

Reading between the Lines: Contributing Factors that Affect Grade 5 Learner Reading Performance.

Surette van Staden 96215934

PhD submitted in fulfillment of the degree:

Assessment and Quality Assurance Faculty of Education University of Pretoria

August 2010

Supervisors:

Prof. Sarah Howie (UP) Prof. Tim Dunne (UCT)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	
LIST OF TABLES	6
LIST OF ACRONYMS	9
ABSTRACT	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	12
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	13
1.1. THE LEARNING-TO-READ PROBLEM IN ITS SOUTH AFRICAN	
CONTEXT	15
1.1.1. South Africa: A Brief Background and Basic Statistics	
1.1.2. The Impact of HIV and Aids	
1.1.3. Learner Drop-Out and Failure Rates	
1.2. RESEARCH QUESTIONS FOR THIS STUDY	
1.3. STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS	
CHAPTER 2: THE SOUTH AFRICAN SCHOOLING SYSTEM	33
2.1. THE SOUTH AFRICAN EDUCATIONAL CONTEXT	
2.2. THE TRANSFORMATION OF EDUCATIONAL STRUCTURES	
2.3. OUTCOMES BASED EDUCATION AND CURRICULUM 2005:	57
CURRICULUM REFORMS IN SOUTH AFRICA	12
2.3.1. Outcomes Based Education and Curriculum 2005	
2.3.2. Critique of OBE and Radical Educational Reform	
2.3.3. Review of Curriculum 2005 and the Current Revised National Curriculum	
Statement (RNCS)	
2.4. THE LANGUAGE PROFILE OF SOUTH AFRICA	50
2.5. LANGUAGE-IN-EDUCATION POLICIES	
2.5.1. The Language in Education Policy in terms of Section 3 (4) (m) of the Nation	
Education Policy Act, 1996 (Act 27 of 1996).	
2.5.2. The Norms and Standards regarding Language Policy published in terms of	
Section 6 (1) of the South African Schools Act, 1996.	
2.5.3. The RNCS Outline of the Language Learning Area	58
2.6. LANGUAGE COMPLEXITIES IN SOUTH AFRICAN SCHOOLS	59
CHAPTER 3: READING LITERACY LITERATURE REVIEW	68
3.1. DEFINITIONS OF LITERACY	68
3.2. READING LITERACY AS CONCEPTUALIZED BY THE RNCS	72
3.3. FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH READING ACHIEVEMENT AT	
LEARNER, HOME AND SCHOOL-LEVEL	74
3.3.1. Learner Factors	76
3.3.2. Home Factors	
3.3.3. School Factors	83
CHAPTER 4: THE PROGRESS IN INTERNATIONAL READING LITERAC	
STUDY (PIRLS) 2006	89
4.2. ASPECTS OF READING LITERACY	
4.2.1. Processes of Comprehension	
4.2.2. PURPOSES FOR READING	95
4.2.3. READING BEHAVIOURS AND ATTITUDES	
4.3. PIRLS 2006 ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENTS	98



