

# **Adoption of irrigation scheduling methods in South Africa**

by

**Joseph Benjamin Stevens**

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of

**PhD**

in the

Department of Agricultural Economics, Extension and Rural  
Development

Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Science

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

2006

## **DECLARATION**

I declare that the thesis, which I hereby submit for the degree Philosophy Doctor at the University of Pretoria is my own work and has not previously been submitted by me for a degree at this or any other tertiary institution.

SIGNATURE

DATE

## ABSTRACT

### ADOPTION OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING METHODS IN SOUTH AFRICA

By

Joseph Benjamin Stevens

**Promoter:** Prof GH Düvel  
**Department:** Agricultural Economics, Extension and Rural Development  
**Degree:** Philosophy Doctor

Irrigation scheduling is accepted as the process to decide when to irrigate crops and how much to apply and is assumed to play an important role in the general improvement of water efficiency on the farm. However, the idea that there is a single key to the adoption of irrigation scheduling on the farm is simplistic. It implies that science has all the answers, and “we need just to convince the farmers”.

The objectives of this study were to investigate the adoption process in South Africa with the further purpose to identify the possible human and socio-economic factors that may influence it. In order to appreciate the spectrum of soil-plant-atmosphere irrigation scheduling models and techniques that are available to potential users, it was necessary to quantitatively describe and classify the scheduling methods. The adoption of irrigation scheduling methods among commercial and small-scale farmers was investigated on a scheme (macro) level as well as on-farm (micro) level through a quantitative assessment of scheduling methods on a national basis, semi-structured interviews with irrigation professionals, survey among a stratified sample of commercial farmers and case studies of small scale irrigation farmers.

It was hypothesized that the adoption behaviour of irrigation farmers is determined by socio-economic (independent) and intervening factors. It was also hypothesized that ground level support and effective dialogue between scientist and farmers are conducive for the implementation of irrigation scheduling.

The study indicates that only 18% of irrigation farmers in South Africa make use of objective irrigation scheduling method, while the rest make use of subjective scheduling methods based on intuition, observation, local knowledge and experience. Differential perceptions occur between farmers as well as between farmers and scientists with regard to the concept of “irrigation scheduling” commonly being used. These differences contributed to the communication gap between science and the practice of irrigation scheduling resulting in the unsuccessful communication between farmers and scientists and the ultimate low adoption rate.

The implementation of irrigation scheduling models are predominantly advisor-driven and not farmer-driven, as they are perceived by farmers to be complex and not easy to implement on the farm. Younger farmers are more willing to use irrigation models because of their higher computer literacy levels and positive attitude towards the use of computers in general. The technology level of a farm, size of farming operation and the value of the crop being produced determine the selection of irrigation scheduling methods. The general problems experienced by some farmers with regard to bulk water delivery hampers the implementation of more precise irrigation scheduling.

Farmers’ awareness, flexibility and willingness to change, innovate and step outside of accustomed ways of implementing irrigation, are strongly influenced by their social, economic, cultural and institutional settings, and not merely by irrigation scheduling technology. Perceived indicators of efficient use of irrigation on the farm include increased production levels, decreasing electricity costs, improvement of crop quality and efficiency of fertiliser use. Farmers identified accuracy, reliability, ease of implementing and affordability as important technological characteristics of scheduling methods and devices.

The case studies of small-scale irrigation farming revealed that weak institutional arrangements and handling of farmers' affairs on the level of several small-scale irrigation schemes hampers sustainable agricultural development. Small-scale irrigators have reported that the lack of competent extension support prevents them from implementing irrigation scheduling. Also, the scientific framework used by scientists and advisors to convey information to irrigators often follows the linear transfer of technology approach instead of following the "learning based approach".

A significant relationship exists between the number of information sources used and the implementation of the type of scheduling methods. The majority of irrigation farmers are more interested in the use of irrigation scheduling to identify "troubles or problems" experienced with irrigation, and inevitably farmers will differ in their selection of the most appropriate scheduling method and technique.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In a study of this nature and magnitude a number of people make important contributions.

- First of all I want to thank my Heavenly Father for HIS grace and guidance in writing this. For all things were created by Him, and all things exist through Him and for Him. To God all the glory forever!
- I want to thank my family for their support and many sacrifices through all the stages of this research - Ida, Gerdia, Andre and Mari-Louise.
- I wish to acknowledge my supervisor and mentors: Prof Gustav Düvel, Prof Richard Stirzaker, Prof Gideon Steyn and Dr Fanie Terblanché for their encouragement, support, guidance, constructive comments and friendship.
- I gratefully acknowledge the Water Research Commission for their financial assistance with regard to a project entitled: **“The range, distribution and implementation of irrigation scheduling models and methods in South Africa”**. My profound thanks to the members of the Steering Committee for their guidance and valuable advice during the research. A special word of thanks goes to Dr Gerhard Backeberg, as project manager and friend for his ideas, encouragement and pressure to finish this study.

Steering Committee responsible for the project was made up of the following members:

- Dr Gerhard Backeberg    Water Research Commission (Chairperson)
  - Prof. Allan Bennie:        University of Free State
  - Prof Gavin Fraser:        University of Fort Hare
  - Prof John Annandale :     University of Pretoria
  - Mr Japie Williams:        University of Fort Hare
  - Mr. Francois vd Merwe:    Department of Water Affairs and Forestry
  - Dr Nebo Jovanovich:        University of the Western Cape
  - Mr Nic Opperman:         South African Agricultural Union
  - Mr Josua Taljaard:         Water Research Commission
- 
- I have enjoyed the good fortune of wide network of agricultural scientists and farmers from whom have provided me with information and ideas for this thesis. I thank the farmers and the consultants who patiently provided me information and helped me to understand better the complexity of irrigation scheduling.
  - Jo Coertse, for her competent and efficient assistance in preparing the thesis.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION.....	I
ABSTRACT .....	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	V
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	VII
LIST OF TABLES.....	XIX
LIST OF FIGURES .....	XXV
LIST OF PHOTOS .....	XXX
LIST OF ACRONYMS .....	XXXII

<b>PART ONE: BACKGROUND AND SCOPE OF THE RESEARCH.....</b>	<b>1</b>
--	----------

<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY .....</b>	<b>1</b>
--	----------

