

**LINE OF SIGHT STABILIZATION
OF AN OPTICAL INSTRUMENT
USING
GAINED MAGNETOSTRICTIVE ACTUATORS**

by

CHRISTIAAN RUDOLF BESTER

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

PHILOSOPHIAE DOCTOR

in the Department of Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering,

Faculty of Engineering,
Built Environment
and Information Technology

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

FEBRUARY 2003

Abstract

LINE OF SIGHT STABILIZATION OF AN OPTICAL INSTRUMENT USING GAINED MAGNETOSTRICTIVE ACTUATORS

Author: CR Bester

Supervisor: Prof MC van Schoor

Department: Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering

Degree for which this thesis is submitted: Philosophiae Doctor

Line-of-sight stabilization of an optical instrument using magnetostrictive actuators is described in this study. Various stabilization methods, i.e. gyroscopic, hydraulic, piezoelectric, electrodynamic and magnetostrictive methods, are compared and magnetostrictive stabilization is selected for its relatively large stroke length, low input voltage and wide frequency bandwidth.

The system makes use of two magnetostrictive actuators, one at each end of the optical instrument, mounted between the moving base and instrument. Each actuator is equipped with cylindrical rods of Terfenol-D, a highly magnetostrictive material. Field coils are wound around the rods to produce a strain in the rods, thereby exciting angular motion of the instrument. Actuator stroke length is enhanced by means of a hingeless gain mechanism, rod prestressing and field biasing.

Dynamic characteristics of the system are modelled to facilitate actuator, coil and control system design. A linear, single-degree-of-freedom actuator model, in state-space and transfer function forms, is derived and coupled to a distributed model of the optical instrument, using the Rayleigh-Ritz method. Transfer functions between actuator coil voltages and instrument angular acceleration are derived. Normal mode shapes, natural frequencies and damping factors are predicted.

Design concepts for bias field, prestress, actuator gain and optical instrument support structure, are discussed and the most suitable concepts are selected. The required actuator gain, rod length and diameter, prestress spring stiffness, coil resistance and inductance are calculated. System components are designed in detail and safety of the design is checked.

The actuators are characterized quasi-statically to determine the saturation strain, linear range of operation and DC bias field. The system is dynamically characterized to obtain transfer functions between the coil voltage and instrument angular acceleration. The test setups are described and limitations of the setups are discussed. Test results are processed and discussed. A comparison with the modelled results shows that the model is highly inaccurate. Reasons for inaccuracies are given and updating of the model is motivated.

An updated model is obtained from the experimental results. The model is divided into electrical and mechanical subsystem models. The SDOF actuator models are replaced with 2DOF models (one for each actuator) and coupled to the instrument and base models, using substructure synthesis. The electrical and mechanical subsystem models are subsequently coupled. It is shown that the updated system model is considerably more accurate than the original model.

A linear, suboptimal, disturbance feedforward plus output feedback controller, with output integral feedback, is designed, implemented and tested. An H_2 optimal controller is designed and modified to improve robustness. The controller model is coupled to that of a suboptimal observer. An output integral feedback loop is added to further improve robustness. The controller is implemented in digital filter form. The test apparatus and procedure are described. Test results are processed and discussed. It is shown that the LOS stabilization system achieves 80% of the required isolation, over a frequency bandwidth of 0 Hz to 100 Hz.

A summary of the work done, conclusions that can be drawn from the results, problems encountered and recommendations for future work, are given.

Keywords: Line-of-sight, stabilization, active vibration isolation, magnetostriction, Terfenol-D, actuators, gain mechanisms

Uittreksel

SIGLYNSTABILISASIE VAN 'N OPTIESE INSTRUMENT MET BEHULP VAN MAGNETOSTRIKSIE-AKTUEERDERS MET WINSMEGANISMES

Outeur: CR Bester

Promotor: Prof MC van Schoor

Departement: Meganiese en Lugvaartkundige Ingenieurswese

Graad waarvoor hierdie proefskrif ingedien is: Philosophiae Doctor

Siglynstabilisasie van 'n optiese instrument, m.b.v. magnetrostriksie-aktueerders, word in hierdie studie beskryf. Verskeie stabilisasiemetodes, nl. giroskopiese-, hidrouliese-, piezoëlektriese-, elektrodinamiese- en magnetrostriksiemetodes, word vergelyk en magnetrostriksiestabilisasie word verkies omdat dit 'n relatiewe lang slaglengte, lae insetspanning and wye frekwensieband het.

Die stelsel maak van twee magnetrostriksie-aktueerders, een by elke punt van die optiese instrument, wat tussen die bewegende basis en instrument gemonteer is, gebruik. Elke aktueerder bevat silindriese stawe van 'n hoogs magnetrostriktiewe materiaal, bekend as Terfenol-D. Klosse is rondom die stawe gewen om 'n veld in die stawe te bewerkstellig, wat 'n vervorming van die stawe teweegbring. Sodoende word hoekbeweging van die optiese instrument opgewek. Aktueerderslaglengte word vergroot deur 'n skarnierlose winsmeganisme, meganiese voorspanning van die stawe en veldvoorspanning.