4.3.1. Achievement Booklets	
4.3.2. Contextual Questionnaires	
4.3.3. Learner Questionnaire	101
4.3.4. Learning to Read Survey (Parent Questionnaire)	101
4.3.5. Teacher Questionnaire	
4.3.6. School Questionnaire	
4.4. RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY: PIRLS 2006	102
4.5. SAMPLING DESIGN FOR PIRLS 2006	103
4.5.1. First-Stage Sampling Units	104
4.5.2. Second-Stage Sampling Units	105
4.5.3. Third-Stage Sampling Units	
4.5.4. Participation Rates and Exclusions	106
4.6. TRANSLATION OF PIRLS 2006 ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENTS	108
4.6.1. The Translation of Instruments in South Africa	
4.7. DATA COLLECTION, MONITORING AND SCORING	
4.8. DATA PROCESSING	117
CHAPTER 5: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK, RESEARCH DESIGN ANI	a
METHODS	
5.1. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	
5.1.1. Creemers' Comprehensive Model of Educational Effectiveness	
5.1.2. Conceptual Framework for this Study	128
5.2. A SOUTH AFRICAN MODEL OF READING EFFECTIVENESS	
5.2.1. Learner-level Variables	
5.2.2. School and Classroom-level Variables	
5.3. RESEARCH QUESTIONS	
5.4. RESEARCH DESIGN	
5.5. DESIGN ISSUES	143
5.5.1. Sample	144
5.5.2. Instrument Design and Translation	144
5.5.3. The Distinction between First Language, Language of Learning and Lar	nguage
of the Test	145
5.5.4. Drawing Causal Inferences from PIRLS 2006 Data	146
•	
CHAPTER 6: EXPLANATORY VARIABLES AT LEARNER, CLASS ANI	D
SCHOOL-LEVELS AND PIRLS 2006 ACHIEVEMENT	
6.1. SOUTH AFRICAN READING ACHIEVEMENT AND INTERNATION	ΔΙ
COMPARISON	1/18
6.2. SOUTH AFRICAN GRADE 5 ACHIEVEMENT BY LANGUAGE, GEN	
AND PROVINCE	
6.3. SOUTH AFRICAN GRADE 5 LEARNER BENCHMARK ACHIEVEM	132 FNIT
BY LANGUAGE	154
6.4. LEARNER-LEVEL EXPLANATORY VARIABLES	
6.4.1. Time on Task	
6.4.2. Opportunities Used	
6.4.3. Motivation	
6.4.4. Social Background	
6.4.5. Language Skills	
6.5. SCHOOL-LEVEL EXPLANATORY VARIABLES	
6.5.1. Organizational Quality	
6.5.2. Educational Quality	
6.5.3. Time on Task	
6.5.4. Opportunities Used	182

CHAPTER 7: BUILDING LEARNER- AND SCHOOL-LEVEL MODELS WITH	
HLM	190
7.1. VARIATION BETWEEN LANGUAGE GROUPINGS	
7.2. BUILDING THE LEARNER-LEVEL MODEL	
7.2.1. Variables Included in the Learner-level Model	
Questionnaire Items	
7.3. BUILDING THE SCHOOL-LEVEL MODEL	าฮบ วกว
7.3.1. Variables Included in the School-Level Model	
7.3.1. Variables included in the School-Level Model	
Questionnaire Items	
7.4. SUMMARY OF MODELS TO BE USED IN HLM ANALYSIS	
711 OCHMAN OF MODELO TO DE GOLD IN TIEM ANALTOIO IIIIIIIIIIII	
CHAPTER 8: HIERARCHICAL LINEAR MODEL RESULTS	213
8.1. RESULTS FOR THE OVERALL SOUTH AFRICAN MODEL AT	
LEARNER- AND SCHOOL-LEVEL	215
8.2. RESULTS FOR THE AFRIKAANS MODEL	
8.3. RESULTS FOR THE ENGLISH MODEL	
8.4. RESULTS FOR THE NGUNI MODEL	
8.5. RESULTS FOR THE SOTHO MODEL	
8.6. RESULTS FOR THE TSHIVENDA MODEL	
8.7. SUMMARY OF RESULTS	
0.7. 30MMART OF RESOLTS	<u> </u>
CHAPTER 9: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	2/10
9.1. SUMMARY OF THE RESEARCH APPROACH	
9.2. SUMMARY OF THE RESEARCH APPROACH	
9.2.1. What was the Grade 5 Learner Performance on the PIRLS 2006 Assessme	
253	; i i i i :
9.2.2. What is the Extent of Variation across the Language Groupings in Grade 5	
Learners' Reading Literacy Performance?	255
9.2.3. What Factors Related to the Learner's Background Affect Performance in	
Reading Literacy?	256
9.2.4. To What Extent do the School and Classroom Environment Affect Reading	
Literacy Performance?	259
9.2.5. How do these Relationships between Factors Differ or Remain Constant	
across the Language Groupings in South Africa?2	
9.3. REFLECTIONS ON THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	
9.4. METHODOLOGICAL REFLECTIONS	
9.4.1. The PIRLS 2006 Assessment Instruments and Contextual Questionnaires. 2	
9.4.2. The Use of Hierarchical Linear Modelling Techniques	
9.4.3. The Distinction Between First Language, Language of the Test and Language	ige
of Learning	270
9.5. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY	271
9.6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
9.6.1. Main Conclusions	
9.6.2. Additional Reflections	
9.6.3. Recommendations	28 I
REFERENCES	288



