

MODELLING AND CONTROL OF AN ELECTRIC ARC FURNACE OFF-GAS PROCESS

by

Johannes Gerhardt Bekker

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Engineering (Electronic-engineering)

in the

Faculty of Engineering

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

November 1998



Abstract:

Title: Modelling and Control of an Electric Arc Furnace Off-gas Process
By: Johannes Gerhardt Bekker
Supervisor: Professor Ian K. Craig
Department: Electrical and Electronic Engineering
Degree: Master of Engineering (Electronic Engineering)

This dissertation investigates the automation of manually controlled variables such as fan speed and slip-gap width for the electric arc furnace (EAF) off-gas process. An extensive modelling effort is conducted and results in a comprehensive plant model. The model derived in this dissertation is intended for the testing of control strategies using off-gas variables in the control of an electric arc furnace. Derivation of a multivariable non-linear state-space model for the process is handled. The assumptions that are made and the state space representation provide a comprehensive framework for the development of an electric arc furnace process model. First principles of thermodynamics are used to derive the model, ensuring behaviour consistent with physical observations. Typical operating conditions for a furnace are discussed, and a simulation is conducted with these operating conditions. In order to apply the model representing a specific industrial furnace the adjustable parameters in the model are selected such that the model responses concur with those of the actual furnace. After verification, this plant model is regarded as the process for which a controller must be designed. A linear model approximates the plant model to give a simplified mathematical abstraction of the process. The linear model is accepted as a reasonable approximation of the process for the controller design purpose, and an analysis of the linear system shows that the linear system is stable. The analysis also shows that the linear system is output controllable with respect to particular outputs, although the internal state vector is not completely observable. Qualitative control objectives are translated to quantitative control specifications.

Control design for the linear system is performed in the Matlab environment. Model Predictive Control is the preferred control design method, due to the use of an internal model and the use of optimisation. Experiments with the controller parameters are done to obtain the best nominal controller. These include the cost function multipliers for the controlled and manipulated variables, as well as the manipulation and prediction horizons. The effect of various inputs and disturbances is determined. A trade-off is made between improving electric arc furnace efficiency and ensuring environmental protection, and the nominal controller is obtained. The controller is then applied to the plant model, and tested on the process it was intended for. A final “on-line tuning” session on the plant model concluded the design. The controller was evaluated against the option of no control, and the controller improved process operation. The controller achieved good regulation, setpoint tracking and disturbance rejection.

Keywords: Electric Arc Furnace, Off-gas Process, Process Control, State Space Modelling, Model Predictive Control, Quadratic Programming, Real-time Optimal Control.

Opsomming:

Titel: Modelling and Control of an Electric Arc Furnace Off-gas Process
Deur: Johannes Gerhardt Bekker
Leier: Professor Ian K. Craig
Departement: Elektriese en Elektroniese Ingenieurswese
Graad: Magister in Ingenieurswese (Elektroniese Ingenieurswese)

Hierdie verhandeling ondersoek die outomatisasie van handbeheerde veranderlikes soos waaierspoed en sleur gapingwydte vir die elektriese boogoond afgas proses. 'n Deeglike modellering-fase word uitgevoer, en die nie-lineêre model word so verkry. Die model wat in hierdie verhandeling ontwikkel word, is bedoel vir die toets van beheer-strategieë deur van die afgas-veranderlikes gebruik te maak om 'n elektriese boogoond te beheer. Die afleiding vir 'n multi-veranderlike nie-lineêre toestand-ruimte model van die proses word behandel. Die gebruikte aannames en die toestand ruimte voorstelling verskaf 'n deeglike raamwerk vir die ontwikkeling van 'n elektriese boogoond proses model. Eerste beginsels van termodinamika word gebruik om die model af te lei, ten einde ooreenstemming te kry met fisiese waarnemings. Tipiese bedryfstoele vir 'n boogoond word bespreek, en 'n simulatie word met hierdie toestande uitgevoer. Ten einde die model toe te pas om 'n spesifieke industriële oond voor te stel is die verstelbare parameters in die model gekies sodat die model reaksies ooreenstem met die van die werklike oond. Na model-verifikasie, is die model as 'n plaasvervanger vir die proses gebruik, en beskou as die proses waarvoor 'n beheerder ontwerp moes word. 'n Lineêre model is gebruik om die aanleg model te benader ten einde 'n vereenvoudigde wiskundige voorstelling te kry. Die lineêre model word aanvaar as 'n redelike benadering van die aanleg model vir beheerder ontwerp doeleindes, en 'n lineêre stelsel analise toon aan dat dit 'n stabiele stelsel is. Die lineêre stelsel analise wys ook dat die stelsel uitset-beheerbaar is, alhoewel dit nie volledig waarneembaar is nie. Die kwalitatiewe beheer doelwitte word herlei na kwantitatiewe beheer spesifikasies.

