhead sprinklers and irrigations were scheduled with a neutron probe. Soil water depletion was measured weekly with a neutron water meter, whilst growth analyses were carried out fortnightly. Fractional interception of photosynthetically active radiation was measured weekly with a sunfleck ceptometer. Weather data were recorded with an automatic weather station located close to the experimental site. Seasonal crop water requirements of these vegetables grown in Gauteng were successfully estimated. Crop water use of winter vegetables varied from around 280 mm for lettuce to 390 mm for carrots and swisschard. Seasonal crop water use of summer vegetables was estimated to be around 200 mm for both peppers, and between 350 and 400 mm for cucurbits. The following crop specific coefficients were determined: dry matter-transpiration ratio corrected for vapour pressure deficit, radiation



vi

conversion efficiency, specific leaf area, and dry matter partitioning parameters. Simulated values obtained with the SWB model were compared to measured data. The model provided good predictions of crop water use and harvestable dry matter under well-watered conditions. The application of the SWB model for irrigation scheduling of vegetables is recommended.