

ANALYSIS OF A FOUR STATE SWITCHABLE HYDRO-PNEUMATIC SPRING AND DAMPER SYSTEM

CHRISTIAAN LAMBERT GILIOME

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree

M Eng (Mechanical Engineering)

in the

Department of Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Built Environment and Information
Technology

University of Pretoria

2003

ANALYSIS OF A FOUR STATE SWITCHABLE HYDRO-PNEUMATIC SPRING AND DAMPER SYSTEM

By

Christiaan Lambert Giliomee

Study leaders: Prof. JL van Niekerk, Mr. PS Els

Department of Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering

University of Pretoria

Summary

Spring and damper characteristics determine to a large extent the ride quality and handling of a vehicle. Since the requirements for good ride and good handling are conflicting, adjustable suspension elements are developed. In this study a two-state semi-active hydro-pneumatic spring, in conjunction with a two-state semi-active hydraulic damper is investigated. A mathematical model of the spring/damper system is developed and verified with measured data.

Two types of tests were performed on a prototype spring/damper unit, namely characterisation tests and single degree of freedom tests. The characterisation tests included characterising the hydro-pneumatic spring, the hydraulic damper, as well as the hydraulic valves in terms of valve response times. For the single degree of freedom tests, the step response, random input response and sine sweep response were determined.

Simulation models of the characterisation setup, as well as the single degree of freedom setup were constructed in Matlab Simulink. A real gas, thermal time constant model was used for modelling the hydro-pneumatic spring, while a look-up table was used for the damper characteristics. A hydraulic flow model was developed from first principles and first order valve dynamics were also included in the models.

Good correlation was obtained between measured and simulated data for the characterisation tests, as well as the single degree of freedom tests. The spring/damper model can be incorporated into a full 3D vehicle model in order to predict the ride and handling of a vehicle fitted with such a system.

ANALISE VAN 'N VIER-TOESTAND SKAKELBARE HIDROPNEUMATIESE VEER- EN DEMPERSTELSEL

Deur
Christiaan Lambert Giliomee

Studie leiers: Prof. JL van Niekerk, Mnr. PS Els

Departement Meganiese en Lugvaarkundige Ingenieurswese

Universiteit van Pretoria

Opsomming

Veer- en demperkarakteristieke bepaal tot 'n groot mate die ritgemak en hantering van 'n voertuig. Aangesien die vereistes vir goeie ritgemak en goeie hantering teenstrydig is, word verstelbare suspensie elemente ontwikkel en gebruik. In hierdie studie word 'n twee-toestand semi-aktiewe hidropneumatiese veer, gekoppel met 'n twee-toestand semi-aktiewe hidrouliese demper ondersoek. 'n Wiskundige model van die veer- en demperstelsel is ontwikkel en geverifieer met gemete data.

Twee tipes toetse is uitgevoer op die veer- en demperstelsel, naamlik karakteriseringstoetse en enkelvryheidsgraad toetse. Die karakteriseringstoetse het behels die karakterisering van die hidropneumatiese veer, die hidrouliese demper, asook die bepaling van die kleprespons tye. Enkelvryheidsgraad toetse het ingesluit trap respons, willekeurige en sinusvormige opwekking toetse.

Simulasiemodelle van die karakteriseringsopstelling, asook die enkelvryheidsgraad opstelling is geprogrammeer in Matlab Simulink. 'n Werklike gas, termiese tydskonstante model is gebruik vir die hidropneumatiese veer model, terwyl oplees tabelle gebruik is vir die demper model. Die hidrouliese vloeï model is afgelei uit eerste beginsels en eerste orde klep dinamika is ook ingesluit in die modelle.

Goeie korrelasie tussen gemete en gesimuleerde data is verkry vir die karakteriseringstoetse, asook die enkelvryheidsgraad toetse. Die veer- demperstelsel kan nou in 'n volledige drie-dimensionele voertuig model ingebou word om die ritgemak en hantering van 'n voertuig met so 'n suspensie te voorspel.

All models are wrong. Some are useful.