Beheerder-ontwerp vir die lineêre stelsel word in die Matlab omgewing gedoen. Model Voorspellende Beheer is gekies as die beheerder-ontwerp metode by voorkeur, te danke aan die gebruik van 'n interne model en optimisasie. Eksperimente met die beheerder parameters word uitgevoer om die beste nominale beheerder te bepaal. Hierdie parameters sluit die koste-funksie koëffisiënte vir die uitsette en insette, so wel as die manipulasie horison en die voorspellings horison in. Die effek van verskeie insette en sturings word bepaal. 'n Kompromie word verkry tussen die verbetering van die boogoond effektiwiteit en die voorkoming van omgewings-besoedeling. Die nominale beheerder wat gekry is, word op die aanleg-model toegepas en getoets op die proses waarvoor dit aanvanklik bedoel is. 'n Finale fyn-stelling sessie op die aanleg-model se beheerder sluit die ontwerp af. Die beheerder word vergelyk met operateur-beheer, en die outomatiese beheerder verbeter die proses bedryf. Goeie regulasie, stelpunt volging en sturing verwerping word met die beheerder verkry.

Sleutel terme: Elektriese Boogoond, Afgas Proses, Toestand Ruimte Modellering, Model Voorspellende Beheer, Proses Beheer, Kwadratiese Programmering, Intydse Optimale Beheer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank Prof. I.K. Craig and Prof. P.C. Pistorius for their meaningful guidance in the course of this dissertation. I also wish to thank Prof. F.W. Leuschner who made it possible for me to undertake this Master's dissertation.

The plant data used in Chapter 5 was obtained from Iscor with the help of Mr. Philip Schutte and Mr. Jaco Lubbe. Many thanks to them, because not only did I get a set of meaningful data, but also the opportunity to visit and witness the operation of an actual EAF tap.

I wish to thank Ms Maryna Bekker for her support, and also to Ms Cornel Freislich for her assistance. Many thanks to Ms Helen Nel for proof reading most of the dissertation. I wish to thank Rhoanè my girlfriend for her patience and support with the dissertation.

Many thanks also to the guys at the laboratory, Ernst, Fernando, Martin and Niel. Their discussions always throw a new light on old problems.

I would like to thank the reader for undertaking the task of reading this dissertation. It is hoped that the contents were meaningful to the reader, and that it provided new insights and information.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BOF	Basic Oxygen Furnace
CV	Controlled Variable
C#	Controller number #
DRI	Direct Reduced Iron
EAF	Electric Arc Furnace
Fig	Figure
MPC	Model Predictive Control
MV	Manipulated Variable
NISE	Normalised Integrated Square Error
NILE	Normalised Integrated Limit Exceeded
NSS	Nominal Steady State
QP	Quadratic Programming

