

Exploring how objects used in a Picture Vocabulary Test influence validity

by Ilse de Bruin

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Magister Educationis

in

Assessment and Quality Assurance

Department of Science, Mathematics and Technology in Education

Faculty of Education

University of Pretoria

Supervisor:

Dr Vanessa Scherman,

September 2010



ABSTRACT

Multilingualism in the classroom is one of the many challenges found in the cumbersome bag that the South African education system is carrying over its shoulders at present. Globalisation and migration have added to the burden as factors adding further diversity to the already diverse classroom. In South Africa the spotlight is focused on equality. Equality is expected in the education system, and in the classroom and especially in tests. With 11 official languages excluding the additional languages from foreign learners it has become a daunting task to create tests that are fair across multilingual learners in one classroom. Items in tests that function differently from one group to another can provide biased marks. An investigation was done in order to detect any biased items present in a Picture Vocabulary Test. The study was lead by the main research question being: How do objects used in a Picture Vocabulary Test influence the level of validity? The first sub research question was: How do objects used in a Picture Vocabulary Test influence the level of validity? The next sub question was: To what extent is an undimensional trait measured by a Picture Vocabulary Test? The final subquestion was To what extent do the items in a Picture Vocabulary Test perform the same for the different language groups? This Picture Vocabulary Test was administered to Grade 1 learners in Afrikaans, English or Sepedi speaking schools within Pretoria, Gauteng. The sample totalling 1361 learners. The process involved a statistical procedure known as Rasch analyses. With the help of Rasch a Differential Item Functioning (DIF) analysis was done to investigate whether biased items were present in the test. The aim of this study it is to create greater awareness as to how biased items in tests can be detected and resolved. The results showed that the items in the Picture Vocabulary Test all tested vocabulary. Although items were detected that did indeed perform differently across the three language groups participating in the study.

Keywords: test, bias, Differential Item Functioning (DIF), fairness, multilingual, items, equality, culture, language, visual literacy.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and most important I would like to thank my Father who was at my side, holding my hand on this arduous three year journey. Thank you for giving me health, a fit mind and the will to carry on when things were going really tough and it would have been easy to give up. Thank you for your Sabbath days when I know I can rest and recover because You gave the Sabbath especially for resting. Thank You for loving me.

To Christo, my husband, we have gone through deep waters these past three years. Thank you for helping out with the boys when I had to sit in front of the computer. Thanks for the hugs when Word decided to hang for the umpteenth time. Thanks for insisting I have a break and taking me for coffee when you see the statistics are driving me crazy. Lief vir jou.

To my two sons, Pierre and Milan, dankie vir julle liefde. Pierre who always says: "Mamma, jy moet jou Meesters geniet", when he goes off to school. And Milan who always asks: "Mamma hoekom sit jy net voor die 'nekenaar' die heeltyd?" Ek is baie, baie lief vir my twee seuntjies!!!

Thank you to my parents for teaching me perseverance – to finish what I started and having faith in me. Dankie dat Mamma my altyd motiveer en rigting gee waneer ek nie weet watter kant toe met my studies nie.

Thank you to Vanessa. We have also walked a long road together. I feel that as we walked the winding pathway upwards you became just as much a friend as a supervisor. Thanks for the chats, advice and 'light bulb moments' and the help in times of need - it still means a lot to me.

I am extremely grateful to the University of Pretoria and the CEA for their financial assistance and the opportunity to complete my M. Thank you so much to my friends at the CEA for their support, upstairs Ronald and Gabriel and downstairs Zaneletjie.