Die dinamiese karakteristieke van die stelsel word gemodelleer om aktueerder-, klos- en beheerstelselontwerp te vergemaklik. 'n Lineêre, enkelvryheidsgraadmodel van die aktueerder word in toestand- en oordragfunksievorm afgelei en m.b.v. die Rayleigh-Ritzmetode aan 'n verspreide massamodel van die optiese instrument gekoppel. Oordragfunksies tussen die aktueerderklosspannings en hoekversnelling van die optiese instrument word afgelei. Normaalmodusvorme, natuurlike frekwensies en dempingsfaktore word voorspel.

Ontwerpkonsepte vir veldvoorspanning, meganiese voorspanning, aktueerderwinsmeganisme en ondersteuningstruktuur vir die optiese instrument, word bespreek en die mees geskikte konsepte word gekies. Die benodigde aktueerderwins, staaflengte en -diameter, voorspanningveerstyfheid, klosweerstand en -induktansie, word bereken. Detailontwerpe van die stelselkomponente word gedoen en ontwerpveiligheid word ondersoek.

Die aktueerders word kwasi-staties gekarakteriseer om die versadigingspanning, lineêre gebied en GS voorspanningsveld te bepaal. Die stelsel word dinamies gekarakteriseer om oordragfunksies tussen die klosspanning en hoekversnelling van die instrument te verkry. Die toetsopstellings en beperkinge daarvan word bespreek. Toetsresultate word verwerk en bespreek. 'n Vergelyking tussen die gemodelleerde en gemete resultate toon dat die model hoogs onakkuraat is. Redes vir die onakkuraathede word gegee en modelopdatering word gemotiveer.

'n Opgedateerde model word uit die eksperimentele resultate verkry. Die model word in elektriese en meganiese substelselmodelle opgedeel. Die enkelvryheidsgraadmodelle van die aktueerders word met tweevryheidsgraadmodelle (een vir elke aktueerder) vervang en m.b.v.

substruktuursintese aan die instrument- en basismodelle gekoppel. Die elektriese en meganiese substelselmodelle word daarna gekoppel. Daar word aangetoon dat die opgedateerde model beduidend akkurater as die oorspronklike model is.

'n Lineêre, suboptimale, versturingvorentoevoer-, plus uitsetterugvoerbeheerder, met uitsetintegraalterugvoer, word ontwerp, geïmplementeer en getoets. 'n H_2 -optimale beheerder word ontwerp en gewysig om robuustheid te verbeter. Die beheerdermodel word aan 'n suboptimale waarnemermodel gekoppel. 'n Uitsetintegraalterugvoerlus word bygevoeg om robuustheid verder te verbeter. Die beheerder word as 'n digitale filter geïmplementeer. Die toetsapparaat en -prosedure word beskryf. Toetsresultate word verwerk en bespreek. Daar word aangetoon dat die siglynstabilisasiestelsel 80% van die benodigde isolasie oor 'n frekwensieband van 0 Hz tot 100 Hz lewer.

'n Opsomming van die werk wat gedoen is, gevolgtrekkings wat uit die resultate gemaak kan word, probleme ondervind en aanbevelings t.o.v. toekomstige werk, word gegee.

Sleutelwoorde: Siglyn, stabilisasie, aktiewe vibrasie-isolasie, magnetostriksie, Terfenol-D, aktueerders, winsmeganismes

Acknowledgements

To everybody who helped me through this study. I can compile a list that is much longer than the following, but no shorter.

Firstly, to my Lord and Saviour, the Holy, Almighty God, the Alpha and the Omega, Creator of everything that is, was and will be, for His support throughout my entire life, and especially during this extremely time-consuming and costly job.

My study leader, prof. Tienie van Schoor, for his profound knowledge of Structural Dynamics, and for keeping me on the right track when I was about to derail into the torture chambers of nonlinear, stochastic, multiple degree of freedom vibrations.

Dr Tupper Hyde, who spent his holiday time during his visit to South Africa, to give me useful tips on controller design.

Mr Wynand Avenant and prof. Jasper Steyn, for their financial support.

The friendly staff of Etrema in Ames, Iowa, for their hospitality during my visit there, as well as to the students in the Department of Mechanical Engineering at ISU, Dave, Jon and Toby.

Prof. Stephan Heyns, Gerrit Visser, Danie Smit and Herman Booysen, for lending me their precious laboratory equipment.

Jan Brandt, who made everything I asked him to, and Willie Vos, who drew everything I asked him to.

My friends Andre Ernst and Jacques Cilliers, who tried to train me as an electronics engineer. Thanks for helping me find the correct hardware and software that enabled me to complete the experimental work.

Prof. Jan Visser and prof. Albert Groenwold, who gave me computers to do my calculations and typing on.

My parents, for their relentless prayers, support and understanding, especially when I wanted to take a hammer and stop all vibrations in the laboratory permanently.