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page no.
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Motivation	2
1.3 Contribution	3
1.4 Organisation	4
Chapter 2: Overview	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Technical Overview	5
2.2.1 Off-Gas System Overview	5
2.2.2 Cooling Duct Overview	6
2.2.3 Electric Arc Furnace Overview	7
2.3 Dissertation approach	8
2.4 Project Overview	9
2.4.1 EAF model	9
2.4.2 Off-gas model	9
2.4.3 Disturbance model	9
2.4.4 Controller	9
2.5 Conclusion	10
Chapter 3: Non-Linear Model	11
3.1 Introduction	11
3.2 Assumptions to Facilitate Modelling	11
3.3 Non-Linear Model Derivation	13
3.3.1 Rate of Change of Solid Scrap	14
3.3.2 Rate of Change of Liquid Metal Mass	14
3.3.3 Rate of Change in Dissolved Carbon	15
3.3.4 Rate of Change in Dissolved Silicon	16
3.3.5 Rate of Change of Solid Slag Mass	17
3.3.6 Rate of Change of Liquid Slag Mass	18
3.3.7 Rate of Change of Iron-Oxide Mass in Slag	18
3.3.8 Rate of Change of Silicon-Dioxide Mass in Slag	18
3.3.9 Carbon-Monoxide in Furnace Gas-Phase	18
3.3.10 Carbon Dioxide in Furnace Gas-Phase	20
3.3.11 Nitrogen in Furnace Gas-Phase	20
3.3.12 Temperature of Liquid Metal	21
3.3.13 Scrap and Solid Slag Temperature	21

3.3.14 Relative Pressure Specifications	22
3.3.15 Thermo-Chemical Energy Exchange	22
3.4 Off-Gas Model Incorporation	24
3.5 Conclusion	25
Chapter 4: Off-Gas Model	26
4.1 Introduction	26
4.2 Transfer Function Model	27
4.2.1 Model Assumptions	27
4.2.1.1 Steady-state Gain	27
4.2.1.2 Time Delay	27
4.2.1.3 Time Constants	28
4.2.2 Model Approximation	28
4.3 State Space Model	29
4.4 Mass Flow Division	30
4.5 Off-gas Temperature and Composition	31
4.5.1 Composition and Temperature Time Delay	32
4.5.3 Cooling Duct Exit Composition	33
4.5.2 Cooling Duct Exit Temperature	34
4.6 Conclusion	35
Chapter 5: Model Simulation and Verification	36
5.1 Introduction	36
5.2 EAF Operation	36
5.3 Disturbance Model	37
5.4 Simulation Example	39
5.4.1 Initial Conditions	39
5.4.2 Off-Gas Manipulation	40
5.4.3 Simulation Results	40
5.5 Method for Model Adjustment	47
5.6 Adjustment and Verification	47
5.7 Conclusion	50
Chapter 6: Linear Model Development	51
6.1 Introduction	51
6.2 Linearisation	51
6.3 Method of Model Adjustment	52
6.4 Simulation Results	54
6.5 Conclusion	59
Appendix C: Linear system	176

Chapter 7: Control Objectives and Specifications	60
7.1 Introduction	60
7.2 Control Objectives	60
7.3 Linear System Analysis	61
7.3.1 Stability	61
7.3.2 Observability	61
7.3.3 Output-Controllability	62
7.4 Control Specifications	63
7.5 Conclusion	65
Chapter 8: Controller Design	66
8.1 Introduction	66
8.2 Model Predictive Control	66
8.3 Controller Design	68
8.3.1 Design Tools	68
8.3.2 Initial Parameter Selection	68
8.3.3 Initial Controller Test	69
8.3.4 Horizon Selection	72
8.3.5 Output Weight Selection	73
8.4 Integral Action	80
8.5 Conclusion	81
Chapter 9: Controller Implementation	82
9.1 Introduction	82
9.2 Simulation Structure	82
9.3 MPC Algorithm	84
9.4 Quadratic Programming Algorithm	86
9.5 Plant Simulation Algorithm	88
9.6 On-line Tuning	88
9.7 Control Evaluation	94
9.8 Conclusion	97
Chapter 10: Conclusion and Recommendations	98
10.1 Summary of Approach	98
10.2 Contribution of this work	98
10.3 Recommendations	99
References	101
Appendix A: List of variables	105
Appendix B: Plant data	107
Appendix C: Linear system	109