Then to my brand new friends: Yvette and Fires and their daughters Danet and Carah. Baie dankie vir die heerlike aandetes wat julle onverwags ons vir oornooi. Dankie dat julle my 'n breek gee van die rekenaar. Ons geniet julle wonderlike geselskap en die lekker lag. Maar meeste van als dankie dat jul omgee mense is.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
ABSTR	ACTI
ACKNO	DWLEDGEMENTSII
	OF CONTENTSIV
LIST O	F FIGURESVIII
LIST O	F TABLESX
	F ABBREVIATIONSXII
GLOSS	SARY OF TERMSXIII
СНАРТ	
1	INTRODUCTION 1
1.1	VALIDITY OF ASSESSMENTS ACROSS LANGUAGE GROUPS 3
1.2	BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY4
1.2.1	Performance indicators in primary schools (PIPS) 4
1.2.2	The PIPS Assessment5
1.2.3	The National Research Foundation Value-Added Project9
1.2.4	South African Monitoring in Primary Schools (SAMP) project 10
1.3	PROBLEM STATEMENT11
1.4	RATIONALE FOR THE STUDY12
1.5	AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY14
1.6	RESEARCH QUESTIONS 15
1.6.1	Sub Research Questions
1.7	CONCLUSION 16
СНАРТ	ER 2
2	LITERATURE REVIEW 18
	INTRODUCTION 18
2.1	18
2.2	BACKGROUND TO FOUNDATION PHASE EDUCATION IN SOUTH
	AFRICA 19
2.2.1	The Teaching of Literacy at the Foundation Phase



2.2.2	Assessment in the Foundation Phase	22
2.3	VISUAL LITERACY (VL)	24
2.3.1	Identifying Factors of Visual Literacy Competency	28
2.3.2	Developing Visual Literacy	29
2.3.3	Furthering the Development of Visual Literacy	31
2.4	THE ROLE OF PICTURES IN LITERACY	33
2.5	THE ROLE OF LANGUAGE AND CULTURE IN A	PICTURE
	VOCABULARY TEST	37
2.5.1	The Role of Language in a Picture Vocabulary Test	37
2.5.2	The Role of Culture in a Picture Vocabulary Test	41
2.6	CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	45
2.7	CONCLUSION	47
CHAPT		
3	VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY	
3.1	INTRODUCTION	49
3.2	VALIDITY	49
3.2.1	Validity	49
3.2.2	Construct Validity	52
3.3	RELIABILITY	54
3.4	CONCLUSION	55
СНАРТ	TER 4	
4	METHODOLOGY	56
4.1	INTRODUCTION	56
4.2	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	57
4.2.1	Positivism	57
4.2.2	Rationale for working with Positivism	60
4.3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	61
4.3.1	The SAMP Sample	62
4.3.2	Instrument	63
4.3.3	Data collection	63
4.3.4	Data analysis	64
4.3.5	Descriptive statistics	65



4.3.6	Rasch Analysis	65
4.3.7	Differential Item Functioning (DIF)	76
4.3.8	WINSTEPS	78
4.4	ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	79
4.5	CONCLUSION	79
СНАРТ	TER 5	
5	DATA ANALYSIS	81
5.1	INTRODUCTION	81
5.2	SAMPLE	82
5.3	UNDERSTANDING RASCH ANALYSES	83
5.4	PICTURE 1 – PICTURE OF KITCHEN	85
5.4.1	Findings across all three language groups for Picture 1	86
5.4.2	Afrikaans learners' results for the Baseline assessment of Picture 1	89
5.4.3	English learners results for Baseline assessment of Picture 1	94
5.4.4	Sepedi learners results for Baseline assessment of Picture 1	99
5.4.5	Summary of Picture 1 across all groups	104
5.5	PICTURE 2 – PICTURE OF THE OUTDOORS	106
5.5.1	Findings across all three language groups for Picture 2	107
5.5.2	Afrikaans learners results for Baseline assessment of Picture 2	110
5.5.3	English learners results for Baseline assessment of Picture 2	114
5.5.4	Sepedi learners results for Baseline assessment of Picture 2	118
5.5.5	Summary of Picture 2 across all groups	123
5.6	PICTURE 3 – PICTURE OF BEDROOM	125
5.6.1	Findings across all three language groups for Picture 3	126
5.6.2	Afrikaans learners results for Baseline assessment of Picture 3	128
5.6.3	English learners results for Baseline assessment of Picture 3	131
5.6.4	Sepedi learners results for Baseline assessment of Picture 3	135
5.6.5	Summary of Picture 3 across all groups	139
5.7	ALL OBJECTS DIFFICULTY ORDER ACROSS ALL LANGUAGES	141
5.8	DIFFERENTIAL ITEM FUNCTIONING (DIF) ANALYSIS	146
5.9	CONCLUSION	150



