

**COCHLEAR IMPLANT SPEECH PROCESSING, BASED ON THE COCHLEAR
TRAVELLING WAVE**

by

Hendrik Petrus Wolmarans

9221891

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Engineering (Bio-engineering)

In the

Faculty of Engineering, Built Environment and Information Technology

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA, PRETORIA

August 2005

University of Pretoria etd – Wolmarans, H P (2005)
COCHLEAR IMPLANT SPEECH PROCESSING, BASED ON THE COCHLEAR
TRAVELLING WAVE

by

Hendrik Petrus Wolmarans

Supervisor: Prof JJ Hanekom

Departement Elektriese, Elektroniese en Rekenaar- Ingenieurswese

Degree: M.Eng. (Bioengineering)

Keywords: Travelling wave, basilar membrane, hydrodynamic, model, cochlea, cochlear implant, speech processing.

A cochlear implant is a prosthetic device that can provide severe-to-profoundly deaf individuals with partially restored hearing. It emulates the function of a normal cochlea through combined functioning of externally situated electronics and an electrode array surgically implanted into the cochlea. Speech coding strategies implemented in speech processors aim to stimulate the auditory nerve in a way similar to that of a normal working cochlea by modelling the way the cochlea processes sound.

Current speech processing strategies rely on the tonotopicity of the cochlea, i.e. the relation between distance from the base of the cochlea and the specific frequency that causes the highest amplitude of deflection at the specific point. The phenomenon of the travelling wave on the basilar membrane is thus reduced to its point or points of maximal deflection.

In this study, the behaviour along the full length of the basilar membrane will be investigated in the time domain, i.e. the deflection along the whole membrane for any point in time, in order to evaluate the relevance of the travelling wave in coding sound in a cochlear implant system. The additional information acquired by emulating the motion of the fluid and the basilar membrane in the cochlea, will be transmitted to the recipient in

[**University of Pretoria etd – Wolmarans, H P \(2005\)**](#)

electrical stimulus patterns, to assess whether it provides recipients of cochlear implants with better pitch perception. It will be shown that for the individuals that partook in the experiments, improvement of discrimination around 100 Hz were obtained when compared to current speech coding strategies like the advanced combination encoder (ACE) speech coding strategy in the same recipient.

KOGLEËRE INPLANTING SPRAAKVERWERKING GEBASEER OP DIE

KOGLEËRE LOOPGOLF

deur

Hendrik Petrus Wolmarans

Studieleier: Prof JJ Hanekom

Departement Elektriese, Elektroniese en Rekenaar- Ingenieurswese

Graad: M.Ing. (Bio-ingenieurswese)

Sleutelwoorde: Loopgolf, basilaarmembraan, hidrodinamiese model, model, koglea, kogleêre implanting, spraakprosessering.

'n Kogleêre implanting is 'n prostese wat 'n mate van gehoor kan herstel vir individue met 'n ernstige-tot-totale gehoorverlies. 'n Kogleêre implanting beoog om die normale funksionering van die oor te emuleer deur die werking van beide 'n eksterne spraakprosesseerde en 'n sjirurgies-geïplanteerde elektrode en stimulator. Spraakkoderingstrategieë, wat in die spraakprosesseerde geïmplementeer word, beoog om die ouditiewe senuwee op 'n soortgelyke wyse te stimuleer as die senuwee van 'n normale oor deur so goed moontlik die werking van die koglea te modelleer.

Spraakprosesseeringstrategieë wat tans gebruik word, berus egter slegs op die tonotopisiteit van die koglea. Dit behels dat na mate opwaarts in die koglea beweeg word, die koglea toenemend meer sensitief is vir dalende frekwensies. Hierdie sensitwiteit spruit uit die maksimale defleksie van die basilaarmembraan op elke punt vir 'n spesifieke frekwensie, wat ooreenstem met die posisie in die koglea. Die loopgolfverskynsel wat op die basilaarmembraan voorkom word dus vereenvoudig na die punt of punte van maksimale uitwyking vanuit die rusposisie.