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Percentages and Changes in Home Languages Spoken Between	
1996 and 2001	51
Figure 2.2: Language in Education Policy Planning (Barkhuizen et al, 1996, p.	
	57
Figure 2.3: Illustration of Language Complexity in Terms of Language of	
Learning, Home Language and Language of the Test	60
Figure 4.1: Matrix Design per Test Booklet	99
Figure 5.1: Conceptual Framework for International Comparative Studies 1	21
Figure 5.2: Explanatory Scenarios of Advantaging and Disadvantaging Factor	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$
Associated with Reading Achievement 1	23
Figure 5.3: Creemers' Comprehensive Model of Educational Effectiveness (Bo	os,
<i>2002</i>)	26
2002)	
Achievement	42
Figure 6.1: Distribution of International Reading Achievement 1	51
Figure 6.2: Grade 5 Achievement by Language1	53
Figure 6.3: International Benchmark Achievement Patterns Compared to 1	
South African Grade 5 Benchmark Achievement Patterns 1	55
Figure 6.4: South African Grade 5 Benchmark Achievement per Language 1	
Figure 6.5: Grades by which Reading Skills and Strategies Receive First Majo	r
Emphasis1	76
Figure 6.6: Average Achievement by Grades of Introduction of Complex	
Reading Skills and Strategies1	77
Figure 6.7: Percentages of Grade 5 Learners Exposed to Types of Reading	
Comprehension Activities1	89



LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: General Household Survey Totals for Education and Employment. 18	3
Table 2.1: Comparative Education Statistics: 198935	
Table 2.2: African Learner Enrollment: 1953 - 1988	3
Table 2.3: The National Qualifications Framework40)
Table 2.4: Distribution of Home Language in South Africa	1
Table 4.1: PIRLS 2006 Aspects of Reading Literacy90	
Table 4.2: Factors within the Home, School, National and Community Contexts	
addressed by PIRLS 200697	
Table 4.3: PIRLS 2006 Matrix Sampling Blocks98	
Table 4.4: PIRLS 2006 Test Booklet Design99	
Table 4.5: Examples of Culturally Acceptable Adaptations	1
Table 4.6: Number of Schools Monitored for PIRLS 2006	
Table 5.1: Factors of Reading Effectiveness as Adapted from Creemers' Model	
of Educational Effectiveness129	9
Table 5.2: Learner-level Variables from PIRLS 2006 Questionnaires 131	1
Table 5.3: School and Classroom-level Variables As Taken from PIRLS 2006	
School and Teacher Questionnaires	2
Table 6.1: PIRLS 2006 Participating Countries and Education Systems 149	
Table 6.2: Average Achievement Scores per Province	
Table 6.3: Time Spent on Reading Homework and Associated Reading	
Achievement	2
Table 6.4: Opportunities to do Reading Homework for Any Subject 163	3
Table 6.5: Percentage of Parents Reporting Daily Reading Activities with	
Children165	5
Table 6.6: Learners' Reading Attitudes and Reading Self-Concepts on Reading	
Achievement Scores 167	7
Table 6.7: Books at Home and Associated Reading Achievement Scores 169	9
Table 6.8: The Effect of the Availability of Home Resources on Reading	
Achievement Scores	
Table 6.9: Grade 5 Learner Achievement by Parental Qualifications 170)
Table 6.10: Percentage per Language of the Test171	1
Table 6.11: Average Reading Achievement and Frequency of Test Language at	ţ
Home172	_
Table 6.12: Principal Estimates of Percentage of Time Spent on School	
Organizational Activities	1
Table 6.13: Principal Reports of Children Able to Perform Early Literacy Skills a	t
Grade 1	5
Table 6.14: Reading Achievement Scores with Availability of Enrichment	
Reading Instruction179	9
Table 6.15: Teacher Methods of Intervention for Learners Behind in Reading	
)
Table 6.16: Average Reading Achievement Associated with Reading Curricula	
Documents and Informal Reading Initiatives183	
Table 6.17: Frequency of Use of Different Types of Reading Material 184	1
Table 6.18: Parental Involvement Associations with Reading Achievement	
Scores	
Table 6.19: Grade 5 Learners Exposure to Different Types of Text 187	7