J. Box

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Prof. Wikus van Niekerk

Mr. Schalk Els

Dr. Stefan Nell

Yolandé Giliomee

INDEX

1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Preamble	1-2
1.2	Background	1-3
1.3	Purpose and scope of this study	1-10
2	HISTORICAL AND LITERATURE OVERVIEW	
2.1	Preamble	2-2
2.2	Suspension classification	2-2
2.3	Semi-active dampers	2-5
2.3.1	Background	2-5
2.3.2	Semi-active damper control	2-5
2.4	Hydro-pneumatic springs	2-6
2.4.1	Historical overview	2-6
2.4.2	Modelling of hydro-pneumatic springs	2-8
2.4.3	Controllable hydro-pneumatic /pneumatic suspensions	2-16
2.5	Closing	2-19
3	MATHEMATICAL MODELLING	
3.1	Preamble	3-2
3.2	Mathematical sub-models	3-2
3.2.1	Hydro-pneumatic spring model	3-2
3.2.2	Hydraulic damper model	3-5
3.2.3	Hydraulic flow model	3-7
3.2.4	Damper valve model	3-8
3.2.5	Spring valve model	3-9
3.3	Sub-model integration	3-12
3.4	Alternative mathematical model	3-12
3.5	Simulations	3-16
3.6	Closing	3-16
4	EXPERIMENTAL WORK	
4.1	Preamble	4-2
4.2	Test setup	4-2
4.2.1	Experimental setup	4-2

4.2.2	Instrumentation, control and data acquisition	4-4
4.3	Hydro-pneumatic spring characterisation	4-5
4.3.1	Physical attributes	4-5
4.3.2	Characterisation procedure	4-6
4.4	Hydraulic damper characterisation	4-7
4.4.1	Physical attributes	4-7
4.4.2	Characterisation procedure	4-8
4.5	Hydraulic valve	4-9
4.5.1	Valve type and working principle	4-9
4.5.2	Valve response times	4-10
4.6	Single degree of freedom tests	4-12
4.6.1	Step response	4-12
4.6.2	Random input response (Belgian paving)	4-13
4.6.3	Sinesweep	4-15
4.6.4	Ride height adjustment	4-16
4.7	Closing	4-18
5	MATHEMATICAL MODEL VALIDATION	
5.1	Preamble	5-2
5.2	Basic strut model validation	5-2
5.2.1	Passive characteristics	5-3
5.2.2	Workspace test	5-3
5.3	SDOF model validation	5-6
5.3.1	Step response	5-7
5.3.2	Random input response	5-8
5.3.3	Sine sweep	5-12
5.4	Closing	5-14
6	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
6.1	Preamble	6-2
6.2	Conclusions	6-3
6.3	Recommendations	6-4
	REFERENCES	R-1
	APPENDIX A: HYDROPNEUMATIC SUSPENSIONS	A-1
	APPENDIX B: SIMULINK MODELS AND M_FILES	B-1

APPENDIX C: MATHEMATICAL FLOW MODEL C-1

APPENDIX D: TEST RESULTS D-1

APPENDIX E: CORRELATION RESULTS E-1

ABBREVIATIONS

2D	-	Two dimensional
3D	-	Three dimensional
A/D	-	Analogue to Digital
ADAMS	-	Automatic Design and Analysis of Mechanical Systems
APC	-	Armoured Personnel Carrier
APG	-	Aberdeen Proving Grounds
ASC	-	Adaptive Suspension Control
AFV	-	Armoured Fighting Vehicle
ATV	-	All Terrain Vehicle
BWR	-	Benedict-Webb-Rubin
D/A	-	Digital to Analogue
DADS	-	Dynamic Analysis and Design System
EAS	-	Electronically Controlled Air Suspension
FRF	-	Frequency Response Function
GVM	-	Gross Vehicle Mass
HIL	-	Hardware in the Loop
HSS	-	Hydro-pneumatic Suspension System
MBT	-	Main Battle Tank
PRC	-	Programmed Ride Control
RAM	-	Random Access Memory
RMS	-	Root Mean Square
SDOF	-	Single Degree of Freedom
TEMS	-	Toyota Electronically Modulated Suspension
TACOM	-	Tank Automotive Command
USMC	-	United States Marine Core
VTF	-	Vehicle Test Facility

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: First experimental semi-active damper

