



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA  
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA  
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

# **A synchronous filter for gear vibration monitoring using computational intelligence**

by

**Lungile Mndileki Zanoxolo Mdlazi**

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of  
the requirements for degree

**Master of Engineering**

in the Department of Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering  
of the Faculty of Engineering, Built Environment and Information  
Technology

of the

**University of Pretoria**

March 2004



# A synchronous filter for gear vibration monitoring using computational intelligence

by

Lungile Mndileki Zanoxolo Mdlazi

Supervisor : Prof. P.S. Heyns  
Co-supervisors : Prof. T. Marwala  
Mr C.J. Stander  
Department : Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering  
Degree : M.Eng.

## Summary

Interaction of various components in rotating machinery like gearboxes may generate excitation forces at various frequencies. These frequencies may sometimes overlap with the frequencies of the forces generated by other components in the system. Conventional vibration spectrum analysis does not attenuate noise and spectral frequency band overlapping, which in many applications masks the changes in the structural response caused by the deterioration of certain components in the machine.

This problem is overcome by the use of time domain averaging (synchronous averaging). In time domain averaging, the vibration signal is sampled at a frequency that is synchronised with the rotation of the gear of interest and the samples obtained for each singular position of the gear are ensemble-averaged. When sufficient averages are taken, all the vibration from the gearbox, which is asynchronous with the vibration of the gear, is attenuated. The resulting time synchronously averaged signal obtained through this process indicates the vibration produced during one rotation of the monitored gear. This direct time domain averaging process essentially acts as a broadband noise synchronous filter, which filters out the frequency content that is asynchronous with the vibration of the gear of interest provided that enough averages



are taken. The time domain averaging procedure requires an enormous amount of vibration data to execute, making it very difficult to develop online gearbox condition monitoring systems that make use of time domain averaging to enhance their diagnostic capabilities since data acquisition and analysis cannot be done simultaneously.

The objective of this research was to develop a more efficient way for calculating the time domain average of a gear vibration signal. A study of Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) and Support Vector Machines (SVMs) was conducted to assess their suitability for use in time domain averaging. Two time domain averaging models that use ANNs and SVMs were developed. Model 1 uses a single feedforward network configuration to map the input which are rotation synchronised gear vibration signals to the output which is the time domain average of the gear vibration signal, using only a section of the input space. Model 2 operates in two stages. In the first stage, it uses a feedforward network to predict the instantaneous time domain average of the gear vibration after 10 inputs (10 rotation synchronised gear vibration signals) to predict the instantaneous average of the gear rotation. The outputs from the first stage are used as inputs to the second stage, where a second feedforward network is used to predict the time domain average of the entire vibration signal.

When ANNs and SVMs were implemented, the results indicated that the amount of gear vibration data that is required to calculate the time domain average using Model 1 can be reduced by 75 percent and the amount of gear vibration data that needs to be stored in the data acquisition system when Model 2 is used can be reduced by 83 percent.

**Keywords:** Artificial Neural Networks, Time Domain Averaging, Synchronous average, Multi-layer, Perceptron, Radial Basis Function, Support Vector Machines, Gearbox and Vibration



# Acknowledgements

I would like to give a sincere word of thanks to the following people:

- My supervisors for their guidance and support throughout the period of this research.
  - Prof. P.S Heyns
  - Prof. T. Marwala
  - C.J. Stander
- Prof. P.S Heyns and C.J. Stander for development of the accelerated gear life test rig.
- J.G. Davel for the design of the gears used in this study.
- Mr F. Windell for his assistance with the experimental work.
- To the NRF and Eskom for financial support.
- My family and friends for their love and support throughout this period.
- To God Almighty for offering me the opportunity to study.

This work was done with financial aid from the DoL SCARCE SKILLS SCHOLARSHIP and the Eskom TESP fund.



---

# Table of Contents

## Chapter 1 – Introduction and literature survey

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Literature survey	3
1.2.1	Signal processing techniques for early detection of gear failure through vibration measurements	3
1.2.2	Digital filtering	8
1.2.3	Application of artificial neural networks and support vector machines in pattern recognition	10
1.3	Research objectives	14
1.4	Document Overview	15

## Chapter 2 – Existing time domain averaging models

2.1	Introduction	17
2.2	Existing models	17
2.2.1	Comb filter model	17
2.2.2	Double comb filtering	22
2.2.3	Revised window model	24
2.2.4	Using direct averaging	26
2.3	Conclusion	30

## Chapter 3 – Artificial neural networks and support vector machines

3.1	Introduction	31
3.2	Artificial neural network	31
3.2.1	Multi-layer perceptron	32
3.2.2	Maximum likelihood-based cost function	34
3.2.3	Regularisation	35
3.2.4	MLP network training	36
3.2.5	MLP simulation results from a preliminary investigation using data from	36



the accelerated gear life test rig	
3.2.6 Radial basis functions	39
3.2.7 RBF network training	41
3.2.8 RBF simulation results from a preliminary investigation using data from the accelerated gear life test rig	43
3.3 Support Vector Machine	45
3.3.1 Linear regression	46
3.3.2 Non-linear regression	49
3.3.3 SVM simulation results from a preliminary investigation using data from the accelerated gear life test rig	50
3.4 Conclusion	52

## **Chapter 4 – Development process for synchronous filter**

4.1 Introduction	53
4.2 ANNs and SVMs synchronous filtering model	53
4.2.1 Experimental Set-up	54
4.2.2 Data Processing	57
4.2.3 Model 1	58
4.2.3.1 Model 1 with MLP feedforward network	59
4.2.3.2 Model 1 with RBF feedforward network	62
4.2.3.3 Model 1 with SVMs	65
4.2.4 Mode 2	67
4.2.4.1 Model 2 with MLP feedforward network	69
4.2.4.2 Model 2 with RBF feedforward network	70
4.2.4.3 Model 2 with SVMs	71
4.2.5 Discussion	72
4.2.5.1 Model 1	72
4.2.5.2 Model 2	75
4.2.5.3 Computation time	76
4.3 Conclusion	77