Elmi van der Dussen, for her support, even when I was extremely grumpy.

INDEX

	Page
Index	ix
List of symbols	xvii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Problem formulation	1
1.2 Comparison between passive and active isolation	4
1.3 Magnetostrictive active LOS stabilization	9
1.4 Magnetostriction literature survey	14
1.4.1 Literature on magnetostrictive material characterization	15
1.4.2 Literature on magnetostrictive vibration isolation applications	16
1.5 Thesis outline	18
2 Modelling and simulation of magnetostrictive line of sight stabilization system	21
2.1 Background	21
2.2 Magnetostrictive and magnetization characteristics of Terfenol-D	23
2.2.1 Magnetostrictive characteristics of Terfenol-D	25
Linear magnetostrictive characteristics of Terfenol-D	30
Large amplitude linear magnetostrictive characteristics of Terfenol-D	33
Inverse magnetostrictive characteristics of Terfenol-D	36
2.2.2 Magnetization characteristics of Terfenol-D	37
Linear magnetization characteristics of Terfenol-D	40
2.3 The effects of hysteresis on the magnetostrictive and magnetization characteristics of Terfenol-D	45
The effects of hysteresis on the strain constant of Terfenol-D	48
Linear magnetostrictive hysteresis loss factor and viscous damping coefficient	52
Viscous damping coefficient for magnetostrictive hysteresis	55
The effects of hysteresis on the magnetization characteristics of Terfenol-D	58
2.4 Linear and nonlinear equations of motion and coil current equations of a Terfenol-D actuator	61
Linear equation of motion of a Terfenol-D actuator	64
Nonlinear coil current equation of a Terfenol-D actuator	67
Linear coil current equation of a Terfenol-D actuator	70
2.5 State-space model and transfer functions of a Terfenol-D actuator	72
2.5.1 State-space model of a Terfenol-D actuator	72
Linear state and output equations of a Terfenol-D actuator	76
2.5.2 Complex Laplace-domain transfer functions of a Terfenol-D actuator	78
2.5.3 Actuator frequency domain transfer function	81
2.6 Natural vibration behaviour of a Terfenol-D actuator	82

INDEX (continued)

	Page
2.7	Simulation of the characteristics and behaviour of a Terfenol-D actuator 86
2.7.1	Solution techniques for nonlinear equations of motion 86
	Exact methods 87
	The perturbation method and the method of slowly varying amplitude and phase 87
	Statistical linearization 87
	The Describing Function (DF) method 88
	Runge-Kutta (RK) methods 89
	Iterative harmonic balance technique 90
2.7.2	Selection and description of a suitable solution technique for the actuator state equations 91
	Description of the iterative harmonic balance technique 92
2.7.3	Terfenol-D actuator simulation procedure and results 93
	Actuator and simulation parameters 93
	Actuator linear characteristics 94
2.8	Magnetostrictive LOS stabilization system model 97
2.8.1	System layout and mathematical model 97
2.8.2	Solution methods for beam equations of motion 100
	Exact separation of variables method 101
	The Rayleigh-Ritz method 102
	The Galerkin method 103
	The Finite Difference method 103
	The Finite Element method (FEM) 104
	Selection of the Rayleigh-Ritz method 104
2.8.3	Detailed discussion of the Rayleigh-Ritz method 105
	Inclusion of viscous damping in the Rayleigh-Ritz model of the beam 109
	Rayleigh-Ritz model of beam excited by actuators with hysteresis damping 111
2.8.4	Natural frequencies, normal mode shapes and modal damping factors 113
2.8.5	Forced behaviour of the LOS stabilization system 116
2.9	Summary of chapter 2 and preview of chapter 3 122
3	Design and manufacture of magnetostrictive LOS stabilization system 123
3.1	Background 123
3.2	Magnetostrictive actuator and system design input parameters 123
3.3	Terfenol-D LOS stabilization system performance parameters 126
	Actuator stroke length 126
	Actuator force 127
	System bandwidth 128
	Coil resistance to inductance ratio 130
	Summary of required system parameters 130

INDEX (continued)

	Page	
3.4	Magnetostrictive LOS stabilization system design concepts	131
3.4.1	Actuator gain concepts	134
	Resonance spring gain mechanism	134
	Hydraulic gain mechanism	137
	Elliptical gain mechanism	141
	Octagonal flexural gain mechanism	143
3.4.2	Magnetic biasing concepts	145
	Permanent magnet field biasing	145
	Electromagnetic field biasing	149
3.4.3	Mechanical biasing concepts	151
	Mechanical biasing with Belleville springs	154
	Mechanical biasing with coil springs	156
3.4.4	Terfenol-D actuator and LOS stabilization system design concept	160
3.5	Detailed design of the Terfenol-D actuators and stabilization system	161
3.5.1	Detailed design of the Terfenol-D actuators	161
	Rod length, diameter and actuator gain factor	162
	Comparison between simulated and required stroke length and strain	162
	Practical aspects to be considered in the selection of rod length and gain	163
	Rod length and gain factor selection procedure	164
	Selection of rod diameter and calculation of rod cross-sectional area	165
	Calculation of gain mechanism deflection, stiffness, stress and geometry	166
	FEM calculation of gain, gain mechanism deflection profile, stiffness and stresses	168
3.5.2	Detailed design of field coils	171
	Mathematical relationships required for coil design	172
	Practical coil design aspects	176
	Coil design procedure	179
	Coil parameters	180
3.5.3	Detailed design of prestress springs	182
3.5.4	Support structure design	184
3.6	System assembly drawing and component detail drawings	187
3.7	Design safety checks	193
	3.7.1 Static buckling of the Terfenol-D rods	193
	3.7.2 Dynamic buckling of the Terfenol-D rods	197
3.8	Investigation of eddy current losses in the Terfenol-D rods	199
3.9	Terfenol-D actuator and system manufacture and assembly procedures	203
3.10	Summary of chapter 3 and preview of chapter 4	204