University of Pretoria etd – Wolmarans, H P (2005)

In hierdie studie word die verplasing langs die basilaarmembraan in die tyd-domein gemodelleer, ge-enkodeer en vir kogleêre inplantinggebruikers gespeel om sodoende die relevansie van die loopgolf in die kodering van klank en veral toonhoogtes in 'n kogleêre inplanting te ondersoek. Resultate word voorgelê wat 'n verbetering in toonhoogtediskriminasie om 100 Hz aandui, wanneer vergelyk word met huidige spraakkoderingstrategieë soos 'advance combination encoder' (ACE) in dieselfde gebruiker.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 PROBLEM STATEMENT	1
1.2 RESEARCH QUESTION AND HYPOTHESIS	7
1.3 OBJECTIVES	8
1.4 APPROACH	9
1.4.1 Hydrodynamic model	9
1.4.2 Implementation of model in software	10
1.4.3 Evaluating the model (experimental work)	13
1.5 CONTRIBUTION	14
1.6 OVERVIEW	15
Chapter 2 LITERATURE STUDY	17
2.1 INTRODUCTION	17
2.2 BACKGROUND LITERATURE STUDY	17
2.3 SUMMARY	20
Chapter 3 HYDRODYNAMIC MODEL AND IMPLEMENTATION	22
3.1 INTRODUCTION	22
3.2 BACKGROUND	22
3.3 IMPLEMENTATION	24
3.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	32
3.5 SUMMARY	34
Chapter 4 INTEGRATION OF THE HYDRODYNAMIC MODEL WITH THE NUCLEUS SPEECH PROCESSING ALGORITHM	36
4.1 INTRODUCTION	36
4.2 SUB-SAMPLING THE OUTPUT OF THE MODEL	36
4.3 OVERVIEW OF PROCESSING BLOCKS IN THE NUCLEUS MATLAB TOOLBOX WHEN USING ADVANCED COMBINATION ENCODER (ACE)	38
4.4 OVERVIEW OF CONVERSION FROM ADVANCED COMBINATION ENCODER (ACE) TO TRAVELLING WAVE ENCODING STRATEGY	42
4.5 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TRAVELLING WAVE ENCODING STRATEGY IN SOFTWARE	43
4.5.1 Input	44
4.5.2 Software implementation and sub-sampling	44
4.5.3 Selection of electrodes to stimulate	44
4.5.4 Modified loudness growth function and current level mapping	45
4.5.5 Collate into sequence	46
4.5.6 Channel mapping	47
4.6 DEBUGGING AND RX-FRAMES	48
4.7 RESULTS	50
4.8 SUMMARY	56
Chapter 5 EXPERIMENTS	57
5.1 INTRODUCTION	57
5.2 OBJECTIVES	57
5.3 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	58
5.3.1 Loudness balancing procedure	58
5.3.2 Stimulus discrimination experiment	60
5.3.3 Pitch ranking experiment	61
5.4 BACKGROUND OF RECIPIENTS	62
5.5 ELECTRODOGRAMS	63
5.6 RESULTS	67
5.6.1 Loudness balancing	67

5.6.2	Discrimination experiment.....	68
5.6.3	Pitch ranking experiment	77
5.7	SUMMARY	80
Chapter 6	DISCUSSION	82
6.1	INTRODUCTION.....	82
6.2	LOUDNESS BALANCING PROCEDURE	82
6.3	DISCRIMINATION OF FREQUENCIES.....	83
6.4	PITCH RANKING	84
Chapter 7	CONCLUSION	86
7.1	SUMMARY OF THE WORK.....	86
7.2	DISCUSSION OF RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND HYPOTHESIS	88
7.3	RESEARCH CONTRIBUTION.....	89
7.4	IMPLICATION FOR COCHLEAR IMPLANTS	90
7.5	FUTURE RESEARCH.....	90