1.1	ROLE OF IRRIGATION IN SEMI-ARID SOUTHERN AFRICA .....	1
1.1.1	<i>Water use efficiency and the implementation of irrigation scheduling .....</i>	<i>2</i>
1.2	PROBLEM BACKGROUND AND STATEMENT.....	8
1.3	THEORETICAL OVERVIEW .....	13
1.3.1	<i>Technical and social dimensions of innovations.....</i>	<i>13</i>
1.3.2	<i>Models of behavioural change .....</i>	<i>13</i>
1.4	TOWARDS THE FORMULATION OF HYPOTHESES.....	28
1.5	OBJECTIVES .....	29

1.6	AN OVERVIEW OF THE THESIS STRUCTURE.....	31
-----	--	----

<b>CHAPTER 2: DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING METHODS AND TECHNIQUES .....</b>	<b>33</b>
--	-----------

2.1	INTRODUCTION.....	33
-----	-------------------	----

2.2	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....	33
-----	----------------------------	----

2.3	CLASSIFICATION OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING MODELS AND METHODS.....	36
-----	--	----

2.4	DESCRIPTION OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING METHODS AND MODELS USED BY IRRIGATORS .....	39
-----	---	----

2.4.1	<i>Intuition or subjective irrigation scheduling</i> .....	40
-------	--	----

2.4.2	<i>Atmospheric based quantification of evapotranspiration (ET) .....</i>	40
-------	--	----

2.4.2.1	Measurements of evapotranspiration .....	41
---------	--	----

A.	<i>Direct method</i> .....	41
----	----------------------------	----

2.4.2.1.1	<i>Lysimetric methods</i> .....	41
-----------	---------------------------------	----

B.	<i>Indirect methods</i> .....	43
----	-------------------------------	----

2.4.2.1.2	<i>Micrometeorological methods:</i> .....	43
-----------	---	----

2.4.2.2	<i>Estimation of evapotranspiration</i> .....	45
---------	---	----

2.4.2.2.1	<i>Meteorological methods</i> .....	46
-----------	-------------------------------------	----

2.4.2.2.2	<i>Evaporation pans</i> .....	47
-----------	-------------------------------	----

2.4.2.2.2.1	<i>Pegboard method</i> .....	49
-------------	------------------------------	----

2.4.2.2.2.2	<i>Green Book method</i> .....	50
-------------	--------------------------------	----

2.4.2.2.3	<i>FAO Penman-Monteith procedure</i> .....	51
-----------	--	----

2.4.2.2.4	<i>Remote sensing methods</i> .....	53
-----------	-------------------------------------	----

2.4.3	<i>Soil water measurement</i> .....	55
-------	-------------------------------------	----

2.4.3.1	<i>Soil water potential (suction)</i> .....	56
---------	---	----

2.4.3.1.1	<i>Tensiometers</i> .....	56
-----------	---------------------------	----



2.4.3.1.2	<i>Porous matrix sensors</i> .....	58
2.4.3.1.3	<i>Heat dissipation sensors</i> .....	61
2.4.3.1.4	<i>Thermocouple psychrometer</i> .....	62
<b>2.4.3.2</b>	<b><i>Soil water content</i></b> .....	<b>63</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b><i>Direct methods of soil water measurement</i></b> .....	<b>64</b>
2.4.3.2.1	<i>Gravimetric method</i> .....	64
<b>B.</b>	<b><i>Indirect methods of soil water measurement</i></b> .....	<b>65</b>
2.4.3.2.2	<i>Measurement of soil water through observation and “feel method” by use of soil auger / shovel or spade</i> .....	65
2.4.3.2.3	<i>Neutron thermalisation</i> .....	66
2.4.3.2.4	<i>Di-electric sensors:</i> .....	67
<b>2.4.3.3</b>	<b><i>Wetting Front Detector (WFD)</i></b> .....	<b>69</b>
<b>2.4.4</b>	<b><i>Plant based monitoring</i></b> .....	<b>70</b>
2.4.4.1	<i>Visual observation of plant appearance</i> .....	71
2.4.4.2	<i>Trunk or branch diameter measurements</i> .....	72
2.4.4.3	<i>Leaf water potential (LWP)</i> .....	73
2.4.4.4	<i>Sap flow</i> .....	73
2.4.4.5	<i>Canopy measurements (Temperature and radiation)</i> .....	74
2.4.4.6	<i>Phytomonitoring</i> .....	75
2.4.4.7	<i>Remote sensing methods</i> .....	77
<b>2.4.5</b>	<b><i>Integrated soil water balance methods</i></b> .....	<b>77</b>
<b>2.4.5.1</b>	<b><i>Pre-programmed irrigation scheduling methods</i></b> .....	<b>79</b>
2.4.5.1.1	<i>Seasonal calendar</i> .....	79
2.4.5.1.2.	<i>Checkbook method</i> .....	80
2.4.5.1.3	<i>BEWAB</i> .....	83
2.4.5.1.4	<i>CROPWAT</i> .....	84
2.4.5.1.5	<i>SAPWAT</i> .....	85
2.4.5.1.6	<i>Vinet 1.1</i> .....	86
<b>2.4.5.2</b>	<b><i>Real time irrigation scheduling approach</i></b> .....	<b>87</b>
2.4.5.2.1	<i>Irrichack (BBP17)</i> .....	87
2.4.5.2.2	<i>PUTU</i> .....	88
2.4.5.2.3	<i>Probe for Windows (PRWIN)</i> .....	89
2.4.5.2.4	<i>Donkerhoek Data Irrigation Scheduling Program</i> .....	90
2.4.5.2.5	<i>SWB (Soil Water Balance)</i> .....	91

2.4.5.2.6	<i>Probe schedule</i> .....	92
2.4.5.2.7	<i>CANESIM &amp; CANEGRO</i> .....	93
<b>2.4.6</b>	<b><i>Irrigation control or automation</i></b> .....	<b>94</b>

<b>2.5</b>	<b>SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>94</b>
------------	----------------------	-----------