INDEX (continued)

	Page
4 Experimental testing of magnetostrictive LOS stabilization system	205
4.1 Background	205
4.2 Technical details of the test specimens	206
4.3 Test setups and equipment	208
4.3.1 Test setups	208
4.3.2 Signal generation equipment	211
Digital processing card	211
Power amplifier	212
4.3.3 Signal measuring, acquisition and analyzing equipment	213
Displacement transducer	214
Accelerometers	214
Signal analyzer	214
4.4 Test design and procedure	215
4.4.1 Quasi-static test signal generation	215
4.4.2 Quasi-static test execution	216
4.4.3 Quasi-static test results and discussion of results	216
4.4.4 Calculation of bias voltage for dynamic tests	218
4.4.5 Dynamic test signal selection, design and generation	220
Dynamic test signal types and selection of the most suitable signal type	220
Steady-state harmonic inputs	220
Stepped-sine inputs	220
Sine sweep inputs	220
Random inputs	220
Transient inputs	221
Dynamic test input signal design	221
Dynamic test signal generation	222
4.4.6 Dynamic test execution	223
4.5 Dynamic test results	224
4.5.1 Dynamic test data processing	224
4.5.2 Transfer function spectra	226
4.5.3 Discussion of dynamic test results	228
4.5.4 Comparison between experimental and modelled results	229
4.6 Summary of chapter 4 and preview of chapter 5	232
5 Identification of system parameters and updating of system model	233
5.1 Background	233
Motivation for updating the system model	234
Layout of this chapter	234
5.2 System identification theory	235
5.2.1 Linear system identification models and techniques	236
5.2.2 Nonlinear system identification models and techniques	237
5.2.3 Selection of a suitable identification technique	237
5.2.4 Least-Squares method for frequency-domain identification	238
Methods for solving least-squares problems	240

INDEX (continued)

	Page
5.3 Updated system <i>TF</i> model from least-squares data fit	241
5.4 Identification of coil characteristics	244
5.4.1 Separation of electrical and mechanical subsystem models	245
5.4.2 Coil model	246
5.5 Mechanical subsystem model using component mode synthesis	249
System equation of motion in terms of component displacements	251
System modal analysis	251
Component assumed mode shapes	252
Component displacements in terms of assumed mode displacements	255
Component modal mass and stiffness matrices	256
System modal equation of motion	257
System coupled modal displacements	258
System coupled modal equation of motion	260
Normal mode shapes, natural frequencies, mass and stiffness matrices	260
5.6 Updated system model	262
Updated natural frequencies and normal mode shapes	263
Updated system state-space and transfer function model	265
System base to output transmissibility	272
Two-input state-space model for control system analysis and design purposes	275
5.7 Summary of chapter 5 and preview of chapter 6	278
6 Design, implementation and testing of a controller for the LOS stabilization system	281
6.1 Background	281
6.2 Summary of various controller types	282
6.2.1 Open-loop feedforward controllers	282
6.2.2 Closed-loop feedback controllers	282
6.2.3 Output feedback controllers	283
6.2.4 State-feedback controllers	284
6.2.5 Linear controllers	285
6.2.6 Nonlinear controllers	285
6.2.7 Tracking controllers	286
6.2.8 Regulators	287
6.2.9 Optimal controllers	287
6.2.10 Conclusion of section 6.2	288

INDEX (continued)