Table 7.1: Average Grade 5 Achievement Score per Language	191
Table 7.2: Average Grade 5 Achievement Score per Language Grouping	192
Table 7.3: Variance Across Language Groupings	
Table 7.4: Factors and Variables Included in the Learner-Level Model	195
Table 7.5: Factor Extraction with Learner-Level Variables from the Learner	
Questionnaire	197
Table 7.6: Factor Extraction with Parent-Level Variables from the Parent	
Questionnaire	198
Table 7.7: Factor Extraction with Standardized Learner-Level Scores from the	
Learner Questionnaire	
Table 7.8: Factor Extraction with Standardized Learner-Level Scores from the	
Parent Questionnaire	
Table 7.9: Factors and Variables Included in the School-Level Model	
	202
Table 7.10: Factor Extraction with School-Level Variables from the School	004
Q0000000000000000000000000000000000000	204
Table 7.11: Factor Extraction with School-Level Variables from the Teacher	
Questionnaire	
Table 7.12: Factor Extraction with Standardized School-Level Scores from to	
School Questionnaire	
Table 7.13: Factor Extraction with Standardized School-Level Scores from to	he
Teacher Questionnaire	209
Table 7.14: Model Variables Included at the Learner-Level	211
Table 7.15: Model Variables Included at the School-Level	212
Table 8.1: Estimation of the Variance Components for the Overall Null Mode	
Table 8.2: Variable Naming Conventions for the Level-1 Model	
Table 8.3: Variable Naming Conventions for the Level-2 Model	
Table 8.4: Overall Final Model Results for the PIRLS 2006 South African Da	
Table 6.1. Gverall Final Medel Flocusties for the Final Education and	
Table 8.5: Variance Components for the Overall Model	
Table 8.6: Estimation of the Variance Components in the Afrikaans Null Mod	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	223
Table 8.7: Afrikaans Learner-Level Model Results	224
Table 8.8: Variance Components for the Afrikaans Model:	
Table 8.9: Afrikaans Learner- and School-Level Model Results	
Table 8.10: Afrikaans Model Variance Components without and with School	
Level Variables	227
Table 8.11: Estimation of the Variance Components in the English Null Mod	
Table 8.12: English Learner-Level Model Results	
Table 8.13: English Learner- and School-Level Model Results	
Table 8.14: English Model Variance Components without and with School-L	evel
Variables	231
Table 8.15: Estimation of the Variance Components in the Nguni Null Model	1232
Table 8.16: Nguni Learner-Level Model Results	
Table 8.17: Nguni Learner- and School-Level Model Results	
Table 8.18: Nguni Model Variance Components without and with School-Lev	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	237
Table 8.19: Estimation of the Variance Components in the Sotho Null Model	_
Table 8.20: Sotho Learner-Level Model Results	
Table old Court Education Edvoi Model Floodile	-00



Table 8.21: Sotho Learner and School-Level Model Results	241
Table 8.22: Sotho Model Variance Components without and with So	chool-Level
Variables	242
Table 8.23: Estimation of the Variance Components in the Sotho Nu	ıll Model 243
Table 8.24: Tshivenda Learner-Level Model Results	244
Table 8.25: Summary of Model Results in the Presence of Other Co	efficients
	246
Table 9.1: Average Grade 5 Achievement Score per Language Gro	<i>uping</i> 255
Table 9.2: Variance Across Language Groupings	256
Table 9.3: Summary of Learner-level Model Results with Significant	Coefficients
	257
Table 9.4: Summary of Model Results with Significant Coefficients	259
Table 9.5: Summary of Model Results with Significant Coefficients	261
Table 9.6: Factors of Reading Effectiveness and Statistically Significant	cant Effects
(adapted from Creemers, 1999)	265



LIST OF ACRONYMS

ABET Adult Basic Education and Training
CEA Centre for Evaluation and Assessment
DET Department of Education and Training

DoE Department of Education DPC Data Processing Centre

ECD Early Childhood Development
GDP Gross Domestic Product
GNU Government of National Unity
HIV Human Immunodeficiency Virus

HOA House of Assembly
HOD House of Delegates
HOR House of Representatives
HLM Hierarchical Linear Modelling

IEA International Association for the Evaluation of Educational

Achievement

IRT Item Response Theory
ISC International Study Centre
LANGTAG Language Planning Task Group
LOLT Language of Learning and Teaching

NAEP National Assessment of Educational Progress

NRC National Research Coordinator
NQF National Qualifications Framework

NTB National Training Board
OBE Outcomes Based Education

PANSALB Pan South African Language Board

PIRLS 2006 Progress in International Reading Literacy Study 2006
PISA Programme for International Student Assessment