## **PART TWO: IMPLEMENTATION OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING AT SCHEME LEVEL..... 96**

### **CHAPTER 3: INTRODUCTION AND RESEARCH METHODOLOGY ..... 97**

<b>3.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>97</b>
------------	---------------------------	-----------

<b>3.2</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b> .....	<b>97</b>
------------	-----------------------------------	-----------

<b>3.2.1</b>	<b><i>Profile of respondents, data collection and analysis</i></b> .....	<b>97</b>
--------------	--	-----------

<b>3.2.2</b>	<b><i>Irrigation area and number of irrigation farmers</i></b> .....	<b>100</b>
--------------	--	------------

### **CHAPTER 4: IMPLEMENTATION OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING ON IRRIGATION SCHEMES..... 101**

<b>4.1</b>	<b>CURRENT STATE OF ON-FARM IRRIGATION SCHEDULING</b> .....	<b>101</b>
------------	---	------------

<b>4.2</b>	<b>DIFFERENTIAL PERCEPTION REGARDING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING</b> .....	<b>102</b>
------------	--	------------

<b>4.3</b>	<b>STATE OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING ON DIFFERENT TYPES OF IRRIGATION SCHEMES</b> .....	<b>106</b>
------------	--	------------

<b>4.4</b>	<b>ADOPTION OF ON-FARM IRRIGATION SCHEDULING METHODS</b> .....	<b>111</b>
------------	--	------------

4.4.1	<i>Interrelationship between irrigation scheduling method selected and the implementation of irrigation scheduling....</i>	113
4.4.2	<i>Computer irrigation scheduling models and the interrelationship with on-farm irrigation scheduling .....</i>	114
4.5	SUMMARY.....	118

## **CHAPTER 5: THE INFLUENCE OF INTERNAL FACTORS ON IMPLEMENTATION OF ON-FARM IRRIGATION SCHEDULING .....**

	SCHEDULING .....	120
5.1	TYPE OF FARMING BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.....	120
5.2	INFLUENCE OF CROP SELECTION .....	122
5.2.1	<i>Cash crops.....</i>	123
5.2.2	<i>Intensive horticultural crops.....</i>	123
5.2.3	<i>Pastures .....</i>	124
5.3	INFLUENCE OF ON-FARM IRRIGATION METHOD .....	127
5.3.1	<i>Implementation of on-farm irrigation methods.....</i>	127
5.3.2	<i>Influence of on-farm irrigation methods on irrigation scheduling .....</i>	132
5.4	SUMMARY.....	134

## **CHAPTER 6: INFLUENCE OF EXTERNAL FACTORS ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ON-FARM IRRIGATION SCHEDULING .....**

	SCHEDULING .....	135
6.1	BULK WATER DELIVERY ON IRRIGATION SCHEME .....	135
6.2	ALLOCATION OF IRRIGATION WATER.....	139

6.3	IRRIGATION TARIFFS.....	143
-----	-------------------------	-----

6.4	SUMMARY.....	148
-----	--------------	-----

<b>PART THREE: IMPLEMENTATION OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING ON COMMERCIAL FARM LEVEL .....</b>		<b>149</b>
---	--	------------

<b>CHAPTER 7: INTRODUCTION AND RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....</b>		<b>150</b>
---	--	------------

7.1	INTRODUCTION.....	150
-----	-------------------	-----

7.2	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....	151
-----	----------------------------	-----

7.2.1	<i>Research area.....</i>	<i>151</i>
-------	---------------------------	------------

7.2.2	<i>Data collection and analysis.....</i>	<i>162</i>
-------	--	------------

<b>CHAPTER 8: SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ADOPTION OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING .....</b>		<b>164</b>
--	--	------------

8.1	AGE.....	164
-----	----------	-----

8.2	EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	166
-----	------------------------------	-----

8.3	PROPERTY SIZE AND IRRIGATION SCHEDULING .....	168
-----	---	-----

8.4	FARMING EXPERIENCE .....	169
-----	--------------------------	-----

8.5	NON-FARMING EXPERIENCE.....	170
-----	-----------------------------	-----

8.6 SUMMARY..... 171

**CHAPTER 9: INFLUENCE OF INTERVENING VARIABLES  
ON THE ACCEPTABILITY OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING.. 173**

9.1 INTRODUCTION..... 173

9.2 AWARENESS OF THE NEED FOR IRRIGATION  
SCHEDULING ..... 174

9.2.1 *Perception of the concept “irrigation scheduling”* ..... 176

9.2.2 *Perceived need for on-farm implementation of irrigation  
scheduling* ..... 177

9.2.3 *Perceived need for the implementation of irrigation  
scheduling by fellow farmers* ..... 178

9.2.4 *Perceived reasons for implementation of irrigation  
scheduling* ..... 179

9.3 INFLUENCE OF PERCEIVED IRRIGATION SCHEDULING  
EFFICIENCY ON ADOPTION BEHAVIOUR ..... 181

9.3.1 *Perception regarding the efficiency of on-farm irrigation  
scheduling* ..... 181

9.3.2 *Perceived satisfaction with current level of on-farm  
irrigation scheduling*..... 183

9.4 PERCEPTION REGARDING IRRIGATION OPERATIONAL  
COSTS ..... 184

9.4.1 *Relationship between source of irrigation and irrigation  
operational costs* ..... 187

9.4.2 *Perception regarding implementation of volumetric  
irrigation water tariffs* ..... 188

<b>9.5</b>	<b>INFLUENCE OF PERCEIVED INNOVATION CHARACTERISTICS ON IRRIGATION SCHEDULING ADOPTION .....</b>	<b>192</b>
<b>9.5.1</b>	<b><i>Perception regarding irrigation technology attributes .....</i></b>	<b>192</b>
<b>9.5.2</b>	<b><i>Perception regarding the potential benefits with the implementation of irrigation scheduling .....</i></b>	<b>196</b>
<b>9.5.3</b>	<b><i>Perception regarding the complexity of irrigation scheduling practices .....</i></b>	<b>209</b>
<b>9.5.4</b>	<b><i>Perception regarding the compatibility of irrigation scheduling practices .....</i></b>	<b>213</b>
<b>9.6</b>	<b>ADOPTION AND/OR DISCONTINUANCE OF ON-FARM IRRIGATION SCHEDULING .....</b>	<b>215</b>
<b>9.6.1</b>	<b><i>Perceived usefulness of irrigation scheduling models .....</i></b>	<b>215</b>
<b>9.6.2</b>	<b><i>Reasons for changing irrigation scheduling practices .....</i></b>	<b>220</b>
<b>9.6.3</b>	<b><i>Reasons for discontinuing objective irrigation scheduling methods .....</i></b>	<b>223</b>
<b>9.7</b>	<b>SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>225</b>

