



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

**A COMPARISON OF SELECTED PUBLIC HEALTH CRITERIA
IN MILK FROM MILK-SHOPS AND FROM
A NATIONAL DISTRIBUTOR**

by

MARIANNE MORE O'FERRALL-BERNDT

**Submitted in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of
M.Med.Vet. (Hyg.)**

**in the
Faculty of Veterinary Science,
University of Pretoria**

PRETORIA

MARCH 2000



“Milk is nearly a perfect food” - Hippocrates

Dedicated to:

my husband, RORY and our son, SEBASTIAN

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The help, support and co-operation of a number of people have made this work possible. I express my sincere thanks, appreciation and gratitude to the following people and organisations:

My husband, Rory, for the many hours of help in the laboratory, as well as for his infinite patience, support, encouragement and assistance during the my post-graduate studies and at all other times;

Professor C M Veary, my promoter, for his valuable advice, guidance and encouragement during this project and throughout my post-graduate studies;

Mr JJTK van der Toorn for his invaluable advice and assistance in the preparation and carrying out of the research work, as well as for the data input and help with analysing the data. Special thanks too for his efforts in obtaining donations from the various companies;

Professor P J Jooste, my co-promoter, for his invaluable advice and input in the writing of the protocol and dissertation;

Professor A Guthrie for his assistance in the design of the study;

Ms Jane Nurton for her encouragement and support in acquiring the skills to use a new computer programme;

Mrs Antoinette Lourens for her help in obtaining numerous journal articles from other libraries both nationally and internationally;

Professor G J J van Zyl and Mrs Rina Owen for their assistance with the statistics and statistical analyses;

My sincere thanks to the University of Pretoria, Faculty of Veterinary Science and the National Research Foundation (previously the Foundation for Research and Development (FRD)) for the financial assistance which was received for this research project;

My sincere thanks to Ms Karin Betz of 3M for the donation of Petrifilms, to Oxoid products for the donation of the Staphylococcal and *Escherichia coli* enterotoxin test kits and to Rhine Ruhr for the donation of the Brilliant Black Reduction tests. Their donations of laboratory material was very much appreciated; and

Finally, I thank my parents, Günther and Christina, who provided me with a sound educational foundation and supported me throughout my studies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
SUMMARY	x
SAMEVATTING	xiii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW	1
BACKGROUND	1
PATHOGENS FOUND IN MILK	2
EPIDEMIOLOGY OF MILK-BORNE DISEASES	7
MARKETING OF MILK IN SOUTH AFRICA	8
MILK-SHOPS IN SOUTH AFRICA	9
LEGISLATION REGARDING MILK	12
JUSTIFICATION	13
CHAPTER 2: MATERIALS AND METHODS	16
STUDY DESIGN	16
LABORATORY WORK	18
	iv

Petrifilm plates	19
pH of the milk	20
Standard aerobic plate count / Total aerobic count	20
Modified psychrotrophic bacterial count	21
<i>Escherichia coli</i> and coliform count	22
Aschaffenburg and Mullen alkaline phosphatase test	22
Somatic cell count	23
Brilliant black reduction test	23
Brucella milk ring test	24
Isolation of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	24
Detection of staphylococcal enterotoxins	25
Detection of <i>Salmonella</i> spp.	25
DATA ANALYSIS	25
CHAPTER 3: RESULTS	27
TEMPERATURE OF THE MILK AT PURCHASE	28
ASCHAFFENBURG AND MULLEN PHOSPHATASE TEST	30
STANDARD AEROBIC PLATE COUNT	31
PSYCHROTROPHIC BACTERIAL COUNT	36
COLIFORM COUNT	40
<i>ESCHERICHIA COLI</i>	44
<i>STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS</i> AND <i>STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS</i> ENTEROTOXINS	45
THERMO-RESISTANT INHIBITORY SUBSTANCES	47
SOMATIC CELL COUNT	49
<i>BRUCELLA ABORTUS</i>	53
<i>SALMONELLA</i> SPECIES.	53
PH	54
FITNESS FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION	55