Figure 1-2: First single degree of freedom test rig

Figure 1-3: 4x4 mine protected test vehicle fitted with semi-active dampers

Figure 1-4: Semi-active damper fitted to 4x4 test vehicle

Figure 1-5: 6x6 armoured personnel carrier fitted with semi-active dampers

Figure 1-6: Semi-active damper fitted to 6x6 APC

Figure 1-7: GV6 self-propelled howitzer fitted with semi-active rotary dampers

Figure 1-8: Semi-active rotary damper fitted to the experimental vehicle

Figure 1-9: Pitch velocity of the GV6 vehicle over the APG track

Figure 1-10: Schematic layout of the semi-active spring/damper unit

Figure 2-1: Passive suspension workspace

Figure 2-2: Adaptive suspension workspace

Figure 2-3: Semi-active suspension workspace

Figure 2-4: Active suspension workspace

Figure 2-5: Mowag Piranha

Figure 2-6: Giat Vextra

Figure 2-7: Isothermal and adiabatic spring rates (ideal gas)

Figure 2-8: Nitrogen compressibility

Figure 2-9: Experimental determination of the thermal time constant

Figure 2-10: Characteristic hysteresis loop of a hydro-pneumatic spring (sinusoidal excitation)

Figure 2-11: Thermal damping

Figure 2-12: Anelastic model for modelling heat transfer in accumulators

Figure 2-13: Variable spring rate suspension (parallel accumulators)

Figure 2-14: Variable spring rate suspension (series accumulators)

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 2-15: Twin accumulator suspension
- Figure 3-1: Simulink model of the hydro-pneumatic spring
- Figure 3-2: Semi-active damper characteristic
- Figure 3-3: Damper model
- Figure 3-4: Hydraulic flow model in Simulink
- Figure 3-5: Polynomial fit to measured damper valve response data
- Figure 3-6: Damper valve model
- Figure 3-7: Spring valve switching module
- Figure 3-8: Linear fit to measured spring valve response data
- Figure 3-9: Spring valve model
- Figure 3-10: Modelling the response delay
- Figure 3-11: SDOF Simulink model
- Figure 3-12: Anelastic model for modelling heat transfer in accumulators
- Figure 3-13: Polytropic exponent as a function of excitation frequency and amplitude
- Figure 3-14: Semi-active anelastic spring model
- Figure 4-1: Characterisation test setup
- Figure 4-2: Single degree of freedom test setup
- Figure 4-3: Accumulators, damper and valves secured on top of sprung mass
- Figure 4-4: Linear potentiometer measuring relative strut displacement
- Figure 4-5: 40MPa pressure sensor measuring accumulator pressure
- Figure 4-6: Floating piston hydraulic accumulator
- Figure 4-7: Semi-active spring characteristics (0.01m/s)
- Figure 4-8: Two stage damper characteristics
- Figure 4-9: 2-Way Cartridge Valve sectional drawing
- Figure 4-10: Valve response times for semi-active spring valve
- Figure 4-11: Valve response times for semi-active damper valve
-

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4-12: Step response of the sprung mass for different spring and damper combinations

Figure 4-13: Sprung mass acceleration for different spring settings over the Belgian paving

Figure 4-14: Sprung mass acceleration for the damper “on” setting and damper semi-active

Figure 4-15: Transmissibility of spring/damper for different configurations

Figure 4-16: Ride height adjustment for driving over the Belgian paving track

Figure 5-1: Passive spring characteristic validation ($0.01m/s$)

Figure 5-2: Workspace characterisation

Figure 5-3: Force versus time correlation ($0.001m/s$)

Figure 5-4: Force versus displacement correlation ($0.001m/s$)

Figure 5-5: Measured actuator displacement used for SDOF simulations

Figure 5-6: $30mm$ step response (Spring – OFF, Damper – OFF)

Figure 5-7: Random input actuator displacement (Belgian paving - left lane)

Figure 5-8: Correlation over Belgian paving (Spring – OFF, Damper – OFF)

Figure 5-9: Belgian paving correlation summary (RMS)

Figure 5-10: Sine sweep correlation (Spring - OFF, Damper - ON)

Figure 5-11: Transmissibility (Spring - OFF, Damper - OFF)