**PART FOUR: IRRIGATION SCHEDULING ON  
SMALL-SCALE FARM LEVEL ..... 228**

**CHAPTER 10: BACKGROUND AND RESEARCH  
METHODOLOGY ..... 229**

<b>10.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND .....</b>	<b>229</b>
<b>10.2</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>232</b>

<b>CHAPTER 11: IRRIGATION SCHEDULING IN THE EASTERN CAPE: CASE STUDY 1 .....</b>	<b>235</b>
11.1 EASTERN CAPE SMALL-SCALE IRRIGATION.....	235
11.2 CASE STUDY 1: ZANYOKWE IRRIGATION SCHEME.....	239
11.2.1 <i>Background</i> .....	239
11.2.2 <i>Irrigation methods and scheduling</i> .....	242
11.2.3 <i>Lessons learned</i> .....	248
<b>CHAPTER 12: IRRIGATION SCHEDULING IN THE LIMPOPO: CASE STUDY 2.....</b>	<b>252</b>
12.1 LIMPOPO SMALL-SCALE IRRIGATION .....	252
12.2 CASE STUDY 2: TSHIOMBO IRRIGATION SCHEME .....	254
12.2.1 <i>Background</i> .....	254
12.2.2 <i>Irrigation methods and scheduling</i> .....	255
<b>CHAPTER 13: IRRIGATION SCHEDULING IN MPUMALANGA: CASE STUDY 3 .....</b>	<b>263</b>
13.1 MPUMALANGA SMALL-SCALE IRRIGATION .....	263
13.2 <i>Case study 3: Nkomazi Irrigation Project (Low's Creek, Walda, Figtree, Boschfontein)</i> .....	263
13.2.1 <i>Background</i> .....	264
13.2.2 <i>Walda Irrigation Scheme</i> .....	266
13.2.3 <i>Low's Creek Irrigation Scheme</i> .....	267
13.2.4 <i>Figtree, Boschfontein (1&amp;2) Irrigation Scheme</i> .....	268
13.2.5 <i>Lessons learned</i> .....	271

<b>CHAPTER 14: IRRIGATION SCHEDULING IN NORTHERN CAPE AND FREE STATE: CASE STUDY 4 .....</b>	<b>274</b>
14.1 NORTHERN CAPE AND FREE STATE SMALL-SCALE IRRIGATION .....	274
14.2 CASE STUDY 4: APPLE PROJECT IN BETHLEHEM .....	275
14.2.1 <i>Background</i> .....	276
14.2.2 <i>Irrigation method and scheduling</i> .....	277
14.2.3 <i>Lessons learned</i> .....	279
<b>CHAPTER 15: IRRIGATION SCHEDULING IN NORTHWEST: CASE STUDY 5 .....</b>	<b>282</b>
15.1 NORTHWEST SMALL-SCALE IRRIGATION.....	282
15.2 CASE STUDY 5: TAUNG IRRIGATION SCHEME, NORTHWEST .....	283
15.2.1 <i>Background</i> .....	283
15.2.2 <i>Irrigation methods and scheduling</i> .....	284
15.2.3 <i>Lessons learned</i> .....	287
<b>CHAPTER 16: IRRIGATION SCHEDULING IN OTHER PROVINCES.....</b>	<b>290</b>
16.1 WESTERN CAPE SMALL-SCALE IRRIGATION.....	290
16.2 KWAZULU NATAL SMALL-SCALE IRRIGATION .....	291
16.3 GAUTENG SMALL-SCALE IRRIGATION .....	295
<b>CHAPTER 17: SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>296</b>



**PART FIVE: INFORMATION SUPPORT  
SYSTEMS USED FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF  
IRRIGATION SCHEDULING ..... 299**

**CHAPTER 18: AGRICULTURAL KNOWLEDGE  
SUPPORT SYSTEMS USED FOR IRRIGATION  
SCHEDULING ..... 300**

**18.1 INTRODUCTION..... 300**

**18.2 AGRICULTURAL KNOWLEDGE INFORMATION  
SYSTEMS USED BY IRRIGATION FARMERS..... 300**

**18.3 CATEGORIZING THE LEARNING FOCUS..... 308**

**18.4 PERCEIVED ATTRIBUTES FOR EFFECTIVE  
KNOWLEDGE SUPPORT ..... 313**

**18.5 SUMMARY..... 316**

**CHAPTER 19: THE ROLE OF PRIVATE IRRIGATION  
CONSULTANTS AS A SOURCE OF LEARNING ..... 319**

**19.1 INTRODUCTION..... 319**

**19.2 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY ..... 319**

**19.3 GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION ..... 321**

**19.4 TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS..... 321**

19.5	EXPERIENCE .....	322
19.6	IRRIGATION CONSULTATION SERVICE FEE .....	323
19.7	PROFILE OF POTENTIAL CLIENTELE .....	324
19.8	IDEAL NUMBER OF CLIENTS .....	325
19.9	PROFILE OF SERVICE DELIVERY BY IRRIGATION CONSULTANTS .....	326
19.10	REQUIREMENTS OF AN EFFECTIVE IRRIGATION SCHEDULING CONSULTANCY.....	327
19.11	PERCEIVED REASONS OFFERED BY CONSULTANTS AS TO WHY FARMERS ARE NOT MAKING USE OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING .....	329
19.12	PROMOTING IRRIGATION SCHEDULING.....	330
19.13	SUMMARY.....	332
	<b>CHAPTER 20: THE POTENTIAL ROLE OF INSTITUTIONS AS LEARNING SYSTEMS IN THE PROMOTION OF EFFICIENT WATER USE PRACTICES .....</b>	<b>333</b>
	<b>PART SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>335</b>

