A framework for the explicit use of specific systems thinking methodologies in data-driven decision support system development

by

Roelien Goede

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree **Philosophiae Doctor (Information Technology)**

in the Faculty of Engineering, Built Environment and Information Technology at the

University of Pretoria

Pretoria, South Africa

November 2004

ABSTRACT

CANDIDATE: Roelien Goede **PROMOTOR:** Prof. C. de Villiers

DEPARTMENT: Informatics

DEGREE: Philosophiae Doctor (Information Technology)

KEYWORDS: Systems thinking, soft systems thinking, hard systems thinking,

critical systems thinking, disclosive systems thinking, pattern matching, decision support systems, data warehousing,

framework.

Data-driven decision support systems, such as data warehouses, are extremely costly to develop. Forty one per cent of data warehouse development practitioners have experienced project failures. These projects were either completed after exceeding budget and time limits, or not at all. Some influential data warehousing authors advocate user involvement as a solution, while others focus on technical factors to improve data warehouse success. This study proposes a framework for data warehousing success based on systems thinking methodology.

Systems thinking implies a holistic approach to problem solving. A system is a set of interrelated elements. A systems approach represents a broad view, taking all aspects into account and concentrating on interactions between different parts of the problem. This study investigates the practices of data warehousing professionals from a systems thinking point of view, before proposing a framework for the explicit use of specific systems thinking methodologies in data warehouse development.

Interpretive case study research is used to investigate practices of data warehousing professionals in three different organisations. Pattern matching is used to analyse collected data. This is done by mapping practices to different systems thinking perspectives. However, the theory component of the thesis is not a description of current data warehousing practices from a systems thinking point of view, as in typical interpretive research. The theory component relates more to critical research in that it is meant to change data warehousing practices towards specific systems thinking methodologies.

The proposed framework incorporates three sources of information. These are a literature study on systems thinking philosophy, methodology and practice; a

literature study on data warehousing and data warehousing success factors; and the results of case studies on current practices of data warehousing professionals analysed from a systems thinking perspective. The framework gives a methodological foundation for a holistic approach to data warehousing with maximum user involvement. It views a data warehouse as a system with typical systems characteristics, such as specified objectives relating to the organisation's objectives, an environment, available resources, specified components and effective management.

I declare that

A framework for the explicit use of specific systems thinking methodologies in data-driven decision support system development

is my own work and that all the sources that I have used or quoted have been indicated and acknowledged by means of complete references.

R Goede

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The writing of this thesis has been the most challenging and rewarding experience of my life. It has, however, been made possible by the contributions of many people. My sincerest thanks go to all of them. In particular, I would like to thank the following persons:

- 1. My promoter, Prof. Carina de Villiers, for her encouragement and comments.
- 2. The members of the Centre for Philosophy, Technology and Social studies who meet every year for a working conference in Maarssen, The Netherlands. They helped me to grow in my understanding of the relationship between philosophy, methodology and practice. Special thanks to professor Sytse Strijbos for fruitful discussions on disclosive systems thinking.
- 3. The data warehousing team members of the organisations where I conducted case studies. They gave a lot of their valuable time to improve my understanding of their practices.
- 4. Dr. H.R. van der Walt who edited this thesis. Without his help many grammar and technical errors would have gone undetected.
- 5. My colleagues at the Potchefstroom campus of the North-West University for their support.
- 6. Most importantly, my husband, Hennie and my parents, Roelie and Fransien van der Walt, for all their patience, love and encouragement during the writing of this thesis. I also received wonderful support from the rest of my family, for which I am very grateful.
- 7. My Father in heaven who, in his grace, gave me strength and perseverance to complete this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABS	STRAC	CT		I			
СН	APTEF	R 1	INTRODUCTION	1			
1.1	Motiv	ation for	the study	1			
1.2	Conce	epts cent	ral to this thesis	2			
	1.2.1	Systems	s thinking	2			
	1.2.2	Data-dri	ven decision support systems	4			
	1.2.3	Relation systems	ship between systems thinking and data-driven decision support	4			
1.3	Struct	ture of th	e thesis	5			
1.4	Resea	rch obje	ctives	6			
1.5	Limita	itions of	the study	7			
1.6	Metho	dology		8			
1.7	Chapt	erisation		10			
CH	APTEF	R 2	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	11			
2.1	Introd	uction		11			
2.2	Philos	sophy and	d social research	12			
	2.2.1	Positivis	m	13			
	2.2.2	Interpret	tivism (phenomenological approaches)	15			
	2.2.3	Critical	social theory	17			
	2.2.4	Models	applied to information systems	19			
2.3	Metho	dologies	ies in social research 21				
	2.3.1	Positivis	tic social research methodology	22			
	2.3.2	Interpret	tive social research methodology	24			
		2.3.2.1	The fundamental principle of the hermeneutic circle	25			
		2.3.2.2	The principle of contextualisation	25			
		2.3.2.3	The principle of interaction between the researchers and the subjects	26			
		2.3.2.4	The principle of abstraction and generalisation	26			
		2.3.2.5	The principle of dialogical reasoning	26			
		2.3.2.6	The principle of multiple interpretations	26			
		2.3.2.7	The principle of suspicion	27			
	2.3.3	Critical	social research methodology	27			