Addendum V:	The Brilliant Black Reduction Test	108
Addendum VI:	Brucella Ring Test	112
Addendum VII:	Baird-Parker Agar Base	114
Addendum VIII:	The Staphylase Test	116
Addendum IX:	Detection of Staphylococcal Enterotoxins	118
Addendum X:	Daily information sheet regarding the purchasing of milk	124
Addendum XI:	Laboratory worksheet	125

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Diseases transmissible to man through milk	3
Table 2:	Criteria for the payment of milk set by one of the national distributors ..	9
Table 3:	The number of milk-shops in Pretoria 1996 - 2000	12
Table 4:	Temperature of the milk (°C) at the time of purchase	28
Table 5:	Alkaline phosphatase and labelling status of the milk	30
Table 6:	Standard aerobic plate counts (CFU/ml)	31
Table 7:	Psychrotrophic bacterial counts (CFU/ml)	36
Table 8:	Correlation between Aerobic Standard Plate Count (log ₁₀ CFU/ml) and Psychrotrophic Bacterial Count (log ₁₀ CFU/ml)	37
Table 9:	Coliform counts	40
Table 10:	Presence of <i>Escherichia coli</i> in the milk	44
Table 11:	Presence of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> in the milk	45
Table 12:	Presence of inhibitory substances in the milk	47
Table 13:	Somatic cell counts	49
Table 14:	Summary of the bacterial and somatic cell counts of pasteurised milk obtained from milk-shops	52
Table 15:	Summary of the bacterial and somatic cell counts of pasteurised milk obtained from a large national distributor	52
Table 16:	Potential pathogens or hazards in the milk	53
Table 17:	The pH at sale and after incubation at 21 °C for 18 hours	54
Table 18:	Indication as to whether or not pasteurised milk samples passed all the criteria laid down by the Foodstuffs, Cosmetics and Disinfectants Act (Act 54 of 1972)	55
Table 19:	Minimum inhibitor concentrations of antibiotic and sulphonamide substances detectable by the Brilliant Black Reduction Test	110

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Milk samples with a temperature greater than or less than 5°C	29
Figure 2:	Standard aerobic plate counts over the sampling period	33
Figure 3:	Explanation of a box plot representation of colony counts	34
Figure 4:	Standard aerobic plate counts (box plot)	35
Figure 5:	Psychrotrophic bacterial counts over the sampling period	38
Figure 6:	Psychrotrophic bacterial counts (box plot)	39
Figure 7:	Coliform counts over the sampling period	41
Figure 8:	Coliform counts (box plot)	43
Figure 9:	Presence or absence of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> in the milk	46
Figure 10:	Presence or absence of inhibitory substances in the milk	48
Figure 11:	Somatic cell counts over the sampling period	50
Figure 12:	Somatic cell counts (box plot)	51
Figure 13:	Indication of whether or not milk complied with all the criteria laid down in the Foodstuffs, Cosmetics and Disinfectants Act (Act 54 of 1972)	56

SUMMARY

A COMPARISON OF SELECTED PUBLIC HEALTH CRITERIA IN MILK FROM MILK-SHOPS AND FROM A NATIONAL DISTRIBUTOR

by

MARIANNE MORE O'FERRALL-BERNDT

Promoter: Professor C M Veary

Co-promoter: Professor P J Jooste

Department: Production Animal and Community Health

Degree: MMedVet (Hyg)

Selected public health criteria of pasteurised milk available to the consumer from milk-shops in a selected area of Pretoria compared with a national distributor's milk were evaluated. Pasteurised milk samples were obtained from five randomly selected milk-shops in the north-western part of Pretoria over a six-week period from June to August 1998. Milk from a well-known national distributor was also obtained from three supermarkets in the same area during the same time period to act as the control milk.

Mean total aerobic bacterial counts, coliform counts and psychrotrophic bacterial counts were determined. The presence of *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*), *Salmonella* species, staphylococcal enterotoxins, inhibitory substances, alkaline phosphatase and somatic cells in milk were also determined. Of the 135 milk samples purchased from milk-shops, 87% were not fit for human consumption on the basis of the minimum standards prescribed in the Foodstuffs, Cosmetics and Disinfectants Act (Act 54 of 1972). In contrast, 100% of the 79 control milk samples passed all the safety criteria laid down in the Act.