<b>CHAPTER 21: CRITICAL FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE THE ADOPTION OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING.....</b>	<b>336</b>
<b>21.1 FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE THE ADOPTION OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING .....</b>	<b>338</b>
<b>21.2 FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE THE PROPAGATION OF IRRIGATION SCHEDULING AMONG SMALL-SCALE IRRIGATION FARMERS .....</b>	<b>361</b>
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>369</b>
<b>APPENDIX 1: QUESTIONNAIRE ON IRRIGATION SCHEDULING AMONGST FARMERS .....</b>	<b>415</b>
<b>APPENDIX 2: QUESTIONNAIRE TO COMMERCIAL IRRIGATORS .....</b>	<b>417</b>
<b>APPENDIX 3: SEMI - STRUCTURED INTERVIEWS .....</b>	<b>425</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 1. 1:</b>	<b>Irrigated land and water demand for SADC countries (2004) .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Table 1.2:</b>	<b>Potential water saving options to improve water use efficiencies (CSIRO, 2005) .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Table 2. 1:</b>	<b>List of persons serving on the steering committee as selected by the WRC (2000-2005) .....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Table 3. 1:</b>	<b>The response rate from irrigation schemes in the different provinces (N=332) .....</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>Table 3. 2:</b>	<b>Total area reported for the survey under irrigation and the number of irrigation farmers per Province (N=297) .....</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Table 4. 1:</b>	<b>Frequency distribution according to the types of irrigation schemes included in the survey (2003) (N=297).....</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>Table 4. 2:</b>	<b>Relationship between the adoption of computer irrigation scheduling models and programs and ground level support as reflected in a test of association (N=297).....</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>Table 4. 3:</b>	<b>Distribution of irrigation computer models and programs in the nine provinces according to their adoption as indicated by respondents (N=297) .....</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>Table 5. 1:</b>	<b>Distribution of respondents according to occurrence of corporate enterprises (N=297) .....</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>Table 5. 2:</b>	<b>Distribution of respondents according to the types of farming operations and the implementation of irrigation scheduling methods (N=291) .....</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>Table 5. 3:</b>	<b>Frequency of irrigation schemes under different crops and combination of crops (N=297) .....</b>	<b>125</b>
<b>Table 5. 4:</b>	<b>Percentage distribution of irrigation schemes according to the types of crops and irrigation scheduling methods used (N=297) .....</b>	<b>126</b>

<b>Table 5. 5:</b>	<b>Relationships between on-farm irrigation methods and the implementation of objective irrigation scheduling (N=297).....</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>Table 6. 1:</b>	<b>Relationships between implementation of irrigation scheduling methods and irrigation water allocations as reflected in a test of association (N=297) .....</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>Table 6. 2:</b>	<b>The distribution of respondents according to the irrigation tariffs reported as per province (N=297) .....</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>Table 6. 3:</b>	<b>Relationship between the implementation of irrigation scheduling methods and irrigation tariffs (N=297).....</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>Table 7. 1:</b>	<b>Distribution of respondents according to province and irrigation area (N=134).....</b>	<b>163</b>
<b>Table 8. 1:</b>	<b>Percentage distribution of respondents according to the attendance of training courses in irrigation management and irrigation scheduling implementation (N=134).....</b>	<b>167</b>
<b>Table 8. 2:</b>	<b>Percentage distribution of respondents according to their non-farming experience and the association with irrigation scheduling implementation (N=50).....</b>	<b>171</b>
<b>Table 9. 1:</b>	<b>Information sources through which farmers become aware of irrigation scheduling (N=134) .....</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>Table 9. 2:</b>	<b>Percentage distribution of respondents according to their perceived understanding of the definition irrigation scheduling (N= 134.....</b>	<b>176</b>
<b>Table 9. 3</b>	<b>Percentage distribution of respondents according to their perceived importance of the implementation of irrigation scheduling by fellow farmers and their on-farm irrigation scheduling (N= 134) .....</b>	<b>179</b>
<b>Table 9. 4</b>	<b>Percentage distribution of respondents according to the perceived reasons for the implementation of irrigation scheduling practices (N= 134).....</b>	<b>180</b>

<b>Table 9. 5:</b>	<b>Percentage distribution of respondents perception of the accuracy level of on-farm implementation of irrigation scheduling (N=134).....</b>	<b>182</b>
<b>Table 9. 6:</b>	<b>Percentage distribution of perceived irrigation operational irrigation costs with regard to the production of cash and high value/high input crops (N=134).....</b>	<b>185</b>
<b>Table 9. 7</b>	<b>The perceived importance rank order of operational irrigation costs relative to the other production cost factors in terms of cash and high value crop production as expressed by weighted average score * (N=134) .....</b>	<b>186</b>
<b>Table 9. 8</b>	<b>Irrigation operational costs for the production of wheat with a target yield of 6t/ha and a crop water requirement of 540 mm/ha, using different water sources and irrigation systems in the Northwest Province (2003) .....</b>	<b>188</b>
<b>Table 9. 9:</b>	<b>Percentage distribution of respondents according to their perception regarding the technological characteristics of irrigation scheduling devices and their style of irrigation scheduling implementation (N=134).....</b>	<b>194</b>
<b>Table 9. 10:</b>	<b>The perceived contribution of aspects of on-farm irrigation scheduling to on-farm production efficiency expressed as mean scale point (*) (N= 134).....</b>	<b>203</b>
<b>Table 9. 11:</b>	<b>Relationship between perceived improvement of production efficiency and on-farm irrigation method as reflected in a test of association (N=134).....</b>	<b>205</b>
<b>Table 9. 12:</b>	<b>Percentage distribution of respondents according to their frequency of testing for distribution uniformity (N=122).....</b>	<b>207</b>