		2.3.3.1	Abstraction	27
		2.3.3.2	Totality	28
		2.3.3.3	Essence	28
		2.3.3.4	Praxis	28
		2.3.3.5	Ideology	28
		2.3.3.6	Structure	29
		2.3.3.7	History	29
		2.3.3.8	Deconstruction and reconstruction	30
		2.3.3.9	Intervention	30
2.4	Social	l research	n practice	30
	2.4.1	Positivis	tic social research practice	31
	2.4.2	Interpret	tive social research practice	32
		2.4.2.1	Case study research practices	32
		2.4.2.2	Ethnography	35
		2.4.2.3	Grounded theory	37
		2.4.2.4	Giddens' structuration Theory	42
	2.4.3	Critical	social research practice	43
		2.4.3.1	Action research	43
2.5	Resea	rch cons	iderations with regard to this study	47
	2.5.1	Philosop	phical considerations with regard to this study	48
	2.5.2	Methodo	ological considerations with regard to this study	49
		2.5.2.1	Linking methodological aspects of this study to interpretive and critical social methodology	51
	2.5.3	Practica	I considerations with regard to this study	55
		2.5.3.1	Data collection	56
		2.5.3.2	Applicability of grounded theory (GT) for this study	59
		2.5.3.3	Theory generation and generalisation	65
2.6	Summ	nary		66
СН	APTEF	3	SYSTEMS THINKING	68
3.1	Introd	uction		68
3.2	Syste	ms thinki	ng and the systems approach	70
	3.2.1	The eme	ergence of systems thinking	70
		3.2.1.1	Reductionism as scientific method	70
		3.2.1.2	Expansionism	72
	3.2.2	Definitio	n of a system	73
	3.2.3	Differen	t classes of systems	75
	3.2.4	Systems	s as described by the systems approach of Churchman	77
		3.2.4.1	The total system objectives	77

		3.2.4.2	The system's environment	78				
		3.2.4.3	The resources of the system	78				
		3.2.4.4	The components of the system	79				
		3.2.4.5	The management of a system	79				
	3.2.5	Systems	s thinking and the systems approach	80				
		3.2.5.1	The input-output systems approach	80				
		3.2.5.2	Objectives of systems thinking	81				
		3.2.5.3	Developments in systems thinking	82				
	3.2.6	Applicat	ion of the systems approach	82				
3.3	Philos	sophical 1	foundations of systems thinking in organisations	85				
	3.3.1	Philosop	ohers that influenced systems thinking	85				
		3.3.1.1	Karl Popper (1902-1994)	86				
		3.3.1.2	Jürgen Habermas (1929-)	87				
		3.3.1.3	Herman Dooyeweerd (1894-1977)	88				
	3.3.2	Two dim	nensions of thought in philosophy of system design	90				
		3.3.2.1	The subjective – objective dimension	91				
		3.3.2.2	The order – conflict dimension	93				
	3.3.3	Four par	radigms of thought in philosophy of system design	93				
		3.3.3.1	Functionalism (objective – order)	94				
		3.3.3.2	Social relativism (subjective – order)	94				
		3.3.3.3	Radical structuralism (objective - conflict)	94				
		3.3.3.4	Neohumanism (subjective - conflict)	95				
	3.3.4	Paradig	m differences in system development	95				
		3.3.4.1	Functionalism (objective – order)	95				
		3.3.4.2	Social relativism (subjective – order)	95				
		3.3.4.3	Radical structuralism (objective - conflict)	96				
		3.3.4.4	Neohumanism (subjective – conflict)	96				
	3.3.5	The prol	blem environment: Organisational structures	97				
3.4	Syste	ms thinki	ing methodologies₁	odologies₁ 97				
	3.4.1	Ontological views of systems						
		3.4.1.1	Hard systems thinking	98				
		3.4.1.2	Soft systems thinking	99				
		3.4.1.3	Critical systems thinking	101				
		3.4.1.4	Disclosive systems thinking	102				
		3.4.1.5	Summary	104				
	3.4.2	Epistem	ological views of systems development	106				
		3.4.2.1	Construction	106				
		3.4.2.2	Evolution	106				
		3.4.2.3	Intervention	107				