## **Chapter 5 – Testing the synchronous filter on experimental data**

5.1 Introduction	78
5.2 Data representation	78



5.3 Model 1	80
5.3.1 Model 1 with MLP feedforward network	80
5.3.2 Model 1 with RBF feedforward network	82
5.3.3 Model 1 with SVMs	84
5.4 Model 2	85
5.4.1 Model 2 with MLP feedforward network	86
5.4.2 Model 2 with RBF feedforward network	87
5.4.3 Model 2 with SVMs	88
5.4.3 Discussion	90
5.5 Assessing simulation accuracy and diagnostic capabilities	90
5.5.1 Comparison of the performance of different formulations	91
5.5.2 Comparison of the diagnostic properties of the TDA calculated by direct averaging and the TDA predicted by the developed models	94
5.6 Performance of developed models under varying load conditions	96
5.6.1 Simulations with Model 1	96
5.6.2 Simulations with Model 2	100
5.6.3 Comparison of the performance of the different formulations under varying loads	105
5.6.4 Comparison of the diagnostic properties of the TDA calculated by direct averaging and the TDA predicted by the developed models	107
5.7 Conclusion	110

## **Chapter 6 – Conclusion and recommendations for future work**

6.1 Conclusions	111
6.2 Recommendations	113

<b>References</b>	115
-------------------	-----

### **Appendix A**

A.1 Experimental set-up	123
-------------------------	-----

### **Appendix B**

B.1 Back-propagation methods	127
------------------------------	-----

### **Appendix C**

C.1 Gradient method	130
C.2 Conjugate gradient method	130
C.2 Scaled conjugate gradient method	131



## Appendix D

D.1 Feature space	132
D.2 Kernel functions	132
D.2.1 Gaussian radial basis function	133
D.2.2 Exponential radial basis function	133
D.2.3 Splines	133
D.2.4 B-splines	134
D.3 Loss functions	134
D.4 Implementation issues	135



# Nomenclature

Symbol	Description
$\ x - x^n\ $	Euclidian distance between $x$ and $x^n$
$\Phi$	Square matrix with elements $\phi_{mn} = \phi(\ x - x^n\ )$
$\Phi^\dagger$	Pseudo inverse
$\xi_i^-, \xi_i^+$	Slack parameter presenting the lower and upper constraints
$R[f]$	Risk function
$R_{emp}[f]$	Empirical risk minimisation function
$K(x, x')$	Kernel functions
$(x)_+$	The positive part of $x$
$\mathbf{O}$	Column vector of zeros
$L$	Loss function
$\alpha$	Weight decay coefficient in MLP
$\alpha, \alpha^*$	Lagrange multipliers
$\sigma$	Variance
$\phi(\cdot)$	Basis function
$\nu(t)$	Rectangular window of unit amplitude
$\phi_0$	Extra basis function with activation fixed to 1
$\eta_{sim}$	Simulation accuracy
*	Convolution
$a(t)$	Revised window model time domain average
$A(t)$	Fourier transform of $a(t)$
$C$	SVM tolerance parameter
$c(t)$	Impulse signal
$C(t)$	Fourier transform of impulse signal
$D$	Training data set



$E$	Cost function
$e(t)$	Noise signal
$e_{sim}$	Response error
$f$	Frequency
$f_f$	Frequency of trigger signal
$f_{inner}$	Inner activation function
$f_{max}$	Maximum frequency
$f_{outer}$	Outer activation function
$f_s$	Sampling frequency
$g(t)$	Deterministic periodic function of period $T$
$h(x^n)$	Interpolation functions
$k$	Number of gear rotations
$M$	Number of hidden units
$N$	Number of impulses in impulse train
$n$	Index for training pattern in MLP
$n_t$	Residual signal that is time locked to period $T$
$r(t)$	Infinite train of impulses
$R(t)$	Fourier transform of infinite train of impulses
$t$	Time
$T$	Period
$t_k$	Training target
$\mathbf{t}^n$	Target consisting of $N$ input vectors
$T_R$	Period of rectangular window
$T_s$	Period between the sample points
$T_t$	Period of impulse signal
$V(f)$	Fourier transform of rectangular window
<b>W</b>	Matrix of weights ( $w_n$ )
$w_{j0}^{(1)}$	Bias for hidden unit $j$
$w_{ji}^{(1)}$	First layer weights
$w_{k0}$	Radial basis function biases
$w_{kj}$	Weight parameters
$w_{kj}$	Basis function weights



$X$	Input to neural network
$\mathbf{X}$	Input space
$x(t)$	Time signal
$X(t)$	Fourier transform of time signal
$X_k$	Training input
$X_{\max}$	Maximum value of vibration during a given interval
$x_n$	Repetitive component of noise signal
$\mathbf{x}^n$	Data set consisting of $N$ input vectors
$x_p$	Periodic component of signal
$x_r$	Continuous random process
$Y$	Output of neural network
$y(t)$	Time domain average
$y_{achieved}$	Obtained output
$y_{desired}$	Desired output
$y_k$	Output of MLP network
$z(t)$	Numerically generated time signal

## Abbreviations

CG	Conjugate gradient
DSP	Digital signal processing
KKT	Karush-Kuhn-Tucker condition
MLP	Multi-layer perceptron
RBF	Radial basis function
RMS	Root mean square
RMSE	Root mean square error
SB	Side band frequency
SCG	Scaled conjugate gradient
SVMs	Support vector machines
TDA	Time domain average