	Page
6.3 Principles of disturbance attenuation	289
6.3.1 Attenuation factor	289
6.3.2 Nature of the disturbance	291
6.3.3 Requirements for disturbance and noise attenuation	292
6.3.4 Attenuation of a known disturbance entering the system at a given point	293
6.3.5 Optimal disturbance attenuation using H_2 and H_∞ optimization techniques	295
6.3.6 Preshaping of command inputs	296
6.3.7 Attenuation of a continuous disturbance by means of digital regulation	297
6.3.8 Motion controllers	298
6.3.8.1 Relative motion controller	299
6.3.8.2 Absolute motion controller	303
6.3.8.3 Disturbance feedforward (open-loop) controller	307
6.3.8.4 Absolute plus base motion controller	309
6.3.8.5 Robustness improvement	311
6.3.9 “Two-way” isolation	313
6.4 Control system design	314
6.4.1 Control system design specifications	314
6.4.2 General control system requirements	314
6.4.3 Selection of a suitable controller type	314
6.4.4 Control system design method	316
Detailed description of design procedure	317
Plant, controller and observer state-space, TF and digital filter equations	318
Observability matrix	318
Controllability matrix	319
State and TF equations for a state feedback controlled system	319
Optimal control design equations	319
State-space and TF equations for coupled plant, observer and controller	320
Design equations for LQE optimal observer	322
State-space and TF equations of coupled observer and state plus output integral feedback controller	322
Digital filter equivalents of continuous TF 's	323
Control design by means of Matlab and Simulink	325
Real-time simulation of closed-loop system behaviour	325
6.4.5 Plant, controller, observer and closed-loop system parameters	327
Plant canonical state-space matrices	332
Observability	332
Controllability	332
Selection of output and control weights and calculation of state feedback gains	333
Closed-loop attenuation factors for optimal state feedback gains	333
Suboptimal state feedback controller	336
Linear quadratic optimal observer (LQE)	339
Suboptimal controller with suboptimal observer	343
Suboptimal controller with suboptimal observer and output integral feedback	345
Equivalent digital filters	347
Equivalent digital filter for output integrator and filter gain	348

INDEX (continued)

	Page	
6.5	Controller test setup and equipment	349
6.6	Controller implementation and test procedure	351
6.6.1	Disturbance excitation	351
6.6.2	Controller implementation	354
6.6.3	Test procedure	356
6.6.4	Test execution	357
6.7	Controller test results	358
6.7.1	Processing of test results	358
6.7.2	Experimental test results	358
6.7.3	Discussion of test results	363
6.8	Summary of chapter 6	364
7	Summary, conclusions and recommendations	365
7.1	Summary	365
7.2	Contributions and conclusions	368
7.3	Problems encountered	369
	Design of octagonal gain mechanisms	369
	Coil design	370
	Excitation of base motion	371
7.4	Recommendations for future work	372
	References	375
	Appendices	A
Appendix A:	Complex Laplace-domain transfer functions from state-space equations	A.1
Appendix B:	Exact solution methods for equations of motion of nonlinear systems	B.1
Appendix C:	The perturbation method	C.1
Appendix D:	The method of slowly-varying amplitude and phase	D.1
Appendix E:	Statistical linearization	E.1
	Statistical linearization applied to a system with hysteretic restoring forces	E.2
Appendix F:	The Describing Function (DF) method	F.1
Appendix G:	Fifth order Runge-Kutta (R-K) method	G.1
Appendix H:	Actuator nonlinear dehyserized transfer functions	H.1
Appendix J:	Actuator linear dehyserized characteristics	J.1
Appendix K:	Actuator linear characteristics, including hysteresis effects	K.1
Appendix L:	Exact separation of variables method	L.1
Appendix M:	Derivation of system state-space model	M.1
Appendix N:	Derivation of complex Laplace-domain transfer function of a hydraulically-gained magnetostrictive actuator	N.1
	Bulk modulus and equivalent linear liquid stiffness	N.2
	Deflection of the Terfenol-D rod	N.3
	Equation of motion	N.4
	Coil current equation	N.5
	State-space equations	N.6
	Coil voltage to displacement TF	N.8

INDEX (continued)

	Page
Appendix P: Displacement gain factor for elliptical gain mechanism	P.1
Appendix Q: Exact and approximate gain factors for octagonal flexural gain mechanism	Q.1
Approximate gain factor	Q.2
Appendix R: Volume of a permanent magnet	R.1
Appendix S: Maximum coil power for electromagnetic field biasing	S.1
Appendix T: Fundamental natural frequency of a simply-supported beam with a concentrated mass attached at the centre and which is subjected to a compressive axial force	T.1
Appendix U: Linear and nonlinear system identification models and techniques	U.1
U.1 Linear system identification models and techniques	U.1
Time-domain model from free-decay response	U.1
Identification models for time-varying systems	U.2
Regression techniques	U.2
Laplace- and frequency-domain TF models from discrete time-domain models	U.3
Frequency-domain models from measured TF spectra	U.4
State-space identification of linear systems	U.5
U.2 Nonlinear system identification models and techniques	U.6
Time-domain identification techniques for nonlinear systems	U.6
Force-state component identification	U.7
Frequency-domain identification of nonlinear systems	U.7
Identification using neural networks	U.8
Appendix V: Gauss-Newton method applied to LS identification in the frequency domain	V.1
Appendix W: Derivation of system transmissibility and two-input model	W.1
Two-input state-space model for control system analysis and design purposes	W.2
Appendix X: General control system requirements	X.1
X.1 Accuracy	X.1
X.2 Stability	X.2
X.3 Sensitivity	X.3
X.4 Reaction speed	X.3
X.5 Control effort	X.4
X.6 Robustness	X.7
X.7 Observability	X.10
X.8 Controllability	X.13

