

# WATER IN THE COAL MINING INDUSTRY

An assessment of water management issues facing the coal mining industry of the Witbank and Middelburg Dam catchments

## **Monique Josette Chelin**

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a Master of Science in the Department of Earth Sciences, University of Pretoria

2000

© University of Pretoria



## CONTENTS

| Section   | Title  | Page   |
|---|--|--|
| 1   | INTRODUCTION   | 1  |
| 2<br>2.1<br>2.2<br>2.3  | HISTORICAL BACKGROUND AND RELATED<br>RESEARCH<br>Historical perspective<br>International perspective<br>South African perspective  | 5<br>5<br>5<br>8   |
| 3<br>3.1<br>3.2<br>3.3<br>3.4<br>3.5<br>3.6   | ACID MINE DRAINAGE<br>An introduction to acid mine drainage<br>Sources of acid mine drainage<br>Chemical and biological reactions related to acid<br>generation<br>Rate of acid generation<br>Case studies<br>Mine planning and acid generation  | 11<br>11<br>12<br>14<br>16<br>17<br>17   |
| 4<br>4.1<br>4.2<br>4.3<br>4.4<br>4.5<br>4.6<br>4.7  | THE STUDY AREA<br>Geology<br>Climate<br>Topography and drainage<br>Agriculture<br>Urban development<br>Coal mining activity<br>Power generation  | 19<br>20<br>22<br>26<br>26<br>26<br>27<br>27   |
| 5<br>5.1<br>5.1.1<br>5.1.2<br>5.1.3<br>5.1.4<br>5.1.5<br>5.1.6<br>5.1.7<br>5.1.8<br>5.1.9<br>5.1.10<br>5.2<br>5.2.1<br>5.2.2<br>5.3 | COAL<br>General<br>Mining methods<br>Coal preparation<br>Transport and storage<br>Uses of coal<br>Coal in the environment<br>Clean coal technologies<br>Rehabilitation<br>Safety<br>The future<br>Economic implications<br>Coal characterisation<br>Proximate analysis<br>Ash analysis<br>Coal in the study area | 28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>33<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>35<br>35<br>37<br>37<br>37<br>38<br>39 |
| 6<br>6.1  | WATER<br>Characterisation of waters  | 41<br>41   |



| 6.1.1<br>6.1.2<br>6.1.3<br>6.1.4<br>6.2   | Chemical equilibria, pH and buffers<br>Physical tests<br>Principles of analytical chemical methods<br>Solids determination<br>Water use in the coal mining industry   | 42<br>43<br>44<br>44<br>45   |
|---|---|--|
| 7<br>7.1<br>7.1.1<br>7.1.2<br>7.2<br>7.2.1<br>7.2.2   | METHODS OF INVESTIGATION<br>Sampling<br>GIS maps<br>Collection of samples for chemical leaching studies<br>Analysis<br>Presentation of data by means of GIS<br>Chemical leaching studies  | 46<br>46<br>47<br>47<br>47<br>48   |
| 8<br>8.1<br>8.2<br>8.3  | DISCUSSION OF RESULTS<br>GIS map – database results<br>Results of beaker tests<br>Discussion  | 50<br>50<br>57<br>59   |
| 9   | PREVENTION, PREDICTION, MANAGEMENT AND TREATMENT  | 67   |
| 9.1<br>9.2<br>9.2.1<br>9.2.2<br>9.3<br>9.3.1<br>9.3.2<br>9.3.3<br>9.3.4<br>9.3.5<br>9.3.6<br>9.3.7<br>9.3.7.1<br>9.3.7.2<br>9.3.7.2<br>9.3.8<br>9.3.9<br>9.4<br>9.4.1 | Prevention mechanisms<br>Prediction techniques<br>Statistic testing<br>Kinetic testing<br>Management strategies<br>Mineral and mining management<br>Water management<br>Water management<br>Standards and guidelines<br>Control of acid generation<br>Control of acid generation<br>Control of acid migration<br>Management design criteria<br>The design process<br>Modelling<br>Water quality management objectives<br>Future management options for the Witbank and<br>Middelburg Dam catchments<br>Treatment technologies<br>Process development and testwork procedure | 67<br>68<br>69<br>69<br>70<br>72<br>74<br>74<br>75<br>76<br>76<br>76<br>76<br>78<br>80<br>80<br>80 |
| 9.4.1.1   | Characterisation of feed  | 87   |
| 9.4.1.2   | Testing   | 87   |
| 9.4.1.3   | Case studies  | 88   |
| 10  | CONCLUSION  | 89   |
| APPENDIX A<br>A.1<br>A.2<br>A.2.1   | WATER QUALITY GUIDELINES<br>Water quality databases<br>South African water quality guidelines<br>Domestic use: Volume 1   | 91<br>91<br>99<br>99   |



| A.2.2   | Recreational use: Volume 2   | 103                                    |
|---|--|--|
| A.2.3   | Industrial use: Volume 3   | 104                                    |
| A.2.4   | Agricultural use – Irrigation: Volume 4  | 105                                    |
| A.2.5   | Agricultural use – Livestock watering: Volume 5  | 107                                    |
| A.2.6   | Agricultural use – Aquaculture: Volume 6   | 109                                    |
| A.2.7   | Aquatic ecosystems: Volume 7   | 112                                    |
| APPENDIX B                                    | TABULATED RESULTS OF BEAKER TESTS  | 114                                    |
| B.1   | pH   | 114                                    |
| B.2   | Sulphates  | 115                                    |
| B.3   | Alkalinity   | 116                                    |
| B.4   | Acidity  | 117                                    |
| B.5   | Iron (II)  | 118                                    |
| APPENDIX C<br>C.1<br>C.2<br>C.3<br>C.4<br>C.5 | GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION OF RESULTS OF<br>BEAKER TESTS<br>pH<br>Sulphates<br>Alkalinity<br>Acidity<br>Iron(II) | 119<br>119<br>125<br>125<br>135<br>138 |