Milk-shop milk quality varied between milk-shops and between sampling days. All milk-shop milk was sold as having been pasteurised, yet 38.5% of milk samples purchased failed the alkaline phosphatase test, indicating that they had not been pasteurised correctly or were contaminated with raw milk. The total aerobic plate counts were generally high for all milk-shop milk samples ranging from 1.0×10^2 to 2.7×10^7 CFU/ml with a median value of 41 000 CFU/ml, whereas for the control milk it ranged from 7.0×10^2 to 8.7×10^3 CFU/ml, with a median value of 2 200 CFU/ml. Coliform counts varied from 0 to 3.4×10^4 per ml in milk-shop milk, with 68% of samples having counts lower than 20 coliforms/ml, which is the maximum number allowed when the Petrifilm method of counting is used. Coliforms could not be detected in 1 ml of control milk samples. *E. coli* was detected in 1 ml of 17% of milk-shop milk, 95% of which originated from milk which was alkaline phosphatase positive. *Salmonella* spp. could not be detected in 1 ml in any of the *E. coli*-positive milk tested.

Psychrotrophic bacterial counts done after pre-incubation of milk-shop milk were extremely high, and ranged from 3×10^5 to 2.2×10^8 CFU/ml, with a median value of 2.4×10^7 CFU/ml. In Europe the psychrotrophic count may not be greater than 100 000 CFU/ml. None of the milk-shop milk passed this European standard, whereas 98.7% of the milk obtained from the national distributor fell within the prescribed parameters.

S. aureus was isolated from 54 (40%) milk-shop milk samples, and four (7.8%) of 51 isolates tested produced staphylococcal enterotoxins A (SEA), B (SEB), D (SED) or a combination. Control milk did not contain any *S. aureus* and 15 milk samples tested for the enterotoxin gave a negative result.

All control milk was negative for inhibitory substances, but these were detected in 54.1% of milk-shop milk. Somatic cell counts varied between 1.2×10^4 and 1.6×10^6 cells/ml in the milk-shop milk, with a median count of 4.2×10^5 cells/ml. Only 18.7% of samples had counts above the legal limit of 500 000 cells/ml. The national distributor's milk always had counts less than 150 000 cells/ml.

The results showed that milk-shop milk differed significantly ($p < 0.05$) from the national distributor's milk, and that the quality of milk purchased from milk-shop outlets was generally of a poor bacteriological quality. The presence of inhibitory substances, and the isolation of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* (some of which were able to produce enterotoxins) indicated potentially unsafe milk and posed a serious public health risk to consumers.

SAMEVATTING

'n VERGELYKING VAN GESELEKTEERDE VOLKSGESONDHEIDSMAASTAWWE VAN MELK VANAF MELKWINKELS EN VANAF 'n NASIONALE VERSPREIDER

deur

MARIANNE MORE O'FERRALL-BERNDT

Promotor: Professor C M Veary
Mede-promotor: Professor P J Jooste
Departement: Produksiedier- en Gemeenskapsgesondheid
Graad: MMedVet (Hyg)

Geselekteerde volksgesondheidsmaatstawwe vir gepasteuriseerde melk beskikbaar aan verbruikers vanaf melkwinkels in 'n uitgesoekte gebied van Pretoria, en vergelyk met die melk van 'n nasionale melkverspreider, is geëvalueer. Gepasteuriseerde melkmonsters vanaf vyf ewekansig geselekteerde melkwinkels in die noord-westelike deel van Pretoria, is oor 'n ses-weke periode vanaf Junie tot Augustus 1998 verkry. Melk, vanaf 'n bekende nasionale melkverspreider, is ook vanaf drie selfbedieningswinkels in dieselfde gebied en oor dieselfde tydperk verkry om as kontrolemelk te dien.