List of symbols

a	coefficient polynomial coefficient length of minor axis of ellipse
A	amplitude area state-space coefficient matrix
b	coefficient length of major axis of ellipse
B	magnetic flux density state-space driving matrix
c	damping coefficient
C	coefficient vector coupling matrix damping matrix parameter vector programming language state-space output matrix spring index
C^*	normal mode damping matrix
d	diameter disturbance wire diameter of a coil spring
d^H	strain constant
d^σ	piezomagnetic cross-coupling constant
D	diameter differential (element of a controller) distance disturbance amplitude pitch circle diameter state-space transmission matrix
e	error error signal
E	error amplitude expected value global error Young's modulus
EI	flexural rigidity
f	frequency function
f_n	natural frequency
F	force force amplitude vector
g	function gravity acceleration ($9,81 \text{ m/s}^2$)
G	feedforward transfer function gain transfer function

List of symbols (continued)

h	function height
H	constant height magnetic field strength output feedback gain discrete state-space output matrix
i	imaginary number ($\sqrt{-1}$)
I	coil current integral (element of a controller) moment of inertia, second moment of area unit matrix
j	imaginary number ($\sqrt{-1}$)
J	cost function discrete state-space transmission matrix
k	constant dimensionless constant number spring stiffness stiffness matrix
K	calibration factor constant correction factor coupled modal stiffness matrix gain linear state feedback gain matrix, linear state feedback gain vector stiffness matrix
K^*	normal mode stiffness matrix
l	length number optical instrument length
L	inductance observer driving matrix
L_f	free inductance
L_0	clamped inductance
m	mass mass matrix number
M	dimensionless constant mass matrix modal mass matrix number
M^*	normal mode mass matrix
n	noise number
N	cross-coupling weight nonlinearity (in describing function method) number

List of symbols (continued)

p	frequency ratio load vector numerator polynomial coefficient pitch pole (of a transfer function) polynomial coefficient
P	distributed load numerator polynomial perimeter power proportional (element of a controller) Riccati matrix spectral density
q	denominator polynomial coefficient normal mode displacement number polynomial coefficient
Q	denominator polynomial modal excitation force weight
r	reference input, reference signal root, zero (of a transfer function)
R	control weight, input weight reference amplitude resistance
s	Laplace-domain differential operator polynomial coefficient
S	constant Riccati matrix sensitivity function
t	thickness time
T	complimentary sensitivity function constant couple maximum time moment period torque
u	control signal input
U	control amplitude input amplitude matrix of eigenvectors
v	output noise signal

List of symbols (continued)

V	coil voltage Laplace-domain amplitude of a function voltage volume
V_i	input voltage
V_o	output voltage
w	distributed displacement process noise signal weight
W	distributed displacement amplitude
x	deflection displacement position state variable state vector
\dot{x}	state derivative vector
\hat{x}	estimated state vector
X	state amplitude vector
y	output displacement stroke length
\hat{y}	modelled output estimated output
\ddot{y}	output acceleration
Y	output amplitude
\ddot{Y}	acceleration amplitude
z	zero of a transfer function
z^{-1}	discrete domain differential operator discrete domain delay element
Z	impedance

Abbreviations

AC	alternating current
A/D	analogue-to-digital
AR	auto regressive
ARE	algebraic Riccati equation
ARIMA	auto regressive integrated moving average
ARMA	auto regressive moving average
ARMAX	auto regressive integrated moving average with exogenous inputs
ARX	auto regressive with exogenous inputs
AWG	American wire gauge
bei	imaginary part of Kelvin function of { }
ber	real part of Kelvin function of { }
BW	(frequency) bandwidth
cf	coupling factor

List of symbols (continued)

<i>const</i>	constant
CGS	classical Gram-Schmidt
CPU	central processing unit
CSD	cross-spectral density
dB	decibel
D/A	digital-to-analogue
DC	direct current
DE	differential equation
DF	describing function
DFT	Discrete Fourier Transform
DMA	direct memory access
DOF	degree of freedom
DSP	digital signal processing
e.g.	“exempli gratia”, for example
foh	first order hold
FD	finite difference method
FE	finite element
FEM	finite element method
FFT	Fast Fourier Transform
FORSE	Frequency domain Observability Range Space Extraction
<i>FRF</i>	frequency response function
HP	high-pass
i.e.	“id est”, that is
Im	imaginary part of { }
ITD	Ibrahim time domain
LOS	line of sight
LP	low-pass
LQ	linear quadratic
LQE	linear quadratic estimator
LQG	linear quadratic gaussian
LQR	linear quadratic regulator
LS	least squares
<i>LTF</i>	loop transfer function
LTI	linear time invariant
<i>LTR</i>	loop transfer recovery
LVDT	linear variable displacement transducer
MA	moving average
MDFT	multi-dimensional Fourier Transform
MDOF	multiple degree of freedom
MGS	modified Gram-Schmidt
MIMO	multiple input multiple output
MS	mean-square
NARMAX	nonlinear autoregressive moving average with exogenous inputs
NARX	nonlinear autoregressive with exogenous inputs
ORSE	Observability Range Space Extraction
<i>pf</i>	packing factor
p-p	peak-to-peak
P	proportional (controller)