## LIST OF FIGURES

### Figure Title

| Pa | ge |
|----|----|
|----|----|

| 1  | Location of the study area        |   | 3  |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|----|
| 2  | Energy sources in the South Afr   | Energy sources in the South African economy in 1996 |    |
| 3  | Collieries in Mpumalanga Provi    | nce   | 19 |
| 4  | Schematic representation of ge    | ology in the study area                             | 20 |
| 5  | Contribution of seam to in situ d | lemonstrated coal resources                         | 21 |
| 6  | Mean annual wind speed, frequ     | ency and direction                                  | 24 |
| 7  | Metamorphism of organic matte     | -   |    |
|    | peat to anthracite                |   | 28 |
| 8  | Mining method as a function of    | seam thickness and mining                           |    |
|    | depth                             |   | 30 |
| 9  | Scheme showing the likely stag    | es of environmental pollution                       |    |
|    | in the production of coal         | ·   | 32 |
| 10 | The marginal social costs (MSC    | ) and marginal social                               |    |
|    | benefits (MSB) of pollution       |   | 36 |
| 11 | An alternative representation of  | the marginal social costs                           |    |
|    | (MSC) and marginal social bene    | efits (MSB) of pollution                            | 37 |
| 12 | Location of database sample sit   | tes in the study area,                              |    |
|    | showing the summary data prov     | vided by activating the site                        |    |
|    | icon for Greenside Colliery       | · –   | 51 |
| 13 | Fixed Carbon % vs water quality   | ý   | 52 |
| 14 | Pyrite % vs water quality         |   | 53 |
| 15 | Ash % vs water quality            |   | 54 |
| 16 | Total Sulphur % vs water quality  | /   | 55 |
| 17 | Mining method vs water quality    |   | 56 |
| 18 | Location of sample sites used for | or chemical analysis                                | 58 |
| 19 | Summary of all samples: pH o      | over time   | 60 |
| 20 | Summary of 'grab' samples:        | Alkalinity over time                                | 61 |
| 21 | Summary of discard samples:       | Alkalinity over time                                | 62 |
| 22 | Summary of product samples:       | Alkalinity over time                                | 63 |
| 23 | Summary of 'grab' samples:        | Acidity over time                                   | 64 |
| 24 | Summary of discard samples:       | Acidity over time                                   | 65 |
| 25 | Summary of product samples:       | Acidity over time                                   | 66 |
| 26 | The design process                |   | 77 |



## LIST OF TABLES

#### TABLE TITLE

#### PAGE

| 1 | World recoverable hard coal reserves 1996              | 8  |
|---|--|----|
| 2 | Coal consumption in the domestic market in 1995        | 9  |
| 3 | Average rainfall per month, over a 10 year period from |    |
|   | 1989 to 1999   | 22 |
| 4 | Average monthly minimum and maximum                    |    |
|   | temperatures over a 10 year period from 1989 to 1999   | 23 |
| 5 | Average monthly evaporation over a 10 year period      |    |
|   | from 1989 to 1999                                      | 25 |
| 6 | Characteristics of coal in the study area              | 39 |
|   |  |    |



#### ABSTRACT

The coal mining and power generating industries of the Witbank and Middelburg Dam catchments in South Africa's Mpumalanga Province have received much criticism for their apparent apathy regarding water quality in the area. Although a number of previous studies have proved that several of the coal mines and power stations are directly responsible for point and diffuse discharges of acid leachate into the local water bodies, the limited case study conducted for the purpose of this thesis did not produce results that can be used to effectively describe a situation that gives cause for concern. The results do however display a number of trends:

- Coal 'grab' samples are likely to produce the most acid leachate
- Coal product samples are most likely to generate more alkaline leachate
- GIS maps are a commendable method of correlating a range of information contained in a number of databases and provide a userfriendly, interactive means to access a wide range of data at once

In order to meet the water quality management objectives of the catchment management forums, it will be necessary for all coal mines and power generation facilities in the area to come together and develop the most suitable water management programme to ensure that acid mine drainage does not render the regional water resources unfit for any use.

It is also important to be aware that the new National Water Act and associated environmental and mining laws discussed in this thesis are comparable with the highest standards internationally. At the same time, one must always include the factor of human well-being in the scope of the definition of the environment so as to ensure that opportunity is provided for the greatest benefit to be awarded to all components of both the natural and built environments.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special thanks must be extended to the following persons for their contributions in terms of advice and support:

Dr Jannie Maree, Environmentek, CSIR Calie Adlem, Environmentek, CSIR Pieter Pretorius, Environmental Management Services, Iscor Elana Macdonald, Geology, Iscor Johan de Korte, SABS laboratory Marie Coetzee, SABS laboratory Fritz Bekker, Clean Stream Environmental Consultants Prof van Schalkwyk, University of Pretoria Prof Snyman, University of Pretoria Dr van Rooy, University of Pretoria My parents and friends

> "He who aims for the moon, May hit the top of the tree; But he who aims for the tree, May never get off the ground."