Die gemiddelde totale aërobiese bakteriese telling, kolivormtellings en psigrotrofiese bakteriese tellings is bepaal. Die teenwoordigheid van *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella* spesies, stafilokokkusenterotoksiene, inhiberende middels, alkaliese fosfatase en somatiese selle in melk is ook bepaal. Uit 135 melkmonsters wat vanaf melkwinkels aangekoop is, was 87% op grond van die minimum standarde voorgeskryf in die Wet op Voedingsmiddels, Skoonheidsmiddels en Ontsmettingsmiddels, (Wet 54 van

1972), nie geskik vir menslike verbruik nie. In vergelyking het 100% van die 79 kontrole melkmonsters in al die veiligheidsbepalings neergelê in die Wet geslaag.

Die kwaliteit van melk verskil tussen melkwinkels en ook tussen bemonsteringsdae. Alhoewel al die melkwinkels melk as gepasteuriseer verkoop het, het 38.5% van die aangekoopte melkmonsters nie die alkaliese-fosfatase toets geslaag nie, wat aandui dat dit nie doeltreffend gepasteuriseer is nie, of dit was besoedel deur ongepasteuriseerde melk. Die totale aërobiese plaattellings was oor die algemeen hoog vir al die melkwinkel melkmonsters, en het gevarieer vanaf 1.0×10^2 tot 2.7×10^7 KVE/ml met 'n mediaan waarde van 41 000 KVE/ml, terwyl dit vir die kontrolemelk tussen 7.0×10^2 tot 8.7×10^3 KVE/ml met 'n mediaan waarde van 2 200 KVE/ml was. Kolivormtellings het gewissel vanaf 0 tot 3.4×10^4 per ml in die melkwinkel melk, en 68% van die monsters het tellings laer as 20 kolivorme per ml getoon, wat die hoogste aantal toelaatbaar is wanneer die Petrifilm metode van telling gebruik word. Kolivorme kon nie in 1 ml van die kontrole melkmonsters gewaar word nie. *E. coli* is gewaar in 1 ml in 17% van melkwinkel melk, waarvan 95% hul oorsprong gehad het in melk wat positief vir alkaliese fosfatase was. Geen *Salmonella* spp. kon in die positiewe *E. coli* melk wat getoets is gewaar word nie.

Die psigrotrofiese bakteriese tellings na voor-inkubasie in melkwinkel melk was uiters hoog, en het gevarieer vanaf 3×10^5 tot 2.2×10^8 KVE/ml, met 'n mediaan waarde van 2.4×10^7 KVE/ml. In Europa mag die psigrotrofiese telling nie hoër as 100 000 KVE/ml wees nie. Terwyl geen melk van die melkwinkels voldoen het aan hierdie Europese standaard nie, het 98.7% van die melk aangekoop vanaf die nasionale melkverspreider binne die voorgeskrewe parameters geval.

S. aureus is in 54 (40%) van die melkwinkel melkmonsters geïsoleer, en vier (7.8%) van die 51 stamme getoets, het stafilokokkusenterotoksiene A (SEA), B (SEB), D (SED) of 'n kombinasie geproduseer. Kontrolemelk het geen *S. aureus* bevat nie, en 15 melkmonsters het negatief getoets vir die enterotoksien.

Alle kontrolemelk was negatief vir inhiberende middels, maar is in 54.1% van die melkwinkel melk gevind. Somatiëse seltellings het gewissel tussen 1.2×10^4 en 1.6×10^6 selle/ml in die melkwinkel melk, met 'n mediaantelling van 4.2×10^5 selle/ml. Slegs 18.7% van monsters het seltellings hoër as die wettige limiet van 500 000 selle/ml gehad. Die melk van die nasionale verspreider het altyd tellings laer as 150 000 selle/ml getoon.

Die resultate toon dat die melkwinkel melk aansienlik ($p < 0.05$) verskil het van die nasionale verspreider se melk, en dat die kwaliteit van die melk aangekoop van melkwinkels in die reël van 'n swak bakteriologiese kwaliteit was. Die teenwoordigheid van die inhiberende middels en die isolasie van *E. coli* en *S. aureus* (waarvan sommige enterotoksiene geproduseer het) is aanduidend van moontlike, ongesonde melk, en hou die gevaar in van 'n ernstige volksgesondheidsrisiko vir die verbruiker.