CHAPTER 6

6	REFLECTIONS ON STUDY152
6.1	INTRODUCTION 152
6.2	REFLECTION ON CONSTRUCT VALIDITY152
6.3	REFLECTION ON LITERATURE AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK. 155
6.4	CONCLUSION
CHAPT	ER 7
7	CONCLUSION167
7.1	INTRODUCTION 167
7.2	SUMMARY OF THE RESEARCH DESIGN 168
7.3	SUMMARY OF RESULTS PER RESEARCH QUESTION 169
7.4	IMPLICATIONS 176
7.5	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH177
7.6	LIMITATIONS TO STUDY 178
7.7	CONCLUSION
8	REFERENCES180
9	APPENDICESI
9.1	APPENDIX A – PICTURE 1 ITEMSI
9.2	APPENDIX B - ITEM - LEARNER STATISTICS - ALL LEARNERS I
9.3	APPENDIX C – PICTURE 1 OBJECT STATISTICS – ALL LANGUAGES III
9.4	APPENDIX D LEARNER – ITEM STATISTICS AFRIKAANS PICTURE 1 I
9.5	APPENDIX E -OBJECT STATISTICS AFRIKAANS - PICTURE 1II
9.6	APPENDIX F – OBJECT ORDER AFRIKAANS PICTURE 1I
9.7	APPENDIX G - LEARNER - ITEM STATISTICS ENGLISH PICTURE 1 II
9.8	APPENDIX H -ITEM STATISTICS ENGLISH PICTURE 1IV
9.9	APPENDIX I –ITEM ORDER PICTURE 1 ENGLISHV
9.10	APPENDIX J – LEARNER – ITEM STATISTICS SEPEDI PICTURE 1 IV
9.11	APPENDIX K – OBJECT STATISTICS SEPEDI PICTURE 1IV
9.12	APPENDIX L – OBJECT ORDER SEPEDI PICTURE 1V
9.13	APPENDIX M- PICTURE 2 ITEMSIV
9.14	APPENDIX N – LEARNER – ITEM STATISTICS ALL LANGUAGES PIC 21V
9.15	APPENDIX O – ITEM STATISTICS ALL LANGUAGES PIC 2VI



	LIST OF FIGURES
9.39	APPENDIX MM - DIFIV
9.38	APPENDIX LL – OBJECT STATISTICS ALL LANGUAGES & PICTURESIV
	PICTURESIV
9.37	APPENDIX KK – LEARNER-OBJECT STATISITICS ALL LANGUAGES &
9.36	APPENDIX JJ – OBJECT ORDER SEPEDI – PICTURE 3IV
9.35	APPENDIX II – OBJECT STATISTICS SEPEDI – PICTURE 3IV
9.34	APPENDIX HH – LEARNER-OBJECT STATISTICS SEPEDI – PICTURE 3IV
9.33	APPENDIX GG - OBJECT ORDER ENGLISH - PICTURE 3IV
9.32	APPENDIX FF – OBJECT STATISTICS ENGLISH – PICTURE 3IV
	3IV
9.31	APPENDIX EE – LEARNER-OBJECT STATISTICS ENGLISH – PICTURE
9.30	APPENDIX DD – OBJECT ORDER AFRIKAANS – PICTURE 3IV
9.29	APPENDIX CC – OBJECT STATISTICS AFRIKAANS – PICTURE 3 IV
	PICTURE 3IV
9.28	APPENDIX BB - LEARNER-OBJECT STATISTICS AFRIKAANS -
9.27	APPENDIX AA – ITEM STATISTICS ALL LANGUAGES PIC 3VI
9.26	APPENDIX Z – LEARNER – ITEM STATISTICS ALL LANGUAGES PIC 3IV
9.25	APPENDIX Y – PICTURE 3 ITEMSIV
9.24	APPENDIX X – ITEM ORDER PICTURE 2 SEPEDIIV
9.23	APPENDIX W – ITEM STATISTICS PICTURE 2 SEPEDIIV
9.22	APPENDIX V – LEARNER - ITEM STATISTICS PICTURE 2 SEPEDI X
9.21	APPENDIX U - ITEM ORDER ENGLISH PICTURE 2IX
9.20	APPENDIX T - ITEM STATISTICS ENGLISH PICTURE 2VIII
9.19	APPENDIX S – LEARNER - ITEM STATISTICS PICTURE 2 ENGLISH VI
9.18	APPENDIX R - ITEM ORDER AFRIKAANS PICTURE 2V
9.17	APPENDIX Q - ITEM STATISTICS AFRIKAANS PICTURE 2IV
9.16	APPENDIX P - LEARNER - ITEM STATISTICS AFRIKAANS PICTURE 2IV

TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1: Foundation of Visual Literacy	30
Figure 2.2: Culture & language & assessment influence	43

Figure 2.3: Conceptual Framework	46
Figure 4.1: Item Characteristic Curve	67
Figure 4.2: Item Development Pathway	74
Figure 5.1: Picture 1	86
Figure 5.2: Item-Learner Map for all languages – Picture1	89
Figure 5.3: Item-Learner Map for Afrikaans – Picture1	92
Figure 5.4: Item Development Pathway for Picture 1 – Afrikaans group	93
Figure 5.5: Item-Learner Map for English – Picture1	97
Figure 5.6: IDP for English group – Picture 1	98
Figure 5.7: Item-Learner Map for Sepedi – Picture1	102
Figure 5.8: IDP for Sepedi group – Picture 1	103
Figure 5.9: Picture 2	106
Figure 5.10: Item-Learner Map for all languages – Picture 2	109
Figure 5.11: Item-Learner Map for Afrikaans- Picture 2	112
Figure 5.12: IDP for Afrikaans group – Picture 2	113
Figure 5.13: Item-Learner Map for Picture 2 - English group	116
Figure 5.14: IDP for English group – Picture 2	117
Figure 5.15: Item-Learner Map for Picture 2 - Sepedi group	120
Figure 5.16: IDP for Sepedi group – Picture 2	121
Figure 5.17: Picture 3	125
Figure 5.18: Item-Learner Map for all languages – Picture 2	127
Figure 5.19: Item-Learner Map for Picture 3 - Afrikaans group	129
Figure 5.20: IDP for Afrikaans group – Picture 3	130
Figure 5.21: Item-Learner Map for Picture 3 - English group	133
Figure 5.22: IDP for English group – Picture 3	134
Figure 5.23: Item-Learner Map for Picture 3 - Sepedi group	137
Figure 5.24: IDP for Sepedi group – Picture 3	138
Figure 5.25: Item-Learner Map for Picture 3 for all languages	143
Figure 5.26: Differential Item Functioning Graph	147
Figure 5.27: Item Characteristic Curves	148
Figure 6.1: Original Conceptual Framework	165
Figure 6.2: Adapted Conceptual Framework	166