3.5	Syste	ms practio	e e	107	
	3.5.1	Hard sys	tems methodologies ₂	108	
	3.5.2	Soft syste	ems methodologies ₂	110	
		3.5.2.1	Introduction to the soft systems methodology ₂	111	
		3.5.2.2	Systems thinking and the SSM	113	
		3.5.2.3	The SSM as enquiring process	115	
		3.5.2.4	The stream of logic-base enquiry	117	
		3.5.2.5	The stream of cultural enquiry	118	
		3.5.2.6	Other soft systems methodologies ₂	120	
	3.5.3	Critical sy	ystems methodologies ₂	120	
		3.5.3.1	Total systems intervention	121	
		3.5.3.2	Critical heuristics of social systems design	123	
	3.5.4	Disclosiv	e systems methodology ₂	124	
3.6	Syste	ms practio	ce in information systems development	126	
	3.6.1	Hard sys	tems methodologies _{1&2} and information system development	126	
	3.6.2	Soft syste	ems methodologies _{1&2} and information system development	127	
		3.6.2.1	The SSM and information systems development	127	
		3.6.2.2	Soft information systems development methods	128	
	3.6.3	Critical sy	ystems methodologies _{1&2} and information systems development	129	
	3.6.4	Disclosive developm	e systems methodologies ₁₈₂ and information systems nent	130	
3.7	Sumn	nary		130	
СН	APTEF	R 4	DATA WAREHOUSING	132	
4.1	Introd	uction		132	
4.2	What	is a data v	varehouse?	133	
4.3	Data v	varehousi	ng versus online transaction processing (OLTP)	135	
4.4	High I	evel data	warehouse architecture	138	
4.5	Aspects of data warehousing 1				
	4.5.1	The data	warehouse development lifecycle	142	
	4.5.2	Collecting	g requirements	144	
	4.5.3	Data mod	delling	146	
	4.5.4	Data stag	ging	150	
	4.5.5	Data acc	ess and deployment	153	
4.6	Critic	al success	s factors in data warehousing	154	
	4.6.1	Peer revi	ewed research: Critical success factors in data warehousing	154	
	4.6.2	Industry i	released research: Critical success factors in data warehousing	157	
	4.6.3	Non-peer	r reviewed research: Critical success factors in data warehousing	159	

4.7	Literature investigation: Systems thinking and data warehousing practices 160			
4.8	Summ	ary		161
CHA	PTER	5	CASE STUDY REPORT	162
5.1	Introdu	uction		162
5.2	Interpr	etive pat	tern matching	163
	5.2.1	_	el mapping between systems thinking methodologies _{1&2} and data using practices	165
		5.2.1.1	Data warehousing from a hard systems thinking perspective	167
		5.2.1.2	Data warehousing from a soft systems thinking perspective	168
		5.2.1.3	Data warehousing from a critical systems thinking perspective	169
		5.2.1.4	Data warehousing from a disclosive systems thinking perspective	171
	5.2.2		level mapping between systems thinking methodologies ₁₈₂ and rehousing practices	173
5.3	Data c	ollection		186
5.4	Data a	nalysis		186
	5.4.1	Case stu	udy one: A large organisation in the financial sector	188
		5.4.1.1	Background	188
		5.4.1.2	Interpreted data	189
		5.4.1.3	Conclusions	199
	5.4.2	Case stu	ldy two: An organisation in the health services industry	199
		5.4.2.1	Background	199
		5.4.2.2	Interpreted data	200
		5.4.2.3	Conclusions	212
	5.4.3	Case stu	udy three: A data warehouse consulting firm	213
		5.4.3.1	Background	213
		5.4.3.2	Interpreted data	214
		5.4.3.3	Conclusions	224
	5.4.4	Researc	h difficulties	225
5.5	Resea	rch conc	lusions	228
5.6	Chapte	er summ	ary	230
CHA	PTER	6	FRAMEWORK	232
6.1	Introdu	uction		232
6.2	The da	ıta wareh	ouse is a system	234
6.3	Data w	arehous	ing according to the systems approach	235
	6.3.1	The obje	ectives of the data warehouse	235