<b>Table 9. 13: The perceptions of respondents related to the easiness of the implementation of irrigation scheduling (N=134).....</b>	<b>210</b>
<b>Table 9. 14: Percentage distribution of respondents' perception regarding the required level of knowledge needed for effective on-farm irrigation scheduling (N=134).....</b>	<b>212</b>
<b>Table 9. 15: Percentage distribution of respondents according to the perceived problems experienced with the use of on-farm irrigation scheduling methods (N=134).....</b>	<b>214</b>
<b>Table 9. 16: Percentage distribution of respondents' use of computer models and programs for on-farm irrigation scheduling (N = 20).....</b>	<b>216</b>
<b>Table 9. 17: Percentage distribution of respondents according to their perceived reasons for the lack of aspiration to use computer models for on-farm irrigation scheduling (N=99).....</b>	<b>218</b>
<b>Table 9. 18: Percentage distribution of respondents' perceived reasons for the need to change irrigation scheduling practices (N=134).....</b>	<b>222</b>
<b>Table 10. 1: Small-scale farming in South Africa (de Lange, 2004) .....</b>	<b>230</b>
<b>Table 11. 1: Eastern Cape Irrigation Schemes (Bembridge, 2000; Eloff, 2001 and Williams, 2004).....</b>	<b>235</b>
<b>Table 11. 2: Rank order of the major problems that farmers experience on the Eastern Cape irrigation schemes (Bembridge, 2000, Vusani, 2004; Dlulane, 2004, Dlovo, 2005) .....</b>	<b>239</b>
<b>Table 11. 3: Preferential ranking of problems that influence optimum crop production of irrigation farmers from Zanyokwe (N=20).....</b>	<b>247</b>

<b>Table 12. 1: Percentage distribution of crop types found on the Small-Scale Irrigation (SSI) in the Limpopo (N=171) .....</b>	<b>253</b>
<b>Table 12. 2: Timetable followed for irrigation on Tshiombo (Netangaheni, 2003).....</b>	<b>256</b>
<b>Table 12. 3: The importance rank order of perceived problems representing constraints in crop production (Netangaheni, 2003).....</b>	<b>258</b>
<b>Table 13. 1: Small-scale irrigation schemes in Mpumalanga (2003) .....</b>	<b>264</b>
<b>Table 13. 2: Crops grown in the Low’s Creek Irrigation Scheme.....</b>	<b>267</b>
<b>Table 13. 3: A statement of income and expenses of a small-scale sugarcane grower at Walda for the 2003/2004 season (Swart, 2004).....</b>	<b>270</b>
<b>Table 14. 1: Small-scale irrigation schemes in Northern Cape and Free State (2003) .....</b>	<b>275</b>
<b>Table 15. 1: Small-scale irrigation schemes in the Northwest Province (2003).....</b>	<b>282</b>
<b>Table 15. 2: Different irrigation scheduling methods applied on Taung Irrigation Scheme.....</b>	<b>286</b>
<b>Table 16. 1: Small-scale irrigation schemes in the Western Cape (Saaiman, 2003).....</b>	<b>290</b>
<b>Table 16. 2: Small- scale irrigation schemes in KwaZulu Natal (2003).....</b>	<b>292</b>
<b>Table 16. 3: Small-scale irrigation schemes in Gauteng (2003).....</b>	<b>295</b>
<b>Table 17. 1: Institutional framework of water delivery necessary for irrigation scheduling .....</b>	<b>297</b>
<b>Table 18. 1: Percentage distribution of respondents according to the perceived attributes of irrigation extensionists/advisors to be critical (N=134).....</b>	<b>314</b>
<b>Table 19. 1: Technical qualification of irrigation consultants and extensionists (N=37).....</b>	<b>322</b>
<b>Table 19. 2: Experience level of respondents in irrigation management (N=37) .....</b>	<b>323</b>



<b>Table 19. 3: Characteristics and attributes of clientele served by irrigation consultants and extensionists (N=37).....</b>	<b>324</b>
<b>Table 19. 4: Competencies and personal attributes perceived by consultants necessary for delivery of a successful irrigation consultancy (N=37).....</b>	<b>327</b>
<b>Table 19. 5: Reasons, as perceived by consultants, why farmers fail to make use of objective irrigation scheduling (N=37).....</b>	<b>329</b>
<b>Table 19. 6: Aspects or essential elements regarding irrigation scheduling used by irrigation consultants to persuade farmers (N=37) .....</b>	<b>331</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure 1. 1: Water use efficiency parameters applicable for the different sub- systems of water management.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Figure 1. 2: A paradigm of individual decision-making and adoption (Campbell, 1966).....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Figure 1. 3: The innovation-decision process (Rogers, 1983) .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Figure 1. 4: Behaviour change model of Lewin ( 1951) (Düvel (1974) .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Figure 1. 5: The Tolman model( 1967) .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Figure 1. 6: Theory of Reasoned Action (Fishbein &amp; Ajzen, 1975).....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Figure 1. 7: Technology acceptance Model (Davis <i>et al.</i>, 1989).....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>Figure 1. 8: Düvel’s behavioural analysis model (1975) .....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Figure 2. 1: Classification of irrigation scheduling models and methods used in South Africa .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Figure 4. 1: The perceived percentage implementation of irrigation scheduling as indicated per province (N=297).....</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>Figure 4. 2: Percentage distribution of irrigation groups (schemes) according to the reported percentage implementation of irrigation scheduling (N=297) .....</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>Figure 4. 3: Percentage distribution of respondents according to the perceived percentage irrigation scheduling applied and the percentage ratio between subjective and objective irrigation scheduling (N=297).....</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>Figure 4. 4: Percentage distribution of respondents according to the percentage ratio between subjective and objective irrigation scheduling implemented on the different types of irrigation schemes (N=297). .....</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>Figure 4. 5: Implementation of different irrigation scheduling methods by irrigation farmers according to figures recorded and figures reported by representative</b>	

respondents from the different irrigation schemes (N=297).....	112
<b>Figure 4. 6: Implementation of different irrigation scheduling     methods by scheduling groups 1-5 (N=165).....</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>Figure 4. 7: The implementation of irrigation scheduling     models by farmers (N=297) .....</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>Figure 5. 1: Percentage irrigation schemes on which various     cash crops are produced (N=297) .....</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>Figure 5. 2: Percentage irrigation schemes on which the     different intensive horticulture crops are grown     (N=297).....</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>Figure 5. 3: Percentage distribution of respondents according     to the implementation of different irrigation     methods (N=297).....</b>	<b>128</b>
<b>Figure 5. 4: Frequency distribution of irrigation schemes     according to the use of different irrigation     methods and percentage irrigation scheduling     (N=297).....</b>	<b>133</b>
<b>Figure 6. 1: Percentage distribution of irrigation schemes     according to the percentage irrigation scheduling     applied and the percentage ratio between perceived     flexible and inflexible water delivery (N=297).....</b>	<b>138</b>
<b>Figure 6. 2: Distribution of respondents regarding irrigation     water allocation in South Africa (N=297) .....</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>Figure 6. 3: Percentage distribution of the irrigation schemes     according to the irrigation tariffs applicable     (N = 297).....</b>	<b>146</b>
<b>Figure 7. 1: Base map of the Fish to Tsitsikama water     management area (DWAF, 2004).....</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>Figure 7. 2: Location map of the Upper Orange water     management area (DWAF, 2004).....</b>	<b>154</b>
<b>Figure 7. 3: Location map of the Orange Riet River catchment     area (DWAF, 2003) .....</b>	<b>155</b>