LIST OF TABLES

TITLEPAGE
Table 3.1: PIPS Assessment Content
Table 3.2: Definitions of Visual Literacy20
Table 4.1: Positivism linked to the research study59
Table 4.2 Research questions, instrument and analysis62
Table 5.1: Learner & Item statistics for all learners for Picture 187
Table 5.2: Object statistics for all 3 language groups for Picture 187
Table 5.3: Learner & Item statistics for Afrikaans learners for Picture 190
Table 5.4: Object statistics for Afrikaans learners for Picture 19
Table 5.5: Object order for Picture 1 - Afrikaans group94
Table 5.6: Learner & Item statistics for English learners for Picture 199
Table 5.7: Object statistics for English learners for Picture 190
Table 5.8: Object order for Picture 1 - English group99
Table 5.9: Learner & Item statistics for Sepedi learners for Picture 1100
Table 5.10: Object statistics for Sepedi learners for Picture 110
Table 5.11: Object order for Picture 1 - Sepedi group104
Table 5.12: Object order for Picture 1 for all three language groups105
Table 5.13: Learner & Item statistics for all language groups for Picture 2107
Table 5.14: Object statistics for all language groups for Picture 2108
Table 5.15: Learner & Item statistics for Afrikaans learners for Picture 2110
Table 5.16: Object statistics for Afrikaans learners for Picture 211
Table 5.17: Object order for Picture 2 - Afrikaans group114
Table 5.18: Learner & Item statistics for English learners for Picture 2115
Table 5.19: Object statistics for English learners for Picture 2115
Table 5.20: Object order for Picture 2 - English group118
Table 5.21: Learner & Item statistics for Sepedi learners for Picture 2119
Table 5.22: Object statistics for Sepedi learners for Picture 2119
Table 5.23: Object order for Picture 2 - Sepedi group122
Table 5.24: Object order for Picture 2 for all three language groups123
Table 5.25: Learner & Item statistics for all language groups for Picture 3120
Table 5.26: Object statistics for all three languages for Picture 3126
Table 5.27: Learner & Item statistics for Afrikaans learners for Picture 3128



Table 5.28: Object statistics for Afrikaans learners for Picture 3	128
Table 5.29: Object order for Picture 3 - Afrikaans group	131
Table 5.30: Learner & Item statistics for English learners for Picture 3	132
Table 5.31: Object statistics for English learners for Picture 3	132
Table 5.32: Object order for Picture 3 - English group	135
Table 5.33: Learner & Item statistics for Sepedi learners for Picture 3	136
Table 5.34 Object statistics for Sepedi learners for Picture 3	136
Table 5.35: Object order for Picture 3 - Sepedi group	139
Table 5.36: Object order for Picture 3 – for all three groups	140
Table 5.37: Statistics for all objects and learners for all pictures	141
Table 5.38: Object statistics for all learners for all pictures & all objects	142
Table 5.39: Order for all the objects across the three language groups	144

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CEA Centre for Evaluation & Assessment

CEM Curriculum, Evaluation and Management Centre

DoE Department of Education

FAL First Additional Language

GET General Education and Training

LEA Local Education Authorities

LO Learning Outcome

MidYIS Middle Years Information System NQF National Qualifications Framework

NRF National Research Foundation

PIPS Performance Indicators in Primary Schools

PIPSSA Progress in Primary Schools South Africa

PIRLS Progress in International Reading Literacy

RSA Republic of South Africa

SAMP South African Monitoring in Primary Schools

SASSIS South African Secondary School Information Systems

SAQA South African Qualifications Authority

UK United Kingdom

UNESCO United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

UP University of Pretoria

VL Visual Literacy

YELLIS Year 11 Information System

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

There are various concepts and terms that will be used and referred to in this paper. These are clarified here, and in greater detail in Chapter 2:

Validity: An assessment is high in validity when the results thereof are accurate and trustworthy. Validity is seen as evaluative judgements that are made from the inferences of assessment results. An assessment has a high level of validity when correct interpretations are made and actions are taken about the results (Bond, 2003; Gregory, 2000; Linn, 1998; Mahoney, 2008; Messick, 1989; Popham, 2003; Frederiksen & Collins, 1989; Gay & Airasian, 2003; Sullivan, Karlsson & Ware, 1995).).

Visual Literacy (VL): For the purposes of this study (there are a multitude of definitions) VL is defined as the ability to accurately identify objects and pictures seen in the past when they reoccur in the present in a similar or different manner (Arbuckle, 2004; Bamford, 2003; McDougall, 2004; Avgerinou & Ericson, 1997).

Picture Literacy (PL): Is the ability to understand and interpret a picture (Arbuckle, 2004; DeLoache, 1991; Rowntree, 1990; Carney & Levin, 2002; Hawthorne & Tomlinson, 1997).

Rasch Analysis: Is a statistical procedure that makes use of scientific analysis to evaluate each item that is used in an assessment. By means of a Rasch analysis each item can be analysed to determine its difficulty, value and relevance to a specific test (Boone & Rogan, 2005; de Beer, 2004; Henson, 1999; Pallant & Tennant, 2007).