	6.3.2	The envi	ironment of the data warehouse	238	
	6.3.3	The reso	ources of the data warehouse	240	
	6.3.4	Compon	ents of the data warehouse	243	
		6.3.4.1	Business analysis	244	
		6.3.4.2	Feasibility study	245	
		6.3.4.3	Data modelling	246	
		6.3.4.4	Data staging	246	
		6.3.4.5	End-user tools	247	
		6.3.4.6	End-user training	248	
	6.3.5	Manage	ment of the system	249	
	6.3.6	The rela	tionships between the components and role players in the system	251	
6.4	Comp	arison of	the framework with existing models	254	
	6.4.1	The fram	nework compared to the Wixom and Watson (2001) model	254	
	6.4.2	The fram	nework compared to the model of Kimball et al. (1998)	255	
6.5	Summ	nary		256	
6.6	Furthe	er researd	ch ch	258	
6.7	Evalua	ation		258	
6.8	Research conclusion				
REF	EREN	ICES		262	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	The relationship between philosophy, methodology, and practice	6
Figure 2.1	Reading a text as a model for reading human behaviour	16
Figure 2.2	The action research cycle	45
Figure 3.1	The relationship between philosophy, methodology ₁ , and practice	69
Figure 3.2	System	75
Figure 3.3	Aggregate	75
Figure 3.4	Five classes of systems	76
Figure 3.5	Four paradigms for the analysis of social theory	91
Figure 3.6	Information systems development paradigms	94
Figure 3.7	Seven stages of the SSM	111
Figure 3.8	The experience-action cycle	112
Figure 3.9	The basic shape of SSM	113
Figure 3.10	The world interpreted by ideas of the world	114
Figure 3.11	The SSM as enquiring process	116
Figure 3.12	The process of the TSI	121
Figure 3.13	A System of systems methodologies	122
Figure 4.1	High level data warehouse architecture	139
Figure 4.2	Data marts: Inmon vs. Kimball	141
Figure 4.3	The business dimensional lifecycle diagram	143
Figure 4.4	An entity-relationship model of an enterprise that manufactures	
	goods	148
Figure 4.5	A star schema isolating the retail sales process from figure 4.4	148
Figure 4.6	Conformed dimensions used by two data marts	150
Figure 4.7	Research model for data warehousing success	156
Figure 5.1	The relationship between philosophy, methodology ₁ , and practice	166
Figure 6.1	A data warehousing framework	234
Figure 6.2	Data warehouse objectives	236
Figure 6.3	Aspects regarding the data warehouse environment	239
Figure 6.4	Resources of the data warehouse	241
Figure 6.5	Components of the data warehouse	244
Figure 6.6	Role players in the data warehouse	250
Figure 6.7	The complete data warehouse framework	252
Figure 6.8	The data warehouse research model of Wixom and Watson	254

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1	Summary of principles for interpretive field research	25
Table 2-2	Interpretive methodological considerations of this study	53
Table 2-3	Critical social methodological considerations of this study	55
Table 3-1	Meaning and application of Dooyeweerd's aspects	90
Table 3-2	The regulation-change dimension	93
Table 3-3	Summary of systems thinking methodologies ₁	106
Table 4-1	Comparison between OLTP and OLAP systems	136
Table 4-2	The SDLC for OLTP vs. OLAP systems	137
Table 5-1	Mapping of systems thinking methodologies _{1&2} on data warehousing	g
	concepts	185
Table 5-2	Example of respondent profile table	187
Table 5-3	Example of data collected table	187
Table 5-4	Respondent profile of case study one	190
Table 5-5	Data collected during case study one	198
Table 5-6	Respondent profile of case study two	201
Table 5-7	Data collected during case study two	211
Table 5-8	Respondent profile of case study three	214
Table 5-9	Data collected during case study three	223
Table 6-1	An explanation of the connections on the framework	253
Table 5-9	Data collected during case study three	22