<b>Figure 7. 4: Base map of the Inkomati water management area (DWAF, 2004).....</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>Figure 7. 5: Base map of the Mzimvubu to Keiskamma water management area (DWAF, 2004).....</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>Figure 7. 6: Base map of the Crocodile and Marico water management area (DWAF, 2004).....</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>Figure 7. 7: Location map of the Middle Vaal water management area (DWAF, 2004).....</b>	<b>160</b>
<b>Figure 7. 8: Base map of the Breede water management area (DWAF, 2004).....</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>Figure 7. 9: Base map of the Luvuvhu/Letaba water management area (DWAF, 2004).....</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>Figure 8. 1: Percentage distribution of respondents according to age (N=134).....</b>	<b>164</b>
<b>Figure 8. 2: Distribution of respondents according to age and the implementation of irrigation scheduling (N=134).....</b>	<b>165</b>
<b>Figure 8. 3: Percentage distribution of the adoption of irrigation scheduling methods according to the education levels of respondents (N=134).....</b>	<b>166</b>
<b>Figure 8. 4: Interrelationship between irrigation area and implementation of irrigation scheduling (N=134).....</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>Figure 8. 5: Percentage distribution of irrigation farmers according to their farming experience and implementation of irrigation scheduling practices (N=134).....</b>	<b>170</b>
<b>Figure 9. 1: Percentage distribution of respondents according to their perception regarding the importance of irrigation scheduling and their application of different irrigation scheduling methods (N=134).....</b>	<b>177</b>
<b>Figure 9. 2: Percentage distribution of respondents according to their perceived satisfaction with on-farm irrigation scheduling and the implementation of different irrigation scheduling methods (N=134).....</b>	<b>183</b>

<b>Figure 9. 3: Percentage distribution of respondents according to their attitudes towards the application of volumetric water tariffs (N=134).....</b>	<b>190</b>
<b>Figure 9. 4: Percentage distribution of respondents according to their perceived relative advantages with regard to on-farm irrigation scheduling based on a 10-point semantic scale (N=134).....</b>	<b>198</b>
<b>Figure 9. 5: Percentage distributions of respondents regarding their perceived importance of the visibility of the wetting front after irrigation. (N=134) .....</b>	<b>200</b>
<b>Figure 9. 6: Percentage distribution of respondents according to their perceived improvement in production efficiency since on-farm irrigation scheduling (N=84).....</b>	<b>201</b>
<b>Figure 9. 7: Percentage distribution of respondents according to their perceived improvement of production efficiency and irrigation methods used on the farm (N=84).....</b>	<b>205</b>
<b>Figure 9. 8: Percentage distribution of respondents from provinces according to their perceived increase in production efficiency due to the implementation of irrigation scheduling (N=84).....</b>	<b>209</b>
<b>Figure 9. 9: Percentage distribution of respondents according to their perceived effectiveness of irrigation scheduling models (N = 76).....</b>	<b>217</b>
<b>Figure 9. 10: Percentage distribution of respondents according to the time lapse since the inception of irrigation scheduling (N=90).....</b>	<b>221</b>
<b>Figure 10. 1: Typical layout of a short-furrow irrigation scheme (Crosby et al., 2000).....</b>	<b>232</b>
<b>Figure 11. 1: Schematic diagram of Zanyokwe Irrigation Scheme .....</b>	<b>241</b>
<b>Figure 11. 2: Example of the rain gauge layout used for distribution tests at Zanyokwe irrigation plots .....</b>	<b>245</b>

<b>Figure 12. 1: Base map of Levuvhu/Mutale water management area indicating the location of Tshiombo irrigation scheme near Thoyandou (DWAF, 2004).....</b>	<b>255</b>
<b>Figure 13. 1: Base map of the Nkomazi irrigation scheme within the Inkomati water management area (DWAF, 2004).....</b>	<b>265</b>
<b>Figure 14. 1: Location map of the AFGRI Bethlehem Apple project outside Bethlehem .....</b>	<b>276</b>
<b>Figure 15. 1: Base map of the Taung Irrigation Scheme within the Lower Vaal water management area (DWAF, 2004).....</b>	<b>284</b>
<b>Figure 18. 1: Distribution of respondents according to their use of various information and support system regarding irrigation scheduling (N=297) .....</b>	<b>303</b>
<b>Figure 18. 2: Perception by respondents of fellow farmers as an important information source for irrigation scheduling (N=134).....</b>	<b>304</b>
<b>Figure 18. 3: Percentage distributions of respondents according to their assessment of the importance of irrigation consultants as information source for irrigation scheduling (N=134).....</b>	<b>306</b>
<b>Figure 18. 4: Distribution of farmers according to their use of multitudes of learning sources (N=134).....</b>	<b>312</b>
<b>Figure 18. 5: The percentage distribution of respondents according to type of irrigation scheduling used and the number of learning sources consulted (N=134).....</b>	<b>313</b>
<b>Figure 19. 1: Distribution of irrigation consultants as per province (N=37).....</b>	<b>321</b>
<b>Figure 19. 2: Distribution of respondents according to the number of clients served (N=37) .....</b>	<b>325</b>
<b>Figure 20. 1: Percentage distribution of respondents according to their assessment of the potential role of Water User Associations (WUAs) in promoting awareness of irrigation scheduling (N=137) .....</b>	<b>334</b>
<b>Figure 21. 1: Input -response curve for irrigation decisions.....</b>	<b>341</b>