List of symbols (continued)

PC	personal computer
PCD	pitch circle diameter
PD	proportional-differential (controller)
PDE	partial differential equation
PI	performance index
	proportional-integral (controller)
PID	proportional-integral-differential (controller)
PSD	power spectral density
RAM	random access memory
Re	real part of { }
R-K	Runge-Kutta
RLS	recursive least squares
RMS	root-mean-square
SDOF	single degree of freedom
SISO	single input single output
<i>sup</i>	supremum
SVD	singular value decomposition
<i>TF</i>	transfer function
<i>TR</i>	transmissibility
zoh	zero order hold

Subscripts

<i>a</i>	active (e.g. in active number of coils in a spring N_a) actuator (e.g. in actuator output y_a)
<i>A</i>	active (e.g. in active system transmissibility TR_A) amplitude (e.g. in field amplitude H_A)
<i>asymm</i>	asymmetric (e.g. in asymmetric assumed mode vector)
<i>b</i>	base (e.g. in base excitation force F_b)
<i>B</i>	bias (e.g. in bias field strength H_B , bias force F_B) bulk modulus (e.g. in fluid spring stiffness due to compressibility K_B)
<i>c</i>	calibration (e.g. in disturbance accelerometer calibration factor K_{cd}) coil controller (e.g. in controller transfer function G_c) corrected (e.g. in corrected spring wire shear stress τ_c)
<i>ck</i>	index (e.g. k -th coil transfer function numerator coefficient)
<i>cl</i>	index (e.g. l -th coil transfer function denominator coefficient) closed-loop (e.g. in closed-loop coefficient matrix A_{cl})
<i>cr</i>	critical (e.g. in critical buckling force F_{cr} , critical eddy current frequency f_{cr})
<i>crisscross</i>	indicating relative location between actuator and sensor
<i>d</i>	disturbance (e.g. in driving matrix B_d for disturbance d)
<i>dehyst</i>	dehysterized
<i>distr</i>	distributed (e.g. in distributed density ρ_{distr})
<i>D</i>	damping (e.g. in damping force F_D) differential (e.g. in differential control element)
<i>DC</i>	direct current (e.g. in TR_{DC} , i.e. transmissibility at 0 Hz)

List of symbols (continued)

<i>e</i>	ellipse (e.g. in perimeter of ellipse P_e) external (e.g. in external diameter D_e) inactive (e.g. in inactive number of coils in a spring N_e)
<i>eff</i>	effective (e.g. effective damping in a coupled electro-mechanical system)
<i>eq</i>	equivalent (e.g. in equivalent density ρ_{eq})
<i>f</i>	free (eg. in free inductance L_f) feedforward (e.g. in feedforward gain G_f)
<i>filter</i>	filter (e.g. in filter transfer function G_{filter})
<i>fluid</i>	fluid (e.g. in fluid stiffness k_{fluid})
<i>g</i>	gap (e.g. flux density in the air gap of a magnetic path)
<i>G</i>	gain (e.g. in gain mechanism damping ζ_G) gyration (e.g. in radius of gyration r_G)
<i>h</i>	feedback (e.g. in feedback gain G_h)
<i>hyst</i>	hysteresis
<i>i</i>	index (e.g. <i>i</i> -th element or mode) inner (e.g. in inner coil diameter d_i) input (e.g. in input voltage V_i)
<i>I</i>	current (e.g. in force per unit current F_i) integral (e.g. in integral feedback control gain H_i)
<i>ii</i>	index (e.g. <i>ii</i> -th diagonal element of matrix)
<i>ij</i>	index (e.g. <i>ij</i> -th element of matrix)
<i>j</i>	index (e.g. <i>j</i> -th element)
<i>k</i>	index (e.g. <i>k</i> -th element)
<i>l</i>	index (e.g. <i>l</i> -th element) number (e.g. in number of coil layers n_l)
<i>loading</i>	indicating driving direction (e.g. loading strain $\epsilon_{loading}$)
<i>m</i>	magnetic (e.g. in flux density in magnet B_m) mean mechanical (e.g. in mechanical subsystem transfer function G_m)
<i>M</i>	maximum index, i.e. polynomial order (e.g. in b_M)
<i>max</i>	maximum (e.g. in maximum field H_{max})
<i>min</i>	minimum (e.g. in minimum field amplitude H_{min})
<i>n</i>	index (e.g. <i>n</i> -th element) natural (e.g. in natural frequency f_n) normal mode (e.g. in generalized normal mode displacement q_n) number
<i>nc</i>	natural, compressible (e.g. in natural frequency due to compressibility)
<i>ni</i>	natural, incompressible (e.g. in natural frequency due to incompressibility)
<i>N</i>	maximum index, i.e. polynomial order (e.g. in a_N)
<i>o</i>	outer (e.g. in outer coil diameter d_o) output (e.g. in output voltage V_o)
<i>p</i>	piston (e.g. in piston cross-sectional area (A_p)) plant (e.g. in plant transfer function G_p) power (e.g. in time where maximum coil power occurs t_p)
<i>P</i>	passive (e.g. in passive system transmissibility TR_P)
<i>parallel</i>	indicating relative location between actuator and sensor