PSNP Primary School Nutrition Program

RNCS Revised National Curriculum Statement

SACMEQ Southern and Eastern African Consortium for the

Monitoring of Educational Quality

SE Systemic Evaluation

SITES Second Information Technology in Education Study

SPSS Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
TIMSS Trends in Mathematics and Science Study

UN United Nations



ABSTRACT

This study aims to identify and explain relationships between some major factors associated with successful reading at Grade 5 level in South African primary schools. In South Africa, grave concerns with regards to low levels of student achievement pervade research initiatives and educational debates. Despite considerable investments in educational inputs (such as policy and resources) and processes (such as curriculum provision and teacher support), outcomes (such as student achievement) remain disappointingly low. The South African population is characterized by great diversity and variation. With 11 official languages, current educational policy in South Africa advocates an additive bilingualism model and students in Grade 1 to 3 are taught in their mother tongue. Thereafter, when these students progress to Grade 4, the language of learning and teaching changes to a second language, which in most cases is English. At this key developmental stage students are also expected to advance from learning to read to a stage where they can use reading in order to learn.

With this complexity of issues in mind, Hierarchical Linear Modeling (HLM) was used to determine the effect of a number of explanatory variables at learner-and school level on reading achievement as outcome variable, while controlling for language using the South African Progress in International Reading Literacy Study (PIRLS) 2006 data. As an international comparative evaluation of reading literacy involving more than 40 countries, PIRLS 2006 was the second, after PIRLS 2001, in a series of planned five-year cycles of assessment to measure trends in children's reading literacy achievement, policy and practices related to literacy.

Grade 5 learners in South African primary schools who participated in PIRLS 2006 were not able to achieve satisfactory levels of reading competence. The gravity of this finding is exacerbated by the fact that these learners were tested in the language in which they had been receiving instruction during the Foundation Phase of schooling.



This study found most significant factors associated with reading literacy at learner-level, but this does not mean that the existence of teacher- and school-level factors is not of importance. While some explanatory factors at learner-level can more easily become the target of reading interventions, the higher level effect of the classroom and school are not diminished by this study.

Creemers' Comprehensive Model of Educational Effectiveness was utilized as theoretical point of departure. Creemers' model was adapted for the purposes of this study to reflect a South African model of reading effectiveness in contrast with Creemers' original use of it as a model of school effectiveness. Evidence was provided that the conceptual framework was inadequate in identifying factors affecting reading achievement for all South African language groupings. More specifically, the adapted South African reading effectiveness model was only appropriate in explaining reading achievement scores for the Afrikaans and English language groupings than for those from African language groupings.

Keywords: secondary analysis, educational policies, reading literacy, PIRLS 2006, international comparative studies, Hierarchical Linear Modelling, Creemers' Comprehensive Model of Educational Effectiveness, nested data, explained variance.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study would not have been possible without the support, guidance and inspiration from the following people:

- My dearest husband Johan, for all your understanding, patience and sacrifice throughout this often tiring process. I love you.
- My family, who have persistently egged me on throughout all my years of study. I am because of you.
- Prof. Sarah Howie (University of Pretoria), to whom I am greatly indebted for creating so many opportunities for me and believing in me when I did not. Thank you for all the time and effort spent on this study.
- Prof. Tim Dunne (University of Cape Town), from whom I learnt so much more than statistics. Your humility, kindness and time spent on this study despite your many responsibilities will never be forgotten.
- Prof. Roel Bosker (Rijksuniversiteit Groningen), who tirelessly and generously helped me during the model building and analysis stages of this study. You are always an inspiration and motivation for me to know more.
- Prof. Tjeerd Plomp (University of Twente), who read drafts of chapters and critically commented on many aspects of this study. Your experience and energy never ceases to amaze me.
- Prof. Constantinos Papanastasiou (University of Cyprus) who pointed me in the direction of HLM and whose brief discussion with me solved many problems.
- Prof. Irma Eloff (University of Pretoria), for guidance and assistance during the application process for the Postgraduate Mentorship Bursary Programme. The bursary came in handy!
- Andrew Graham, for editing the chapters and making valuable comments.
- My colleagues at the CEA, for encouragement and support I could always count on.