## LIST OF PHOTOS

<b>Photo 5. 1: Short furrow irrigation implemented by the majority of small-scale irrigation farmers.....</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>Photo 5. 2: Floppy irrigation systems (semi-permanent systems) are often used in sugarcane fields within the Inkomati water management area .....</b>	<b>130</b>
<b>Photo 5. 3: A linear irrigation system in operation on the Riet River irrigation scheme (2003).....</b>	<b>130</b>
<b>Photo 5. 4: Lucerne production under a side roll irrigation system in the Sand/Vet irrigation scheme.....</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>Photo 5. 5: Sprinkler, quick coupling irrigation system used for wheat production in the Riet River irrigation scheme .....</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>Photo 5. 6: Table grape production under drip irrigation in Mpumalanga.....</b>	<b>132</b>
<b>Photo 6. 1: Main irrigation canal system used for water distribution at Riet River irrigation scheme .....</b>	<b>141</b>
<b>Photo 11. 1: Sprinkler lateral with different standpipe lengths in use at Zanyokwe.....</b>	<b>244</b>
<b>Photo 11. 2: Different sprinkler nozzles (a) CDS and (b) ordinary in use by a farmer in Zanyokwe (2004).....</b>	<b>245</b>
<b>Photo 12. 1: Poor maintenance of irrigation canals and excessive vegetative growth on the canal banks at Tshiombo irrigation scheme (irrigation blocks 3 and 4) causing inefficient water distribution .....</b>	<b>258</b>
<b>Photo 12. 2: Vandalism of irrigation delivery structures like a canal sluice gate at Tshiombo irrigation scheme prevent effective water distribution on the scheme (2003).....</b>	<b>259</b>

<b>Photo 14. 1: Apple orchards under drip irrigation in the AFGRI project for small-scale farmers outside Bethlehem (2003).....</b>	<b>278</b>
<b>Photo 15. 1: Relative old and often under-designed centre pivot irrigation systems used for crop production at Taung Irrigation Scheme (2004).....</b>	<b>285</b>



## LIST OF ACRONYMS

AC	Alternating Current
AE	Application Efficiency
AED	Atmospheric Evaporative Demand
Agri SA	Agriculture South Africa
ARC	Agricultural Research Council
ARC-ILI	Agricultural Research Council - Instituut vir Landbou Ingenieurswese
ARDRI	Agricultural and Rural Development Research Institute of the University of Fort Hare
BBP 3	Beste Besproeiings Praktyke No 3
BBP 17	Beste Besproeiings Praktyke No 17
BEWAB	Besproeiingswater Bestuursprogram
BMP	Best Management Practices
CANEGRO	Cane growth model
CANESIM	Cane simulation model
CASP	Comprehensive Agricultural Support Programme
CMA	Catchment Management Agency
CROPWAT	Crop Water Requirements Program
CU	Christiansen uniformity coefficient
DBSA	Development Bank of South Africa
DoA	Department of Agriculture
DOA Northwest	Northwest Provincial Department of Agriculture
DSSA	Decision Support System for Agro Technology Transfer
Du <sub>lg</sub>	Distribution uniformity
DWAF	Department of Water Affairs and Forestry
E	Soil water evaporation
Em	Maximum total evaporation from specific crop surface in given growth stage
Eo	Pan Evaporation
ECDA	Eastern Cape Department of Agriculture
ECATU	Eastern Cape Appropriate Technology Unit
ET	Evapotranspiration

ETref	Reference evaporation (Penman-Monteith Method)
ETO	Evapotranspiration as calculated from evaporation pan
FAM	Freely available moisture
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations
FDR	Frequency Domain Reflectometry
FSDA	Free State Provincial Department of Agriculture
FFS	Farmer Field School
FSU	Farmer Support Unit
GIS	Geographical Information System
GWK	Griekwalandwes Cooperative
IT	Information Technology
KDA	KwaZulu Provincial Department of Agriculture
KSA	Key Strategic Areas
LAI	Leaf Area Index
LANOK	Landbou Ontwikkelings Korporasie
LL	Lower limit of water storage
LPDA	Limpopo Provincial Department of Agriculture
LWP	Leaf Water Potential
ML	Mega Litre
MPDA	Mpumalanga Provincial Department of Agriculture
MSSA	Marketing Surveys and Statistical Analysis
NAFU	National African Farmers Union
NCDA	Northern Cape Provincial Department of Agriculture
NDA	National Department of Agriculture
NEPAD	New Partnership for Africa's Development
NEWSB	New Soil Water Balance
NIEP	Nkomazi Irrigation Expansion Programme
NWA	National Water Act (Act No. 36 of 1998)
NWRS	National Water Resource Strategy
O&M	Operation and maintenance
OHS	Open Hydroponics System
ORWUA	Orange Riet Water User Association
PCA	Plant Canopy Analyser
PAWC	Plant Availability Water Capacity

PRWIN	Probe for Windows
PUTU	PUTU crop growth model
RAW	Readily Available Water
RDP	Rural Development Program
RESIS	Revitalising Program of Small-scale Irrigation Schemes
RF	Refill point
SAM	South African Malsters
SAPWAT	South African Procedure for estimating Irrigation Water Requirements
SASA	South African Sugar Association
SASRI	South African Sugar Research Institute
SIS	Scientific Irrigation Systems
SMS	Short Message Service
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Science
SSI	Small-scale Irrigation
SST	Small-scale Irrigation Technology
SWB	Soil Water Balance
T	Transpiration
TAM	Total Available Moisture
TDR	Time Domain Reflectometry
TRA	Theory of Reasoned Action
TOT	Transfer of Technology
TSB	Transvaal Suiker Beperk
UDL	Upper Drained Limit
USAID	United States of America Department of International Aid
VINET	Vineyard Evaporation for Irrigation System Design and Scheduling
WC/DM	Water conservation/Demand Management
WFD	Wetting Front Detector
WMP	Water Management Plan
WRC	Water Research Commission
WUA	Water User Association
WUE	Water Use Efficiency
WUI	Water Use Index