List of symbols (continued)

r	index (e.g. in r -th error coefficient e_r) reduced-order (e.g. in reduced-order stiffness matrix K_r) relative (e.g. in relative displacement y_r) rod (e.g. in rod force F_r)
ref	reference (e.g. in volume per unit volume V_{ref})
$rigid$	rigid body (e.g. in rigid body assumed mode vector)
$rotation$	indicating degree of freedom (e.g. in rotational transfer function $G_{rotation}$)
$row, rows$	index (e.g. of a row or rows in a column vector or matrix)
R	resonance (e.g. in resonance magnitude of a transfer function TF_R)
RMS	root-mean-square (e.g. in root-mean-square of output y_{RMS})
s	sample (e.g. in sample time T_s) shear (e.g. in spring material shear modulus G_s) structure (e.g. in structure assumed mode vector ψ_s) system (e.g. in system state vector x_s)
spr	spring (e.g. in spring stiffness k_{spr})
ss	steady-state (e.g. in steady-state Riccati matrix S_{ss})
$symm$	symmetric (e.g. in symmetric assumed mode vector)
S	static (e.g. in static transfer function magnitude TF_S)
t	total (e.g. in total number of coils of a spring N_t)
$translation$	indicating degree of freedom (e.g. in translational transfer function $G_{translation}$)
T	Terfenol-D
u	input (e.g. in driving matrix B_u for controllable input u)
$unloading$	indicating driving direction (e.g. unloading strain $\epsilon_{unloading}$)
V	voltage (e.g. in input power spectral density P_{vv})
v	voltage (e.g. in time where maximum voltage occurs t_v)
w	wire (e.g. in coil wire thickness)
wc	wire coating (e.g. in coated wire thickness t_{wc})
W	Wahl (e.g. in Wahl shear stress correction factor K_w)
x	state (e.g. in state weight Q_x)
y	output (e.g. in output weight Q_y)
0 (zero)	clamped (e.g. in clamped inductance L_0 , clamped permeability μ_0) reference value (e.g. in undeformed height of gain mechanism h_0) steady-state value zero-th value
1,2,...	index (e.g. in gains K_1, K_2, \dots)

Superscripts

i	exponent
iv	fourth partial derivative with respect to spatial coordinate
j	exponent
k	exponent
l	exponent
*	normal (e.g. in normal, or uncoupled modal stiffness matrix K^*) optimal (e.g. in optimal output y^*)
T	transpose (of a matrix or vector)
-1	inverse (e.g. of matrix, parameter or variable)

List of symbols (continued)

Greek

α	angle dimensionless constant real part of eigenvalue
β	dimensionless constant
χ	integration variable eddy current loss factor
δ	Dirac delta function
Δ	change in parameter or variable
ε	strain
E	strain amplitude
ϕ	diameter magnetic flux normal mode shape phase angle
Φ	normal mode shape vector discrete state-space coefficient matrix
γ	attenuation factor dimensionless hysteresis parameter
Γ	discrete state-space driving matrix
η	integration variable loss factor
κ	constant statistical degrees of freedom
μ	micro permeability
μ^σ	free permeability
ν	Poissons ratio
φ	phase angle
π	constant (= 3,14159265358979.....)
ρ	density resistivity
ρ_A	mass per unit length
σ	stress
$\overline{\sigma} []$	largest singular value of []
Σ	sum
τ	period shear stress
θ	angle angular displacement dummy variable phase angle
$\ddot{\theta}$	angular acceleration
ω	angular frequency
ω_n	angular natural frequency

List of symbols (continued)

Ω	constant frequency imaginary part of eigenvalue
ψ	angle assumed mode shape function
Ψ	assumed mode shape vector
ζ	dimensionless damping factor
Z	dimensionless modal damping matrix

Other

∞	infinity
H_2	type of optimal controller
H_∞	type of optimal controller
\cdot	(dot) derivative with respect to time $\frac{d}{dt}(\)$
$\ddot{}$	(double dot) 2 nd order derivative with respect to time
\prime	(prime) partial derivative with respect to spatial coordinate
$\prime\prime$	(double prime) 2 nd order partial derivative with respect to spatial coordinate
$\bar{}$	(overbar) mean or average value
$\hat{}$	error (e.g. in estimated state vector \bar{x})
$\hat{}$	(hat): approximate value estimated value (of state or output)
\int	integral
∂	partial derivative
$ $	absolute value
$ $	determinant
$ $	magnitude
$[[]]$	determinant of matrix
$(\)$	function
$\{ \}$	vector
$[]$	matrix
2DOF	two degree of freedom